A Path Integral Preliminary Approach to the FKG Inequality for Yukawa₂ Quantum Field Theory*

金沢大理 一瀬 孝 (Takashi Ichinose)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kanazawa University

1. By the method used in our previous paper [I1], we construct a countably additive path space measure for the 2-D Euclidean Dirac equation in the polar coordinates to give a path integral representation to its Green's function (For a brief survey, see [I2]). This is a report of trying a preliminary approach with use of the result to give an alternative proof of the FKG inequality for Yukawa₂ quantum field theory obtained by Battle-Rosen [BR], though not yet incomplete.

G.A.Battle and L.Rosen used Vekua-Bers theory of generalized analytic functions to show the FKG inequality for Y_2 QFT. The Y_2 measure is formally given by

$$\begin{split} \nu :&= \frac{1}{Z} e^{W(\phi)} \prod_{x \in \mathbf{R}^2} d\phi(x) \\ W(x) :&= \frac{1}{2} (\phi, (-\Delta + m_b^2)\phi) + \operatorname{Tr} K - \frac{1}{2} : \operatorname{Tr} K^*K : + \operatorname{Tr} \ln(1 - K)K, \end{split}$$

with Z is a normalized constant, where

$$K(x,y):=S(x,y)\phi(y)\chi_{\Lambda}(y), \ \phi: \ \mathrm{Boson\ field\ } (\mathrm{mass}:m_b),$$
 $\chi_{\Lambda}: \mathrm{indicator\ function\ of\ a\ square\ } \Lambda\subset\mathbb{R}^2,$

and

$$S(x,y) := (-\beta \partial_x + m_f)^{-1} \Gamma, \quad \beta \partial_x = \beta_0 \partial_0 + \beta_1 \partial_1, x = (x_0, x_1),$$
$$\beta_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_1, \quad \beta_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_3,$$

with $m_f \geq 0$ the Fermi mass. They considered the two models

a)
$$\Gamma := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I_2$$
 (scalar Y_2), b) $\Gamma := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i\sigma_2$ (pseudo-scalar Y_2).

^{*} Talk given at the Workshop "Applications of Renormalization Group Methods in Mathematical Sciences", RIMS, Kyoto University, Sept. 7-9, 2005. Partially supported by the Grand-in-Aid for Exploratory Research No. 17654030, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Then FKG enequality (like $\langle fg \rangle \geq \langle f \rangle \langle g \rangle$) holds: $\frac{\delta^2 W}{\delta \phi(x) \delta \phi(y)} \geq 0, x \neq y.$

By some heuristic arguments, this is equivalent to showing

$$\operatorname{tr} S'(x,y)S'(y,x) \le 0, \quad x \ne y.$$

where $S' := (1 - K)^{-1}S$ is the Green's function (vanishing at ∞) for 2D- Euclidean Dirac equation

$$[\Gamma^{-1}(-\beta\partial_x + m_f) - \phi(x)\chi_{\Lambda}(x)]S'(x,y) = \delta(x-y).$$

Battle and Rosen proved the above inequality for $m_f \ge 0$ in the case a) and for $m_f = 0$ in the case b).

So, the first thing to do is to construct this Green's function.

In [I1], we constructed a countably additive path space measure to give a path integral representent for the Green's function for 3D-Dirac equation in the radial coordinate.

The aim of this talk is to give a preliminary approach to ask whether this method can apply to get the Green's function for the above 2D-Euclidean Dirac equation to show the desired inequality.

Put the 2D-Euclidian operator $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^2 \equiv L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ as:

$$\begin{split} T_{\Gamma} &:= \Gamma^{-1}(-\beta \partial_x + m_f) - V(x), \quad V(x) := \phi(x) \chi_{\Lambda}(x), \\ &= \Gamma^{-1} \Big[-\sigma_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} - \sigma_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + m_f \Big] - V(x), \quad x = (x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \beta &= (\beta_0, \beta_1), \ \beta_0 = \sigma_1, \ \beta_1 = \sigma_3. \end{split}$$

They considered the two models: a) scalar Y_2 model: $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I_2$

b) pseudoscalar Y_2 model: $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

In this note let us consider only a) the scalar Y_2 model.

2. Since $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we have by the polar coordinates $x_0 = r \cos \theta$, $x_1 = r \sin \theta$ $(0 \le r < \infty, 0 \le \theta < 2\pi)$,

$$T_{\Gamma} = -C(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} D(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + m_f - V,$$

where

$$C(\theta) := \sigma_1 \cos \theta + \sigma_3 \sin \theta = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta & \cos \theta \\ \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D(\theta) := -(\sigma_1 \sin \theta - \sigma_3 \cos \theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

We write $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$ and $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+} = [0, \infty)$. Making the unitary tansformation

$$U(\theta) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 + \sin \theta} & \frac{\cos \theta}{\sqrt{1 + \sin \theta}} \\ -\frac{\cos \theta}{\sqrt{1 + \sin \theta}} & \sqrt{1 + \sin \theta} \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$U(\theta)T_{\Gamma}U(\theta)^{-1} = \left[-\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2r}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right] + m_f - V$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^2 = L^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+} \times [0, 2\pi); rdrd\theta)^2$.

We make one more unitary transformation W of the rdr-measure space to the dr-measure space:

$$W: L^2(\mathbb{R})^2 \equiv L^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+} \times [0, 2\pi); rdrd\theta)^2 \ni f \mapsto r^{1/2} f \in L^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+} \times [0, 2\pi); drd\theta)^2$$

to get

$$WU(\theta)T_{\Gamma}U(\theta)^{-1}W^{-1} = \left[-\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right] + m_f - V.$$

Then we multiply $r^{1/2}$ from the left and the right and then multiply the factor i to put

$$egin{aligned} H_{sc}(rV) &:= i r^{1/2} W U(heta) T_\Gamma U(heta)^{-1} W^{-1} r^{1/2} \ &= \left[-i egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} r^{1/2} rac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{1/2} - i egin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} rac{\partial}{\partial heta}
ight] + i (m_f - V) r. \end{aligned}$$

Since the operator $-i\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ is a selfadjoint operator in $L^2([0,2\pi);d\theta)$ having as the spectrum consisting of only the eigenvalues $\{k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with eigenfunctions $\{\frac{e^{ik\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, our L^2 space $L^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}\times[0,2\pi);drd\theta)^2$ admits the direct sum decomposition:

$$L^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+} imes [0,2\pi)\,;\, dr d heta)^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \oplus \Bigl(L^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}\,;\, dr)^2 \otimes \Bigl[rac{e^{ik heta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Bigr]\Bigr).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} H_{sc}(rV) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \oplus H_{sc}(k), \\ H_{sc}(k) &:= \left[-i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} r^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{1/2} + k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] + i(m_f - V)r. \end{split}$$

We want to find a path integral representation for the Green's function for this operator having a singularity at r = 0.

For each fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, put the free part of $H_{sc}(k)$ to be equal to

$$H_0(k) := -i egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} r^{1/2} rac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{1/2} + k egin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is an operator in $L^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}; dr)^2$. We can show that $H_0(k)$ is essentially selfadjoint on $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)^2$, which is a non-trivial result. Therefore the Cauchy problem for it:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(r,t) = -iH_0(k)\psi(r,t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\psi(r,0) = g(r), \quad t = 0,$$

is L^2 well-posed. In other words, we can solve it in the space $L^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}; dr)^2$.

Crucial is that this Cauchy problem is even L^{∞} well-posed. Namely, we have the following lemma.

Lemma. There exists a unique solution $\psi(r,t) = (e^{-itH_0(k)}g)(r)$ which satisfies

$$\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{\infty} = \|e^{-itH_0(k)}g\|_{\infty} \le e^{|t|(|k|+1/2)}\|g\|_{\infty}.$$

By the method in [I1] based on this lemma, we can construct a 2×2 -matrix-distribution-valued countably additive path space measure $\mu_{t,0}^k$ on the space $C([0,t] \to \overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$ of the continuous paths $R:[0,t] \to \overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$ which represents the solution of the above Cauchy problem: for every pair of f and g in $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)^2$,

$$\begin{split} (f,\psi(\cdot,t)) &= \int_0^\infty t\overline{f(r)}(e^{-itH_{sc}(k)}g)(r)\,dr = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty t\overline{f(r)}e^{-itH_{sc}(k)}(r,\rho)g(\rho)\,drd\rho \\ &= \int_{C([0,t]\to\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})} \langle t\overline{f(R(t))},d\mu_{t,0}^k(R)g(R(0))\rangle e^{\int_0^t (m_f-V(R(s))R(s)ds}\,. \end{split}$$

Hence, supposing that we can get the inverse of the operator $H_{sc}(k)$ as $H_{sc}(k)^{-1} = i \int_0^\infty e^{-itH_{sc}(k)} dt$ by the Laplace transform, we have the following path integral representation for its Green's function, which is a little formally expressed, suppressing the use of test funtions:

$$H_{sc}(k)^{-1}(r,\rho) = i \int_0^\infty dt \int_{C([0,t] \to \overline{\mathbb{R}_+}), R(0) = \rho, R(t) = r} r^{1/2} \rho^{1/2} e^{\int_0^t (m_f - V(R(s))R(s)ds} d\mu_{t,0}^k(R).$$

3. We have

$$T_{\Gamma}^{-1} = i r^{1/2} W U(\theta) H_{sc}(rV)^{-1} U(\theta)^{-1} W^{-1} r^{-1/2} H_{sc}(rV)^{-1} U(\theta)^{-1} W^{-1} U(\theta$$

Here, if we use the polar coordinates for $x=(x_0,x_1),\,y=(y_0,y_1)\in\mathbb{R}^2$

$$x_0 = r \cos \theta$$
, $x_1 = r \sin \theta \ (0 \le r < \infty, \ 0 \le \theta < 2\pi)$,
 $y_0 = r' \cos \theta'$, $y_1 = r' \sin \theta' \ (0 \le r' < \infty, \ 0 \le \theta' < 2\pi)$,

we may write the integral kernel of the operator $H_{sc}(rV)^{-1}$ as

$$\begin{split} &H_{sc}(rV)^{-1}(r,\theta\,;\,r',\theta')\\ &=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}H_{sc}(k)^{-1}(r,r')e^{-ik(\theta-\theta')}\\ &=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}e^{-ik(\theta-\theta')}i\int_{R(0)=r',\,R(t)=r}r^{1/2}r'^{1/2}e^{\int_0^\infty(m_f-V(R(s)))R(s)ds}d\mu_{t,0}^{(k)}(R). \end{split}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{tr}\left[T_{\Gamma}^{-1}(r,\theta\,;\,r',\theta')T_{\Gamma}^{-1}(r',\theta'\,;\,r,\theta)\right.\\ &= -\operatorname{tr}\left[r^{-1/2}WU(\theta)H_{sc}(rV)^{-1}(r,\theta\,;\,r',\theta')U(\theta')^{-1}W^{-1}r^{-1/2}\right.\\ &\quad \times r^{-1/2}WU(\theta')H_{sc}(rV)^{-1}(r',\theta'\,;\,r,\theta)U(\theta)^{-1}W^{-1}r^{-1/2}\right]\\ &= -\operatorname{tr}\left[rr'H_{sc}(rV)^{-1}(r,\theta\,;\,r',\theta')H_{sc}(rV)^{-1}(r',\theta'\,;\,r,\theta)\right]\\ &= -rr'\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}H_{sc}(k)^{-1}(r,r')\frac{e^{-ik(\theta-\theta')}}{2\pi}\right)\left(\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}H_{sc}(\ell)^{-1}(r',r)\frac{e^{-i\ell(\theta'-\theta)}}{2\pi}\right)\right]\\ &= -\frac{rr'}{(2\pi)^2}\operatorname{tr}\left[\sum_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}H_{sc}(k)^{-1}(r,r')H_{sc}(\ell)^{-1}(r',r)e^{-i(k-\ell)(\theta-\theta')}\right]\\ &= -\frac{rr'}{(2\pi)^2}\operatorname{tr}\sum_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}a_{k\ell}e^{-i(k-\ell)(\theta-\theta')}. \end{split}$$

Here we seem to have

$$\begin{split} a_{k\ell} &:= i \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-itH_{sc}(k)}(r,r')dt \, (-i) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{iuH_{sc}(\ell)}(r',r)du \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \int_{0}^{\infty} du \\ &\times \int_{C([0,t] \to \overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}}),R_{1}(0)=r',R_{1}(t)=r} e^{\int_{0}^{t} (m_{f}-V(R_{1}(s))R_{1}(s)ds} d\mu_{t,0}^{k}(R_{1}) \\ &\times \int_{C([0,u] \to \overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}}),R_{2}(0)=r',R_{2}(u)=r} e^{\int_{u}^{0} (m_{f}-V(R_{2}(s))R_{2}(s)ds} d\mu_{0,u}^{\ell}(R_{2}) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \int_{0}^{\infty} du \int_{C([0,t] \to \overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}}),R_{1}(0)=r',R_{1}(t)=r} \int_{C([0,u] \to \overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}}),R_{2}(0)=r',R_{2}(u)=r} \\ &\times e^{\int_{0}^{t} (m_{f}-V(R_{1}(s))R_{1}(s)ds-\int_{0}^{u} (m_{f}-V(R_{2}(s))R_{2}(s)ds} d\mu_{t,0}^{k}(R_{1}) d^{t}\mu_{u,0}^{\ell}(R_{2}), \end{split}$$

where ${}^t\mu_{u,0}^{\ell}$ is the transposed of the 2 × 2-matrix-distribution valued-measure $\mu_{0,u}^{\ell}$. Then the problem is to show in the case a) that

$$\operatorname{tr} \sum_{k,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k\ell} \, e^{-i(k-\ell)(\theta-\theta')} \ge 0.$$

But our the argument is stopped here, and will be discussed elsewhere.

References

- [BR] Battle, G. A. and Rosen, L., The FKG inequality for the Yukawa₂ quantum field theory, J. Stat. Phys., 22, no.2, 123-192 (1980).
- [I1] Ichinose, T., Path integral for the radial Dirac equation, J. Math. Phys. 46, 022103, 19 pages (2005).
- [I2] Ichinose, T., On path integral for the radial Dirac equation, to appear in the Proceedings of the 8-th International Conference "Path Integrals. From Quantum Information to Cosmology", Prague, June 6–10, 2005.