# Weakly o-minimal algebraic structures

岡山大学大学院・自然科学研究科 田中 広志 (Hiroshi Tanaka) Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University htanaka@math.okayama-u.ac.jp

## 1 Introduction

Let M be a linearly ordered structure and A a subset M. The set A is said to be *convex* if for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $c \in M$  with a < c < b we have  $c \in A$ . A linearly ordered structure M is said to be *o-minimal* if every definable subset of M is a finite union of intervals (possibly with infinite endpoints). A linearly ordered structure M is said to be *weakly o-minimal* if every definable subset of M is a finite union of convex sets. A theory T is said to be *weakly o-minimal* if every model of T is weakly o-minimal. Henceforth, a linearly ordered structure is abbreviated as an ordered structure.

It is well-known the following fact.

Fact 1 Let M be an ordered structure. Then the following is equivalent:

- 1. Th(M) is weakly o-minimal;
- 2. for each formula  $\varphi(x, \overline{y})$  there exists some  $n \in \omega$  such that for each tuple  $\overline{a}$  from M the set  $\varphi(M, \overline{a})$  can be written as a union of at most n many convex sets.

**Fact 2** Let M be a weakly o-minimal structure. If M is  $\omega$ -saturated, then Th(M) is weakly o-minimal.

**Fact 3** [BP] Let M be an expansion of an o-minimal structure by convex subsets. Then Th(M) is weakly o-minimal.

#### 2 Monoids and groups

In this section, we study weakly o-minimal monoids and groups. It is well-known the following fact.

Fact 4 [MMS] Let G be a weakly o-minimal group. Suppose that H is a definable subgroup of G. Then, the following holds:

- 1. G is abelian and divisible;
- 2. H is convex.

Let G be a weakly o-minimal group. Suppose that H is a definable subgroup of G. Then, by Fact 4, H is divisible.

We call an ordered group (G, 0, +, <, ...) Archimedian if for all elements a, b with b > 0 there exists some  $n \in \omega$  such that a < nb.

**Lemma 5** Let  $\mathcal{G} = (G, 0, +, <, ...)$  be a weakly o-minimal Archimedian group. Suppose that H is a definable subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then H is either  $\{0\}$  or  $\mathcal{G}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a \in G$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume a > 0. Let  $H \neq \{0\}$ . Then, there exists some  $b \in H$  such that b > 0. Since the group  $\mathcal{G}$  is Archimedian, there exists some  $n \in \omega$  such that a < nb. Hence, by Fact 4, we have  $a \in H$ .

From now on, we study monoids.

**Proposition 6** Let  $\mathcal{N} = (N, 0, +, <, ...)$  be a weakly o-minimal monoid. Then  $\mathcal{N}$  is commutative.

*Proof.* For all  $a \in N$ , let  $C_N(a) := \{x \in N \mid x + a = a + x\}$ . Claim  $C_N(a)$  is convex.

Clearly,  $0 \in C_N(a)$  and, if  $x, y \in C_N(a)$  then  $x + y \in C_N(a)$ . By weak ominimality,  $C_N(a)$  is the union of finitely many maximal convex subsets. Let X be the greatest of these convex components with respect to the ordering induced by <. Let  $x \in X$  with x > 0. Suppose that  $y \in N$  with 0 < y < x. We may show that  $y \in C_N(a)$ . By x < y + x < 2x and  $2x \in X$ , we have  $y + x \in X$ . Hence (y + x) + a = a + (y + x). By  $x \in C_N(a)$ , we have (y + a) + x = (a + y) + x. Hence, we have y + a = a + y. Thus,  $y \in C_N(a)$ , as desired.

Let  $b, c \in N$  with b < c. Then the following is equivalent:

- b and c are commutative;
- b and b + c are commutative;
- b + c and c are commutative.

Now  $b, b + c \leq 0$  or  $b + c, c \geq 0$ . Hence we may assume 0 < b < c. Then, as  $C_N(c)$  is convex, we have  $b \in C_N(c)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{N}$  is commutative.  $\Box$ 

Let  $\mathcal{N} = (N, 0, +, <, ...)$  be an ordered monoid. Suppose that  $I_N := \{x \in N \mid \mathcal{N} \models \exists y(x + y = 0)\}$ . Clearly,  $I_N$  contains 0. We call an ordered monoid (N, 0, +, <, ...) Archimedian if for all elements a, b with b > 0 there exists some  $n \in \omega$  such that a < nb, and for all elements a, b with b < 0 there exists some  $n \in \omega$  such that nb < a.

**Example 7** Let  $\mathcal{M} = (\{0\} \cup \mathbb{Q}^{\geq 1}, 0, +, <, P)$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}^{\geq 1} = \{a \in \mathbb{Q} \mid a \geq 1\}$ and the unary predicate symbol P is interpreted by the convex set  $P^{\mathcal{M}} = (\sqrt{2}, 3) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a weakly o-minimal Archimedian monoid and not divisible. Moreover  $I_{\mathcal{M}} = \{0\}$ .

Hence, in generally a weakly o-minimal Archimedian monoid is not a group. However the following holds.

**Proposition 8** Let  $\mathcal{N} = (N, 0, +, <, ...)$  be a weakly o-minimal Archimedian monoid. Suppose that  $I_N \neq \{0\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}$  is a group.

*Proof.* Clearly  $0 \in I_N$ . Let  $x, y \in I_N$ . Then, there exist  $x_1, y_1$  such that  $x + x_1 = 0$  and  $y + y_1 = 0$ . Then  $(x + y) + (y_1 + x_1) = 0$ . Thus,  $x + y \in I_N$ . Claim  $I_N$  is convex.

By weak o-minimality,  $I_N$  is the union of finitely many maximal convex subsets. Let C be the greatest of these convex components with respect to the ordering induced by <. Let  $x \in C$  with x > 0. Suppose that  $y \in N$  with 0 < y < x. We may show that  $y \in I_N$ . By x < y + x < 2x and  $2x \in C$ , we have  $y + x \in C$ . Hence, there exists some  $z \in N$  such that (y + x) + z = 0. So y + (x + z) = 0. Thus,  $y \in I_N$ , as desired.

Let  $g \in N$ . By  $I_N \neq \{0\}$ , there exists some  $a \in I_N$  such that  $a \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that g > 0 and a > 0. As N is Archimedian, there exists some  $n \in \omega$  such that 0 < g < na. Since  $I_N$  is convex, we have  $g \in I_N$ . Therefore  $I_N = N$ . Let N be an ordered monoid and A a subset N. The ordered monoid N is said to be rich, if for all  $a, b \in N$  if  $0 \le a \le b$  or  $b \le a \le 0$ , then there exists some  $c \in N$  such that b = a + c. The set A admits right elimination, if for all  $a \in A$  and all  $b \in N$  if  $b + a \in A$ , then  $b \in A$ .

**Example 9** Let  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{Q}^{\geq 0}, 0, +, <, P)$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}^{\geq 0} = \{a \in \mathbb{Q} \mid a \geq 0\}$ and the unary predicate symbol P is interpreted by the convex set  $P^{\mathcal{M}} = (\sqrt{2}, 3) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a weakly o-minimal rich monoid and divisible.

**Proposition 10** Let  $\mathcal{N} = (N, 0, +, <, ...)$  be a weakly o-minimal monoid. Then the following is equivalent:

- 1.  $\mathcal{N}$  is divisible;
- 2. for all  $n \in \omega$ , nN admits right elimination;
- 3. for all  $n \in \omega$ , nN is convex.

*Proof.*  $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$  It is clear.

 $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$  Let  $n \in \omega$ . Let  $x, y \in nN$ . Then there exist  $x_1, y_1 \in N$  such that  $x = nx_1$  and  $y = ny_1$ . By Proposition 6, we have  $x + y = nx_1 + ny_1 = n(x_1 + y_1)$  Hence,  $x + y \in nN$ . Now, by weak o-minimality, nN is the union of finitely many maximal convex subsets. Let C be the greatest of these convex components with respect to the ordering induced by <. Let  $x \in C$  with x > 0. Suppose that  $y \in N$  with 0 < y < x. We may show that  $y \in nN$ . By x < y + x < 2x and  $2x \in C$ , we have  $y + x \in C$ . As nN admits right elimination, we have  $y \in nN$ , as desired.

 $(3 \Rightarrow 1)$  Let *n* be a nonzero natural number. For all positive  $a \in N$ , we have 0 < a < na. As nN is convex, we have  $a \in nN$ . Hence  $\mathcal{N}$  is divisible.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 11** Let  $\mathcal{N} = (N, 0, +, <, ...)$  be a weakly o-minimal monoid. If  $\mathcal{N}$  is rich, then  $\mathcal{N}$  is divisible.

*Proof.* Let n be a nonzero natural number. Now, by weak o-minimality, nN is the union of finitely many maximal convex subsets. Let C be the greatest of these convex components with respect to the ordering induced by <. Let  $x \in C$  with x > 0. Suppose that  $y \in N$  with 0 < y < x. We show that  $y \in nN$ . By x < y + x < 2x and  $2x \in C$ , we have  $y + x \in C$ . So there versist  $z_1, z_2 \in N$  with  $0 < z_1 < z_2$  such that  $x = nz_1$  and  $y + x = nz_2$ .

As  $\mathcal{N}$  is rich, there exists some  $a \in N$  such that  $a + z_1 = z_2$ . Hence, we have  $y + nz_1 = na + nz_1$ . Therefore we have  $y = na \in nN$ . It follows that nN = N.

**Proposition 12** [T] Let N be an ordered monoid. Suppose that Th(N) is weakly o-minimal. Then there exists an extending ordered group G of N such that Th(G) is weakly o-minimal.

*Proof.* Let  $N_1$  be an  $\omega$ -saturated elementary extension of N. Define the following relation on  $N_1 \times N_1$ :

$$(a,b) \sim (a',b') \iff a+b'=a'+b.$$

Then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $N_1 \times N_1$ . For each  $(a, b) \in N_1 \times N_1$ , let [(a, b)] denote the  $\sim$ -class of (a, b). Let  $G := N_1 \times N_1 / \sim$ . Then G can be naturally expanded to an  $\omega$ -saturated ordered group. We may treat  $N_1$ as a substructure of G by identifying  $a \in N_1$  and  $[(a, 0)] \in G$ . We may show that G is weakly o-minimal. By way of a contradiction, assume that G is not weakly o-minimal. Then there exists a definable subset  $A \subseteq G$  and a monotone sequence  $\{a_i \in G \mid i \in \omega\}$  such that for all  $i \in \omega$ ,  $a_i \in A$  if and only if i is even. As G is an eq-object of  $N_1$ , there exists a formula  $\varphi(x, y)$ (parameters from  $N_1$ ) such that  $[(b, c)] \in A$  if and only if  $N_1 \models \varphi(b, c)$ . For all  $i \in \omega$ , let  $a_i := [(b_i, c_i)]$ . Then we have

$$N_1 \models \varphi(b_i, c_i) \iff i \text{ is even.}$$

For all  $n \in \omega$ , let  $d_i := \sum_{j=0, j \neq i}^{2n} c_i$  and  $e := \sum_{j=0}^{2n} c_j$ . Then we have

$$N_1 \models \varphi(b_i + d_i, e) \iff i \text{ is even.}$$

Hence, the set  $\varphi(N_1, e)$  can not be written as the union of *n* convex sets, contradicting that  $\operatorname{Th}(N)$  is weakly o-minimal.

#### **3** Rings and fields

In this section, we study weakly o-minimal rings and fields.

A commutative ordered domain R is said to be *real closed* if R has intermediate value property, that is, for any polynomial p(x) with coefficients in R and any  $a, b \in R$  such that a < b and  $p(a) \cdot p(b) < 0$ , there exists some  $c \in R$  so that a < c < b and p(c) = 0.

It is well-known the following fact.

Fact 13 [MMS]

- 1. If a commutative ordered ring R is weakly o-minimal, then R is a real closed ring;
- 2. If an ordered field F is weakly o-minimal, then F is a real closed field.

In [PS1], it is shown that an o-minimal ring is a real closed field. However, in generally a weakly o-minimal ordered ring is not a field. We shall show that if a weakly o-minimal ordered ring R which may not be associative is Archimedian, then R is a real closed field.

**Lemma 14** If  $\mathcal{R} = (R, 0, 1, +, \cdot, <, ...)$  is a weakly o-minimal ring, then  $\mathcal{R}$  is commutative.

*Proof.* For all  $a \in R$ , let  $C_R(a) := \{x \in R \mid xa = ax\}$ . Then,  $C_R(a)$  is a definable additive subgroup. Hence, by Fact 4,  $C_R(a)$  is convex. Let  $g, h \in R$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that 0 < g < h. As  $C_R(h)$  is convex, we have  $g \in C_R(h)$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{R}$  is commutative.  $\Box$ 

We call an ordered ring  $(R, 0, 1, +, \cdot, <, ...)$  standard if for all nonzero  $a \in R$  there exists  $b \in R$  such that 1 < ab. Clearly, an Archimedian ordered ring is standard.

**Proposition 15** Let  $\mathcal{R} = (R, 0, 1, +, \cdot, <, ...)$  be a weakly o-minimal ring. Then, the following is equivalent:

- 1.  $\mathcal{R}$  is standard;
- 2.  $\mathcal{R}$  is a field.

*Proof.*  $(2 \Rightarrow 1)$  Let  $a \in R$  with  $a \neq 0$ . Then, as  $\mathcal{R}$  is field, there exists  $a^{-1}$ . Hence,  $1 < a \cdot 2a^{-1} = 2$ , as desired.

 $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$  Let  $a \in R$ . Then, as  $\mathcal{R}$  is standard, there exists some  $b \in R$  such that 1 < ab. Now aR is a definable additive subgroup. Hence, as aR is convex, we have  $1 \in aR$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{R}$  is a field.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 16** Let  $\mathcal{R} = (R, 0, 1, +, \cdot, <, ...)$  be a weakly o-minimal Archimedian ring, where  $\mathcal{R}$  may not be associative. Then,  $\mathcal{R}$  is a real closed field.

Proof. By Fact 13, Lemma 14 and Proposition 15, we may show that  $\mathcal{R}$  is associative. Let  $a \in R$  with  $a \neq 0$ . Suppose that  $D_R(a) := \{x \in R \mid (xa)a = x(aa)\}$ . Then, as  $\mathcal{R}$  is commutative,  $D_R(a)$  contains a and is a definable additive subgroup. Hence, by Lemma 5,  $D_R(a) = R$ . Also, suppose that  $E_R(a) := \{x \in R \mid (za)x = z(ax) \text{ for each } z\}$ . Then, by  $D_R(a) = R$ ,  $E_R(a)$  contains a and is a definable additive subgroup. Thus, by Lemma 5,  $E_R(a) = R$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{R}$  is associative.

**Proposition 17** Let R be an ordered ring. Suppose that Th(R) is weakly o-minimal. Then there exists an extending ordered field F of R such that Th(F) is weakly o-minimal.

*Proof.* Let  $R_1$  be an  $\omega$ -saturated elementary extension of R. Let  $R_1^{>0} := \{a \in R_1 \mid a > 0\}$ . Define the following relation on  $R_1 \times R_1^{>0}$ :

$$(a,b) \sim (a',b') \iff ab' = a'b.$$

Then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $R_1 \times R_1^{>0}$ . For each  $(a, b) \in R_1 \times R_1^{>0}$ , let [(a, b)] denote the  $\sim$ -class of (a, b). Let  $F := R_1 \times R_1^{>0} / \sim$ . Then F can be naturally expanded to an  $\omega$ -saturated ordered field. We may treat  $R_1$  as a substructure of F by identifying  $a \in R_1$  and  $[(a, 1)] \in F$ . We may show that F is weakly o-minimal. By way of a contradiction, assume that F is not weakly o-minimal. Then there exists a definable subset  $A \subseteq F$  and a monotone sequence  $\{a_i \in F \mid i \in \omega\}$  such that for all  $i \in \omega$ ,  $a_i \in A$  if and only if i is even. As F is an eq-object of  $R_1$ , there exists a formula  $\varphi(x, y)$ (parameters from  $R_1$ ) such that  $[(b, c)] \in A$  if and only if  $R_1 \models \varphi(b, c)$ . For all  $i \in \omega$ , let  $a_i := [(b_i, c_i)]$ . Then we have

$$R_1 \models \varphi(b_i, c_i) \iff i \text{ is even.}$$

For all  $n \in \omega$ , let  $d_i := \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^{2n} c_i$  and  $e := \prod_{j=0}^{2n} c_j$ . Then we have

$$R_1 \models \varphi(b_i d_i, e) \iff i \text{ is even.}$$

Hence, the set  $\varphi(R_1, e)$  can not be written as the union of *n* convex sets, contradicting that  $\operatorname{Th}(R)$  is weakly o-minimal.

### References

- [BP] Y. Baisalov and B. Poizat, Paires de structures o-minimales, J. Symbolic Logic 63 (1998), 570-578.
- [BPW] O. Belegradek, Y. Peterzil and F. Wagner, Quasi-o-minimal structures, J. Symbolic Logic 65 (2000), 1115-1132.
- [K] B. Sh. Kulpeshov, Weakly o-minimal structures and some of their properties, J. Symbolic Logic 63 (1998), 1511-1528.
- [MMS] D. Macpherson, D. Marker and C. Steinhorn, Weakly o-minimal structures and real closed fields, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 5435-5483.
- [MT] A. Marcja and C. Toffalori, A Guide to Classical and Modern Model Theory, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.
- [M] D. Marker, Model Theory: An Introduction, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 217, Springer, 2002.
- [PS1] A. Pillay and C. Steinhorn, Definable sets in ordered structures. I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 295 (1986), 565-592.
- [PS2] A. Pillay and C. Steinhorn, Definable sets in ordered structures. III, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 309 (1988), 469-476.
- [T] A. Tsuboi, Weakly o-minimal monoid, preprint.