ON THE STOKES EQUATION WITH NEWMANN BOUNDARY CONDITION

Yoshihiro Shibata *

Department of Mathematical Sciences, School of Science and Engineering,
Waseda University,
3-4-1, Ohkubo Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan
E-mail: yshibata@waseda.jp

SENJO SHIMIZU †

Faculty of Engineering, Shizuoka University, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka 432-8561, Japan E-mail: tssshim@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp

Abstract

In this paper, we report the recent development of the study of the Stokes equation with Neumann boundary condition which is obtained as a linearized equation of the free boundary value problem for the Navier-Stokes equation. Especially, we are concerned with the resolvent problem of the reduced Stokes equation with Neumann boundary condition, the generation of the Stokes semigroup which is analytic on the solenoidal space and the L_p - L_q estimate of the Stokes semigroup both in a bounded domain and in an exterior domain. Especially, comparing with the non-slip boundary condition case, we have the better decay estimate for the gradient of the semigroup in the exterior domain case because of the null net force at the boundary.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded or an exterior domain in \mathbb{R}^n $(n \geq 2)$ with boundary $\partial \Omega$ which is a $C^{2,1}$ hypersurface. The paper is concerned with the Stokes equation with Neumann condition:

(SN)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}(u, \pi) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \quad t > 0 \\ & \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \quad t > 0 \\ & \mathbf{T}(u, \pi) \nu = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \quad t > 0 \\ & u|_{t=0} = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

^{*}Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 15340204, Ministry of Education, Sciences, Sports and Culture, Japan.

[†]Partially supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 14540171, Ministry of Education, Sciences, Sports and Culture, Japan.

Here, $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and π are unknown velocity and pressure, respectively; ν denotes the unit outer normal to $\partial\Omega$; u_0 is an initial velocity, and we have set

$$T(u,\pi) = D(u) - \pi I,$$

$$D(u) = (D_{jk}(u))_{j,k=1}^{n}, \quad D_{jk}(u) = \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j}$$

Note that Div $T(u, \pi) = \Delta u - \nabla \pi$ if div u = 0.

(SN) is a linearlized problem of the free boundary value problem:

(F)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + (v \cdot \nabla)v - \Delta v + \nabla q = f(x,t) & \text{in } \Omega(t), \quad t > 0, \\ \nabla \cdot v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega(t), \quad t > 0, \\ T(v,q)\nu(t) + q_0(x,t)\nu(t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega(t), \quad t > 0, \\ v|_{t=0} = v_0 & \text{in } \Omega(0), \end{cases}$$

where v_0 is an initial velocity; f(x,t) is an external mass force vector; $q_0(x,t)$ is a pressure; $\Omega(t)$ is occupied by the fluid which is given only on the initial time t=0, while $\Omega(t)$ for t>0 is to be determined; $\nu(t)$ is the unit outer normal to $\partial\Omega(t)$; and $\nu(x,t)$ and q(x,t) are unknown velocity and pressure in the Euler coordinate, respectively. We may assume that $q_0(x,t)=0$, since we can arrive at this case by replacing q(x,t) by $q+q_0$.

To write the problem (F) as an initial boundary value problem in the given region $\Omega(0) = \Omega$, we go over the Euler coordinate: $x \in \Omega(t)$ to the Lagrange coordinate: $\xi \in \Omega$. If a velocity vector field $u(\xi,t)$ is known as a function of the Lagrange coordinate ξ , then this connection can be written in the form

$$x = \xi + \int_0^t u(\xi, \tau) d\tau := X_u(\xi, t).$$

If we denote the inverse matrix of

$$\left(\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_k}\right) = \left(\delta_{jk} + \int_0^t \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \xi_k}(\xi, \tau) d\tau\right)$$

by A(u,t), then

$$abla_x = A(u,t)
abla_\xi =
abla_u$$

Setting $v(x,t)=u(\xi,t)$ and $q(x,t)=\pi(\xi,t),$ we have

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta_u u + \nabla_u \pi = f(X_u(\xi, t), t) & \text{in } \Omega, t > 0, \\ & \text{div}_u u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, t > 0, \\ & T_u(u, \pi) \nu_u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, t > 0, \\ & u|_{t=0} = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta_u = \nabla_u \cdot \nabla_u$ and $\operatorname{div}_u u = \nabla_u \cdot u$.

If $u(\xi,t)$ is small then A(u,t)=I+B(u,t), and then we have

$$\nabla_{u} = \nabla_{\xi} + B(u, t) \nabla_{\xi},$$

$$\Delta_{u} = \nabla_{u} \cdot \nabla_{u} = \Delta_{\xi} + C(u, t) \nabla_{\xi}^{2} + D(u, t) \nabla_{\xi}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi = -\mu(\Delta - \Delta_u)u \\ + (\nabla - \nabla_u)\pi + f(X_u(\xi, t), t) & \text{in } \Omega, \ t > 0 \\ \operatorname{div} u = (\nabla - \nabla_u) \cdot u & \text{in } \Omega, \ t > 0 \\ T(u, \pi)\nu = (T\nu - T_u\nu_u)(u, \pi) & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \ t > 0 \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

From this we see that the linearized equation of (F) is (SN).

Our final goal is to prove a globally in time existence of solutions of (F) for arbitrary small initial data by using the analytic semigroup approach. To do this, we have the following

- 1° Analysis of the resolvent problem corresponding to (SN).
- 2° Analytic semigroup approach to (SN).
- 3° $L_p L_q$ estimate of (SN).
- 4° Maximal regularity of (SN).

So far, we finished 1°, 2° and 3°. In this paper, we report the results about 1°, 2° and 3°, below.

The free boundary value problem (F) was already solved by Solonnikov [15] in the bounded domain case. The linear problem (SN) was already studied by using the theory of pseudo-differential operators with parameter (cf. Grubb and Solonnikov [9] and Grubb [7] and [8]). Our approach is completely different from [15], [9], [7] and [8].

2 Analysis of the resolvent problem to (SN).

The corresponding resolvent problem to (SN) is:

(2.1)
$$\begin{cases} \lambda u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi = f, & \text{div } u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \\ T(u, \pi) \nu|_{\partial \Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

As the space for the pressure, we set

$$\hat{W}_{p}^{1}(\Omega) = \{ \pi \in L_{p,\text{loc}}(\overline{\Omega}) \mid \nabla \pi \in L_{p}(\Omega)^{n} \}$$
$$X_{p}(\Omega) = \{ \pi \in \hat{W}_{p}^{1}(\Omega) \mid \|\pi\|_{X_{p}(\Omega)} < \infty \}.$$

When Ω is a bounded domain, $\|\pi\|_{X_p(\Omega)} = \|\pi\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)}$ and $W_p^1(\Omega) = X_p(\Omega)$. When Ω is an exterior domain,

$$\|\pi\|_{X_{p}(\Omega)} = \begin{cases} \|\nabla \pi\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)} + \|\pi/d\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)} & n \leq p < \infty \\ \|\nabla \pi\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)} + \|\pi/d\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)} + \|\pi\|_{L_{\frac{np}{n-p}(\Omega)}} & 1 < p < n, \end{cases}$$
$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 2 + |x| & p \neq n \\ (2 + |x|)\log(2 + |x|) & p = n. \end{cases}$$

Concerning (SN), we have the following theorem proved by Shibata and Shimizu [14], which is the base of our analytic semigroup approach to (SN).

Theorem 2.1. Let $1 , <math>0 < \epsilon < \pi/2$ and $\delta > 0$. We set

$$\Sigma_{\epsilon} = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \mid |\arg \lambda| \leq \pi - \epsilon \}.$$

For every $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon}$ and $f \in L_p(\Omega)^n$, there exists a unique solution $(u, \pi) \in W_p^2(\Omega)^n \times X_p(\Omega)$ of (1). Moreover, the (u, π) satisfies the estimate:

$$\|\lambda\|\|u\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{W_{p}^{2}(\Omega)} + \|\pi\|_{X_{p}(\Omega)} \le C_{\epsilon,\delta,p} \|f\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}$$

for any $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon}$ with $|\lambda| \geq \delta$.

3 Analytic semigroup approach to (SN).

In order to formulate (SN) in the analytic semigroup framework, first of all we have to introduce the Helmholtz decomposition:

$$L_p(\Omega)^n = J_p(\Omega) \oplus G_p(\Omega)$$

where we have set

$$J_p(\Omega) = \{ u \in L_p(\Omega)^n \mid \nabla \cdot u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \}$$

$$G_p(\Omega) = \{ \nabla \pi \mid \pi \in \dot{X}_p(\Omega) \}$$

$$\dot{X}_p(\Omega) = \{ \pi \in X_p(\Omega) \mid \pi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \}.$$

To prove the Helmholtz decomposition and also the unique solvability of the Laplace equation with Dirichlet condition, we use the following theorem which is proved by letting $\lambda \to \infty$ in (2.1) and using Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 3.1. (A) Given $f \in L_p(\Omega)^n$, there exist unique $g \in J_p(\Omega)$ and $\pi \in \dot{X}_p(\Omega)$ such that $f = g + \nabla \pi$ in Ω .

(B) If
$$\pi \in \hat{W}^1_n(\Omega)$$
 satisfies $\Delta \pi = 0$ in Ω and $\pi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, then $\pi = 0$.

(C) Given $h \in W_p^{1-1/p}(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a $\pi \in X_p(\Omega)$ which solves the equation:

$$\Delta \pi = 0$$
 in Ω , $\pi|_{\partial\Omega} = h$.

Let $P_p: L_p(\Omega)^n \to J_p(\Omega)$ be the Solenoidal projection, and then there exists a unique $\theta \in \dot{X}_p(\Omega)$ such that $f = P_p f + \nabla \theta$. Inserting this formula into (2.1) and noting that $\theta|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, (2.1) is reduced to the equation:

$$\lambda u - \Delta u + \nabla(\pi - \theta) = P_p f$$
, div $u = 0$ in Ω ,
 $T(u, \pi - \theta) \nu|_{\theta\Omega} = 0$.

Therefore we consider (2.1) for $f \in J_p(\Omega)$, below.

Now, we shall introduce the reduced Stokes equation corresponding to (2.1). Given $f \in J_p(\Omega)$, let $(u, \pi) \in W_p^2(\Omega)^n \times X_p(\Omega)$ be a solution of the equation:

$$\lambda u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi = f, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$(\mathbf{T}(u, \pi)\nu)_i|_{\partial\Omega} = \sum_{j=1}^n \nu_j \left(\partial_j u_i + \partial_i u_j\right) - \nu_i \pi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

where $(T(u,\pi)\nu)_i$ denotes the *i*-th component of the *n*-vector $T(u,\pi)\nu$. Applying the divergence to the first equation implies that $\Delta \pi = 0$ in Ω . Multiplying the boundary condition by ν_i and using $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i^2 = 1$ on $\partial \Omega$ and div u = 0 in Ω , we have

$$|\pi|_{\partial\Omega} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \nu_i \nu_j D_{ij}(u) - \operatorname{div} u|_{\partial\Omega}.$$

In view of Lemma 3.1, there exists a solution operator $K:W_p^{1-1/p}(\partial\Omega)^n\to X_p(\Omega)$ associated with the equation:

$$\Delta K(u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad K(u)|_{\partial\Omega} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \nu_i \nu_j D_{ij}(u) - \operatorname{div} u|_{\partial\Omega}$$

such that there holds the estimate:

$$||K(u)||_{X_p(\Omega)} \le C_p ||u||_{W_n^{1-1/p}(\partial\Omega)}.$$

Using the operator K, we see that when $f \in J_p(\Omega)$, the problem:

$$\lambda u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi = f, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_{j} \left(\partial_{j} u_{i} + \partial_{i} u_{j} \right) - \nu_{i} \pi|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

is equivalent to the reduced Stokes resolvent problem

(3.1)
$$\lambda u - \Delta u + \nabla K(u) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$T(u, K(u)) \nu|_{\partial \Omega} = 0.$$

The reason why we insert div u into the boundary condition is to prove that the solution u of (3.1) satisfies the condition: div u = 0 in Ω . Theorem 2.1 implies the following theorem immediately.

Theorem 3.2. Let $1 , <math>0 < \epsilon < \pi/2$ and $\delta > 0$. Given $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon}$ and $f \in L_p(\Omega)^n$, (3.1) admits a unique solution $u \in W_p^2(\Omega)^n$ satisfying the estimate:

$$|\lambda| \|u\|_{L_p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{W_{\sigma}^2(\Omega)} \le C_{\epsilon,\delta,p} \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$$

for any $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon}$ with $|\lambda| \geq \delta$.

Let us define the reduced Stokes operator A_p by the relations:

$$A_p u = -\Delta u + \nabla K(u) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{D}(A_p)$$

$$\mathcal{D}(A_p) = \{ u \in J_p(\Omega) \cap W_p^2(\Omega)^n \mid \mathrm{T}(u, K(u))\nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \}.$$

Then (3.1) is formulated as $\lambda u + A_p u = f$ in Ω and $u \in \mathcal{D}(A_p)$. Letting $\lambda \to \infty$ in (3.1), by Theorem 3.2 we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. Let $1 . Then, <math>A_p$ is a densely defined closed operator.

Combining Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. Let $1 . Then, <math>A_p$ generates an analytic semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $J_p(\Omega)$.

Moreover, we can also prove the following theorem concerning the dual space and the adjoint operator.

Theorem 3.5. Let 1 and <math>p' = p/(p-1). Then, $J_p(\Omega)^* = J_{p'}(\Omega)$ and $A_p^* = A_{p'}$.

4 $L_p - L_q$ estimate of (SN).

4.1 The bounded domain case.

Let Ω be a $C^{2,1}$ - class bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n $(n \geq 2)$. Let us set

$$\mathcal{R} = \{Ax + b \mid A \text{ is an anti-symmetric matrix and } b \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

Let p_1, \dots, p_M (M = n(n-1)/2 + n) be the orthogonal bases of \mathcal{R} in Ω such that $(p_j, p_k)_{\Omega} = \delta_{jk}$. Let us set

$$\dot{L}_p(\Omega) = \{u \in L_p(\Omega)^n \mid (u, p_k)_{\Omega} = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, M\}.$$

Then, we have the following exponential stability of the semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ in the bounded domain case.

Theorem 4.1. Given any $f \in J_p(\Omega) \cap \dot{L}_p(\Omega)$, we have

$$\|\nabla^{j} T(t)f\|_{L_{q}(\Omega)} \le C_{p,q} e^{-ct} t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}) - \frac{j}{2}} \|f\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}$$

for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ $(p \neq \infty, q \neq 1)$, t > 0 and j = 0, 1, where $c = c_{p,q}$ is a positive constant.

To prove this theorem, the key is the solvability of the following problem:

(4.1)
$$-\operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}(u,\pi) = f, \text{ div } u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$
$$\mathbf{T}(u,\pi)\nu|_{g_{\Omega}} = g.$$

In fact, we have the following theorem concerning this equation.

Theorem 4.2. Let $1 . Given <math>f \in L_p(\Omega)^n$ and $g \in W_p^{1-1/p}(\partial \Omega)^n$ satisfying the condition:

$$(f, p_j)_{\Omega} + (g, p_j)_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, M,$$

(4.1) admits a unique solution

$$(u,\pi) \in (W_p^2(\Omega)^n \cap \dot{L}_p(\Omega)) \times W_p^1(\Omega).$$

Combining this theorem with Theorem 3.2, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. Let $1 and <math>0 < \epsilon < \pi/2$. Then, there exists a $\sigma > 0$ such that given $f \in J_p(\Omega) \cap \dot{L}_p(\Omega)$ and $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| \leq \sigma\}$, we have

$$\|\lambda\|\|(\lambda+A_p)^{-1}f\|_{L_p(\Omega)}+\|(\lambda+A_p)^{-1}f\|_{W_p^2(\Omega)}\leq C_p\|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)}.$$

By Theorem 4.3, we have immediately

(4.2)
$$||T(t)f||_{W_{2}^{j}(\Omega)} \leq C_{p}e^{-ct}t^{-\frac{j}{2}}||f||_{L_{p}(\Omega)} \quad j = 0, 2.$$

By using the complex interpolation:

$$(L_p(\Omega), W_p^2(\Omega))_{\theta} = W_p^s(\Omega), \quad \theta = s/2$$

the real interpolation:

$$[L_p(\Omega),W_p^2(\Omega)]_{\theta,1}=B_{p,1}^{n/p}(\Omega),\quad heta=n/2p$$

the embedding theorems:

$$W_p^s(\Omega) \subset L_q(\Omega), \ s = n\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \ (q \neq \infty)$$

 $B_{p,1}^{n/p}(\Omega) \subset L_{\infty}(\Omega)$

semigroup property: T(t)f = T(t/2)T(t/2)f and the dual argument, we can show Theorem 4.1 from (4.2).

4.2 The exterior domain case.

Let Ω be an exterior domain in \mathbb{R}^n $(n \geq 3)$, whose boundary $\partial \Omega$ is a $C^{2,1}$ hypersurface. Then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4.

(4.3)
$$||T(t)f||_{L_{q}(\Omega)} \le C_{p,q} t^{-\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)} ||f||_{L_{p}(\Omega)}$$

for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ $(p \neq \infty, q \neq 1)$, t > 0 and $f \in J_p(\Omega)$, and

(4.4)
$$\|\nabla T(t)f\|_{L_q(\Omega)} \le C_{p,q} t^{-\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) - \frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$$

for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ $(p \neq \infty, q \neq 1)$, t > 0 and $f \in J_p(\Omega)$.

Remark 4.5. If we consider the non-slip boundary condition $u|_{s_{\Omega}} = 0$ instead of the Neumann boundary condition, to obtain (4.4) we have to assume that $1 \leq p \leq q \leq n$ $(q \neq 1)$ (cf. [10], [11], [13], [3], [4] and [5]).

5 A Sketch of Proof of Theorem 4.4

5.1 1st step. Construction of a solution operator $R(\lambda)$.

The following theorem is concerned with the solution operator to (2.1).

Theorem 5.1. Let 1 and set

$$L_{p,R}(\Omega) = \{ f \in L_p(\Omega)^n \mid f(x) = 0 \quad x \not\in B_R \}.$$

Then, there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ and an operator $R(\lambda) = (R_0(\lambda), R_1(\lambda))$ for $\lambda \in \dot{U}_{\epsilon} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \mid |\lambda| < \epsilon\}$ having the following properties:

(1) If we set $u = R_0(\lambda)f$ and $\pi = R_1(\lambda)f$, then (u, π) solves the problem:

$$\lambda u - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}(u, \pi) = f, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \qquad \mathbf{T}(u, \pi) \nu|_{\partial \Omega} = 0.$$

- (2) There holds the relation: $R_0(\lambda)f = (\lambda + A)^{-1}P_pf$ for any $\lambda \in \dot{U}_{\epsilon}$ and $f \in L_{p,R}(\Omega)$.
- (3) There holds the estimate:

$$||R_0(\lambda)f||_{L_q(\Omega)} \le C_{p,q} |\lambda|^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})-1} ||f||_{L_p(\Omega)}$$

for $1 , <math>\lambda \in \dot{U}_{\epsilon}$ and $f \in L_{p,R}(\Omega)$.

(4) There holds the estimate:

$$\|\nabla R_0(\lambda)f\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \le C_p |\lambda|^{-\min(\frac{1}{2},\frac{n}{2p})} \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$$

for $\lambda \in \dot{U}_{\epsilon}$ and $f \in L_{p,R}(\Omega)$.

(5) There holds the expansion formula:

$$R(\lambda) = \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} (\log \lambda)^{\sigma(n)} H_0 + \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} H_1(\lambda) + H_2(\lambda)$$

for
$$\lambda \in \dot{U}_{\epsilon}$$
 on $\Omega_R = \Omega \cap B_R$,

where

$$\sigma(n) = 1 \ (n \ge 4, even), \sigma(n) = 0 \ (n \ge 3, odd);$$

$$H_0 \in \mathcal{L}(L_{p,R}(\Omega), W_p^2(\Omega_R)^n \times W_p^1(\Omega_R));$$

$$H_1(\lambda) \in BA(\dot{U}_{\epsilon}, \mathcal{L}(L_{p,R}(\Omega), W_p^2(\Omega_R)^n \times W_p^1(\Omega_R)));$$

$$H_2(\lambda) \in BA(\dot{U}_{\epsilon}, \mathcal{L}(L_{p,R}(\Omega), W_p^2(\Omega_R)^n \times W_p^1(\Omega_R)));$$

$$U_{\epsilon} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < \epsilon\},$$

and BA(U, W) is the set of all bounded analytic functions on U with their values in W.

Using Theorem 5.1, we can show (4.3) and also (4.4) under the assumption: $1 \le p \le q \le n$ ($q \ne 1$) in Theorem 4.4. To prove Theorem 5.1, we use the solution operator (E_{λ}, Π) of the Stokes resolvent equation in \mathbb{R}^n , which gives the solutions $u = E_{\lambda}f$ and $\pi = \Pi f$ of the equation:

$$(\lambda - \Delta)u + \nabla \pi = f$$
, div $u = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Since $E_{\lambda}f$ is given by the modified Bessel function of order (n-2)/2, applying the Young inequality we have

(5.1)
$$\|\nabla^{j} E_{\lambda} f\|_{L_{q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq C_{p,q} |\lambda|^{\frac{n}{2} (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}) - \frac{j}{2}} \|f\|_{L_{m}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

for $1 <math>(p \ne \infty, q \ne 1)$, $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \mid |\lambda| \le \pi - \epsilon\}$ and $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By using the expansion formula of the modified Bessel function near the origin, we have

(5.2)
$$E_{\lambda}f = \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\log \lambda)^{\sigma(n)}G_1(\lambda)f + G_2(\lambda)f \text{ in } B_R$$

for $f \in L_{p,R}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\lambda \in \dot{U}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, where

$$G_j(\lambda) \in BA(U_{\frac{1}{n}}, \mathcal{L}(L_{p,R}(\mathbb{R}^n), W_p^2(B_R))).$$

And also, we use the solution operator (A, B) which gives solutions u = Af and $\pi = Bf$ of the interior problem:

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathrm{Div}\,\mathrm{T}(u,\pi) &= f, \ \mathrm{div}\,u = 0 \ \mathrm{in}\ \Omega_R \\ \mathrm{T}(u,\pi)\nu|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0 \\ \mathrm{T}(u,\pi)\nu_0|_{s_R} &= \mathrm{T}(E_0f_0,\Pi f_0)\nu_0|_{s_R} \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu_0 = x/|x|$, $S_R = \{|x| = R\}$, $\Omega_R = \Omega \cap B_R$, $\partial \Omega_R = \partial \Omega \cup S_R$, $f_0 = f$ $(x \in \Omega)$ and $f_0 = 0$ $(x \notin \Omega)$. Since there holds the compatibility condition:

$$(f, p_j)_{\Omega_R} + (T(E_0 f_0, \Pi f_0) \nu_0, p_j)_{S_R} = 0$$

for j = 1, ..., M, we can find A and B. Moreover, since $D(p_j) = 0$ and div $p_j = 0$, we may assume that

$$(Af - E_0 f_0, p_j)_{\Omega_R} = 0, j = 1, \dots, M.$$

To define our parametrix for (2.1), we choose a cut-off function φ in such a way that

$$0 \le \varphi \le 1$$
, $\varphi(x) = 1$ ($|x| \le R - 2$), $\varphi(x) = 0$ ($|x| \ge R - 1$)

where R is a number such that $B_R \supset \Omega^c$. As the parametrix for (2.1), we set

$$\Phi_{\lambda} f = (1 - \varphi) E_{\lambda} f_0 + \varphi A f + \mathbb{B}[(\nabla \varphi) (E_{\lambda} f - A f)]$$

$$\Psi f = (1 - \varphi) \Pi f_0 + \varphi B f$$

where \mathbb{B} is the usual Bogovskii operator (cf. [1], [2], [12], [6]). Then, there exists a compact operator T_{λ} of $L_{p,R}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lambda \Phi_{\lambda} f - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}(\Phi_{\lambda} f_0, \Psi f) = (I + T_{\lambda}) f, \quad \operatorname{div} \Phi_{\lambda} f = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$T(\Phi_{\lambda} f, \Psi f) \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

The uniqueness of the solution to the homogeneous equation:

$$-\operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}(u,\pi) = 0, \ \operatorname{div} u = 0 \ \operatorname{in} \ \Omega, \ \ \mathbf{T}(u,\pi)\nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

in the class of functions satisfying the radiation condition:

$$u(x) = O(|x|^{-(n-2)}), \ \nabla u(x) = O(|x|^{-(n-1)})$$

 $\pi(x) = O(|x|^{-(n-1)}) \text{ as } |x| \to \infty,$

and Fredholm's alternative theorem imply the existence of the inverse operator:

$$(I+T_{\lambda})^{-1} \in BA(\dot{U}_{\epsilon},\mathcal{L}(L_{p,R}(\Omega))).$$

Therefore, we can define $R(\lambda)$ by the relations:

$$R_0(\lambda) = \Phi_{\lambda}(I + T_{\lambda})^{-1}, \ R_1(\lambda) = \Psi(I + T_{\lambda})^{-1}.$$

By this, (5.1) and (5.2), we can show Theorem 5.1.

5.2 2nd step. Modification of $R(\lambda)$.

By using the special structure of Neumann boundary condition, we modify $R(\lambda)$ to prove Theorem 4.4, especially (4.4). In order to do this, we use the following reduction: Given $f \in L_p(\Omega)$, let u and π be solutions to the resolvent problem:

$$\lambda u - \text{Div } \mathbf{T}(u, \pi) = f, \text{ div } u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

 $\mathbf{T}(u, \pi) \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$

We set

$$u = E_{\lambda} f_0 + v$$
 and $\pi = \Pi f_0 + \theta$.

Then, v and π enjoy the equation:

$$\lambda v - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}(v, \theta) = 0, \ \operatorname{div} v = 0 \ \operatorname{in} \ \Omega$$

$$\mathbf{T}(v, \theta) \nu|_{\theta\Omega} = -\mathbf{T}(E_{\lambda} f_0, \Pi f_0) \nu|_{\theta\Omega}.$$

Since

$$\left(\mathrm{T}(E_{\lambda}f_{0},\Pi f_{0})\nu,p_{j}\right)_{\partial\Omega}=-\left(\mathrm{Div}\,\mathrm{T}(E_{\lambda}f_{0},\Pi f_{0}),p_{j}\right)_{\Omega^{c}}=-\left(\lambda E_{\lambda}f_{0},p_{j}\right)_{\Omega^{c}}$$

for j = 1, ..., M, there exists (w, τ) which solves the equation:

$$\begin{split} \lambda w - \mathrm{Div} \, \mathrm{T}(w,\tau) &= g_{\lambda}, \ \mathrm{div} \, w = 0 \ \mathrm{in} \ \Omega_{R} \\ \mathrm{T}(w,\tau) \nu|_{\theta_{\Omega}} &= - \mathrm{T}(E_{\lambda} f_{0}, \Pi f_{0}) \nu|_{\theta_{\Omega}} \\ \mathrm{T}(w,\tau) \nu_{0}|_{s_{R}} &= 0, \end{split}$$

where

$$g_{\lambda} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} (\lambda E_{\lambda} f_0, p_j)_{\Omega^c} p_j.$$

We set

$$v = \varphi w + z - \mathbb{B}[(\nabla \cdot \varphi)w] \text{ and } \theta = \varphi \tau + \omega,$$

and then z and ω enjoy the equation:

$$\lambda z - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}(z, \omega) = h_{\lambda}, \ \operatorname{div} z = 0 \ \operatorname{in} \ \Omega, \quad \mathbf{T}(z, \omega) \nu|_{\partial \Omega} = 0,$$

where

$$h_{\lambda} = -\varphi g_{\lambda} + 2(\nabla \varphi) : \nabla w + (\Delta \varphi)w$$
$$-\lambda \mathbb{B}[(\nabla \varphi) \cdot w] + \text{Div}D(\mathbb{B}[(\nabla \varphi) \cdot w]) - (\nabla \varphi)\tau.$$

We can divide h_{λ} into two parts: $h_{\lambda} = h_{\lambda}^{1} + \lambda h_{\lambda}^{2}$, where

$$\operatorname{supp} h_{\lambda}^{j} \subset D_{R-2,R-1} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid R-2 \leq |x| \leq R-1 \}$$
$$(h_{\lambda}^{1}, p_{j})_{mn} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, M.$$

Finally, we set

$$z = z^1 + \lambda R_0(\lambda) h_{\lambda}^2$$
 and $\omega = \omega^1 + \lambda R_1(\lambda) h_{\lambda}^2$,

and then z^1 and ω^1 enjoy the equation:

$$\lambda z^1 - \operatorname{Div} \mathrm{T}(z^1, \omega^1) = h^1_\lambda, \ \operatorname{div} z^1 = 0 \ \operatorname{in} \ \Omega, \quad \mathrm{T}(z^1, \omega^1)
u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Now, let us set

$$\mathcal{I} = \{ f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \text{supp } f \subset D_{R-2,R-1}, \quad (f,p_j)_{\mathbb{R}^n} = 0 \quad (j = 1,\dots,M) \}.$$

Since $h_{\lambda}^1 \in \mathcal{I}$, we consider the problem :

$$\lambda u - \text{Div } T(u, \pi) = f, \text{ div } u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$T(u, \pi) \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

with $f \in \mathcal{I}$. Recall that

$$\lambda \Phi_{\lambda} f - \text{Div } T(\Phi_{\lambda} f_0, \Psi f) = (I + T_{\lambda}) f, \quad \text{div } \Phi_{\lambda} f = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

 $T(\Phi_{\lambda} f, \Psi f) \nu|_{\partial \Omega} = 0.$

The point is that we can divide T_{λ} into two parts: $T_{\lambda} = A_{\lambda} + \lambda B_{\lambda}$, where

$$A_{\lambda}$$
 is a compact operator on \mathcal{I} ;
$$\|A_{\lambda}f - A_{0}f\|_{L_{p}} \leq C|\lambda|^{1/2}\|f\|_{L_{p}};$$
 B_{λ} is a bounded operator from \mathcal{I} into $L_{p,R}(\Omega)$.

Therefore, if we set

$$U_{\lambda}f = \Phi_{\lambda}f - \lambda R_{0}(\lambda)B_{\lambda}f$$

$$\Theta_{\lambda}f = \Psi f - \lambda R_{1}(\lambda)B_{\lambda}f$$

then we see that

$$\lambda U_{\lambda} f - \text{Div } T(U_{\lambda} f, \Theta_{\lambda} f) = f + A_{\lambda} f, \quad \text{div } U_{\lambda} f = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

 $T(U_{\lambda} f, \Theta_{\lambda} f) \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$

By using the uniqueness of the solution to the Stokes equation with Neumann boundary condition and the Fredholm alternative theorem, we can show that there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$(I + A_{\lambda})^{-1} \in BA(\dot{U}_{\epsilon}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{I})).$$

From these consideration, by using not only (5.1) and Theorem 5.1 but also the relation:

$$E_{\lambda}f = \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}}(\log \lambda)^{\sigma(n)}G'_1(\lambda)f + G_2(\lambda)f \quad f \in \mathcal{I}$$

on B_R with some $G'_1(\lambda) \in BA(U_{1/2}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{I}, W_p^2(B_R)^n \times W_p^1(B_R)))$, we can show the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. There exist operators $Y(\lambda)$ and $Z(\lambda)$ such that for any $f \in L_p(\Omega)^n$

$$(\lambda + A)^{-1}P_{p}f = Y(\lambda)f + Z(\lambda)f, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon} \cap U_{\epsilon}$$

$$\|Y(\lambda)f\|_{L_{q}(\Omega)} \leq C_{p,q}|\lambda|^{\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) - 1}\|f\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}$$

$$\|\nabla Y(\lambda)f\|_{L_{q}(\Omega)} \leq C_{p,q}|\lambda|^{\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) - \frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}$$

for any $1 <math>(p \neq \infty)$, $\lambda \in U_{\epsilon}$ and

$$Z(\lambda)f \in BA(U_{\epsilon}, \mathcal{L}(Lp(\Omega), W_{\infty}^{2}(\Omega))), \quad \text{supp } Z(\lambda)f \subset B_{R}.$$

If we write

$$T(t)f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_1} e^{\lambda t} (\lambda I + A)^{-1} f \, d\lambda + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_2} e^{\lambda t} (Y(\lambda) + Z(\lambda)) f \, d\lambda$$

where

$$\Gamma_1 = \{se^{\pm i\theta_0} \mid \epsilon \leq s < \infty\}, \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \theta_0 < \pi, \quad \Gamma_2 = \{\epsilon e^{i\theta} \mid -\theta_0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_0\},$$

then by Proposition 5.2 and Theorem 3.2 we can show Theorem 4.4.

References

- [1] M. E. Bogovskii, Solution of the first boundary value problem for the equation of continuity of an incompressible medium, Dokl. Acad. Nauk. SSSR 248, 1037–1049 (1979).; English transl: Soviet Math. Dokl. 20, 1094–1098 (1979).
- [2] _______, Solution of some vector analysis problems connected with operators div and grad Trudy Seminar S. L. Sobolev # 1. 80 Akademia Nauk SSSR. Sibirskoe Otdelnie Matematik, Nowosibirsk in Russian, 5–40 (1980).
- [3] W. Dan and Y. Shibata, On the L_q L_r estimates of the Stokes semigroup in a two dimensional exterior domain, J. Math. Soc. Japan 51, 181-207 (1999).
- [4] ____ and ____, Remark on the L_q - L_{∞} estimate of the Stokes semigroup in a 2-dimensional exterior domain, Pacific J. Math. 189, 223–240 (1999).
- [5] W. Dan, T. Kobayashi and Y. Shibata, On the local energy decay approach to some fluid flow in exterior domain, Recent Topics on Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Fluid, 1–51, Lecture Notes Numer. Appl. Math. 16, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1998.
- [6] G. P. Galdi, An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of the Navier-Stokes Equations, Vol I: Linearized Steady Problems, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy, Vol 38, 1994, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg.
- [7] G. Grubb, Parameter-elliptic and parabolic pseudodifferential boundary problems in global L_p Sobolev spaces, Math. Z. 218, 43-90 (1995).
- [8] _____, Nonhomogeneous time-dependent Navier-Stokes problems in L_p Sobolev spaces, Differential Integtral Equations, 8, 1013–1046 (1995).
- [9] G. Grubb and V. A. Solonnikov, Boundary value problems for the nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations treated by pseudo-differential method, Math. Scand. 69, 217-290 (1991).

- [10] H. Iwashita, L_q - L_r estimates for solutions of the nonstationary Stokes equations in an exterior domain and the Navier-Stokes initial value problems in L_p spaces, Math. Ann. 285, pp. 265–288 (1989).
- [11] P. Maremonti and V. A. Solonnikov, On nonstationary Stokes problem in exterior domains, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa 24, 395-449 (1997).
- [12] K. Pileckas, On spaces of solenoidal vectors Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov 159, 137–149 (1983); English transl: Steklov Math. Inst. 159, 141–154 (1984).
- [13] Y. Shibata, On an exterior initial boundary value problem for Navier-Stokes equations, Quart. Appl. Math. LVII, 117-155 (1999).
- [14] Y. Shibata and S. Shimizu, On a resolvent estimate for the Stokes system with Neumann boundary condition, Differential Integtral Equations 16 (2003), 385–426.
- [15] V. A. Solonnikov, On the transient motion of an isolated volume of viscous incompressible fluid, Math. USSR Izvestiya 31, 381-405 (1988).