# Partitions of the reals and models of ZF

Carlos Augusto Di Prisco Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas

February 29, 2008

#### Abstract

We consider several partition relations and describe models of ZF which can be used to distinguish between them. This is an extended abstract of a talk delivered in the RIMS Symposium on Axiomatic Set Theory and Set Theoretic Topology, held at RIMS University of Kyoto, 28-30 November 2008.

## **1** Introduction.

We consider partitions of the Baire space  $\omega^{\omega}$  of all infinite sequences of natural numbers with the product topology obtained giving to  $\omega$  the discrete topolgy, and also partitions of its closed subspace  $[\omega]^{\omega}$  of all infinite subsets of  $\omega$ , which can be identified with the strictly increasing sequences of natural numbers. If A is an infinite set of natural numbers, we use  $[A]^{\omega}$  to denote the set of infinite subsets of A.

**Definition 1** Given  $n \in \omega$ , we say that a partition  $c : [\omega]^{\omega} \to n$  is Ramsey if there is  $H \in [\omega]^{\omega}$  such that c is constant on  $[H]^{\omega}$ . Such a set H is said to be homogeneous for c.

One of the emblematic results in this area is the following theorem of F. Galvin and K. Prikry

**Theorem 2** [5] For every  $n \in \omega$ , every Borel measurable partition  $c : [\omega]^{\omega} \to n$  is Ramsey.

The notation

$$\omega \xrightarrow[\Gamma]{} (\omega)_n^{\omega}$$

is used to express that for every  $\Gamma$ -measurable  $c : [\omega]^{\omega} \to n$ , there is  $H \in [\omega]^{\omega}$ such that c is constant on  $[H]^{\omega}$ . So, the Galvin-Prikry theorem is

$$\forall n \ (\omega \ \underset{\text{Borel}}{\longrightarrow} \ (\omega)_n^{\omega}).$$

If no class  $\Gamma$  is mentioned, the partition symbol refers to all functions  $c: [\omega]^{\omega} \to n$ . Also, if n = 2, the subindex is usually omitted.

It is well known that  $\omega \to (\omega)^{\omega}$  implies that there are no non-principal ultrafilters on  $\omega$ ; so, ZFC proves that this partition relation is false. Nevertheless, a celebrated result of Mathias [7] shows that this partition relation is consistent with ZF + DC, provided that the existence of an inaccessible cardinal is consistent.

## 2 Infinite partitions.

It is easy to find a clopen non-Ramsey partition of  $[\omega]^{\omega}$  into infinitely many pieces. Namely,  $h : [\omega]^{\omega} \to \omega$  defined by  $h(A) = \min(A)$ . Thus, ZF proves  $\omega \neq (\omega)^{\omega}_{\omega}$ 

It is interesting to consider a version of  $\omega \to (\omega)^{\omega}_{\omega}$  that requires only the existence of a set of the form  $[H]^{\omega}$  which avoids a piece of the partition, instead of requiring that it is contained in a single piece. For this type of partition relation it is customary to use the following notation. The expression

$$\omega \xrightarrow[\Gamma]{\Gamma} [\omega]_K^{\omega}$$

means that for every  $\Gamma$ -measurable  $c : [\omega]^{\omega} \to K$ , there is  $H \in [\omega]^{\omega}$  such that  $c^{\alpha}[H]^{\omega} \subseteq K$ .

It is straightforward to verify that this partition relation holds for Borel partitions, but again, the Axiom of Choice implies that there are partitions of  $[\omega]^{\omega}$  into infinitely many pieces for which every set of the form  $[H]^{\omega}$  meets every piece. In fact, we have the following.

**Proposition 3** If there is a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\omega$ , then

$$\omega \not\rightarrow [\omega]_{2^{\omega}}^{\omega}$$

Actually, a weaker hypothesis is enough to refute the partition relation

$$\omega 
ightarrow [\omega]_{2^{\omega}}^{\omega},$$

namely, the existence of a non-principal non-meager filter on  $\omega$ . This result is part of ongoing work done jointly with S. Todorcevic and will appear elsewhere.

## **3** Homogeneous sublattices and perfect sets.

We now turn to a different type of partition property, which was first considered in [4].

We use the symbol

$$\omega \xrightarrow[\Gamma]{\Gamma} ((\omega))_n^{\omega}$$

to express that for every  $\Gamma$ -measurable function  $c : [\omega]^{\omega} \to n$ , there are  $A, B \in [\omega]^{\omega}$ , with  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \setminus A \in [\omega]^{\omega}$ , such that c is constant on the sublattice of subsets of B given by  $[A, B] = \{X \subseteq B : A \subseteq X\}$ .

It is easily seen that the relation

$$\omega \xrightarrow[]{\text{Borel}} ((\omega))_n^{\omega}$$

follows from

$$\omega \xrightarrow[\text{Borel}]{} (\omega)_n^{\omega}.$$

And just as in the case of  $\omega \to (\omega)^{\omega}$ , the existence of a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\omega$  implies that  $\omega \not\to ((\omega))^{\omega}$ .

The third type of partition relation we consider here is denoted by

$$\omega \xrightarrow[\Gamma]{} (\operatorname{perfect})_n^{\omega}$$

meaning that for every  $\Gamma$ -measurable function  $c : [\omega]^{\omega} \to n$ , there is a perfect set  $P \subseteq [\omega]^{\omega}$  on which c is constant.

A Bernstein set is just a counterexample to  $\omega \to (\text{perfect})^{\omega}$ , this is, a set B with the property that both B and its complement meet every perfect set. Such a set can be obtained from a well ordering of the reals.

In his article [8] Solovay, assuming the consistency of inaccesible cardinals, constructed a model of ZF where every set of reals is Lebesgue measurable, has the property of Baire, and if not countable, contains a perfect subset. Of course, the axiom of choice does not hold in this model, although the axiom of dependent choices does. In general, a model M of ZF is said to be a Solovay model if it is (elementary equivalent to) the model  $L(\mathbb{R})$  computed in the Levy collapse of an inaccessible cardinal to  $\aleph_1$ . The result of Mathias mentioned above ([7]), establishes that the partition property  $\omega \to (\omega)^{\omega}$  holds in Solovay models; therefore the same is true for the properties  $\omega \to ((\omega))^{\omega}$ ,  $\omega \to [\omega]_{2\omega}^{\omega}$ , and  $\omega \to (\text{ perfect })^{\omega}$  which follow from it.

Consider now the model  $L(\mathbb{R})[\mathcal{U}]$  obtained adding a selective ultrafilter to a Solovay model  $L(\mathbb{R})$  using the poset of infinite subsets of  $\omega$  ordered by the relation of almost containment.

It was shown in [2] that  $\omega \to (\text{ perfect })^{\omega}$  holds in  $L(\mathbb{R})[\mathcal{U}]$ . This was done proving that in Solovay models, the following parameterized partition relation holds: for every  $n \in \omega$  and every  $c : [\omega]^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega} \to n$ , there is  $H \in [\omega]^{\omega}$  and a perfect set  $P \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  such that c is constant on the product  $[H]^{\omega} \times P$ .

Therefore, the existence of a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\omega$  is a consequence of the Axiom of Choice not strong enough to produce a Bernstein set. By our previous remarks about non-principal ultrafilters, none of the other properties hold in the model  $L(\mathbb{R})[\mathcal{U}]$ , since in it  $\mathcal{U}$  is non-principal ultrafilter on  $\omega$ .

## 4 Cohen extensions

Adding Cohen generic reals to the constructuble universe L, we obtain a model in which

$$\stackrel{\omega}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\text{Projective}} ((\omega))^{\omega}$$

holds but there is a  $\Delta_2^1$  counterexample for  $\omega \to (\omega)^{\omega}$ .

We start from L, and add  $\omega_1$ -many Cohen genric reals using the  $\omega_1$  product of Cohen forcing with finite support. In, [1] it is shown that in this extension the partition relation  $\omega \to ((\omega))^{\omega}$  holds for projective partitions.

It follows from [6], 2.2, that in this model there is a  $\Delta_2^1$  counterexample for  $\omega \to (\omega)^{\omega}$ , i.e. there is a  $\Delta_2^1$  non-Ramsey set.

In fact, the relation  $\omega \to ((\omega))^{\omega}$  holds in the extension for partitions definable with real parameters, and so, it also holds in the inner model  $L(\mathbb{R})$  of all the sets in the extension that are constructible from reals. In this way we obtain a model in which  $\omega \to ((\omega))^{\omega}$  holds but  $\omega \to (\omega)^{\omega}$  does not.

The model obtained adding  $\omega_2$ -many Cohen generic reals to L offers additional features. For example, in this model there is a non-meager non-principal filter on  $\omega$ . Taking the appropriate inner model we obtain a model in which  $\omega \to ((\omega))^{\omega}$  holds, but  $\omega \to [\omega]_{2\omega}^{\omega}$  fails.

## 5 Conclusion.

Sumarizing, we have that  $\omega \to (\omega)^{\omega}$  implies both  $\omega \to ((\omega))^{\omega}$  and  $\omega \to [\omega]_{2\omega}^{\omega}$ , the first implication being strict.

Each of the properties  $\omega \to ((\omega))_2^{\omega}$  and  $\omega \to [\omega]_{2\omega}^{\omega}$  imply  $\omega \to (\text{ perfect })^{\omega}$ , and both implications are strict. The partition relation  $\omega \to [\omega]_{2\omega}^{\omega}$  is not implied by  $\omega \to ((\omega))^{\omega}$ .

Question: What is the exact relationship between the propereties  $\omega \to (\omega)^{\omega}$ and  $\omega \to [\omega]_{2\omega}^{\omega}$ ? (See [3]).

### References

- Brendle, J., L. Halbeisen and B. Löwe, Silver measurability and and its relation to other regularity properties. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos.* Soc. 138 (2005), no. 1, 135–149
- [2] Di Prisco, C.A., Partition properties and perfect sets. Notas de Lógica Matemática (Proceedings of the IX Latin American Symposium on Mathematical Logic) 38 INMABB-CONICET. Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina (1993), 119-127.

- [3] Di Prisco, C. A. and J. Henle, Partitions of the reals and choice. in: Models, Algebras and Proofs (Xavier Caicedo and Carlos H. Montenegro, Eds.) Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 203 Marcel Dekker (1999) pp 13-23.
- [4] Di Prisco, C. A. and J. Henle, Doughnuts, floating ordinals, square brackets and ultrafitters. *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 65 (2000) 461-473.
- [5] Galvin, F. and K. Prikry, Borel sets and Ramsey's theorem, Journal of Symbolic Logic 38 (1973), 193-198.
- [6] Ihoda, J. I.,  $\Sigma_2^1$  sets of reals. Journal of Symbolic Logic 53 (1988) 636-642.
- [7] Mathias, A.R.D., Happy families. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 12 (1977) 59-111.
- [8] Solovay, R., A model of set theory in which every set of reals is Lebesgue measurable. Annals of Mathematics 92 (1970) 1-56.