# Recent developments in the study of the Takhtajan-Zograf metric

鹿児島大学・理学部 小櫃 邦夫 (Kunio Obitsu\*)

Faculty of Science, Kagoshima University

#### Abstract

We will survey recent developments in the study of the Takhtajan-Zograf metric on the Teichmüller space. Main topics are the asymtotic behavior of the Takhtajan-Zograf metric near the boundary of moduli space of Riemann surfaces, which is the author's joint work with W.-K. To and L. Weng ([OTW]), and the asymtotic behavior of the Weil-Petersson metric near the boundary of moduli space of Riemann surfaces, which is the author's joint work with S.A. Wolpert ([OW]).

#### §0. Introduction

We consider the Teichmüller space  $T_{g,n}$  and the associated Teichmüller curve  $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ of Riemann surfaces of type (g, n) (i.e., Riemann surfaces of genus g and with n > 0punctures). We will assume that 2g-2+n > 0, so that each fiber of the holomorphic projection map  $\pi : \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \to T_{g,n}$  is stable or equivalently, it admits the complete hyperbolic metric of constant sectional curvature -1. The kernel of the differential  $T\mathcal{T}_{g,n} \to TT_{g,n}$  forms the so-called vertical tangent bundle over  $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ , which is denoted

<sup>\*</sup>The author is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Exploratory Research 2005-2007. Mathematical Subject Classification (2000): 32G15, 32Q15, 58J52

by  $T^V \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ . The hyperbolic metrics on the fibers induce naturally a Hermitian metric on  $T^V \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ .

In the study of the family of  $\bar{\partial}_k$ -operators acting on the k-differentials on Riemann surfaces (i.e., cross-sections of  $(T^V \mathcal{T}_{g,n})^{-k}|_{\pi^{-1}(s)} \to \pi^{-1}(s), s \in T_{g,n})$ , Takhtajan and Zograf introduced in [TZ1], [TZ2] a Kähler metric on  $T_{g,n}$ , which is known as the Takhtajan-Zograf metric. In [TZ1], [TZ2], they showed that the Takhtajan-Zograf metric is invariant under the natural action of the Teichmüller modular group  $\operatorname{Mod}_{g,n}$ and it satisfies the following remarkable identity on  $T_{g,n}$ :

$$c_1(\lambda_k, \|\cdot\|_k) = rac{6k^2 - 6k + 1}{12\pi^2} \omega_{\mathrm{WP}} - rac{1}{9}\omega_{\mathrm{TZ}}.$$

Here  $\lambda_k = \det(\operatorname{ind} \bar{\partial}_k) = \bigwedge^{\max} \operatorname{Ker} \bar{\partial}_k \otimes (\bigwedge^{\max} \operatorname{Coker} \bar{\partial}_k)^{-1}$  denotes the determinant line bundle on  $T_{g,n}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_k$  denotes the Quillen metric on  $\lambda_k$ , and  $\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}$ ,  $\omega_{\mathrm{TZ}}$ denote the Kähler form of the Weil-Petersson metric, the Takhtajan-Zograf metric on  $T_{g,n}$  respectively. In [We], Weng studied the Takhtajan-Zograf metric in terms of Arakelov intersection, and he proved that  $\frac{4}{3}\omega_{\mathrm{TZ}}$  coincides with the first Chern form of an associated metrized Takhtajan-Zograf line bundle over the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n} = T_{g,n}/\operatorname{Mod}_{g,n}$ . Recently, Wolpert [Wo5] gave a natural definition of a Hermitian metric on the Takhtajan-Zograf line bundle whose first Chern form gives  $\frac{4}{3}\omega_{\mathrm{TZ}}$ .

The first of main topics in this article is to present the asymptotic behavior of the Takhtajan-Zograf metric near the boundary of  $T_{g,n}$  ([OTW]), which we describe heuristically as follows. Near the boundary of  $T_{g,n}$ , the tangent space at any point in  $T_{g,n}$  can be roughly considered as the direct sum of the pinching directions and the non-pinching directions (that are 'parallel' to the boundary). Roughly speaking, our result shows that the Takhtajan-Zograf metric is smaller than the Weil-Petersson metric by an additional factor of  $1/|\log |t||$  along each pinching tangential direction, i.e. it is essentially of the order of growth  $1/|t|^2(\log |t|)^4$  along the pinching direction corresponding to a pinching coordinate t. Also, we show that the Takhtajan-Zograf metric extends continuously along the non-pinching tangential directions to the "nodally-depleted Takhtajan-Zograf metrics" on the boundary Teichmüller spaces, which, unlike the case of the Weil-Petersson metric, are only positive semi-definite on the boundary Teichmüller spaces.

The second of main topics in this article is to present a new formula for the asymptotic behavior of the Weil-Petersson metric near the boundary of  $T_{g,n}$  ([OW]). Masur [Ma] first found that the Weil-Petersson metric extends continuously along the nonpinching tangential directions to the "nodally-depleted Weil-Petersson metrics" on the boundary Teichmüller spaces. Furthermore, Yamada [Y] gave an order estimate for the second term of the asymptotic expansion of the Weil-Petersson metric along the non-pinching tangential directions. In §3, we will succeed to determine the the second term of the asymptotic expansion of the Weil-Petersson metric along the non-pinching tangential directions, which is exactly the Takhtajan-Zograf metrics on the boundary Teichmüller spaces. It should be remarked that Mirzakhani [Mi] proved essentially the same formula in the context of symplectic geometry by the symplectic reduction technique, which is totally different from our method of the proof.

### §1. Notation and The First Theorem

(1.1) For  $g \ge 0$  and n > 0, we denote by  $T_{g,n}$  the Teichmüller space of Riemann surfaces of type (g, n). Each point of  $T_{g,n}$  is a Riemann surface X of type (g, n), i.e.,  $X = \bar{X} \setminus \{p_1, \cdots, p_n\}$ , where X is a compact Riemann surface of genus g, and the punctures  $p_1, \cdots, p_n$  of X are n distinct points in  $\bar{X}$ . We will always assume that 2g - 2 + n > 0, so that X admits the complete hyperbolic metric of constant sectional curvature -1. By the uniformization theorem, X can be represented as a quotient  $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$  of the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$  by the natural action of Fuchsian group  $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$  of the first kind.  $\Gamma$  is generated by 2g hyperbolic transformations  $A_1, B_1, \cdots, A_g, B_g$  and n parabolic transformations  $P_1, \cdots, P_n$  satisfying the relation

$$A_1B_1A_1^{-1}B_1^{-1}\cdots A_gB_gA_g^{-1}B_g^{-1}P_1P_2\cdots P_n = \mathrm{Id}.$$

Let  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  be the fixed points of the parabolic transformations  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  respectively, which are also called cusps. The cusps  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  correspond to the punctures  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  of X under the projection  $\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}/\Gamma \simeq X$  respectively. For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , it is well-known that  $P_i$  generates an infinite cyclic subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , and we can select  $\sigma_i \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  so that  $\sigma_i(\infty) = z_i$  and  $\sigma_i^{-1}P_i\sigma_i$  is the transformation  $z \mapsto z + 1$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , the Eisenstein series  $E_i(z, s)$  attached to the cusp  $z_i$  is given by

$$E_i(z,s) := \sum_{\gamma \in \langle P_i \rangle \backslash \Gamma} \operatorname{Im}(\sigma_i^{-1} \gamma z)^s, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.$$
(1.1.1)

If  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ , then the above series is uniformly convergent on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{H}$ . Moreover,  $E_i(z,s)$  is invariant under  $\Gamma$ , and thus it descends to a function on X, which we denote by the same symbol. Furthermore, it is well-known that

$$\Delta E_j = s(s-1)E_j \quad \text{on } X, \tag{1.1.2}$$

where  $\Delta$  denotes the negative hyperbolic Laplacian on X (see e.g. [Ku]).

The Teichmüller space  $T_{g,n}$  is naturally a complex manifold of dimension 3g-3+n. To describe its tangent and cotangent spaces at a point X, we first denote by Q(X) the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials  $\phi = \phi(z) dz^2$  on X with finite  $L^1$  norm, i.e.,  $\int_X |\phi| < \infty$ . Also, we denote by B(X) the space of  $L^\infty$  measurable Beltrami differentials  $\mu = \mu(z) d\bar{z}/dz$  on X (i.e.,  $\|\mu\|_{\infty} := \text{ess. sup}_{z \in X} |\mu(z)| < \infty$ ). Let HB(X) be the subspace of B(X) consisting of elements of the form  $\overline{\phi}/\rho$  for some  $\phi \in Q(X)$ . Here  $\rho = \rho(z) dz d\bar{z}$  denotes the hyperbolic metric on X. Elements of HB(X) are called harmonic Beltrami differentials. There is a natural Kodaira-Serre pairing  $\langle , \rangle : B(X) \times Q(X) \to \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$\langle \mu, \phi \rangle = \int_X \mu(z)\phi(z) \, dz d\bar{z}$$
 (1.1.3)

for  $\mu \in B(X)$  and  $\phi \in Q(X)$ . Let  $Q(X)^{\perp} \subset B(X)$  be the annihilator of Q(X) under the above pairing. Then one has the decomposition  $B(X) = HB(X) \oplus Q(X)^{\perp}$ . It is well-known that one has the following natural isomorphism

$$T_X T_{g,n} \simeq B(X)/Q(X)^{\perp} \simeq HB(X), \text{ and}$$
  
 $T_X^* T_{g,n} \simeq Q(X)$  (1.1.4)

with the duality between  $T_X T_{g,n}$  and  $T_X^* T_{g,n}$  given by (1.1.3). It should be remarked that Bers was responsible for many of the concepts described above (see [Be]).

The Weil-Petersson metric  $g^{WP}$  and the Takhtajan-Zograf metric  $g^{TZ}$  on  $T_{g,n}$  (the latter being introduced in [TZ1] and [TZ2]) are defined as follows (see e.g. [IT], [Wo2] and the references therein for background materials on  $g^{WP}$ ): for  $X \in T_{g,n}$ and  $\mu, \nu \in HB(X)$ , one has

$$g^{WP}(\mu,\nu) = \int_{X} \mu \bar{\nu} \rho,$$
  

$$g^{TZ}(\mu,\nu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{(i)}(\mu,\nu), \text{ where}$$
  

$$g^{(i)}(\mu,\nu) = \int_{X} E_{i}(\cdot,2)\mu \bar{\nu} \rho, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \qquad (1.1.5)$$

(see (1.1.1)). It follows from results in [A], [Ch], [Wo1], [TZ2], [O1], [O2] that the metrics  $g^{WP}$ ,  $g^{(i)}$ ,  $g^{TZ}$  are all Kählerian and non-complete. Note that  $g^{TZ}$  is well-defined only when n > 0. Moreover, each  $g^{(i)}$  is intrinsic to the corresponding cusp  $p_i$  in the sense that if an element  $\gamma$  in the Teichmüller modular group  $Mod_{g,n}$  carries the cusp  $p_i$  to another cusp  $p_j$ , then  $\gamma$  also carries  $g^{(i)}$  to  $g^{(j)}$ . To facilitate subsequent discussion, we will call  $g^{(i)}$  the Takhtajan-Zograf cuspidal metric on  $T_{g,n}$  associated to the cusp  $z_i$  (or the puncture  $p_i$ ).

The moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$  of Riemann surfaces of type (g, n) is obtained as the quotient of  $T_{g,n}$  by the Teichmüller modular group  $\operatorname{Mod}_{g,n}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n} \simeq T_{g,n}/\operatorname{Mod}_{g,n}$  (see e.g. [N]). As such,  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$  is naturally endowed with the structure of a complex

V-manifold ([Ba]). The metrics  $g^{WP}$  and  $g^{TZ}$  (but not each individual  $g^{(i)}$  unless n = 1) are invariant under  $Mod_{g,n}$  and thus they descend to Kähler metrics on (the smooth points of)  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ , which we denote by the same names and symbols.

(1.2) To facilitate ensuing discussion, we consider some related pseudo-metrics on the associated boundary Teichmüller spaces of  $T_{g,n}$ .

As in [Ma] (in the case of  $T_{g,0}$ ), we denote by  $\delta_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_m}T_{g,n}$  the boundary Teichmüller space of  $T_{g,n}$  arising from pinching m distinct points. Take a point  $X_0 \in \delta_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_m}T_{g,n}$ . Then  $X_0$  is a Riemann surface with n punctures  $p_1,\dots,p_n$  and m nodes  $q_1,\dots,q_m$ . Observe that  $X_0^o := X \setminus \{q_1,\dots,q_m\}$  is a non-singular Riemann surface with n+2mpunctures. Each node  $q_i$  corresponds to two punctures on  $X_0^o$  (other than  $p_1,\dots,p_n$ ). Denote the components of  $X_0^o$  by  $S_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . Each  $S_\alpha$  is a Riemann surface of genus  $g_\alpha$  and with  $n_\alpha$  punctures, i.e.,  $S_\alpha$  is of type  $(g_\alpha, n_\alpha)$ . It will be clear in (1.3) that we will only need to consider the case where  $2g_\alpha - 2 + n_\alpha > 0$  for each  $\alpha$ , so that each  $S_\alpha$  also admits the complete hyperbolic metric of constant sectional curvature -1. It is easy to see that  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^d (3g_\alpha - 3 + n_\alpha) + m = 3g - 3 + n$ . With respect to the disjoint union  $X_0^o = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^d S_\alpha$ , one easily sees that  $\delta_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_m} T_{g,n}$  is a product of lower dimensional Teichmüller spaces given by

$$\delta_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_m} T_{g,n} = T_{g_1,n_1} \times T_{g_2,n_2} \times \dots \times T_{g_d,n_d}$$
(1.2.1)

with each  $S_{\alpha} \in T_{g_{\alpha},n_{\alpha}}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, \cdots, d$ . Recall that the punctures of  $S_{\alpha}$  arise from either the punctures or the nodes of  $X_0$ , and for simplicity, they will be called old cusps and new cusps of  $S_{\alpha}$  respectively. Denote the number of old cusps (resp. new cusps) of  $S_{\alpha}$  by  $n'_{\alpha}$  (resp.  $n''_{\alpha}$ ), so that  $n_{\alpha} = n'_{\alpha} + n''_{\alpha}$ . We index the punctures of  $S_{\alpha}$  such that  $\{p_{\alpha,i}\}_{1\leq i\leq n'_{\alpha}}$  denotes the set of old cusps, and  $\{p_{\alpha,i}\}_{n'_{\alpha}+1\leq i\leq n_{\alpha}}$  denotes the set of new cusps. For each  $\alpha$  and i, we denote by  $g^{(\alpha,i)}$  the Takhtajan-Zograf cuspidal metric on  $T_{g_{\alpha},n_{\alpha}}$  with respect to the puncture  $p_{\alpha,i}$  (cf. (1.1.5)). Now we define a pseudo-metric  $\hat{g}^{\mathrm{TZ},\alpha}$  on  $T_{g_{\alpha},n_{\alpha}}$  by summing the  $g^{(\alpha,i)}$ 's over the old cusps, i.e.,

$$\hat{g}^{\mathrm{TZ},\alpha} := \sum_{1 \le i \le n'_{\alpha}} g^{(\alpha,i)}.$$
(1.2.2)

If none of the punctures of  $S_{\alpha}$  are old cusps, then  $\hat{g}^{\mathrm{TZ},\alpha}$  is simply defined to be zero identically. As such,  $\hat{g}^{\mathrm{TZ},\alpha}$  is positive definite precisely when  $S_{\alpha}$  possesses at least one old cusp. Note that by contrast, the Takhtajan-Zograf metric  $g^{\mathrm{TZ},\alpha}$  on  $T_{g_{\alpha},n_{\alpha}}$  is given by  $g^{\mathrm{TZ},\alpha} := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_{\alpha}} g^{(\alpha,i)}$ , and  $g^{\mathrm{TZ},\alpha}$  is always positive definite.

**Definition 1.2.1.** The nodally depleted Takhtajan-Zograf pseudo-metric  $\hat{g}^{\text{TZ},(\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_m)}$ on  $\delta_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_m}T_{g,n}$  is defined to be the product pseudo-metric of the  $\hat{g}^{\text{TZ},\alpha}$ 's on the  $T_{g_{\alpha},n_{\alpha}}$ 's, i.e.,

$$\left(\delta_{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_m} T_{g,n}, \hat{g}^{\mathrm{TZ},(\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_n)}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^d \left(T_{g_\alpha,n_\alpha}, \hat{g}^{\mathrm{TZ},\alpha}\right).$$
(1.2.3)

(1.3) Let  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$  be the moduli space of Riemann surfaces of type (g, n) as in (1.1), and let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  denote the Knudsen-Deligne-Mumford stable curve compactification of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$  ([KM], [Kn]). Like  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  admits a V-manifold structure, which we describe as follows. Similar description for  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  (i.e., when n = 0) can be found in [Ma] or [Wo3].

Take a point  $X_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ . Then  $X_0$  is a stable Riemann surface with npunctures  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  and m nodes  $q_1, \dots, q_m$  for some m > 0. Thus we may regard  $X_0$  as a point in  $\delta_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_m} T_{g,n}$  (cf. (1.2)). Write  $X_0 \setminus \{q_1,\dots,q_m\} = \bigcup_{1 \le \alpha \le d} S_\alpha$  and write  $\delta_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_m} T_{g,n} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^d T_{g_\alpha,n_\alpha}$  with each component  $S_\alpha \in T_{g_\alpha,n_\alpha}$  as in (1.2). Note that since  $X_0$  is stable, each  $S_\alpha$  admits the complete hyperbolic metric of constant sectional curvature -1. Also, for some 0 < r < 1, each node  $q_j$  in  $X_0$  admits an open neighborhood

$$N_j = \{ (z_j, w_j) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_j|, |w_j| < r, \ z_j \cdot w_j = 0 \}$$
(1.3.1)

so that  $N_j = N_j^1 \cup N_j^2$ , where  $N_j^1 = \{(z_j, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_j| < r\}$  and  $N_j^2 = \{(0, w_j) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_j| < r\}$ 

 $\mathbb{C}^2$ :  $|w_j| < r$ } are the coordinate discs in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Without loss of generality, we will assume that r is independent of j, upon shrinking r if necessary. For each  $\alpha$ , we choose  $3g_{\alpha} - 3 + n_{\alpha}$  linearly independent Beltrami differentials  $\nu_i^{(\alpha)}, 1 \le i \le 3g_{\alpha} - 3 +$  $n_{\alpha}$ , which are supported on  $S_{\alpha} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^n N_j$ , so that their harmonic projections form a basis of  $T_{S_{\alpha}}T_{g_{\alpha},n_{\alpha}}$  (cf. (1.1.4)). For simplicity, we rewrite  $\{v_i^{(\alpha)}\}_{1\le\alpha\le d,1\le i\le 3g_{\alpha} - 3 + n_{\alpha}}$  as  $\{v_i\}_{1\le i\le 3g-3+n-m}$ . Then one has an associated local coordinate neighborhood V of  $X_0$  in  $\delta_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_m}T_{g,n}$  with holomorphic coordinates  $\tau = (\tau_1,\dots,\tau_{3g-3+n-m})$  such that  $X_0$  corresponds to 0. Shrinking and reparametrizing V if necessary, we may assume  $V \simeq \Delta^{3g-3+n-m}$ , where  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  denotes the unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ . For a point  $\tau \in V$ , one has the associated Beltrami differential  $\mu(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{3g-3+n-m} \tau_i v_i$ and a quasi-conformal homeomorphism  $w^{\mu(\tau)} : X_0 \to X_{\tau}$  onto a Riemann surface  $X_{\tau}$  satisfying

$$\frac{\partial w^{\mu(\tau)}}{\partial \bar{z}} = \mu(z) \frac{\partial w^{\mu(\tau)}}{\partial z}.$$
(1.3.2)

The map  $w^{\mu(\tau)}$  is conformal on each  $N_j$ ,  $j = 1, \cdots, m$ , so that we may regard  $N_j \subset X_\tau$  for each j. Then for each  $t = (t_1, \cdots, t_m)$  with each  $|t_j| < r$ , we obtain a new Riemann surface  $X_{t,\tau}$  for  $X_{\tau}$  by removing the disks  $\{z_j \in N_j^1 : |z_j| < |t_j|\}$ and  $\{w_j \in N_j^2 : |w_j| < |t_j|\}$  and identifying  $z_j \in N_j^1$  with  $w_j = t_j/z_j \in N_j^2$ , j = $1, \dots, m$ . Then one obtains a holomorphic family of noded Riemann surfaces  $\{X_{t,\tau}\}$ parametrized by the coordinates  $(t, \tau) = (t_1, \cdots, t_m, \tau_1, \cdots, \tau_{3g-3+n-m})$  of  $\Delta^m(r) \times$  $V \simeq \Delta^m(r) \times \Delta^{3g-3+n-m}$ , where  $\Delta^m(r)$  denotes the *m*-fold Cartesian product of the disc  $\Delta(r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Moreover, the Riemann surfaces  $X_{t,\tau}$  with  $(t,\tau) \in (\Delta^*(r))^m \times V$  are of type (g,n), where  $\Delta^*(r) = \Delta(r) \setminus \{0\}$ . The coordinates  $t = (t_1, \cdots, t_m)$  will be called pinching coordinates, and  $\tau = (t_1, \cdots, t_{3g-3+n-m})$  will be called boundary coordinates. For  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , let  $\alpha_j$  denote the simple closed curve  $|z_j| = |w_j| = |t_j|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  on  $X_{t,\tau}$ . Shrinking  $\Delta^m(r)$  and V if necessary, it is known that the universal cover of  $(\Delta^*(r))^m \times V$  is naturally a domain in  $T_{g,n}$  and the corresponding covering transformations are generated by Dehn twist about the  $\alpha_j$ 's. Since Dehn twists are elements of  $Mod_{g,n}$ , the  $Mod_{g,n}$ -invariant metrics  $g^{WP}$  and  $g^{\mathrm{TZ}}$  descend to metrics on  $(\Delta^*(r))^m \times V$ , which we denote by the same symbols and names. It is well-known that each  $X_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$  admits an open neighborhood  $\hat{U}$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  together with a local uniformizing chart  $\chi : U \simeq \Delta^m(r) \times V \to \hat{U}$  for some  $\Delta^m(r) \times V$  as described above, where  $\chi$  is a finite ramified cover. Obviously the metrics  $g^{WP}$  and  $g^{TZ}$  on  $(\Delta^*(r))^m \times V \subset U$  may also be regarded as extensions of the pull-back of the corresponding metrics on the smooth points of  $\hat{U} \cap \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$  via the map  $\chi$ .

(1.4) Before we state our main result, we first need to make the following definition.

**Definition 1.4.1.** Let  $X_0$  be a Riemann surface with n punctures  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  and m nodes  $q_1, \dots, q_m$ . A node  $q_i$  is said to be adjacent to punctures (resp. a puncture  $p_j$ ) if the component of  $X_0 \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_m\}$  containing  $q_i$  also contains at least one of the  $p_j$ 's (resp. the puncture  $p_j$ ). Otherwise, it is said to be non-adjacent to punctures (resp. the puncture  $p_j$ ).

Now we are ready to state the first main result in the following

**Theorem 1.** For  $g \ge 0$  and n > 0, let  $X_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$  be a stable Riemann surface with n punctures  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  and m nodes  $q_1, \dots, q_m$  arranged in such a way that  $q_i$ is adjacent (resp. non-adjacent) to punctures for  $1 \le i \le m'$  (resp.  $m'+1 \le i \le m$ ). Let  $\hat{U}$  be an open neighborhood of  $X_0$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , together with a local uniformizing chart  $\psi: U \simeq \Delta^m(r) \times V \to \hat{U}$ , where  $V \simeq \Delta^{3g-3+n-m}$  is a domain in the boundary Teichmüller space  $\delta_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_m}T_{g,n}$  corresponding to  $X_0$  and with each  $\gamma_i$  corresponding to  $q_i$ . Let  $(s_1,\dots,s_{3g-3+n}) = (t_1,\dots,t_m,\tau_1,\dots,\tau_{3g-3+n-m}) = (t,\tau)$  be the pinching and boundary coordinates of U, and let the components of the Takhtajan-Zograf metric  $g^{TZ}$  be given by

$$g_{i\bar{j}}^{TZ} = g^{TZ} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s_j}\right), \quad 1 \le i, j \le 3g - 3 + n, \tag{1.4.1}$$

on  $U^* := (\Delta^*(r))^m \times V \subset U$ . Then the following statements hold:

(i) For each  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one has

$$\lim_{(t,\tau)\in U^*\to(0,0)} \sup |t_j|^2 (-\log |t_j|)^{4-\varepsilon} g_{j\bar{j}}^{TZ}(t,\tau) = 0.$$
(1.4.2)

(ii) For each  $1 \leq j \leq m'$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one has

$$\liminf_{t,\tau)\in U^*\to(0,0)} |t_j|^2 (-\log|t_j|)^{4+\varepsilon} g_{j\bar{j}}^{TZ}(t,\tau) = +\infty.$$
(1.4.3)

(iii) For each  $1 \leq j, k \leq m$  with  $j \neq k$ , one has

$$\left|g_{j\bar{k}}^{TZ}(t,\tau)\right| = O\left(\frac{1}{|t_j| |t_k| (\log|t_j|)^3 (\log|t_k|)^3}\right) \quad as \ (t,\tau) \in U^* \to (0,0). \tag{1.4.4}$$

(iv) For each  $j, k \ge m+1$ , one has

(

$$\lim_{(t,\tau)\in U^*\to(0,0)} g_{j\bar{k}}^{TZ}(t,\tau) = \hat{g}_{j\bar{k}}^{TZ,(\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_m)}(0,0).$$
(1.4.5)

(v) For each  $j \leq m$  and  $k \geq m+1$ , one has

$$\left|g_{j\bar{k}}^{TZ}(t,\tau)\right| = O\left(\frac{1}{|t_j|(-\log|t_j|)^3}\right) \quad as \ (t,\tau) \in U^* \to (0,0). \tag{1.4.6}$$

Here in (1.4.5),  $\hat{g}_{j\bar{k}}^{TZ,(\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_m)}$  denotes the (j,k)-th component of the nodally depleted Takhtajan-Zograf pseudo-metric on  $\delta_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_m}T_{g,n}$  (cf. Definition 1.2.1).

**Remark 1.4.2.** (i) Theorem 1(i) is equivalent to the following statement: For each  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C_{1,\varepsilon} > 0$  (depending on  $\epsilon$ ) such that

$$g_{j\bar{j}}^{\mathrm{TZ}}(t,\tau) \leq \frac{C_{1,\varepsilon}}{|t_j|^2(-\log|t_j|)^{4-\varepsilon}} \quad \text{for all } (t,\tau) \in U^*.$$

$$(1.4.7)$$

Similarly, Theorem 1(ii) is equivalent to the following statement: For each  $1 \leq j \leq m'$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C_{2,\varepsilon} > 0$  (depending on  $\epsilon$ ) such that

$$g_{j\bar{j}}^{\text{TZ}}(t,\tau) \ge \frac{C_{2,\epsilon}}{|t_j|^2(-\log|t_j|)^{4+\epsilon}} \quad \text{for all } (t,\tau) \in U^*.$$
 (1.4.8)

(ii) In view of Theorem 1(i) and (ii), it is natural to ask the following question: Does the stronger estimate

$$g_{j\bar{j}}^{\mathrm{TZ}}(t,\tau) \sim \frac{1}{|t_j|^2 (-\log|t_j|)^4} \text{ hold for } 1 \le j \le m' \text{ and } (t,\tau) \in U^*$$
? (1.4.9)

# §2. Some Modifications and The Second Theorem

(2.1) In this section, we will present the second theorem. For that, we need a slight modification of local pinching parameters in §1. Let us remember the settings in (1.3).

The Beltrami differentials (1.3.2) can be modified a small amount so that in terms of each *cusp coordinate* the diffeomorphisms  $w^{\hat{\mu}(\tau)}$  are simply rotations (Lemma 1.1, [Wo4]);  $w^{\hat{\mu}(\tau)}$  is a hyperbolic isometry in a neighborhood of the cusps;  $w^{\hat{\mu}(\tau)}$  cannot be complex analytic in  $\tau$ , but is real analytic. We note that for  $\tau$  small the  $\tau$ derivatives of  $\mu(\tau)$  and  $\hat{\mu}(\tau)$  are close. We say that  $w^{\hat{\mu}(\tau)}$  preserves cusp coordinates. The parameterization provides a key ingredient for obtaining simplified estimates of the degeneration of hyperbolic metrics and an improved expansion for the Weil-Petersson metric.

We describe a local manifold cover of the compactified moduli space  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ . The quasiconformal deformation space of  $X_0$  in (1.3),  $Def(X_0)$ , is the product of the Teichmüller spaces of the components of  $X_0$ . As above for 3g - 3 + n - m =dim  $Def(X_0)$  there is a real analytic family of Beltrami differentials  $\hat{\mu}(\tau)$ ,  $\tau$  in a neighborhood of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^{3g-3+n-m}$ , such that  $\tau \to X_{\tau} = X^{\hat{\mu}(\tau)}$  is a coordinate parameterization of a neighborhood of  $X_0$  in  $Def(X_0)$  and the prescribed mappings  $w^{\hat{\mu}(\tau)}: X_0 \to X^{\hat{\mu}(\tau)}$  preserve the cusp coordinates at each puncture. For  $X_0$  with m nodes we prescribe the plumbing data  $(N_j^1, N_j^2, z_j, w_j, t_j), j = 1, \ldots, m$ , for  $X^{\hat{\mu}(\tau)}$ . The parameter  $t_j$  parameterizes opening the *j*-th node. For all  $t_j$  suitably small, perform the *m* prescribed plumbings to obtain the family  $X_{t,\tau} = X_{t_1,\dots,t_m}^{\hat{\mu}(\tau)}$ . The tuple  $(t,\tau) = (t_1,\ldots,t_m,\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_{3g-3+n-m})$  provides real analytic local coordinates, the hyperbolic metric plumbing coordinates, for the local manifold cover of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  at  $X_0$ , [Ma] and [Wo3, Secs. 2.3, 2.4]. The coordinates have a special property: for  $\tau$  fixed the parameterization is holomorphic in t. The property is a basic feature of the plumbing construction. The family  $X_{t,\tau}$  parameterizes the small deformations of the marked noded surface with punctures  $X_0$ .

(2.2) We review the geometry of the local manifold covers. For a complex manifold M the complexification  $T^{\mathbb{C}}M$  of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -tangent bundle is decomposed into the subspaces of holomorphic and antiholomorphic tangent vectors. A Hermitian metric g is prescribed on the holomorphic subspace. For a general complex parameterization s = u + iv the coordinate  $\mathbb{R}$ -tangents are expressed as  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{s}}$ and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial v} = i\frac{\partial}{\partial s} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{s}}$ . For the  $X_{t,\tau}$  parameterization in (2.1), the  $\tau$ -parameters are not holomorphic while for  $\tau$ -parameters fixed the t-parameters are holomorphic;  $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_k} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\tau}_k}, i\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}, i\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}\}$  is a basis over  $\mathbb{R}$  for the tangent space of the local manifold cover. For a smooth Riemann surface the dual of the space of holomorphic tangents is the space of quadratic differentials with at most simple poles at punctures. The following is a modification of Masur's result [Ma, Prop. 7.1].

**Lemma 1.** The hyperbolic metric plumbing coordinates  $(t, \tau)$  are real analytic and for  $\tau$  fixed the parameterization is holomorphic in t. Provided the modification  $\hat{\mu}$ is small, for a neighborhood of the origin there are families in  $(t, \tau)$  of regular 2differentials  $\varphi_k$ ,  $\psi_k$ ,  $k = 1, \ldots, 3g - 3 + n - m$  and  $\eta_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, m$  such that: (i) Each regular 2-differential has an expansion of the form  $\varphi(s,t) = \varphi(s,0) + O(t)$ locally away from the nodes of R.

(ii) For  $X_{t,\tau}$  with  $t_j \neq 0$ , all j,  $\{\varphi_k, \psi_k, \eta_j, i\eta_j\}$  forms the dual basis to  $\{\frac{\partial \hat{\mu}(\tau)}{\partial \tau_k} + \frac{\partial \hat{\mu}(\tau)}{\partial \overline{\tau}_k}, i\frac{\partial \hat{\mu}(\tau)}{\partial \overline{\tau}_k}, \frac{\partial \hat{\mu}(\tau)}{\partial \overline{\tau}_k}, \frac{\partial \hat{\mu}(\tau)}{\partial \overline{\tau}_k}, \frac{\partial \hat{\mu}(\tau)}{\partial \overline{\tau}_k}, i\frac{\partial \hat{\mu}(\tau)}{\partial \overline{\tau}_k}\}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . (iii) For  $X_{t,\tau}$  with  $t_j = 0$ , all j, the  $\eta_j, j = 1, \ldots, m$ , are trivial and the  $\{\varphi_k, \psi_k\}$ 

span the dual of the holomorphic subspace  $TDef(X_0)$ .

(2.3) Now we are ready to state the second main theorem in the following **Theorem 2.** For a noded Riemann surface  $X_0$  with punctures the hyperbolic metric plumbing coordinates for  $X_{t,\tau}$  provide real analytic coordinates for a local manifold cover neighborhood for  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ . The parameterization is holomorphic in t for  $\tau$  fixed. On the local manifold cover the Weil-Petersson metric is formally Hermitian satisfying: (i) For  $t_j = 0, j = 1, ..., m$ , the restriction of the metric is a smooth Kähler metric, isometric to the Weil-Petersson product metric for a product of Teichmüller spaces  $\delta_{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_m} T_{g,n}$ .

(ii) For the tangents  $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\tau}_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}\}$  and the quantity  $\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^m (\log |t_j|)^{-2}$  then:

$$g^{WP}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}\right)(t, \tau) = \frac{\pi^3}{|t_j|^2(-\log^3|t_j|)} (1 + O(\sigma)), \qquad (2.3.1)$$

$$g^{WP}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_\ell}\right)(t, \tau) = O((|t_k t_\ell| \log^3 |t_k| \log^3 |t_\ell|)^{-1}) \text{ for } k \neq \ell, \qquad (2.3.2)$$

$$WP\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_\ell}\right)(t, \tau) = O((|t_k t_\ell| \log^3 |t_k| \log^3 |t_\ell|)^{-1}) \text{ for } k \neq \ell, \qquad (2.3.2)$$

$$g^{WP}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j},\mathfrak{u}\right)(t,\tau) = O((|t_j|(-\log^3|t_j|))^{-1}), \text{ for }\mathfrak{u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{s}_k}.$$
 (2.3.3)

(iii) For  $\mathfrak{u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_k}$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\tau}_k}$ , represented at  $X_{0,\tau}$  by  $\mu_k$  and  $\mathfrak{v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_\ell}$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\tau}_\ell}$  represented at  $X_{0,\tau}$  by  $\mu_\ell$  then:

$$g^{WP}(\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{v})(t,\tau) = g^{WP}(\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{v})(0,\tau) + \frac{4\pi^4}{3} \sum_{j=1}^m (\log|t_j|)^{-2} \langle \mu_k, \mu_\ell(E_{j,1}+E_{j,2}) \rangle_{WP}(0,\tau) + O(\sum_{j=1}^m (-\log|t_j|)^{-3}),$$
(2.3.4)

where the Eisenstein series  $E_{j,1}, E_{j,2}$  are for the pair of punctures representing the *j*-th node.

**Remark 2.3.1.** (i) Theorem 2(iii) is an improvement of Masur's formula [Ma], i.e., the Takhtajan-Zograf metrics corresponding to the nodes appear in the second term. (ii) It should be noted that Yamada [Y] has proved before that the second term in (2.3.4) is  $O(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (-\log |t_j|)^{-2})$ .

## References

- [A] Ahlfors, L., Curvature properties of Teichmüller's space, J. Analyse Math., 9 (1961/1962), 161-176.
- [Ba] Baily, W., The decomposition theorem for V-manifolds, Amer. J. Math., 78 (1956), 862-888.

- [Be] Bers, L., Spaces of degenerating Riemann surfaces, in *Discontinuous groups* and Riemann surfaces, Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 79, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1974, pp. 43-55.
- [Ch] Chu, T., The Weil-Petersson metric in the moduli space, Chinese J. Math., 4 (1976), 29-51.
- [IT] Imayoshi, Y. and Taniguchi, M., An introduction to Teichmüller spaces, Springer Verlag, Tokyo, 1992.
- [Kn] Knudsen, F., The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves, II-III, Math.
   Scand., 52 (1983), 161-199; ibid., 52 (1983), 200-212.
- [KM] Knudsen, F. and Mumford, D., The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves, I, Math. Scand., 39 (1976), 19-55.
- [Ku] Kubota, T., Elementary theory of Eisenstein series, Kodansha, Tokyo; John Wiley and Sons, New York-London-Sydney, 1973.
- [Ma] Masur, H., Extension of the Weil-Petersson metric to the boundary of Teichmüller space, *Duke Math. J.*, **43** (1976), 623-635.
- [Mi] Mirzakhani, M., Weil-Petersson volumes and intersection theory on the moduli space of curves, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **20** (2007), 1-23.
- [N] Nag, S., The complex analytic theory of Teichmüller spaces, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1988.
- [O1] Obitsu, K., Non-completeness of Zograf-Takhtajan's Kähler metric for Teichmüller space of punctured Riemann surfaces, Commun. Math. Phys., 205 (1999), 405-420.
- [O2] Obitsu, K., The asymptotic behavior of Eisenstein series and a comparison of the Weil-Petersson and the Zograf-Takhtajan metrics, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 37 (2001), 459-478.

- [OTW] Obitsu, K., To, W.-K. and Weng, L., The asymptotic behavior of the Takhtajan-Zograf metric, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **284** (2008).
- [OW] Obitsu, K. and Wolpert, S.A., Grafting hyperbolic metrics and Eisenstein series, Math. Ann., 341 (2008), 685-706.
- [TZ1] Takhtajan, L.A. and Zograf, P.G., The Selberg zeta function and a new Kähler metric on the moduli space of punctured Riemann surfaces, J. Geom. Phys., 5 (1988), 551-570.
- [TZ2] Takhtajan, L.A. and Zograf, P.G., A local index theorem for families of ∂operators on punctured Riemann surfaces and a new Kähler metric on their moduli spaces, Commun. Math. Phys., 137 (1991), 399-426.
- [We] Weng, L., Ω-admissible theory, II. Deligne pairings over moduli spaces of punctured Riemann surfaces, Math. Ann., 320 (2001), 239-283.
- [Wo1] Wolpert, S.A., Noncompleteness of the Weil-Petersson metric for Teichmüller space. Pacific J. Math., 61 (1975), 573-577.
- [Wo2] Wolpert, S.A., Chern forms and the Riemann tensor for the moduli space of curves, Invent. Math., 85 (1986), 119-145.
- [Wo3] Wolpert, S.A., The hyperbolic metric and the geometry of the universal curve,J. Differential Geom., 31 (1990), 417-472.
- [Wo4] Wolpert, S.A., Spectral limits for hyperbolic surfaces I-II, Invent. Math., 108 (1992), 67-89; ibid., 108 (1992), 91-129.
- [Wo5] Wolpert, S.A., Cusps and the family hyperbolic metric, Duke Math. J., 138 (2007), 423–443.
- [Y] Yamada, S., On the geometry of Weil-Petersson completion of Teichmüller spaces, Math. Res. Lett., 11 (2004), 327-344.