

## ISOVARIANT MAPS FROM FREE $G$ -MANIFOLDS TO REPRESENTATION SPHERES

ABSTRACT. The notion of an isovariant map, i.e, an equivariant map preserving the isotropy subgroups, plays an important role in equivariant topology. In this article, we shall formulate the isovariant version of Hopf's classification theorem using the notion of the multidegree. This work is joint with F. Ushitaki.

Ikumitsu NAGASAKI (京都府立医科大学 数学教室・長崎 生光)  
Department of Mathematics  
Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine

### 1. BACKGROUND — HOPF'S CLASSIFICATION THEOREM

Let  $M$  be a connected, orientable, closed  $n$ -manifold, and  $S^n$  the  $n$ -sphere ( $n \geq 1$ ). Let  $[M, S^n]$  denote the set of homotopy classes of continuous maps  $f : M \rightarrow S^n$ . As is well-known, the degree  $\deg f$  of  $f$  induces the degree function  $\deg : [M, S^n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , and H. Hopf [3] showed

**Theorem 1.1.** *The degree function  $\deg$  is a bijection.*

There are many researches on the equivariant version of Hopf's classification theorem, i.e., the equivariant Hopf theorem (see [4, 2] etc). For example the following can be shown.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that a finite group  $G$  acts freely on  $S^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .*

- (1) *The degree function  $\deg : [S^n, S^n]_G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is injective.*
- (2) *The image of  $\deg$  coincides with  $1 + |G|\mathbb{Z}$ .*

As a consequence, by setting  $D([f]) = (\deg f - 1)/|G|$ , we have the following equivariant Hopf type theorem.

**Corollary 1.3.** *The map  $D : [S^n, S^n]_G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is a bijection.*

## 2. ISOVARIANT MAPS AND ISOVARIANT HOMOTOPY CLASSES

We consider an isovariant version of Hopf's classification theorem. Several results have been obtained in our previous works [8, 9]. In this article we present a generalization of them whose proof will be given in [10].

The notion of an isovariant map was introduced by Palais [11] in order to study a classification problem for orbit maps of  $G$ -spaces.

**Definition.** A (continuous)  $G$ -map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between  $G$ -spaces is called  *$G$ -isovariant* if  $f$  preserves the isotropy subgroups, i.e.,  $G_{f(x)} = G_x$  for all  $x \in X$ . In other words, it is an equivariant map which is injective on each orbit of  $X$ . Similarly, if a  $G$ -homotopy  $F : X \times I \rightarrow Y$  is  $G$ -isovariant, then it is called a  $G$ -isovariant homotopy.

Let  $[X, Y]_G^{\text{isov}}$  denote the  $G$ -isovariant homotopy set, i.e., the set of isovariant homotopy classes of  $G$ -isovariant maps from  $X$  to  $Y$ .

We investigate  $[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}}$  for the following  $M$  and  $SW$ .

- $M$  is a connected, *orientable*, closed *free*  $G$ -manifold (i.e.,  $G$  acts freely on  $M$ ).
- $SW$  is a (unitary) representation sphere, i.e., the unit sphere of a *unitary*  $G$ -representation  $W$ . We assume that  $W$  is faithful (or equivalently  $G$  acts effectively on  $W$ ).

We also assume the Borsuk-Ulam inequality:

$$\dim M + 1 \leq \dim SW - \dim SW^{>1}.$$

Here  $SW^{>1}$  denotes the singular set of  $SW$ , i.e.,

$$SW^{>1} = \bigcup_{1 \neq H \leq G} SW^H.$$

As a convention, we set  $\dim SW^{>1} = -1$  if  $SW^{>1} = \emptyset$ . The Borsuk-Ulam inequality is connected with a Borsuk-Ulam type theorem. Indeed, it appears in the following isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be a mod  $|G|$  homology sphere with free  $G$ -action ( $G \neq 1$ ) and  $SW$  a representation sphere. If there is a  $G$ -isovariant map  $f : M \rightarrow SW$ , then*

$$\dim M + 1 \leq \dim SW - \dim SW^{>1}.$$

For other results on isovariant Borsuk-Ulam type theorems, see [12, 5, 6, 7]. Set

$$SW_{\text{free}} = SW \setminus SW^{>1}.$$

Note that  $G$  acts freely on  $SW_{\text{free}}$ . Let  $f : M \rightarrow SW$  be an isovariant map. By isovariance, it follows that  $f(M) \subset SW_{\text{free}}$ . We may consider equivariant maps from

$M$  to  $SW_{\text{free}}$ . In fact  $[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}}$  is identified with  $G$ -homotopy set  $[M, SW_{\text{free}}]_G$ :

$$[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}} = [M, SW_{\text{free}}]_G.$$

In equivariant obstruction theory, the equivariant cohomology  $\mathfrak{H}_G^*(M; \pi)$  plays an important role, where  $\pi$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. The equivariant cochain complex is defined by

$$C_G^*(M; \pi) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(C_*(M); \pi), \quad \delta := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(\partial).$$

**Definition.**

$$\mathfrak{H}_G^*(M; \pi) := H^*(C_G^*(M; \pi), \delta)$$

In our case  $\pi$  is taken as  $\pi_q(SW_{\text{free}})$ , and so we need to know the homotopy group of  $SW_{\text{free}}$ .

### 3. TOPOLOGY OF $SW_{\text{free}}$

The following proposition holds.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $d = \dim SW - \dim SW^{>1}$ .*

- (1)  $SW_{\text{free}}$  is  $(d - 2)$ -connected, i.e.,  $\pi_q(SW_{\text{free}}) = 0$  for  $0 \leq q \leq d - 2$ .
- (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}) &\cong H_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}; \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H_{d-1}(S(W^H)^\perp; \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = \{H \in \text{Iso}(W) \mid \dim SW^H = \dim SW^{>1}\}$ , and  $(W^H)^\perp$  is the orthogonal complement of  $W^H$  in  $W$ .

*Outline of Proof.* Statement (1) follows from general position arguments.

(2): Note that  $\dim S(W^H)^\perp = d - 1$  for  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ . Using the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence, one has

$$H_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H_{d-1}(S(W^H)^\perp; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z}.$$

□

**Remark.** Note that  $d \geq 2$  since  $W$  is unitary and faithful. When  $d > 2$ , the first isomorphism is obtained from the Hurewicz isomorphism. If  $d = 2$ , then  $\dim M \leq 1$  by the Borsuk-Ulam inequality, and so  $G$  must be cyclic. In this case, one also sees  $\pi_1(SW_{\text{free}}) \cong \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z}$ .

Since  $G$  acts on  $SW_{\text{free}}$ ,  $\pi_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}})$  and  $H_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}; \mathbb{Z})$  are regarded as  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules. For  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ , one can see that  $gS(W^H)^\perp = S(W^{gHg^{-1}})^\perp$  for  $g \in G$ , and  $gS(W^H)^\perp = S(W^H)^\perp$  iff  $g \in NH$ , the normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$ . Therefore we have

**Lemma 3.2.** *There are  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -isomorphisms*

$$\Psi : H_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}[G/NH],$$

where  $\mathcal{A}/G = \{(H) \mid H \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , and

$$\Psi \circ h : \pi_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}[G/NH],$$

where  $h$  is the Hurewicz homomorphism.

#### 4. EQUIVARIANT OBSTRUCTION THEORY

Set  $\pi_{d-1} = \pi_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}})$  and  $m = \dim M$ . Let  $f, g : M \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$  be  $G$ -maps. Since  $SW_{\text{free}}$  is  $(d-2)$ -connected and  $m \leq d-1$ , the equivariant obstruction class  $\gamma_G(f, g)$  to the existence of a  $G$ -homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$  is defined in  $\mathfrak{H}_G^m(M; \pi_m)$ .

**Remark.** For  $d = 2$ , since  $\pi_1$  is abelian,  $\mathfrak{H}_G^*(M; \pi_1)$  is well-defined.

When  $m \leq d-1$ , the equivariant obstruction class  $\gamma_G(f, g)$  to the existence of a  $G$ -homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$  is defined in  $\mathfrak{H}_G^m(M; \pi_m)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{H}_G^m(M; \pi_m) = 0$  when  $m < d-1$ , we have

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $m < d-1$ , then  $[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}} = \{*\}$ ; namely, all isovariant maps from  $M$  to  $SW$  are isovariantly homotopic each other.*

Hereafter we assume that

$$m = d-1 \quad (m = \dim M, d = \dim SW - \dim SW^{>1}).$$

By equivariant obstruction theory, we have

**Proposition 4.2.** *The correspondence  $[f] \mapsto \gamma_G(f_0, f)$  gives a bijection*

$$\gamma_{f_0} : [M, SW_{\text{free}}]_G \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_G^{d-1}(M; \pi_{d-1}),$$

where  $f_0$  is a fixed isovariant map.

**Remark.** Since  $W$  is unitary and faithful,  $d$  is even and  $\geq 2$ , and so  $\dim M$  is odd.

Next we determine the equivariant cohomology group. Let  $w : G \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  be the orientation homomorphism defined by setting, for  $g \in G$ ,

$$w(g) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } g \text{ acts orientation-preservingly on } M \\ -1 & \text{if } g \text{ acts orientation-reversingly on } M. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_w$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module whose underlying module is  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the  $G$ -action is induced from the orientation homomorphism  $w : G \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ , i.e.,  $g \cdot k = w(g)k$ . Let  $K_w = \text{Ker } w$  and

$$\mathcal{A}^+ = \{H \in \mathcal{A} \mid NH \leq K_w\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}^- = \{H \in \mathcal{A} \mid NH \not\leq K_w\}.$$

We obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Under the assumption,*

$$\mathfrak{H}_G^{d-1}(M; \pi_{d-1}) \cong \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}^+/G} \mathbb{Z} \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}^-/G} \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Consequently there is a one-to-one correspondence:

$$[M, SW]_G^{isov} \cong \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}^+/G} \mathbb{Z} \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}^-/G} \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

*Proof.* As seen before,  $\pi_{d-1} \cong_G \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}[G/NH]$ , and so

$$\mathfrak{H}_G^{d-1}(M; \pi_{d-1}) \cong \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathfrak{H}_G^{d-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}[G/NH]).$$

$\mathfrak{H}_G^{d-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}[G/NH]) \cong H^{d-1}(M/G; \{\mathbb{Z}[G/NH]\})$ , where  $\{\mathbb{Z}[G/NH]\}$  denotes the local coefficient system over  $M/G$  induced from the  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}[G/NH]$ . Using the Poincaré duality in local coefficients, we have

$$H^{d-1}(M/G; \{\mathbb{Z}[G/NH]\}) \cong H_0(M/G; \{\mathbb{Z}_w[G/NH]\}).$$

We then have  $H_0(M/G; \{\mathbb{Z}_w[G/NH]\}) \cong$

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}_w[G/NH]}{\langle a - w(g)a \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}[G/NH], g \in G \rangle} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } NH \leq K_w \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } NH \not\leq K_w. \end{cases}$$

and so  $\mathfrak{H}_G^{d-1}(M; \pi_{d-1}) \cong \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}^+/G} \mathbb{Z} \bigoplus \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}^-/G} \mathbb{Z}_2$ .  $\square$

## 5. THE MULTIDEGREE AND THE ISOVARIANT HOPF THEOREM

We next introduce the multidegree of an isovariant map as a generalization of our previous definition. Set

$$SW_{\mathcal{A}^+-\text{free}} = SW \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}^+} SW^H,$$

$$SW_{\mathcal{A}^--\text{free}} = SW \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}^-} SW^H.$$

Then

**Lemma 5.1.** *The inclusion*

$$i : SW_{\text{free}} \rightarrow SW_{\mathcal{A}^+-\text{free}} \bigcap SW_{\mathcal{A}^--\text{free}}$$

*induces a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -isomorphism*

$$H_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}) \cong_G H_{d-1}(SW_{\mathcal{A}^+-\text{free}}) \oplus H_{d-1}(SW_{\mathcal{A}^--\text{free}}).$$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Under identifying  $H_{d-1}(SW_{\mathcal{A}^\pm\text{-free}}; \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}^\pm/G} \mathbb{Z}[G/NH]$ ,*

- (1) There exist integers  $d_H(f)$  such that  $f_*^+([M]) = (d_H(f)\sigma_H)_{(H)\in\mathcal{A}^+/G}$ , where  $\sigma_H = \sum_{\bar{a}\in G/NH} w(a)\bar{a}$ .
- (2)  $f_*^-([M]) = 0$ .

This follows from the fact that, for any  $g \in NH \setminus K_w$ ,  $g$  acts orientation-reversingly on  $M$ ; on the other hand,  $g \in G$  acts orientation-preserving on  $S(W^H)^\perp$ .

**Remark.** In  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -coefficients, it also holds that  $f_*^-([M]) = 0$ .

**Definition.** The multidegree  $\text{mDeg } f$  of an isovariant map  $f : M \rightarrow SW$  (or a  $G$ -map  $f : M \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$ ) is defined by

$$\text{mDeg } f = (d_H(f))_{(H)} \in \bigoplus_{(H)\in\mathcal{A}^+/G} \mathbb{Z}.$$

Clearly the multidegree is an isovariant homotopy invariant. The following is the main result.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Under the assumption,*

- (1) For any two  $G$ -isovariant maps  $f, g : M \rightarrow SW$ ,

$$\text{mDeg } f - \text{mDeg } g \in \bigoplus_{(H)\in\mathcal{A}^+/G} |NH|\mathbb{Z}.$$

- (2) Fix a  $G$ -isovariant map  $f_0 : M \rightarrow SW$ . For any  $\alpha \in \bigoplus_{(H)\in\mathcal{A}^+/G} |NH|\mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a  $G$ -isovariant map  $f : M \rightarrow SW$  such that

$$\text{mDeg } f - \text{mDeg } f_0 = \alpha.$$

- (3) There are  $2^{|\mathcal{A}^-/G|}$   $G$ -isovariant homotopy classes with the same multidegree.

- (4) In particular, if  $\mathcal{A}^- = \emptyset$  (hence  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^+$ ), then

$$\text{mDeg} : [M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(H)\in\mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}$$

is injective.

By (1) of the above theorem, one can define  $D_{f_0}(f)$  by

$$D_{f_0}(f) = \left( \frac{1}{|NH|} (d_H(f) - d_H(f_0)) \right)_{(H)} \in \bigoplus_{(H)\in\mathcal{A}^+/G} \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $f_0$  is a fixed isovariant map. Then we have the isovariant Hopf theorem.

**Corollary 5.4.** *If  $\mathcal{A}^- = \emptyset$ , then*

$$D_{f_0} : [M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(H)\in\mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}$$

*is a bijection. In particular  $G$  acts orientation-preservingly on  $M$ , then  $D_{f_0}$  is a bijection.*

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $M$  be a mod  $|G|$  homology sphere with free  $G$ -action. Since we are assuming that  $\dim M = d - 1$  and  $W$  is unitary, it follows that  $\dim M$  is odd. In this case,  $G$  acts orientation-preservingly on  $M$ , and hence we have*

$$D_{f_0} : [M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}} \cong \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}.$$

**Remark.** If  $\dim M < d - 1$ , then  $[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}} = \{*\}$  as mentioned before. If  $\dim M > d - 1$ , then  $[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}} = \emptyset$ , since the isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem says that there is no isovariant map if  $\dim M > d - 1$ .

**Corollary 5.6.** *Suppose that  $G$  is an abelian group. If the action on  $M$  is orientation-preserving, then  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^+$  and so*

$$[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}} \cong \bigoplus_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z}.$$

*If the action on  $M$  is not orientation-preserving, then  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^-$  and so*

$$[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}} \cong \bigoplus_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

**Remark.** In the latter case, it follows that  $\text{mDeg } f = 0$  for any isovariant map.

## 6. EXAMPLES

Let  $D_{2^n} = \langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n-1}} = b^2 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle$  be a dihedral group of order  $2^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ). There are 3 conjugacy classes of subgroups of index 2:  $D = \langle a^2, b \rangle \cong D_{2^{n-1}}$ ,  $D' = \langle a^2, ab \rangle \cong D_{2^{n-1}}$ ,  $C = \langle a^{2^{n-1}} \rangle \cong C_{2^{n-1}}$ .

Let  $V_1$  be a 2-dimensional irreducible representation of  $D_{2^n}$  such that  $C$  acts freely on  $SV_1$ . Set  $W = sV_1$  for sufficient large  $s$ . Then one can see  $\mathcal{A}/G = \{(\langle b \rangle), (\langle ab \rangle)\}$  and  $d = 2s$ .

Let  $M_1, M_2, M_3$  be  $(2s - 1)$ -dimensional free  $D_{2^n}$ -manifolds whose  $K_w$  are  $D, D', C$  respectively. (Such  $D_{2^n}$ -manifolds exist for sufficiently large  $s$ .)

**Example 6.1.**

- (1)  $[M_1, SW]_{D_{2^n}}^{\text{isov}} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2.$
- (2)  $[M_2, SW]_{D_{2^n}}^{\text{isov}} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2.$
- (3)  $[M_3, SW]_{D_{2^n}}^{\text{isov}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2.$

Let  $V_2$  be the 1-dimensional irreducible representation of  $D_{2^n}$  whose kernel is  $D$ . Next, we set  $U = sV_1 \oplus V_2$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}/G = \{(\langle b \rangle)\}$  and  $d = 2s$ . Then we have

**Example 6.2.**

- (1)  $[M_1, SU]_{D_{2^n}}^{\text{isov}} \cong \mathbb{Z}.$
- (2)  $[M_2, SU]_{D_{2^n}}^{\text{isov}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.$
- (3)  $[M_3, SU]_{D_{2^n}}^{\text{isov}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.$

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Borsuk, *Drei Sätze über die  $n$ -dimensionale Sphäre*, Fund. Math, **20** (1933), 177–190.
- [2] D. L. Ferrario, *On the equivariant Hopf theorem*, Topology **42** (2003), 447-465.
- [3] H. Hopf, *Die Klassen der Abbildungen der  $n$ -dimensionalen Polyeder auf die  $n$ -dimensionalen Sphäre*, Comment. Math. Helv. **5** (1933), 39–54.
- [4] A. Kushkuley and Z. Balanov, *Geometric methods in degree theory for equivariant maps*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1632, Springer, 1996.
- [5] I. Nagasaki, *The weak isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem for compact Lie groups*, Arch. Math. **81** (2003), 348–359.
- [6] I. Nagasaki, *Isovariant Borsuk-Ulam results for pseudofree circle actions and their converse*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), 743-757.
- [7] I. Nagasaki, *The converse of isovariant Borsuk-Ulam results for some abelian groups*, Osaka. J. Math. **43** (2006), 689-710.
- [8] I. Nagasaki and F. Ushitaki, *Isovariant maps from free  $C_n$ -manifolds to representation spheres*, Topology Appl., **155** (2008), 1066-1076.
- [9] I. Nagasaki and F. Ushitaki, *On existence of isovariant maps under Borsuk-Ulam type inequalities*, 数理解析研究所講究録 **1569** (2007), 28–34.
- [10] I. Nagasaki and F. Ushitaki, *Classification of isovariant maps between free  $G$ -manifolds and representation spheres*, in preparation.
- [11] R. S. Palais, *Classification of  $G$ -spaces*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. **36** (1960).
- [12] A. G. Wasserman, *Isovariant maps and the Borsuk-Ulam theorem*, Topology Appli. **38** (1991), 155–161.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO PREFECTURAL UNIVERSITY OF MEDICINE, 13  
 NISHITAKATSUKASA-CHO, TAISHOGUN KITA-KU, KYOTO 603-8334 JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* nagasaki@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp