

# Quantum Codes from Finite Geometry and Combinatorial Designs

Vladimir D. Tonchev\*

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Michigan Technological University

Houghton, Michigan 49931, USA, tonchev@mtu.edu

March 16, 2009

## Abstract

Some recent constructions [22], [23] of optimal quantum codes based on finite projective geometry configurations of points, known as caps, and combinatorial structures such as Bhaskar-Rao designs, generalized balanced weighing matrices and generalized Hadamard matrices are discussed.

**Keywords:** quantum code, self-orthogonal code, cap, projective geometry, Bhaskar-Rao design, generalized balanced weighing matrix, generalized Hadamard matrix.

## 1 Introduction

We assume familiarity with the basics of classical error-correcting codes [19] and quantum codes [5]. A linear  $q$ -ary  $[n, k]$  code  $C$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of the  $n$ -dimensional vector space over the field  $GF(q)$  of order  $q$ . The dual code  $C^\perp$  of an  $[n, k]$  code  $C$  is the  $[n, n - k]$  code being the orthogonal space of  $C$  with respect to a specified inner product. The ordinary inner product in  $GF(q)^n$  is defined as

$$x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i. \quad (1)$$

The hermitian inner product in  $GF(4)^n$  is defined as

$$(x, y)_H = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i^2. \quad (2)$$

---

\*Research supported by NSA Grant H98230-06-1-0027.

The *trace* inner product in  $GF(4)^n$  is defined as

$$(x, y)_T = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i y_i^2 + x_i^2 y_i). \quad (3)$$

A code  $C$  is *self-orthogonal* if  $C \subseteq C^\perp$ , and *self-dual* if  $C = C^\perp$ . A linear code  $C \subseteq GF(4)^n$  is self-orthogonal with respect to the trace product (3) if and only if it is self-orthogonal with respect to the hermitian product (2) [5].

An *additive*  $(n, 2^k)$  code  $C$  over  $GF(4)$  is a subset of  $GF(4)^n$  consisting of  $2^k$  vectors which is closed under addition. An additive code is *even* if the weight of every codeword is even, and otherwise *odd*. Note that an even additive code is trace self-orthogonal, and a linear self-orthogonal code is even [5]. If  $C$  is an  $(n, 2^k)$  additive code with weight enumerator

$$W(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^n A_j x^{n-j} y^j, \quad (4)$$

the weight enumerator of the trace-dual code  $C^\perp$  is given by

$$W^\perp = 2^{-k} W(x + 3y, x - y) \quad (5)$$

In [5], Calderbank, Rains, Shor and Sloane described a method for the construction of quantum error-correcting codes from additive codes that are self-orthogonal with respect to the trace product (3). Specifically, the following statement was proved in [5].

**Theorem 1.1** [5] *An additive trace self-orthogonal  $(n, 2^{n-k})$  code  $C$  such that there are no vectors of weight  $< d$  in  $C^\perp \setminus C$  yields a quantum code with parameters  $[[n, k, d]]$ .*

A quantum code associated with an additive code  $C$  is *pure* if there are no vectors of weight  $< d$  in  $C^\perp$ ; otherwise, the code is called *impure*. A quantum code is called *linear* if the associated additive code  $C$  is linear. We will need also the following result from [5].

**Theorem 1.2** [5] *The existence of a linear  $[[n, k, d]]$  quantum code with associated  $(n, 2^{n-k})$  additive code  $C$  implies the existence of a linear  $[[n - m, k', d']]$  quantum code with  $k' \geq k - m$  and  $d' \geq d$ , for any  $m$  such that there exists a codeword of weight  $m$  in the dual code of the binary code generated by the supports of the codewords of  $C$ .*

A table with lower and upper bounds on the minimum distance  $d$  for quantum  $[[n, k, d]]$  codes of length  $n \leq 30$  is given in the paper by Calderbank, Rains, Shor and Sloane [5]. An extended version of this table was compiled by Grassl [12]. An electronic server for bounds on the minimum distance of various codes is available on Andries Brouwer's web page [4].

## 2 Caps

An  $n$ -cap in  $PG(s, q)$ ,  $s \geq 3$ , is a set of  $n$  points no three of which are collinear (Hirschfeld and Thas [15]). An  $n$ -cap is complete if it is not contained in any  $(n + 1)$ -cap. Tables with bounds on the maximum size of complete caps in various spaces are given in Storme [20].

Suppose that  $M$  is an  $(s+1) \times n$  matrix having as columns a set of  $n$  vectors in  $GF(q)^{s+1}$  representing the points of an  $n$ -cap in  $PG(s, q)$ . Then the dual code  $C^\perp$  (with respect to the product (11)) of the linear  $C$  code over  $GF(q)$  spanned by the rows of  $M$  has minimum distance  $d \geq 4$ , and if the cap is complete, we have  $d = 4$ . If  $q = 4$  and the rows of  $M$  are pairwise orthogonal with respect to the trace product (3), the code  $C$  defines a quantum code via Theorem 1.1. The exact minimum distance of the related quantum code can be found by using the identities (4) and (5).

If  $K$  is an  $n$ -cap in  $PG(3, q)$  then  $n \leq q^2 + 1$  ([21], p. 309). A  $(q^2 + 1)$ -cap in  $PG(3, q)$ ,  $q \neq 2$ , is called an *ovoid*. In [5], an ovoid in  $PG(3, 4)$  was used to obtain an optimal quantum  $[[17, 9, 4]]$  code, i.e., 4 is the largest possible value of  $d$  for  $n = 17$  and  $k = 7$ . Motivated by this example, we investigate in this paper quantum codes obtained from other known complete caps or caps of largest known size in projective spaces over  $GF(4)$  of small dimension. One of the complete 41-caps in  $PG(4, 4)$ , as well as the known 126-cap in  $PG(5, 4)$  lead to a number of quantum codes of various lengths with  $d = 4$  that are either optimal or have the largest known value of  $d$  for the given  $n$  and  $k$ . Using a geometric approach similar to the one employed for the construction of an 126-cap in  $PG(5, 4)$ , we find an incomplete 27-cap in  $PG(6, 4)$  that yields an optimal quantum  $[[27, 13, 5]]$  code. The best previously known quantum code with  $n = 27$  and  $k = 13$  had minimum distance  $d = 4$  [5].

### 3 Codes from a complete 41-cap in $PG(4, 4)$

The largest possible size of a complete cap in  $PG(4, 4)$  is 41, and up to projective equivalence, there are exactly two 41-caps (Edel and Bierbrauer [7]). The  $5 \times 41$  matrix (6) of one of these caps, having as columns a set of vectors representing the points of the cap, has pairwise orthogonal rows with respect to the hermitian product (2). Here, and later on throughout this paper, we assume that  $GF(4) = \{0, 1, w, w^2\}$ , and  $w$  and  $w^2$  are labeled by 2 and 3 respectively.

$$M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 10000112213322333222333020022100311310012 \\ 01000100200210110110130300230321231311222 \\ 00100012002001101101103302003312213311222 \\ 000101100111000111111111111111111101011 \\ 00001001111122222211133333300022222200113 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

The weight enumerator of the linear  $(41, 5)$  code  $C$  over  $GF(4)$  spanned by the rows of (6) is given by

$$W = 1 + 9y^{24} + 12y^{26} + 105y^{28} + 660y^{30} + 90y^{32} + 36y^{34} + 51y^{36} + 60y^{38},$$

while the weight enumerator of the trace-dual code  $C^\perp$  is

$$W^\perp = 1 + 9930y^4 + 176520y^5 + 3178488y^6 + \dots + 35618160526163496y^{41}.$$

Thus,  $C$  defines a quantum  $[[41, 31, 4]]$  code via Theorem 1.1. The dual code  $B^\perp$  of the binary code  $B$  of length 41 spanned by the supports of the vectors in  $C$  is of dimension 17. The weight distribution  $\{B_i^\perp\}$  of  $B^\perp$  is given in Table 3.1. Since the all-one vector belongs to  $B^\perp$ , we have  $B_i^\perp = B_{41-i}^\perp$  for  $0 \leq i \leq 20$ .

**Table 3.1** *The weight distribution of  $B^\perp$*

$i$	0	6	8	10	12	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
$B_i^\perp$	1	16	85	220	600	3120	5340	2795	6303	16808	23648	6600

The parameters of quantum codes obtained from the  $[[41, 31, 4]]$  code via Theorem 1.2 by using vectors of weight  $m$  ( $0 \leq m \leq 31$ ) in  $B^\perp$  are listed in Table 3.2.

**Table 3.2** *Quantum codes obtained from a 41-cap in  $PG(4, 4)$*

No.	$m$	$[[n, k, d]]$	No.	$m$	$[[n, k, d]]$	No.	$m$	$[[n, k, d]]$
1	0	$[[41, 31, 4]]$	2	6	$[[35, 25, 4]]$	3	8	$[[33, 23, 4]]$
4	10	$[[31, 21, 4]]$	5	12	$[[29, 19, 4]]$	6	14	$[[27, 17, 4]]$
7	15	$[[26, 16, 4]]$	8	16	$[[25, 15, 4]]$	9	17	$[[24, 14, 4]]$
10	18	$[[23, 13, 4]]$	11	19	$[[22, 12, 4]]$	12	20	$[[21, 11, 4]]$
13	21	$[[20, 10, 4]]$	14	22	$[[19, 9, 4]]$	15	23	$[[18, 8, 4]]$
16	24	$[[17, 7, 4]]$	17	25	$[[16, 6, 4]]$	18	26	$[[15, 5, 4]]$
19	27	$[[14, 4, 4]]$	20	29	$[[12, 2, 4]]$	21	31	$[[10, 0, 4]]$

**Note 3.3** All codes in Table 3.2 are optimal, that is,  $d = 4$  is the largest possible for the given  $n$  and  $k$  (see [5] for lengths  $n \leq 30$  and [12] for lengths 31, 33, 35 and 41). Note that the lower bound on  $d$  given in [5] for  $n = 29$  and  $k = 19$  is  $d = 3$ .

## 4 Codes from a 126-cap in $PG(5, 4)$

The largest size of a known complete cap in  $PG(5, 4)$  is 126, and there are two known constructions of such a cap (Baker, Bonisoli, Cossidente, and Ebert [1], and Glynn [11]). Glynn [11] uses geometric arguments to determine the weight distribution  $W$  of the related linear  $(126, 6)$  code  $C$  over  $GF(4)$  spanned by the  $6 \times 126$  matrix associated with the cap:

$$W = 1 + 945y^{88} + 3087y^{96} + 63y^{120}.$$

Since all weights in  $C$  are even, it follows that  $C$  is self-orthogonal with respect to the hermitian product (11), as well as with respect to the trace product (3). The minimum distance of its trace-dual code  $C^\perp$  is 4. Consequently,  $C$  yields a quantum  $[[126, 114, 4]]$  code via Theorem 1. According to [12], a code with these parameters is optimal, that is, 4 is the largest possible value of  $d$  for any quantum  $[[126, 114, d]]$  code. The dual code of the binary code spanned by the supports of the nonzero vectors in  $C$  contains vectors of weight  $m$ , where the values of  $m$  are listed in (7).

$$6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 21, \dots, 106, 108, 110, 112, 114, 116, 118, 120, 126. \quad (7)$$

Consequently, there exist pure quantum  $[[126 - m, 114 - m, 4]]$  codes for all values of  $m \leq 114$  from the list (7) obtained via the shortening construction of Theorem 1.2. Most of these codes are optimal according to [5] and [12]: the codes of length  $28 \leq n \leq 126$  obtained for values of  $m$  in the range  $0 \leq m \leq 98$  are all optimal; the codes with  $20 \leq n \leq 27$  may be optimal: the theoretical upper bound on  $d$  for such codes with  $k = n - 12$  is 5. Only the codes of length  $n = 12, 14, 16$  and  $18$  are not optimal: the largest  $d$  for an  $[[n, k, d]]$  code with  $k = n - 12$  is 5 if  $n = 14, 16$  or  $18$ , and 6 if  $n = 12$  [5].

Several of the codes obtained by shortening of the  $[[126, 112, 4]]$  code with respect to a codeword of weight  $m$  for various values of  $m$  improve upon previously known quantum codes with comparable parameters [8], for example,  $[[43, 31, 4]]$ ,  $[[63, 51, 4]]$ ,  $[[73, 61, 4]]$ ,  $[[85, 73, 4]]$ ,  $[[105, 93, 4]]$ ,  $[[112, 100, 4]]$ ,  $[[116, 104, 4]]$ ,  $[[118, 106, 4]]$ .

## 5 A quantum $[[27, 13, 5]]$ code from an incomplete cap in $PG(6, 4)$

The minimum distance  $d$  of a quantum code associated with a complete cap cannot exceed 4. In this section, we describe the construction of an incomplete 27-cap in  $PG(6, 4)$  that leads to a quantum  $[[27, 13, 5]]$  code. We note that  $d = 5$  is the theoretical upper bound for a quantum code with  $n = 27$  and  $k = 13$ , and the best previously known quantum code for these parameters had minimum distance  $d = 4$  [5].

The 126-cap in  $PG(5, 4)$  was constructed in [1] as a union of six 21-caps, where the caps of size 21 were orbits under a certain projective transformation of order 21. Thus, by construction, the resulting code of length 126 is invariant under a group of order 21. A similar method that employs projective transformations was used by van Eupen and Tonchev earlier in [9] for the construction of certain 3-weight codes over  $GF(5)$ .

The  $7 \times 7$  matrix  $M_7$  (8), considered as a matrix over  $GF(4)$ , defines a projective transformation that partitions the  $(4^7 - 1)/3 = 5461$  points of  $PG(6, 4)$  into 421 orbits: one fixed point plus 420 orbits of length 13, where the orbits of length 13 are 13-caps.

$$M_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

The column set of the matrix  $G_7$  (9) consists of two orbits of length 13 plus the fixed point

under the transformation defined by  $M_7$ .

$$G_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 00100111011010111101111101 \\ 010111121131102200113301011 \\ 032302123023100103001231330 \\ 001223110310311122312302223 \\ 020031021110010203322012213 \\ 020010130130222203101112032 \\ 110331311323210123023133010 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

The linear code  $C$  over  $GF(4)$  spanned by the rows of  $G_7$  is a hermitian self-orthogonal  $[27, 7, 12]$  code with weight distribution listed in Table 5.1. The trace-dual code  $C^\perp$  has minimum distance 5, and weight enumerator (10). Thus,  $C$  defines a quantum  $[[27, 13, 5]]$  code via Theorem 1.1. To the best of our knowledge, a code with these parameters was not known before.

**Table 5.1** The weight distribution  $\{c_i\}$  of the  $[27, 7]$  code  $C$

$i$	0	12	14	16	18	20	22	24	26
$c_i$	1	39	3	1170	3705	4953	4797	1677	39

$$W_{C^\perp} = 1 + 1638y^5 + 13650y^6 + 115518y^7 + 885729y^8 + 5634954y^9 + \dots \quad (10)$$

## 6 Generalized weighing matrices

A *generalized weighing matrix* over a multiplicative group  $G$  of order  $g$  is a  $v \times b$  matrix  $M = (m_{ij})$  with entries from  $G \cup \{0\}$  such that for every two rows  $(m_{i1}, \dots, m_{ib}), (m_{j1}, \dots, m_{jb})$ ,  $i \neq j$ , the multi-set

$$\{m_{is}m_{js}^{-1} \mid 1 \leq s \leq b, m_{js} \neq 0\} \quad (11)$$

contains every element of  $G$  the same number of times.

A generalized weighing matrix with the additional properties that every row contains precisely  $r$  nonzero entries, each column contains exactly  $k$  nonzero entries, and for every two distinct rows the multi-set (11) contains every group element exactly  $\lambda/g$  times is known as a *generalized Bhaskar Rao design*  $GBRD(v, b, r, k, \lambda; G)$  [18].

Replacing the nonzero entries of a  $GBRD(v, b, r, k, \lambda; G)$  by 1 produces the incidence matrix of a  $2$ - $(v, k, \lambda)$  design with  $b$  blocks of size  $k$  and  $r$  blocks containing any point. A generalized Bhaskar Rao design with  $r = k$  and  $v = b$  is also known as a *balanced generalized weighing matrix*  $BGW(v, k, \lambda)$  [16], [18]. In this case, the underlying design is a symmetric  $2$ - $(v, k, \lambda)$  design. A *generalized Hadamard matrix*  $GH(\lambda, g)$  over a group  $G$  of order  $g$  is a balanced generalized weighing matrix with  $v = b = k = \lambda$  ([3], [6] IV.11). The process of replacing the 1's in the incidence matrix of a symmetric  $2$ - $(v, k, \lambda)$  design  $D$  with elements from a group  $G$  of order  $g$  (where  $g$  is a divisor of  $\lambda$ ) in order to obtain a balanced generalized weighing matrix (called "signing" of  $D$  over  $G$ ) has been studied by Gibbons and Mathon

in [10], where a complete enumeration of signings of symmetric designs on  $v \leq 19$  points is given.

**Lemma 6.1** *Let  $q = p^s \geq 4$  be a power of a prime number  $p$ , and let  $M$  be a  $v \times b$  generalized weighing matrix over the multiplicative group of  $GF(q)$  such that the Hamming weight of every row of  $M$  is a multiple of  $p$ . Then the rows of  $M$  span a linear code  $C$  of length  $b$  which is self-orthogonal with respect to the hermitian product (3).*

**Proof.** Note that  $a^{q-2} = a^{-1}$  for every nonzero  $a \in GF(q)$ . The hermitian product  $(x, x)$  of a vector  $x$  by itself is equal to the Hamming weight of  $x$  reduced modulo  $p$ . Thus, every row of  $M$  is self-orthogonal with respect to the hermitian product.

It follows by the definition of a generalized weighing matrix that the hermitian product of two distinct rows  $m_i = (m_{i1}, \dots, m_{ib})$ ,  $m_j = (m_{j1}, \dots, m_{jb})$ ,  $i \neq j$ , of  $M$  is a multiple of the sum of all nonzero elements of  $GF(q)$ , i.e.

$$(m_i, m_j) = s(1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^{q-2}),$$

where  $s$  is the number of occurrences of each nonzero element of  $GF(q)$  in the multi-set of differences (11), and  $\alpha$  is a primitive element of  $GF(q)$ . Since  $1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^{q-2} = (\alpha^{q-1} - 1)/(q - 1) = 0$ , it follows that every two rows of  $M$  are orthogonal to each other, and consequently, the linear code spanned by the rows of  $M$  is hermitian self-orthogonal.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2** *Let  $q$  be a prime power and let  $M$  be a  $GBRD(v, b, r, k, \lambda; GF(q) \setminus \{0\})$  over the multiplicative group of  $GF(q)$  such that  $v > k$  and  $b < 2v$ . The dual code  $C^\perp$  of the code  $C$  spanned by the rows of  $M$  has minimum distance  $d^\perp \geq 3$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $v > k$  and  $b < 2v$ , it follows from the inequality of Mann (cf., e.g. [25], Theorem 1.1.15) that all columns of the incidence matrix of the underlying  $2-(v, k, \lambda)$  design are distinct. Consequently, for every pair of columns of  $M$  there is a row that contains a zero entry in one of the columns and a nonzero entry in the other column. Thus, every two columns of  $M$  are linearly independent.  $\square$

## 7 Codes from generalized weighing and Hadamard matrices

Balanced generalized weighing matrices  $BGW((q^t - 1)/(q - 1), q^{t-1}, q^{t-1} - q^{t-2})$  over the multiplicative group of  $GF(q)$  are known to exist for every prime power  $q$  and every integer  $t \geq 2$  [2], [18]. Some constructions using traces of elements in  $GF(q)$  that give many monomially inequivalent  $BGW((q^t - 1)/(q - 1), q^{t-1}, q^{t-1} - q^{t-2})$  for various  $q$  and  $t$  are given in [17]. The rank of a  $BGW((q^t - 1)/(q - 1), q^{t-1}, q^{t-1} - q^{t-2})$  over  $GF(q)$  is greater than or equal to  $t$ , and up to monomial equivalence, there exists a unique matrix  $BGW((q^t - 1)/(q - 1), q^{t-1}, q^{t-1} - q^{t-2})$  of minimum  $q$ -rank  $t$  [16].

By Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, we have the following.

**Theorem 7.1** *Let  $q \geq 4$  be a prime power and  $t \geq 2$  be an integer. The code  $C$  spanned by the rows of a  $BGW((q^t-1)/(q-1), q^{t-1}, q^{t-1}-q^{t-2})$  over  $GF(q)$  is a hermitian self-orthogonal code of length  $n = (q^t - 1)/(q - 1)$ , dimension  $k \geq t$ , and dual distance  $d^\perp \geq 3$ .*

**Note 7.2** In the special case when  $C$  has dimension  $t$ , the dual code  $C^\perp$  is equivalent to the  $q$ -ary Hamming code [16].

Let  $q$  be a prime power. A generalized Hadamard  $q^t \times q^t$  matrix  $GH(q^{t-1}, q)$  over the elementary abelian group  $E_q$  of order  $q$  is known to exist for every  $t \geq 1$  (cf., e.g. [14], [24]). The group  $E_q$  is isomorphic to the additive group of  $GF(q)$ , hence a  $GH(q^{t-1}, q)$  over  $E_q$  can be viewed as a matrix with entries from  $GF(q)$ . We refer to the resulting matrix as an *additive* Hadamard matrix. For an additive Hadamard matrix  $GH(q^{t-1}, q)$ , over  $GF(q)$  the condition about the quotients (11) is replaced by the condition that for every pair of rows  $i, j$  ( $i \neq j$ ) the multi-set of differences

$$\{m_{is} - m_{js} \mid 1 \leq s \leq q^t\} \quad (12)$$

contains every element of  $GF(q)$  exactly  $q^{t-1}$  times.

The rows of an additive generalized Hadamard matrix  $GH(q^{t-1}, q)$  over  $GF(q)$  may or may not be pairwise orthogonal with respect to the hermitian product (3). For example, only 150 of the 226 generalized Hadamard matrices  $GH(4, 4)$  found in [13] span hermitian self-orthogonal codes.

The rank of a  $q^t \times q^t$  matrix  $GH(q^{t-1}, q)$  over  $GF(q)$  is at least  $t$ . For any given prime power  $q$  and any  $t \geq 1$ , there exists a unique (up to a permutation of rows and columns) matrix  $M = GH(q^{t-1}, q)$  of minimum  $q$ -rank equal to  $t$  [24]. Algebraically, such a matrix  $M$  is the vector space spanned by the rows of a  $t \times q^t$  matrix  $B(t, q)$  whose set of columns consists of all distinct vectors with  $t$  components over  $GF(q)$ . Thus,  $M$  contains one all-zero row, and by the condition for the differences (12), every other row of  $M$  contains every nonzero element of  $GF(q)$  exactly  $q^{t-1}$  times. Thus, every row of  $M$  except the zero row has Hamming weight  $q^{t-1}(q-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . In addition, every two rows of  $M$  are orthogonal with respect to the hermitian product (3). This can be verified by induction using the recursive structure of  $B(t, q)$ , namely, up to a permutation of columns

$$B(t, q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \dots 0 & 1 \dots 1 & \dots & \alpha^{q-2} \dots \alpha^{q-2} \\ B(t-1, q) & B(t-1, q) & \dots & B(t-1, q) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha$  is a primitive element of  $GF(q)$ . Note that the hermitian product of the two rows of  $B(2, q)$  is equal to  $(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{q-2})^2 = 0$ . Thus, we have the following.

**Theorem 7.3** *The rows of an additive generalized Hadamard matrix  $M = GH(q^{t-1}, q)$  over  $GF(q)$  of  $q$ -rank equal to  $t$  form a linear hermitian self-orthogonal code. Removing the all-zero column of  $M$  gives a hermitian self-orthogonal code with parameters  $n = q^t - 1$ ,  $k = t$ , and dual distance  $d^\perp = 2$ .*

## 8 An application to quantum codes

Applying this result of Theorem 1.1 to the codes of Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 7.3 in the special case  $q = 4$  gives the following.

**Theorem 8.1** *Let  $t \geq 2$  be an integer. The code  $C$  over  $GF(4)$  spanned by the rows of a matrix  $M = BGW((4^t - 1)/3, 4^{t-1}, 4^{t-1} - 4^{t-2})$  yields a quantum code with parameters  $[[ (4^t - 1)/3, (4^t - 1)/3 - 2k, d \geq 3 ]]$ , where  $k$  is the rank of  $M$  over  $GF(4)$ .*

**Theorem 8.2** *The row space of an additive generalized Hadamard matrix  $M = GH(4^{t-1}, 4)$  of 4-rank  $t$  yields a quantum code with parameters  $[[4^t - 1, 4^t - 1 - 2t, 2]]$ .*

**Note 8.3** The codes of Theorem 8.1 in the case when the matrix is of minimum rank, that is,  $k = t$ , have  $d = 3$  and meet the sphere-packing bound for quantum  $[[n, k, d = 2e + 1]]$  codes:

$$\sum_{j=0}^e 3^j \binom{n}{j} \leq 2^{n-k}. \quad (13)$$

According to this bound, a quantum code with parameters  $n = 4^t - 1$  and  $k = 4^t - 1 - 2t$  cannot have  $d \geq 3$ . Thus  $d = 2$  is the best possible value for the given  $n$  and  $k$ , hence the codes of Theorem 8.2 are also optimal. Note that the  $[[15, 11, 2]]$  obtained from Theorem 8.2 when  $t = 2$  is one of the optimal quantum codes found in [13].

## 9 Acknowledgment

The author wishes to thank RIMS and Professor Hiromichi Yamada for the hospitality and support.

## References

- [1] R.D. Baker, A. Bonisoli, A. Cossidente, G.L. Ebert, Mixed partitions of  $PG(5, q)$ , *Discrete Math.* **208/209** (1999), 23-29.
- [2] G. Berman, Families of generalized weighing matrices, *Canadian J. Math.* **30** (1978), 1016-1028.
- [3] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, H. Lenz, "Design Theory", Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [4] A.E. Brouwer, <http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/>.
- [5] A.R. Calderbank, E.M. Rains, P.W. Shor, and N.J.A. Sloane, Quantum error correction via codes over  $GF(4)$ , *IEEE Trans. Information Theory* **44** (1998), 1369-1387.

- [6] C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz, eds., "The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs", CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1996.
- [7] Y. Edel, J. Bierbrauer, 41 is the Largest Size of a Cap in  $PG(4, 4)$ , *Designs, Codes, and Cryptography* **16** (1999), 151-160.
- [8] Y. Edel, J. Bierbrauer, Quantum Twisted Codes, *J. Combin. Designs* **8** (2000), 174-188.
- [9] M. van Eupen and V.D. Tonchev, Linear codes and the existence of a reversible Hadamard difference set in  $Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_5^4$ , *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **79** (1997), 161-167.
- [10] P. B. Gibbons and R. A. Mathon, Group signings of symmetric balanced incomplete block designs, *Ars Combinatoria* **23A** (1987), 123-134.
- [11] D.G. Glynn, A 126-cap of  $PG(5, 4)$  and its corresponding  $[126, 6, 88]$ -code, *Utilitas Math.* **55** (1999), 201-210.
- [12] M. Grassl, <http://www.codetables.de>
- [13] M. Harada, C. Lam, and V.D. Tonchev, Symmetric  $(4, 4)$ -nets and generalized Hadamard matrices over groups of order 4, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **34** (2005), 71-87.
- [14] A.S. Hedayat, N.J.A. Sloane, J. Stufken, *Orthogonal Arrays*, Springer, New York 1999.
- [15] J.W.P. Hirschfeld and J.A. Thas, *General Galois Geometries*, Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [16] D. Jungnickel and V.D. Tonchev, Perfect codes and balanced generalized weighing matrices, *Finite Fields and their Appl.* **5** (1999), 294-300.
- [17] D. Jungnickel and V.D. Tonchev, Perfect codes and balanced generalized weighing matrices, II, *Finite Fields and their Appl.* **8** (2002), 155-165.
- [18] W. de Launey, Bhaskar Rao Designs, in: "The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs", C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz, eds., CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1996, pp. 241-246.
- [19] F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1977. O
- [20] L. Storme, Finite Geometry, in: *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, Second Ed., edited by C.J. Colbourn and J.H. Dinitz, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2007, pp. 702-729.
- [21] J.A. Thas, Projective Geometry over a Finite Field, in: *Handbook of Incidence Geometry*, edited by F. Buekenhout, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1995, pp. 295-347.

- [22] V.D. Tonchev, Generalized weighing matrices and self-orthogonal codes, *Discrete Math.*, to appear.
- [23] V.D. Tonchev, Quantum codes from caps, *Discrete Math.*, **308**, (2008), 6368-6372.
- [24] V. D. Tonchev, On generalized Hadamard matrices of minimum rank, *Finite Fields and their Appl.* **10** (2004), 522-529.
- [25] V.D. Tonchev, *Combinatorial Configurations*, Wiley, New York 1988.