

INTRODUCTION TO A THEORY OF b -FUNCTIONS

MORIIHIKO SAITO

We give an introduction to a theory of b -functions, i.e. Bernstein-Sato polynomials. After reviewing some facts from D -modules, we introduce b -functions including the one for arbitrary ideals of the structure sheaf. We explain the relation with singularities, multiplier ideals, etc., and calculate the b -functions of monomial ideals and also of hyperplane arrangements in certain cases.

1. D -modules.

1.1. Let X be a complex manifold or a smooth algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} . Let \mathcal{D}_X be the ring of partial differential operators. A local section of \mathcal{D}_X is written as

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\nu \partial_1^{\nu_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\nu_n} \in \mathcal{D}_X \quad \text{with } a_\nu \in \mathcal{O}_X,$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$ with (x_1, \dots, x_n) a local coordinate system.

Let F be the filtration by the order of operators i.e.

$$F_p \mathcal{D}_X = \left\{ \sum_{|\nu| \leq p} a_\nu \partial_1^{\nu_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\nu_n} \right\},$$

where $|\nu| = \sum_i \nu_i$. Let $\xi_i = \text{Gr}_1^F \partial_i \in \text{Gr}_1^F \mathcal{D}_X$. Then

$$(1.1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Gr}^F \mathcal{D}_X &:= \bigoplus_p \text{Gr}_p^F \mathcal{D}_X = \bigoplus_p \text{Sym}^p \Theta_X (= \mathcal{O}_X[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n] \text{ locally}), \\ \text{Spec}_X \text{Gr}^F \mathcal{D}_X &= T^* X. \end{aligned}$$

1.2 **Definition.** We say that a left \mathcal{D}_X -module M is *coherent* if it has locally a finite presentation

$$\bigoplus \mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow \bigoplus \mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

1.3. **Remark.** A left \mathcal{D}_X -module M is coherent if and only if it is quasi-coherent over \mathcal{O}_X and locally finitely generated over \mathcal{D}_X . (It is known that $\text{Gr}^F \mathcal{D}_X$ is a noetherian ring, i.e. an increasing sequence of locally finitely generated $\text{Gr}^F \mathcal{D}_X$ -submodules of a coherent $\text{Gr}^F \mathcal{D}_X$ -module is locally stationary.)

1.4. **Definition.** A filtration F on a left \mathcal{D}_X -module M is *good* if (M, F) is a coherent filtered \mathcal{D}_X -module, i.e. if $F_p \mathcal{D}_X F_q M \subset M_{p+q}$ and $\text{Gr}^F M := \bigoplus_p \text{Gr}_p^F M$ is coherent over $\text{Gr}^F \mathcal{D}_X$.

1.5. **Remark.** A left \mathcal{D}_X -module M is coherent if and only if it has a good filtration locally.

1.6. Characteristic varieties. For a coherent left \mathcal{D}_X -module M , we define the characteristic variety $\text{CV}(M)$ by

$$(1.6.1) \quad \text{CV}(M) = \text{Supp Gr}^F M \subset T^*M,$$

taking locally a good filtration F of M .

1.7. Remark. The above definition is independent of the choice of F . If $M = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{I}$ for a coherent left ideal \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{D}_X , take $P_i \in F_{k_i}\mathcal{I}$ such that the $\rho_i := \text{Gr}_{k_i}^F P_i$ generate $\text{Gr}^F \mathcal{I}$ over $\text{Gr}^F \mathcal{D}_X$. Then $\text{CV}(M)$ is defined by the $\rho_i \in \mathcal{O}_X[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$.

1.8. Theorem (Sato, Kawai, Kashiwara [39], Bernstein [2]). *We have the inequality $\dim \text{CV}(M) \geq \dim X$. (More precisely, $\text{CV}(M)$ is involutive, see [39].)*

1.9. Definition. We say that a left \mathcal{D}_X -module M is *holonomic* if it is coherent and $\dim \text{CV}(M) = \dim X$.

2. De Rham functor.

2.1. Definition. For a left \mathcal{D}_X -module M , we define the de Rham functor $\text{DR}(M)$ by

$$(2.1.1) \quad M \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega_X^{\dim X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M,$$

where the last term is put at the degree 0. In the algebraic case, we use analytic sheaves or replace M with the associated analytic sheaf $M^{\text{an}} := M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{an}}}$ in case M is algebraic (i.e. M is an \mathcal{O}_X -module with \mathcal{O}_X algebraic).

2.2. Perverse sheaves. Let $D_c^b(X, \mathbf{C})$ be the derived category of bounded complexes of \mathbf{C}_X -modules K with $\mathcal{H}^j K$ constructible. (In the algebraic case we use analytic topology for the sheaves although we use Zariski topology for constructibility.) Then the category of perverse sheaves $\text{Perv}(X, \mathbf{C})$ is a full subcategory of $D_c^b(X, \mathbf{C})$ consisting of K such that

$$(2.2.1) \quad \dim \text{Supp } \mathcal{H}^{-j} K \leq j, \quad \dim \text{Supp } \mathcal{H}^{-j} \mathbf{D}K \leq j,$$

where $\mathbf{D}K := \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(K, \mathbf{C}[2 \dim X])$ is the dual of K , and $\mathcal{H}^j K$ is the j -th cohomology sheaf of K .

2.3. Theorem (Beilinson, Bernstein, Deligne [1]). *$\text{Perv}(X, \mathbf{C})$ is an abelian category.*

2.4. Theorem (Kashiwara). *If M is holonomic, then $\text{DR}(M)$ is a perverse sheaf.*

Outline of proof. By Kashiwara [19], we have $\text{DR}(M) \in D_c^b(X, \mathbf{C})$, and the first condition of (2.2.1) is verified. Then the assertion follows from the commutativity of the dual \mathbf{D} and the de Rham functor DR .

2.5. Example. $\text{DR}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbf{C}_X[\dim X]$.

2.6. Direct images. For a closed immersion $i : X \rightarrow Y$ such that X is defined by $x_i = 0$ in Y for $1 \leq i \leq r$, define the direct image of left \mathcal{D}_X -modules M by

$$i_+ M := M[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_r].$$

INTRODUCTION TO A THEORY OF b -FUNCTIONS

(Globally there is a twist by a line bundle.) For a projection $p : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$, define

$$p_+ M = \mathbf{R}p_* \mathrm{DR}_X(M).$$

In general, $f_+ = p_+ i_+$ using $f = pi$ with i graph embedding. See [4] for details.

2.7. Regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules. Let M be a holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module with support Z , and U be a Zariski-open of Z such that $\mathrm{DR}(M)|_U$ is a local system up to a shift. Then M is *regular* if and only if there exists locally a divisor D on X containing $Z \setminus U$ and such that $M(*D)$ is the direct image of a regular holonomic \mathcal{D} -module ‘of Deligne-type’ (see [11]) on a desingularization of $(Z, Z \cap D)$, and $\mathrm{Ker}(M \rightarrow M(*D))$ is regular holonomic (by induction on $\dim \mathrm{Supp} M$).

Note that the category $M_{rh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ of regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules is stable by subquotients and extensions in the category $M_h(\mathcal{D}_X)$ of holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules.

2.8. Theorem (Kashiwara-Kawai [24], [22], Mebkhout [28]).

- (i) The structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X is regular holonomic.
- (ii) The functor DR induces an equivalence of categories

$$(2.8.1) \quad \mathrm{DR} : M_{rh}(\mathcal{D}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Perv}(X, \mathbf{C}).$$

(See [4] for the algebraic case.)

3. b -Functions.

3.1. Definition. Let f be a holomorphic function on X , or $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ in the algebraic case. Then we have

$$\mathcal{D}_X[s]f^s \subset \mathcal{O}_X[\frac{1}{f}][s]f^s \quad \text{where } \partial_i f^s = s(\partial_i f)f^{s-1},$$

and $b_f(s)$ is the monic polynomial of the least degree satisfying

$$b_f(s)f^s = P(x, \partial, s)f^{s+1} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}_X[\frac{1}{f}][s]f^s,$$

with $P(x, \partial, s) \in \mathcal{D}_X[s]$. Locally, it is the minimal polynomial of the action of s on

$$\mathcal{D}_X[s]f^s / \mathcal{D}_X[s]f^{s+1}.$$

We define $b_{f,x}(s)$ replacing \mathcal{D}_X with $\mathcal{D}_{X,x}$.

3.2. Theorem (Sato [38], Bernstein [2], Bjork [3]). *The b -function exists at least locally, and exists globally in the case X affine variety with f algebraic.*

3.3. Observation. Let $i_f : X \rightarrow \tilde{X} := X \times \mathbf{C}$ be the graph embedding. Then there are canonical isomorphisms

$$(3.3.1) \quad \tilde{M} := i_{f+} \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X[\partial_t] \delta(f-t) = \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbf{C}}[\frac{1}{f-t}] / \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbf{C}},$$

where the action of ∂_i on $\delta(f-t)$ ($= \frac{1}{f-t}$) is given by

$$(3.3.2) \quad \partial_i \delta(f-t) = -(\partial_i f) \partial_t \delta(f-t).$$

Moreover, f^s is canonically identified with $\delta(f-t)$ setting $s = -\partial_t t$, and we have a canonical isomorphism as $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$ -modules

$$(3.3.3) \quad \mathcal{D}_X[s]f^s = \mathcal{D}_X[s]\delta(f-t).$$

3.4. V-filtration. We say that V is a filtration of Kashiwara-Malgrange if V is exhaustive, separated, and satisfies for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}$:

- (i) $V^\alpha \widetilde{M}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$ -submodule of \widetilde{M} .
- (ii) $tV^\alpha \widetilde{M} \subset V^{\alpha+1} \widetilde{M}$ and $=$ holds for $\alpha \gg 0$.
- (iii) $\partial_t V^\alpha \widetilde{M} \subset V^{\alpha-1} \widetilde{M}$.
- (iv) $\partial_t t - \alpha$ is nilpotent on $\text{Gr}_V^\alpha \widetilde{M}$.

If it exists, it is unique.

3.5. Relation with the b -function. If X is affine or Stein and relatively compact, then the multiplicity of a root α of $b_f(s)$ is given by the minimal polynomial of $s - \alpha$ on

$$(3.5.1) \quad \text{Gr}_V^\alpha(\mathcal{D}_X[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}_X[s]f^{s+1}),$$

using $\mathcal{D}_X[s]f^s = \mathcal{D}_X[s]\delta(f-t)$ with $s = -\partial_t t$.

Note that $V^\alpha \widetilde{M}$ and $\mathcal{D}_X[s]f^{s+i}$ are ‘lattices’ of \widetilde{M} , i.e.

$$(3.5.2) \quad V^\alpha \widetilde{M} \subset \mathcal{D}_X[s]f^{s+i} \subset V^\beta \widetilde{M} \quad \text{for } \alpha \gg i \gg \beta,$$

and $V^\alpha \widetilde{M}$ is an analogue of the Deligne extension with eigenvalues in $[\alpha, \alpha + 1)$. The existence of V is equivalent to the existence of $b_f(s)$ locally.

3.6. Theorem (Kashiwara [21], [23], Malgrange [27]). *The filtration V exists on $\widetilde{M} := i_{f+}M$ for any holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module M .*

3.7. Remarks. (i) There are many ways to prove this theorem, since it is essentially equivalent to the existence of the b -function (in a generalized sense). One way is to use a resolution of singularities and reduce to the case where $\text{CV}(M)$ has normal crossings, if M is regular.

(ii) The filtration V is indexed by \mathbf{Q} if M is quasi-unipotent.

3.8. Relation with vanishing cycle functors. Let $\rho : X_t \rightarrow X_0$ be a ‘good’ retraction (using a resolution of singularities of (X, X_0)), where $X_t = f^{-1}(t)$ with $t \neq 0$ sufficiently near 0. Then we have canonical isomorphisms

$$(3.8.1) \quad \psi_f \mathbf{C}_X = \mathbf{R}\rho_* \mathbf{C}_{X_t}, \quad \varphi_f \mathbf{C}_X = \psi_f \mathbf{C}_X / \mathbf{C}_{X_0},$$

where $\psi_f \mathbf{C}_X, \varphi_f \mathbf{C}_X$ are nearby and vanishing cycle sheaves, see [13].

Let F_x denote the Milnor fiber around $x \in X_0$. Then

$$(3.8.2) \quad (\mathcal{H}^j \psi_f \mathbf{C}_X)_x = H^j(F_x, \mathbf{C}), \quad (\mathcal{H}^j \varphi_f \mathbf{C}_X)_x = \widetilde{H}^j(F_x, \mathbf{C}).$$

For a \mathcal{D}_X -module M admitting the V-filtration on $\widetilde{M} = i_{*+}M$, we define \mathcal{D}_X -modules

$$(3.8.3) \quad \psi_f M = \bigoplus_{0 < \alpha \leq 1} \text{Gr}_V^\alpha \widetilde{M}, \quad \varphi_f M = \bigoplus_{0 \leq \alpha < 1} \text{Gr}_V^\alpha \widetilde{M}.$$

3.9. Theorem (Kashiwara [23], Malgrange [27]). *For a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module M , we have canonical isomorphisms*

$$(3.9.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{DR}_X \psi_f(M) &= \psi_f \text{DR}_X(M)[-1], \\ \text{DR}_X \varphi_f(M) &= \varphi_f \text{DR}_X(M)[-1], \end{aligned}$$

and $\exp(-2\pi i \partial_t t)$ on the left-hand side corresponds to the monodromy T on the right-hand side.

3.10. Definition. Let

$$R_f = \{\text{roots of } b_f(-s)\},$$

$$\alpha_f = \min R_f,$$

m_α : the multiplicity of $\alpha \in R_f$.

(Similarly for $R_{f,x}$, etc. for $b_{f,x}(s)$.)

3.11. Theorem (Kashiwara [20]). $R_f \subset \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$.

(This is proved by using a resolution of singularities.)

3.12. Theorem (Kashiwara [23], Malgrange [27]).

(i) $e^{-2\pi i R_f} = \{\text{the eigenvalues of } T \text{ on } H^j(F_x, \mathbf{C}) \text{ for } x \in X_0, j \in \mathbf{Z}\},$

(ii) $m_\alpha \leq \min\{i \mid N^i \psi_{f,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_X = 0\}$ with $\lambda = e^{-2\pi i \alpha}$,

where $\psi_{f,\lambda} = \text{Ker}(T_s - \lambda) \subset \psi_f$, $N = \log T_u$ with $T = T_s T_u$.

(This is a corollary of the above Theorem (3.9) of Kashiwara and Malgrange.)

4. Relation with other invariants.

4.1. Microlocal b -function. We define $\tilde{R}_f, \tilde{m}_\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}_f$ with $b_f(s)$ replaced by the *microlocal* (or reduced) b -function

$$(4.1.1) \quad \tilde{b}_f(s) := b_f(s)/(s+1).$$

This $\tilde{b}_f(s)$ coincides with the monic polynomial of the least degree satisfying

$$(4.1.2) \quad \tilde{b}_f(s) \delta(f-t) = \tilde{P} \partial_t^{-1} \delta(f-t) \quad \text{with } \tilde{P} \in \mathcal{D}_X[s, \partial_t^{-1}].$$

Put $n = \dim X$. Then

4.2. Theorem. $\tilde{R}_f \subset [\tilde{\alpha}_f, n - \tilde{\alpha}_f]$, $\tilde{m}_\alpha \leq n - \tilde{\alpha}_f - \alpha + 1$.

(The proof uses the filtered duality for φ_f , see [35].)

4.3. Spectrum. We define the spectrum by $\text{Sp}(f, x) = \sum_\alpha n_\alpha t^\alpha$ with

$$(4.3.1) \quad n_\alpha := \sum_j (-1)^{j-n+1} \dim \text{Gr}_F^p \tilde{H}^j(F_x, \mathbf{C})_\lambda,$$

where $p = [n - \alpha]$, $\lambda = e^{-2\pi i \alpha}$, and F is the Hodge filtration (see [12]) of the mixed Hodge structure on the Milnor cohomology, see [44]. We define

$$(4.3.2) \quad E_f = \{\alpha \mid n_\alpha \neq 0\} \quad (\text{called the exponents}).$$

4.4. Remarks. (i) If f has an isolated singularity at the origin, then $\tilde{\alpha}_{f,x}$ coincides with the minimal exponent as a corollary of results of Malgrange [26], Varchenko [45], Scherk-Steenbrink [41].

(ii) If f is weighted-homogeneous with an isolated singularity at the origin, then by Kashiwara (unpublished)

$$(4.4.1) \quad \tilde{R}_f = E_f, \quad \max \tilde{R}_f = n - \tilde{\alpha}_f, \quad \tilde{m}_\alpha = 1 \quad (\alpha \in \tilde{R}_f).$$

If $f = \sum_i x_i^2$, then $\tilde{\alpha}_f = n/2$ and this follows from the above Theorem (4.2).

By Steenbrink [42], we have moreover

$$(4.4.2) \quad \text{Sp}(f, x) = \prod_i (t - t^{w_i}) / (t^{w_i} - 1),$$

where (w_1, \dots, w_n) is the weights of f , i.e. f is a linear combination of monomials $x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n}$ with $\sum_i w_i m_i = 1$.

4.5. Malgrange's formula (isolated singularities case). We have the Brieskorn lattice [5] and its saturation defined by

$$(4.5.1) \quad H_f'' = \Omega_{X,x}^n / df \wedge d\Omega_{X,x}^{n-2}, \quad \tilde{H}_f'' = \sum_{i \geq 0} (t\partial_t)^i H_f'' \subset H_f''[t^{-1}].$$

These are finite $\mathbf{C}\{t\}$ -modules with a regular singular connection.

4.6. Theorem (Malgrange [26]). *The reduced b -function $\tilde{b}_f(s)$ coincides with the minimal polynomial of $-\partial_t$ on $\tilde{H}_f''/t\tilde{H}_f''$.*

(The above formula of Kashiwara on b -function (4.4.1) can be proved by using this together with Brieskorn's calculation.)

4.7. Asymptotic Hodge structure (Varchenko [45], Scherk-Steenbrink [41]). *In the isolated singularity case we have*

$$(4.7.1) \quad F^p H^{n-1}(F_x, \mathbf{C})_\lambda = \text{Gr}_V^\alpha H_f'',$$

using the canonical isomorphism

$$(4.7.2) \quad H^{n-1}(F_x, \mathbf{C})_\lambda = \text{Gr}_V^\alpha H_f''[t^{-1}],$$

where $p = [n - \alpha]$, $\lambda = e^{-2\pi i \alpha}$, and V on $H_f''[t^{-1}]$ is the filtration of Kashiwara and Malgrange.

(This can be generalized to the non-isolated singularity case using mixed Hodge modules.)

4.8. Reformulation of Malgrange's formula. We define

$$(4.8.1) \quad \tilde{F}^p H^{n-1}(F_x, \mathbf{C})_\lambda = \text{Gr}_V^\alpha \tilde{H}_f'',$$

using the canonical isomorphism (4.7.2), where $p = [n - \alpha]$, $\lambda = e^{-2\pi i \alpha}$. Then

$$(4.8.2) \quad \tilde{m}_\alpha = \text{the minimal polynomial of } N \text{ on } \text{Gr}_{\tilde{F}}^p H^{n-1}(F_x, \mathbf{C})_\lambda.$$

4.9. Remark. If f is weighted homogeneous with an isolated singularity, then

$$(4.9.1) \quad \tilde{F} = F, \quad \tilde{R}_f = E_f \text{ (by Kashiwara).}$$

If f is not weighted homogeneous (but with isolated singularities), then

$$(4.9.2) \quad \tilde{R}_f \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} (E_f - k), \quad \tilde{\alpha}_f = \min \tilde{R}_f = \min E_f.$$

4.10. Example. If $f = x^5 + y^4 + x^3y^2$, then

$$E_f = \left\{ \frac{i}{5} + \frac{j}{4} : 1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq j \leq 3 \right\}, \quad \tilde{R}_f = E_f \cup \left\{ \frac{11}{20} \right\} \setminus \left\{ \frac{31}{20} \right\}.$$

More generally, if $f = g + h$ with g weighted homogeneous and h is a linear combination of monomials of higher degrees, then $E_f = E_g$ but $\tilde{R}_f \neq \tilde{R}_g$ if f is a non trivial deformation.

4.11. Relation with rational singularities [34]. Assume $D := f^{-1}(0)$ is reduced. Then D has rational singularities if and only if $\tilde{\alpha}_f > 1$. Moreover, $\omega_D/\rho_*\omega_{\tilde{D}} \simeq F_{1-n}\varphi_f\mathcal{O}_X$, where $\rho: \tilde{D} \rightarrow D$ is a resolution of singularities.

In the isolated singularities case, this was proved in 1981 (see [31]) using the coincidence of $\tilde{\alpha}_f$ and the minimal exponent.

4.12. Relation with the pole order filtration [34]. Let P be the pole order filtration on $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$, i.e. $P_i = \mathcal{O}_X((i+1)D)$ if $i \geq 0$, and $P_i = 0$ if $i < 0$. Let F be the Hodge filtration on $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$. Then $F_i \subset P_i$ in general, and $F_i = P_i$ on a neighborhood of x for $i \leq \tilde{\alpha}_{f,x} - 1$.

(For the proof we need the theory of microlocal b -functions [35].)

4.13. Remark. In case $X = \mathbf{P}^n$, replacing $\tilde{\alpha}_{f,x}$ with $[(n-r)/d]$ where $r = \dim \text{Sing } D$ and $d = \deg D$, the assertion was obtained by Deligne (unpublished).

5. Relation with multiplier ideals.

5.1. Multiplier ideals. Let $D = f^{-1}(0)$, and $\mathcal{J}(X, \alpha D)$ be the multiplier ideals for $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}$, i.e.

$$(5.1.1) \quad \mathcal{J}(X, \alpha D) = \rho_*\omega_{\tilde{X}/X}(-\sum_i [\alpha m_i] \tilde{D}_i),$$

where $\rho: (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \rightarrow (X, D)$ is an embedded resolution and $\tilde{D} = \sum_i m_i \tilde{D}_i := \rho^*D$. There exist jumping numbers $0 < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots$ such that

$$(5.1.2) \quad \mathcal{J}(X, \alpha_j D) = \mathcal{J}(X, \alpha D) \neq \mathcal{J}(X, \alpha_{j+1} D) \quad \text{for } \alpha_j \leq \alpha < \alpha_{j+1}.$$

Let V denote also the induced filtration on

$$\mathcal{O}_X \subset \mathcal{O}_X[\partial_t]\delta(f-t).$$

5.2. Theorem (Budur, S. [10]). If α is not a jumping number,

$$(5.2.1) \quad \mathcal{J}(X, \alpha D) = V^\alpha \mathcal{O}_X.$$

For α general we have for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$

$$(5.2.2) \quad \mathcal{J}(X, \alpha D) = V^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \mathcal{O}_X, \quad V^\alpha \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{J}(X, (\alpha - \varepsilon)D).$$

Note that V is left-continuous and $\mathcal{J}(X, \alpha D)$ is right-continuous, i.e.

$$(5.2.3) \quad V^\alpha \mathcal{O}_X = V^{\alpha-\varepsilon} \mathcal{O}_X, \quad \mathcal{J}(X, \alpha D) = \mathcal{J}(X, (\alpha + \varepsilon)D).$$

The proof of (5.2) uses the theory of bifiltered direct images [32], [33] to reduce the assertion to the normal crossing case.

As a corollary we get another proof of the results of Ein, Lazarsfeld, Smith and Varolin [16], and of Lichtin, Yano and Kollár [25]:

5.3. Corollary.

- (i) $\{\text{Jumping numbers} \leq 1\} \subset R_f$, see [16].
(ii) $\alpha_f = \text{minimal jumping number}$, see [25].

Define $\alpha'_{f,x} = \min_{y \neq x} \{\alpha_{f,y}\}$. Then

5.4. Theorem. *If $\xi f = f$ for a vector field ξ , then*

$$(5.4.1) \quad R_f \cap (0, \alpha'_{f,x}) = \{\text{Jumping numbers}\} \cap (0, \alpha'_{f,x}).$$

(This does not hold without the assumption on ξ nor for $[\alpha'_{f,x}, 1)$.)

For the constantness of the jumping numbers under a topologically trivial deformation of divisors, see [14].

6. b -Functions for any subvarieties.

6.1. Let Z be a closed subvariety of a smooth X , and $f = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ be generators of the ideal of Z (which is not necessarily reduced nor irreducible). Define the action of t_j on

$$\mathcal{O}_X \left[\frac{1}{f_1 \cdots f_r} \right] [s_1, \dots, s_r] \prod_i f_i^{s_i},$$

by $t_j(s_i) = s_i + 1$ if $i = j$, and $t_j(s_i) = s_i$ otherwise. Put $s_{i,j} := s_i t_i^{-1} t_j$, $s = \sum_i s_i$. Then $b_f(s)$ is the monic polynomial of the least degree satisfying

$$(6.1.1) \quad b_f(s) \prod_i f_i^{s_i} = \sum_{k=1}^r P_k t_k \prod_i f_i^{s_i},$$

where P_k belong to the ring generated by \mathcal{D}_X and $s_{i,j}$.

Here we can replace $\prod_i f_i^{s_i}$ with $\prod_i \delta(t_i - f_i)$, using the direct image by the graph of $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^r$. Then the existence of $b_f(s)$ follows from the theory of the V -filtration of Kashiwara and Malgrange. This b -function has appeared in work of Sabbah [30] and Gyoja [18] for the study of b -functions of several variables.

6.2. Theorem (Budur, Mustața, S. [8]). *Let $c = \text{codim}_X Z$. Then $b_Z(s) := b_f(s - c)$ depends only on Z and is independent of the choice of $f = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ and also of r .*

6.3. Equivalent definition. The b -function $b_f(s)$ coincides with the monic polynomial of the least degree satisfying

$$(6.3.1) \quad b_f(s) \prod_i f_i^{s_i} \in \sum_{|c|=1} \mathcal{D}_X[s] \prod_{c_i < 0} \binom{s_i}{-c_i} \prod_i f_i^{s_i + c_i},$$

where $c = (c_1, \dots, c_r) \in \mathbf{Z}^r$ with $|c| := \sum_i c_i = 1$. Here $\mathcal{D}_X[s] = \mathcal{D}_X[s_1, \dots, s_r]$.

This is due to Mustața, and is used in the monomial ideal case. Note that the well-definedness does not hold without the term $\prod_{c_i < 0} \binom{s_i}{-c_i}$.

We have the induced filtration V by

$$\mathcal{O}_X \subset i_{f+} \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X [\partial_1, \dots, \partial_r] \prod_i \delta(t_i - f_i).$$

6.4. Theorem (Budur, Mustața, S. [8]). *If α is not a jumping number,*

$$(6.4.1) \quad \mathcal{J}(X, \alpha Z) = V^\alpha \mathcal{O}_X.$$

INTRODUCTION TO A THEORY OF b -FUNCTIONS

For α general we have for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$

$$(6.4.2) \quad \mathcal{J}(X, \alpha Z) = V^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \mathcal{O}_X, \quad V^\alpha \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{J}(X, (\alpha - \varepsilon)Z).$$

6.5. Corollary (Budur, Mustața, S. [8]). *We have the inclusion*

$$(6.5.1) \quad \{\text{Jumping numbers}\} \cap [\alpha_f, \alpha_f + 1) \subset R_f.$$

6.6. Theorem (Budur, Mustața, S. [8]). *If Z is reduced and is a local complete intersection, then Z has only rational singularities if and only if $\alpha_f = r$ with multiplicity 1.*

7. Monomial ideal case.

7.1. Definition. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathbf{C}[x] := \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ a monomial ideal. We have the associated semigroup defined by

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \{u \in \mathbf{N}^n \mid x^u \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

Let $P_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be the convex hull of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ in $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}^n$. For a face Q of $P_{\mathfrak{a}}$, define

M_Q : the subsemigroup of \mathbf{Z}^n generated by $u - v$ with $u \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}$, $v \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \cap Q$.

$M'_Q = v_0 + M_Q$ for $v_0 \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \cap Q$ (this is independent of v_0).

For a face Q of $P_{\mathfrak{a}}$ not contained in any coordinate hyperplane, take a linear function with rational coefficients $L_Q : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ whose restriction to Q is 1. Let

V_Q : the linear subspace generated by Q .

$e = (1, \dots, 1)$.

$R_Q = \{L_Q(u) \mid u \in (e + (M_Q \setminus M'_Q)) \cap V_Q\}$,

$R_{\mathfrak{a}} = \{\text{roots of } b_{\mathfrak{a}}(-s)\}$.

7.2. Theorem (Budur, Mustața, S. [9]). *We have $R_{\mathfrak{a}} = \bigcup_Q R_Q$ with Q faces of $P_{\mathfrak{a}}$ not contained in any coordinate hyperplanes.*

Outline of the proof. Let $f_j = \prod_i x_i^{a_{i,j}}$, $l_i(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_j a_{i,j} s_j$. Define

$$g_c(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{c_i < 0} \binom{s_i}{-c_i} \prod_{l_i(c) > 0} \binom{l_i(\mathbf{s}) + l_i(c)}{l_i(c)}.$$

Let $I_{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{s}]$ be the ideal generated by $g_c(\mathbf{s})$ with $c \in \mathbf{Z}^r$, $\sum_i c_i = 1$. Then

7.3. Proposition (Mustața). *The b -function $b_{\mathfrak{a}}[s]$ of the monomial ideal \mathfrak{a} is the monic generator of $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{s}] \cap I_{\mathfrak{a}}$, where $s = \sum_i s_i$.*

Using this, Theorem (7.2) follows from elementary computations.

7.4. Case $n = 2$. Here it is enough to consider only 1-dimensional Q by (7.2). Let Q be a compact face of $P_{\mathfrak{a}}$ with $\{v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}\} = \partial Q$, where $v^{(i)} = (v_1^{(i)}, v_2^{(i)})$ with $v_1^{(1)} < v_1^{(2)}$, $v_2^{(1)} > v_2^{(2)}$. Let

G : the subgroup generated by $u - v$ with $u, v \in Q \cap \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}$.

$v^{(3)} \in Q \cap \mathbf{N}^2$ such that $v^{(3)} - v^{(1)}$ generates G .

$S_Q = \{(i, j) \in \mathbf{N}^2 \mid i < v_1^{(3)}, j < v_2^{(1)}\}$.

MORIHICO SAITO

$$S_Q^{[1]} = S \cap M'_Q, \quad S_Q^{[0]} = S_Q \setminus S_Q^{[1]}.$$

Then

$$R_Q = \{L_Q(u + e) - k \mid u \in S_Q^{[k]} (k = 0, 1)\}.$$

In the case $Q \subset \{x = m\}$, we have $R_Q = \{i/m \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$.**7.5. Examples.** (i) If $\mathbf{a} = (x^a y, xy^b)$, with $a, b \geq 2$, then

$$R_{\mathbf{a}} = \left\{ \frac{(b-1)i + (a-1)j}{ab-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq a, 1 \leq j \leq b \right\}.$$

(ii) If $\mathbf{a} = (xy^5, x^3y^2, x^5y)$, then $S_Q^{[1]} = \emptyset$ and

$$R_{\mathbf{a}} = \left\{ \frac{5}{13}, \frac{i}{13} (7 \leq i \leq 17), \frac{19}{13}, \frac{j}{6} (3 \leq j \leq 9) \right\}.$$

(iii) If $\mathbf{a} = (xy^5, x^3y^2, x^4y)$, then $S_Q^{[1]} = \{(2, 4)\}$ for $\partial Q = \{(1, 5), (3, 2)\}$ with $L_Q(v_1, v_2) = (3v_1 + 2v_2)/13$, and

$$R_{\mathbf{a}} = \left\{ \frac{i}{13} (5 \leq i \leq 17), \frac{j}{5} (2 \leq j \leq 6) \right\}.$$

Here $19/13$ is shifted to $6/13$.**7.6. Comparison with exponents.** If $n = 2$ and f has a nondegenerate Newton polygon with compact faces Q , then by Steenbrink [43]

$$E_f \cap (0, 1] = \bigcup_Q E_Q^{\leq 1} \quad \text{with} \quad E_Q^{\leq 1} = \{L_Q(u) \mid u \in \overline{\{0\} \cup Q} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{>0}^2\},$$

where $\overline{\{0\} \cup Q}$ is the convex hull of $\{0\} \cup Q$. Here we have the symmetry of E_f with center 1.**7.7. Another comparison.** If $\mathbf{a} = (x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_n^{a_n})$, then

$$R_{\mathbf{a}} = \left\{ \sum_i p_i / a_i \mid 1 \leq p_i \leq a_i \right\}.$$

On the other hand, if $f = \sum_i x_i^{a_i}$, then

$$\tilde{R}_f = E_f = \left\{ \sum_i p_i / a_i \mid 1 \leq p_i \leq a_i - 1 \right\}.$$

8. Hyperplane arrangements.

8.1. Let D be a central hyperplane arrangement in $X = \mathbf{C}^n$. Here, central means an affine cone of $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. Let f be the reduced equation of D and $d := \deg f > n$. Assume D is not the pull-back of $D' \subset \mathbf{C}^{n'}$ ($n' < n$).

8.2. Theorem. (i) $\max R_f < 2 - \frac{1}{d}$. (ii) $m_1 = n$.

Proof of (i) uses a partial generalization of a solution of Aomoto's conjecture due to Esnault, Schechtman, Viehweg, Terao, Varchenko ([17], [40]) together with a generalization of Malgrange's formula (4.8) as below:

8.3. Theorem (Generalization of Malgrange's formula) [36]. *There exists a pole order filtration P on $H^{n-1}(F_0, \mathbf{C})_\lambda$ such that if $(\alpha + \mathbf{N}) \cap R'_f = \emptyset$, then*

$$(8.3.1) \quad \alpha \in R_f \Leftrightarrow \text{Gr}_P^p H^{n-1}(F_0, \mathbf{C})_\lambda \neq 0,$$

with $p = [n - \alpha]$, $\lambda = e^{-2\pi i \alpha}$, where $R'_f = \cup_{x \neq 0} R_{f,x}$.

This reduces the proof of (8.2)(i) to

$$(8.3.2) \quad P^i H^{n-1}(F_0, \mathbf{C})_\lambda = H^{n-1}(F_0, \mathbf{C})_\lambda,$$

for $i = n - 1$ if $\lambda = 1$ or $e^{2\pi i/d}$, and $i = n - 2$ otherwise.

8.4. Construction of the pole order filtration P . Let $U = \mathbf{P}^{n-1} \setminus Z$, and $F_0 = f^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbf{C}^n$. Then $F_0 = \tilde{U}$ with $\pi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ a d -fold covering ramified over Z . Let $L^{(k)}$ be the local systems of rank 1 on U such that $\pi_* \mathbf{C} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq k < d} L^{(k)}$ and T acts on $L^{(k)}$ by $e^{-2\pi i k/d}$. Then

$$(8.4.1) \quad H^j(U, L^{(k)}) = H^j(F_0, \mathbf{C})_{e^{-k/d}},$$

and P is induced by the pole order filtration on the meromorphic extension $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ of $L^{(k)} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{O}_U$ over \mathbf{P}^{n-1} , see [15], [36], [37]. This is closely related to:

8.5. Solution of Aomoto's conjecture ([17], [40]). Let Z_i be the irreducible components of Z ($1 \leq i \leq d$), g_i be the defining equation of Z_i on $\mathbf{P}^{n-1} \setminus Z_d$ ($i < d$), and $\omega := \sum_{i < d} \alpha_i \omega_i$ with $\omega_i = dg_i/g_i$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{C}$. Let ∇ be the connection on \mathcal{O}_U such that $\nabla u = du + \omega \wedge u$. Set $\alpha_d = -\sum_{i < d} \alpha_i$. Then $H_{\text{DR}}^\bullet(U, (\mathcal{O}_U, \nabla))$ is calculated by

$$(\mathcal{A}_\alpha^\bullet, \omega \wedge) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p = \sum \mathbf{C} \omega_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{i_p},$$

if $\sum_{Z_i \supset L} \alpha_i \notin \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}$ for any dense edge $L \subset Z$ (see (8.7) below). Here an edge is an intersection of Z_i .

For the proof of (8.2)(ii) we have

8.6. Proposition. $N^{n-1} \psi_{f,\lambda} \mathbf{C} \neq 0$ if $\text{Gr}_{2n-2}^W H^{n-1}(F_x, \mathbf{C})_\lambda \neq 0$.

(Indeed, $N^{n-1} : \text{Gr}_{2n-2}^W \psi_{f,\lambda} \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr}_0^W \psi_{f,\lambda} \mathbf{C}$ by the definition of W , and the assumption of (8.6) implies $\text{Gr}_{2n-2}^W \psi_{f,\lambda} \mathbf{C} \neq 0$.)

Then we get (8.2)(ii), since $\omega_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{i_{n-1}} \neq 0$ in $\text{Gr}_{2n-2}^W H^{n-1}(\mathbf{P}^{n-1} \setminus Z, \mathbf{C}) = \text{Gr}_{2n-2}^W H^{n-1}(F_x, \mathbf{C})_1$.

8.7. Dense edges. Let $D = \cup_i D_i$ be the irreducible decomposition. Then $L = \cap_{i \in I} D_i$ is called an edge of D ($I \neq \emptyset$),

We say that an edge L is *dense* if $\{D_i/L \mid D_i \supset L\}$ is indecomposable. Here $\mathbf{C}^n \supset D$ is called decomposable if $\mathbf{C}^n = \mathbf{C}^{n'} \times \mathbf{C}^{n''}$ such that D is the union of the pull-backs from $\mathbf{C}^{n'}$, $\mathbf{C}^{n''}$ with $n', n'' \neq 0$.

Set $m_L = \#\{D_i \mid D_i \supset L\}$. For $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, define

$$\mathcal{DE}(D) = \{\text{dense edges of } D\}, \quad \mathcal{DE}(D, \lambda) = \{L \in \mathcal{DE}(D) \mid \lambda^{m_L} = 1\}.$$

We say that L, L' are *strongly adjacent* if $L \subset L'$ or $L \supset L'$ or $L \cap L'$ is non-dense. Let

$$m(\lambda) = \max\{|S| \mid S \subset \mathcal{DE}(D, \lambda) \text{ such that} \\ \text{any } L, L' \in S \text{ are strongly adjacent}\}.$$

8.8. Theorem [37]. $m_\alpha \leq m(\lambda)$ with $\lambda = e^{-2\pi i \alpha}$.

8.9. Corollary. $R_f \subset \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{DE}(D)} \mathbf{Z}m_L^{-1}$.

8.10. Corollary. If $\text{GCD}(m_L, m_{L'}) = 1$ for any strongly adjacent $L, L' \in \mathcal{DE}(D)$, then $m_\alpha = 1$ for any $\alpha \in R_f \setminus \mathbf{Z}$.

Theorem 2 follows from the canonical resolution of singularities $\pi : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, D)$ due to [40], which is obtained by blowing up along the proper transforms of the dense edges. Indeed, $\text{mult}_{\tilde{D}(\lambda)_{\text{red}}} \tilde{D}(\lambda) \leq m(\lambda)$, where $\tilde{D}(\lambda)$ is the union of \tilde{D}_i such that $\lambda^{\tilde{m}_i} = 1$ and $\tilde{m}_i = \text{mult}_{\tilde{D}_i} \tilde{D}$.

8.11. Theorem (Mustaa [29]). For a central arrangement,

$$(8.11.1) \quad \mathcal{J}(X, \alpha D) = I_0^k \text{ with } k = [d\alpha] - n + 1 \text{ if } \alpha < \alpha'_f,$$

where I_0 is the ideal of 0 and $\alpha'_f = \min_{x \neq 0} \{\alpha_{f,x}\}$.

(This holds for the affine cone of any divisor on \mathbf{P}^{n-1} , see [36].)

8.12. Corollary. We have $\dim F^{n-1}H^{n-1}(F_0, \mathbf{C})_{e(-k/d)} = \binom{k-1}{n-1}$ for $0 < \frac{k}{d} < \alpha'_f$, and the same holds with F replaced by P .

8.13. Corollary. $\alpha_f = \min(\alpha'_f, \frac{n}{d}) < 1$.

(Note that α_f coincides with the minimal jumping number.)

8.14. Generic case. If D is a generic central hyperplane arrangement, then

$$(8.14.1) \quad b_f(s) = (s+1)^{n-1} \prod_{j=n}^{2d-2} (s + \frac{j}{d})$$

by U. Walther [46] (except for the multiplicity of -1). He uses a completely different method.

Note that Theorems (8.2) and (8.8) imply that the left-hand side divides the right-hand side of (8.14.1), and the equality follows using also (8.12).

8.15. Explicit calculation. Let $\alpha = k/d$, $\lambda = e^{-2\pi i \alpha}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. If $\alpha \geq \alpha'_f$, we assume there is $I \subset \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ such that $|I| = k-1$, and the condition of [40]

$$(8.15.1) \quad \sum_{Z_i \supset L} \alpha_i \notin \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\} \text{ for any dense edge } L \subset Z,$$

is satisfied for

$$(8.15.2) \quad \alpha_i = 1 - \alpha \text{ if } i \in I \cup \{d\}, \text{ and } -\alpha \text{ otherwise.}$$

Let $V(I)$ be the subspace of $H^{n-1}\mathcal{A}_\alpha^\circ$ generated by

$$\omega_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_{n-1}} \text{ for } \{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}\} \subset I.$$

8.16. Theorem. Let $\alpha = k/d$, $\lambda = e^{-2\pi i \alpha}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Then

INTRODUCTION TO A THEORY OF b -FUNCTIONS

- (a) If $k = d - 1$ or d , then $\alpha \in R_f$, $\alpha + 1 \notin R_f$.
 (b) If $\alpha < \alpha'_f$, then $\alpha \in R_f \Leftrightarrow k \geq d$.
 (c) If $\binom{k-1}{n-1} < \dim H^{n-1}(F_0, \mathbf{C})_\lambda$, then $\alpha + 1 \in R_f$.
 (d) If $\alpha < \alpha'_f$, $\alpha \notin R'_f + \mathbf{Z}$ and $\binom{k-1}{n-1} = \chi(U)$, then $\alpha + 1 \notin R_f$.
 (e) If $\alpha \geq \alpha'_f$ and $V(I) \neq 0$, then $\alpha \in R_f$.
 (f) If $\alpha \geq \alpha'_f$ and $V(I) = H^{n-1}\mathcal{A}_\alpha^\bullet$, then $\alpha + 1 \notin R_f$.

8.17. Theorem [37]. Assume $n = 3$, $\text{mult}_z Z \leq 3$ for any $z \in Z \subset \mathbf{P}^2$, and $d \leq 7$. Let ν_3 be the number of triple points of Z , and assume $\nu_3 \neq 0$. Then

$$(8.17.1) \quad b_f(s) = (s+1) \prod_{i=2}^4 (s + \frac{i}{3}) \prod_{j=3}^r (s + \frac{j}{d}),$$

with $r = 2d - 2$ or $2d - 3$. We have $r = 2d - 2$ if $\nu_3 < d - 3$, and the converse holds for $d < 7$. In case $d = 7$, we have $r = 2d - 3$ for $\nu_3 > 4$, however, for $\nu_3 = 4$, r can be both $2d - 2$ and $2d - 3$.

8.18. Remarks. (i) We have $\nu_3 < d - 3$ if and only if

$$(8.18.1) \quad \chi(U) = \frac{(d-2)(d-3)}{2} - \nu_3 > \frac{(d-3)(d-4)}{2} = \binom{d-3}{2}.$$

(ii) By (8.4.1) we have $\chi(U) = h^2(F_0, \mathbf{C})_\lambda - h^1(F_0, \mathbf{C})_\lambda$ if $\lambda^d = 1$ and $\lambda \neq 0$.

(iii) Let ν'_i be the number of i -ple points of $Z' := Z \cap \mathbf{C}^2$. Then by [6]

$$(8.18.2) \quad b_0(U) = 1, \quad b_1(U) = d - 1, \quad b_2(U) = \nu'_2 + 2\nu'_3,$$

8.19. Examples. (i) For $(x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1) = 0$ in \mathbf{C}^2 with $d = 5$, (8.17.1) holds with $r = 7$, and $8/5 \notin R_f$. In this case we do not need to take I , because $(d-2)/d = 3/5 < \alpha'_f = 2/3$. We have $b_1(U) = b_2(U) = 4$ and $h^2(F_0, \mathbf{C})_\lambda = \chi(U) = 1$ if $\lambda^5 = 1$ and $\lambda \neq 1$. So $j/5 \in R_f$ for $3 \leq j \leq 7$ by (a), (b), (c), and $8/5 \notin R_f$ by (d).

(ii) For $(x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1)(x + y) = 0$ in \mathbf{C}^2 with $d = 6$, (8.17.1) holds with $r = 9$, and $10/6 \notin R_f$. In this case we have $b_1(U) = 5, b_2(U) = 6, \chi(U) = 2, h^1(F_0, \mathbf{C})_\lambda = 1, h^2(F_0, \mathbf{C})_\lambda = 3$ for $\lambda = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$. Then $4/6 \in R_f$ by (e) and $10/6 \notin R_f$ by (f), where I^c corresponds to $(x+1)(y+1) = 0$. For other $j/6$, the argument is the same as in (i).

(iii) For $(x^2 - y^2)(x^2 - 1)(y + 2) = 0$ in \mathbf{C}^2 with $d = 6$, (8.17.1) holds with $r = 10$, and $10/6 \in R_f$. In this case we have $b_1(U) = 5, b_2(U) = 9, \chi(U) = 5, h^1(F_0, \mathbf{C})_\lambda = 0, h^2(F_0, \mathbf{C})_\lambda = 5$ for $\lambda = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$. Then $4/6 \in R_f$ by (e) and $10/6 \in R_f$ by (c), where I^c corresponds to $(x+1)(y+2) = 0$.

(iv) For $(x^2 - y^2)(x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1) = 0$ in \mathbf{C}^2 with $d = 7$, (8.17.1) holds with $r = 11$, and $12/7 \notin R_f$. In this case we have $b_1(U) = 6, b_2(U) = 9, \chi(U) = 4, h^2(F_0, \mathbf{C})_\lambda = 4$ if $\lambda^7 = 1$ and $\lambda \neq 1$. Then $5/7 \in R_f$ by (e) and $12/7 \notin R_f$ by (f), where I^c corresponds to $(x+1)(y+1) = 0$. Note that $5/7$ is not a jumping number.

REFERENCES

- [1] Beilinson, A., Bernstein, J. and Deligne, P., *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [2] Bernstein, J.N., Analytic continuation of generalized functions with respect to a parameter, *Funk. Anal.* 6 (1972), 26–40.
- [3] Björk, J.-E., *Rings of differential operators*, North-Holland, 1979.
- [4] Borel, A. et al., *Algebraic \mathcal{D} -modules*, Perspectives in Math. 2, Academic Press, 1987.
- [5] Brieskorn, E., Die Monodromie der isolierten Singularitäten von Hyperflächen, *Manuscripta Math.*, 2 (1970), 103–161.
- [6] Brieskorn, E., Sur les groupes de tresses [d’après V.I. Arnold], Séminaire Bourbaki (1971/1972), Exp. 401, *Lect. Notes in Math.* 317, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 21–44.
- [7] Budur, N., On Hodge spectrum and multiplier ideals, *Math. Ann.* 327 (2003), 257–270.
- [8] Budur, N., Mustață, M. and Saito, M., Bernstein-Sato polynomials of arbitrary varieties, *Compos. Math.* 142 (2006), 779–797.
- [9] Budur, N., Mustață, M. and Saito, M., Combinatorial description of the roots of the Bernstein-Sato polynomials for monomial ideals, preprint (math.AG/0505473).
- [10] Budur, N. and Saito, M., Multiplier ideals, V -filtration, and spectrum, *J. Algebraic Geom.* 14 (2005), 269–282.
- [11] Deligne, P., *Equations Différentielles à Points Singuliers Réguliers*, *Lect. Notes in Math.* vol. 163, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
- [12] Deligne, P., Théorie de Hodge I, *Actes Congrès Intern. Math.*, Part 1 (1970), 425–430; II, *Publ. Math. IHES*, 40 (1971), 5–58; III, *ibid.* 44 (1974), 5–77.
- [13] Deligne, P., Le formalisme des cycles évanescents, in SGA7 XIII and XIV, *Lect. Notes in Math.* 340, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 82–115 and 116–164.
- [14] Dimca, A., Maisonobe, Ph., Saito, M., and Torrelli, T., Multiplier ideals, V -filtrations and transversal sections, preprint (math.AG/060166), to appear in *Math. Ann.*
- [15] Dimca, A. and Saito, M., A generalization of Griffiths’ theorem on rational integrals, preprint (math.AG/0501253), to appear in *Duke Math. J.*
- [16] Ein, L., Lazarsfeld, R., Smith, K.E. and Varolin, D., Jumping coefficients of multiplier ideals, *Duke Math. J.* 123 (2004), 469–506.
- [17] Esnault, H., Schechtman, V. and Viehweg, E., Cohomology of local systems on the complement of hyperplanes, *Invent. Math.* 109 (1992), 557–561.
- [18] Gyoja, A., Bernstein-Sato’s polynomial for several analytic functions, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 33 (1993), 399–411.
- [19] Kashiwara, M., On the maximally overdetermined system of linear differential equations. I, *Publ. RIMS* 10 (1974/75), 563–579.
- [20] Kashiwara, M., B -functions and holonomic systems, *Inv. Math.* 38 (1976/77), 33–53.
- [21] Kashiwara, M., On the holonomic systems of linear differential equations. II, *Inv. Math.* 49 (1978), 121–135.
- [22] Kashiwara, M., The Riemann-Hilbert problem for holonomic systems, *Publ. RIMS*, 20 (1984) 319–365.
- [23] Kashiwara, M., Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations, *Lect. Notes in Math.* 1016, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 134–142.
- [24] Kashiwara, M. and Kawai, T., On holonomic systems of microdifferential equations. III, Systems with regular singularities, *Publ. RIMS* 17 (1981), 813–979.
- [25] Kollár, J., Singularities of pairs, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, A.M.S. 62 Part 1, (1997), 221–287.
- [26] Malgrange, B., Le polynôme de Bernstein d’une singularité isolée, in *Lect. Notes in Math.* 459, Springer, Berlin, 1975, pp. 98–119.
- [27] Malgrange, B., Polynôme de Bernstein-Sato et cohomologie évanescence, *Analysis and topology on singular spaces*, II, III (Luminy, 1981), *Astérisque* 101–102 (1983), 243–267.
- [28] Mebkhout, Z., Une autre équivalence de catégories, *Compos. Math.*, 51 (1984), 63–88.

INTRODUCTION TO A THEORY OF b -FUNCTIONS

- [29] Mustață, M., Multiplier ideals of hyperplane arrangements (math.AG/0402232), to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [30] Sabbah, C., Proximité évanescence, I. La structure polaire d'un D -module, Compos. Math. 62 (1987), 283–328; II. Equations fonctionnelles pour plusieurs fonctions analytiques, ibid. 64 (1987), 213–241.
- [31] Saito, M., On the exponents and the geometric genus of an isolated hypersurface singularity, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 40, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983, pp. 465–472.
- [32] Saito, M., Modules de Hodge-polarisables, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 24 (1988), 849–995.
- [33] Saito, M., Mixed Hodge modules, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 26 (1990), 221–333.
- [34] Saito, M., On b -function, spectrum and rational singularity, Math. Ann. 295 (1993), 51–74.
- [35] Saito, M., On microlocal b -function, Bull. Soc. Math. France 122 (1994), 163–184.
- [36] Saito, M., Multiplier ideals, b -function, and spectrum of a hypersurface singularity, preprint (math.AG/0402363).
- [37] Saito, M., Bernstein-Sato polynomials of hyperplane arrangements, preprint (math.AG/0602527).
- [38] Sato, M. and Shintani, T., On zeta functions associated with prehomogeneous vector spaces, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 69 (1972), 1081–1082.
- [39] Sato, M., Kashiwara, M. and Kawai, T., Microfunctions and pseudo-differential equations. Hyperfunctions and pseudo-differential equations, Lect. Notes in Math., 287, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 265–529.
- [40] Schechtman, V., Terao, H. and Varchenko, A., Local systems over complements of hyperplanes and the Kac-Kazhdan conditions for singular vectors, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 100 (1995), 93–102.
- [41] Scherk, J. and Steenbrink, J.H.M., On the mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of the Milnor fiber, Math. Ann. 271 (1985), 641–665.
- [42] Steenbrink, J.H.M., Intersection form for quasi-homogeneous singularities, Compos. Math. 34 (1977), 211–223.
- [43] Steenbrink, J.H.M., Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology, in Real and Complex Singularities (Proc. Nordic Summer School, Oslo, 1976) Alphen a/d Rijn: Sijthoff & Noordhoff 1977, pp. 525–563.
- [44] Steenbrink, J.H.M., The spectrum of hypersurface singularity, Astérisque 179–180 (1989), 163–184.
- [45] Varchenko, A.N., Asymptotic Hodge structure on vanishing cohomology, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 45 (1981), 540–591.
- [46] Walther, U., Bernstein-Sato polynomial versus cohomology of the Milnor fiber for generic hyperplane arrangements, Compos. Math. 141 (2005), 121–145.

RIMS KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502 JAPAN
E-mail address: msaito@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp