# ON BOUNDED ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ON TWO-SHEETED COVERING SURFACES

小林 保幸 (Yasuyuki Kobayashi) 北海道大学 (Hokkaido University)

In this note, we pose some problems which is related to the algebras of bounded analytic functions on two-sheeted covering surfaces  $(\tilde{R}, R, \pi)$ , where the base domain R is a Zalcman domain (or an L-domain in the terminology of [5]). In [5], L. Zalcman showed some theorems related to the algebra  $H^{\infty}(R)$  of bounded analytic functions on a domain R of infinite connectivity. Especially, the distinguished homomorphism is of our interest. We summarize Zalcman's results in §1.

For the covering surface  $(\tilde{R}, R, \pi)$ , the *point separation problem* was studied in [2] and [3]. We review this problem in §2.

### 1 Zalcman's results

Let  $\Delta$  be the open unit disc and  $\Delta_0 = \{0 < |z| < 1\}$  the punctured unit disc. Let  $\{c_n\}$  and  $\{r_n\}$  be sequences satisfying:

$$\begin{cases} 1 > c_1 > c_2 > \dots > 0 , & \lim_{n \to \infty} c_n = 0 , \\ 1 > r_1 > r_2 > \dots > 0 , & \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = 0 , \\ c_{n+1} + r_{n+1} < c_n - r_n , & c_1 + r_1 < 1 . \end{cases}$$
(1)

These coditions simply say that closed discs  $\{\overline{\Delta}_n\}$  are contained in  $\Delta_0$ , are mutually disjoint, and accumulate only at the origin. Consider the domain

$$R = \Delta_0 \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\Delta}(c_n, r_n) , \qquad (2)$$

which is a simplest example of bounded infinitely connected domains in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . We call a domain R of the form (2) a Zalcman domain.

Each  $f \in H^{\infty}(R)$  has nontangential boundary values at almost every point of  $\Gamma = \partial R$ . And the Cauchy integral formula holds;

$$f(z) = rac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} rac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \ , \quad z \in R \ .$$

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(R)$  be the maximal ideal space of  $H^{\infty}(R)$ , the set of all non-zero multiplicative linear functionals on  $H^{\infty}(R)$ . The topology of  $\mathcal{M}$  is

the weak-\* topology which it inherits from  $H^{\infty}(R)^*$ . With this topology, we can regard the functions in  $H^{\infty}(R)$  as continuous functions on  $\mathcal{M}$  by setting  $f(\varphi) = \varphi(f) \ (\varphi \in \mathcal{M}, f \in H^{\infty}(R))$ . In particular, the coordinate function zcan be regarded as a continuous function on  $\mathcal{M}$ . And we have  $z(\mathcal{M}) = \overline{R}$ . The set  $\mathcal{M}_{\zeta} = z^{-1}(\{\zeta\})$  is called the fiber over  $\zeta \ (\zeta \in \overline{R})$ .

For  $\zeta \in R$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\zeta} = \{\varphi_{\zeta}\}$ , where  $\varphi_{\zeta}$  is the point evaluation homomorphism  $(\varphi_{\zeta}(f) = f(\zeta))$ . And, for  $\zeta \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\zeta}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_1(\Delta)$ . So, we are interested in the fiber  $\mathcal{M}_0$ .

Suppose that the sequeces  $\{c_n\}$  and  $\{r_n\}$  satisfy the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_n}{c_n} < \infty \tag{3}$$

in addition to (1). Then  $d\zeta/\zeta$  is a finite measure on  $\Gamma$ . By Lebesgue's theorem, we have that  $\lim_{x \nearrow 0} f(x)$  exists for all  $f \in H^{\infty}(R)$ . Set  $\varphi_0(f) = \lim_{x \nearrow 0} f(x)$ . Then we have

(i)  $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{M}_0$ ,

(ii)  $\varphi_0$  does not lie in the Shilov boundary of  $H^{\infty}(R)$ ,

(iii)  $\varphi_0$  lies in the same Gleason part as R.

The homomorphism  $\varphi_0$  is called the *distinguished homomorphism*.

#### **2** Covering surfaces

Let  $(\Delta_0, \Delta_0, \pi)$  be the unlimited two-sheeted covering surface whose branch points are those over  $\{c_n\}$  (Fig. 1). In 1949, Myrberg pointed out that  $H^{\infty}(\widetilde{\Delta}_0) = H^{\infty}(\Delta_0) \circ \pi$ . This means that for any point  $z \in \Delta_0 \setminus \{c_n\}$ , the points of the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(z) = \{z_+, z_-\}$  can not be separated by  $H^{\infty}(\widetilde{\Delta}_0)$ .

Myrberg's proof goes as follows. Let  $F \in H^{\infty}(\widetilde{\Delta}_0)$ , and consider the function f on  $\Delta_0$  defined by  $f(z) = (F(z_+) - F(z_-))^2$ . Then  $f \in H^{\infty}(\Delta_0)$  and, by Riemann's theorem,  $f \in H^{\infty}(\Delta)$ . Since  $f(c_n) = 0$  and  $c_n \to 0$ , we have  $f \equiv 0$ .

Restricting the base domain  $\Delta_0$  of the covering surface to R, and setting  $\widetilde{R} = \pi^{-1}(R)$ , we obtain the two-sheeted smooth covering surface  $(\widetilde{R}, R, \pi)$  (Fig. 2). In spite of complete lack of branch points, it is shown in [2] and [3] that non-separating phenomenon may occur for  $(\widetilde{R}, R, \pi)$  depending on  $\{c_n\}$  and  $\{r_n\}$ . Roughly speaking,

(i) if  $r_n \to 0$  "rapidly", then  $H^{\infty}(\widetilde{R}) = H^{\infty}(R) \circ \pi$ ,

(ii) if  $r_n \to 0$  "slowly", then  $H^{\infty}(\widetilde{R}) \supseteq H^{\infty}(R) \circ \pi$ .

(Unfortunately, the necessary and sufficient condition for  $H^{\infty}(\widetilde{R}) = H^{\infty}(R) \circ \pi$  is not known.)



Figure 2:  $(\tilde{R}, R, \pi)$ 

## 3 Problems

The covering surface  $(\widetilde{R}, R, \pi)$  induces the covering space  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is the maximal ideal space of  $H^{\infty}(\widetilde{R})$  and the map  $\tau$  is defined by

 $\tau(\widetilde{\varphi})(f) = \widetilde{\varphi}(f \circ \pi) , \quad \widetilde{\varphi} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}, \ f \in H^{\infty} .$ 

Let  $\iota: R \to \mathcal{M}$  and  $\tilde{\iota}: \widetilde{R} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  be natural maps. Then we have the following

commutative diagram:



By Nakai's theorem ([4]), we see that the map  $\tau$  is surjective and the fiber  $\tau^{-1}(\varphi)$  over any point  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$  consists of at most two points, i.e., the number  $\#(\tau^{-1}(\varphi))$  of points of the fiber  $\tau^{-1}(\varphi)$  is 1 or 2 for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Consider the problem to determine  $\#(\tau^{-1}(\varphi))$ . The following partial answer is trivial.

**Proposition.** (i) If  $H^{\infty}(\widetilde{R}) = H^{\infty}(R) \circ \pi$ , then  $\#(\tau^{-1}(\varphi)) = 1$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ . (ii) If  $H^{\infty}(\widetilde{R}) \supseteq H^{\infty}(R) \circ \pi$ , then  $\#(\tau^{-1}(\varphi_z)) = 2$  for all  $z \in R$ 

Now we pose some problems related to the fiber over the distinguished homomorphism.

**3.1.** Suppose that  $H^{\infty}(\widetilde{R}) \supseteq H^{\infty}(R) \circ \pi$ . Determine  $\#(\tau^{-1}(\varphi_0))$ 

The distinguished homomorphism was defined by  $\varphi_0(f) = \lim_{x \nearrow} f(x)$  for  $f \in H^{\infty}(R)$ . In view of this, the following problem is posed.

**3.2.** Does  $\lim_{x \nearrow 0} F(x_+)$  (or  $\lim_{x \nearrow 0} F(x_-)$ ) exist for all  $F \in H^{\infty}(\widetilde{R})$ ?

Note that  $\lim_{x \nearrow 0} (F(x_+) + F(x_-))$  exists for all  $F \in H^{\infty}(\widetilde{R})$  because  $F(z_+) + F(z_-) \in H^{\infty}(R)$ . Therefore, the existence of one of the limits in the above problem implies the existence of the other.

Set J = [-1/2, 0). Then Zalcman's result can be restated as  $\overline{J} = J \cup \{\varphi_0\}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Related to this statement, the following problem is posed.

**3.3** Let  $\pi^{-1}(J) = J^+ \cup J^-$ .  $(J^+ = \pi^{-1}(J) \cap \Delta_+, J^- = \pi^{-1}(J) \cap \Delta_-$ .) Determine the closures  $\overline{J}^+, \overline{J}^-$  and  $\overline{J^+ \cup J^-}$  in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ .

#### References

- [1] T.W. Gamelin and J. Garnett, Distinguished homomorphisms and fiber algebras, Amer. J. Math. (1970), 455–474.
- [2] M. Hayashi, Y. Kobayashi and M. Nakai, A uniqueness theorem and the Myrberg phenomenon for a Zalcman domain, J. Analyse. Math. 82 (2000), 267-283.

- [3] M. Hayashi and M. Nakai, Point separation by bounded analytic functions of a covering Riemann surface, Pacific J. Math. **134** (1988), 261–273.
- [4] M. Nakai, The corona problem on finitely sheeted covering surfaces, Nagoya Math. J. 92 (1983), 163-173.
- [5] L. Zalcman, Bounded analytic functions on domains of infinite connectivity, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (1969), 241-270.