

## A remark on hyperfocal subalgebras of blocks of finite groups

熊本大学大学院自然科学研究科 (理学系) 渡邊アツミ (Atumi WATANABE)  
 Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kumamoto University

### 1 The hyperfocal subalgebra of a block

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Moreover set  $Q = O^p(G) \cap P$ , which is called the hyperfocal subgroup in [12]. We have

$$Q = \langle [O^p(N_G(U)), U] \mid U \leq P \rangle$$

( see [1], Lemma 2.2 for a proof). I thank Koshitani who informed me of [1]. In particular  $Q = 1$  if and only if  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. If  $P$  is abelian, then  $Q = [N_G(P), P]$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, k)$  be a sufficiently large  $p$ -modular system such that  $k$  is algebraically closed. Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $b$  be a block of  $\mathcal{O}G$  and let  $P_\gamma$  be a defect pointed group of a pointed group  $G_{\{b\}}$  on  $\mathcal{O}G$ , that is,  $P_\gamma$  is a maximal local pointed group contained in  $G_{\{b\}}$ . Let

$$Q = \langle [O^p(N_G(U_\delta)), U] \mid U_\delta \in \mathcal{S}_L(P_\gamma) \rangle.$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_L(P_\gamma)$  is the set of local pointed groups on  $\mathcal{O}G$  contained in  $P_\gamma$ . Following [12],  $Q$  is called the hyperfocal subgroup of  $P_\gamma$ . Let  $j \in \gamma$  and let  $B = j\mathcal{O}Gj$ .  $B$  is a source algebra of  $b$  and  $j$  is called a source idempotent of  $b$ . By [12], Theorem 1.8, [13], §13 and §14, there exists a unique  $P$ -stable unitary subalgebra  $D$  of  $B$ , up to  $(B^P)^\times$ -conjugation, which satisfies

$$D \cap Pj = Qj \quad \text{and} \quad B = \bigoplus_{u \in P/Q} Du \cong D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}Q} \mathcal{O}P,$$

where  $(B^P)^\times$  is the group of invertible elements of  $B^P$ .  $D$  is called a hyperfocal subalgebra of  $b$ .  $D$  becomes an interior  $Q$ -algebra with a group homomorphism  $q \in Q \rightarrow qj \in D^\times$ . By [12] or [13], Corollary 13.13,  $Q = 1$  if and only if  $b$  is nilpotent, and in that case  $D$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -simple, that is,  $D$  is isomorphic to a full matrix algebra over  $\mathcal{O}$ .

We set  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{O}$  or  $k$ . Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an  $\mathcal{R}$ -algebra and  $\mathbf{B}$  be an interior  $\mathbf{A}$ -algebra, that is,  $\mathbf{B}$  is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -algebra which is an  $\mathbf{A}$ -bimodule satisfying  $(xa)y = x(ay)$  for  $a \in \mathbf{A}$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbf{B}$ . Let  $\mu_{\mathbf{B}} : \mathbf{B} \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  denote the map of  $\mathbf{B}$ -bimodules satisfying  $\mu(x \otimes y) = xy$  for  $x, y \in \mathbf{B}$ . Following [6], we say  $\mathbf{B}$  is a separable interior  $\mathbf{A}$ -algebra if  $\mu_{\mathbf{B}}$  splits as a map of  $\mathbf{B}$ -bimodules. By [6], Lemma 4,  $B$  is a separable interior  $\mathcal{O}P$ -algebra.

**Theorem 1** ([18], Theorem 1)  *$D$  is a separable interior  $\mathcal{O}Q$ -algebra.*

**Corollary 1** ([18], Corollary 1) *Let  $N$  be a finitely generated (left)  $D$ -module. Then  $N$  is a direct summand of  $D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}Q} N$  as a  $D$ -module. In particular  $\bar{D} = D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$  is of finite representation type if  $Q$  is cyclic.*

We recall that if  $P$  is abelian and  $Q$  is cyclic, then the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible  $\bar{D}$ -modules is equal to  $|N_G(P_\gamma)/C_G(P)|$  by Theorem in [17].

## 2 Fan's question

Assume that  $P$  is abelian. Then we have  $Q = [P, N_G(P_\gamma)]$  ([18]). Let  $L = C_P(N_G(P_\gamma))$ . Then we have

$$P = Q \times L$$

as is well known. For  $x \in \mathcal{O}G$  and  $X \subseteq \mathcal{O}G$ , we denote by  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{X}$  the images in  $kG$  by the canonical homomorphism from  $\mathcal{O}G$  onto  $kG$ . Now  $G_{\{b\}}$  is  $Q$ -locally controlled by  $P_\gamma$  in the sense of Fan [2].

**Question 1** (Fan [2], p. 789) *As interior  $P$ -algebras*

$$B \cong D' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}L$$

for some interior  $P$ -algebra  $D'$ .

This question is true if  $P$  is normal in  $G$ , or  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable (see Remark 1 below). Also Okuyama showed that the question is true for  $\bar{B} = B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ .

**Theorem 2** ([18], Theorem 2) *With the above notations, there is a group homomorphism  $\rho : P \rightarrow \bar{D}^\times$  such that  $\rho(q) = q\bar{j}$  for any  $q \in Q$  and that  $d^u = d^{\rho(u)}$  for any  $d \in \bar{D}$  and  $u \in L$ . Moreover, then, there is an interior  $P$ -algebra isomorphism  $\bar{B} \cong \bar{D} \otimes_k kL$  mapping  $du$  on  $d\rho(u) \otimes u$  for any  $d \in \bar{D}$  and  $u \in L$  where  $\bar{D}$  is regarded as an interior  $P$ -algebra with  $\rho$  as structural map.*

(See also [16].) We will show that if  $Q$  is normal in  $G$ , then Fan's question is true.

## 3 The case where $Q$ is normal in $G$

Assume that  $P_\gamma$  is associated with the maximal  $b$ -Brauer pair  $(P, b_P)$ . We have  $N_G(P, b_P) = N_G(P_\gamma)$ . Set  $b_0 = (b_P)^{N_G(P)}$ . Then  $b_0$  is a Brauer correspondent of  $b$ . Let  $B$  be a source algebra of  $b$  defined in the above and let  $B_0$  be a source algebra of  $b_0$ . Let  $E = N/C_G(P)$  be a  $p$ -complement of  $N_G(P_\gamma)/C_G(P)$  and we denote by  $[E]$  a set of representatives for the  $C_G(P)$ -cosets in  $N$ . For  $a \in (\mathcal{O}G)^P$ , we set  $a' = \text{Br}_P(a)$ . Recall that  $ga'g^{-1} = (gag^{-1})'$  ( $g \in N_G(P)$ ).

**Proposition 1** *With the above notations, assume that there exists a normal  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$  such that  $Q \subseteq Z(P)$  and  $(b_P)^{C_G(Q)}$  is nilpotent.*

(i)  $B \cong S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B_0$  as interior  $P$ -algebras, where  $S$  is a (primitive) (interior) Dade  $P$ -algebra.

(ii) If  $P$  is abelian, then  $B \cong D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}L$  as interior  $P$ -algebras, where  $L = C_P(N_G(P_\gamma))$ .

(iii)  $b$  and  $b_0$  are basic Morita equivalent (See [11] for the definition of basic Morita equivalence).

**Remark 1** *If  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable and  $P$  is abelian, then the above theorem holds without the assumption by Remark 3.6 in [3].*

**Remark 2** *From the proof of the proposition, if  $b$  is a principal block of  $G$ , then  $B \cong B_0$ .*

For a  $p$ -subgroup  $X$  of  $G$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}G}(X)$  the set of local point of  $X$  on  $\mathcal{R}G$ .

**Lemma 1** *Let  $\mathbf{Q}$  be a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and set  $C = C_G(\mathbf{Q})$ . Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  containing  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Then any  $\epsilon \in \mathcal{LP}_{\mathcal{RC}}(X)$  is contained a uniquely determined  $\epsilon' \in \mathcal{LP}_{\mathcal{RG}}(X)$ . Moreover the map  $\epsilon \in \mathcal{LP}_{\mathcal{RC}}(X) \mapsto \epsilon' \in \mathcal{LP}_{\mathcal{RG}}(X)$  is a bijection.*

**Proof.** Since there is a natural bijection between  $\mathcal{LP}_{\mathcal{OG}}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{LP}_{kG}(X)$ , we may assume  $\mathcal{R} = k$ . Let  $\epsilon \in \mathcal{LP}_{kC}(X)$  and let  $i \in \epsilon$ . Suppose that

$$i = i_1 + i_2, \quad i_1 i_2 = i_2 i_1 = 0$$

for some idempotents  $i_1, i_2$  in  $(kG)^X$ . Since  $\mathbf{Q} \leq X$ , we have  $i = \text{Br}_{\mathbf{Q}}(i_1) + \text{Br}_{\mathbf{Q}}(i_2)$ . Since  $\text{Br}_{\mathbf{Q}}(i_1), \text{Br}_{\mathbf{Q}}(i_2) \in (kC)^X$  and since  $i$  is primitive in  $(kC)^X$ , we may assume that  $i = \text{Br}_{\mathbf{Q}}(i_1)$  and  $\text{Br}_{\mathbf{Q}}(i_2) = 0$ . So  $i_2 \in \text{Ker}(\text{Br}_{\mathbf{Q}}) = \sum_{Y < \mathbf{Q}} (kG)_Y^{\mathbf{Q}}$ . Since  $\mathbf{Q}$  is a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\text{Ker}(\text{Br}_{\mathbf{Q}})$  is contained in the radical of  $kG$ . Therefore  $i_2 = 0$ . This implies  $i$  is primitive in  $(kG)^X$ . Since  $C_C(X) = C_G(X)$  and since there is a canonical bijection between  $\mathcal{LP}_{kG}(X)$  and the set of points of  $kC_G(X)$ , the lemma easily follows. So the proof is complete. ■

**Proof of Proposition 1**

(i) Set

$$b_{\mathbf{Q}} = (b_P)^{C_G(\mathbf{Q})} \quad \text{and} \quad C = C_G(\mathbf{Q}).$$

Then  $b$  is a unique block of  $G$  which covers  $b_{\mathbf{Q}}$  and  $(P, b_P)$  is a maximal  $b_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -Brauer pair. In order to prove (i), we may assume  $b_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is  $G$ -invariant. By the Frattini argument  $G = CN_G(P, b_P) = CN$ . Since  $b_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is nilpotent,  $C \cap N = C_G(P)$ . Let  $P_{\delta}$  be a defect pointed group of  $C_{\{b_{\mathbf{Q}}\}}$  on  $\mathcal{OC}$ . By Lemma 1, we also may assume  $\delta \subseteq \gamma$ . Let  $i \in \delta$  and set  $B_{\mathbf{Q}} = i\mathcal{O}Ci$ , a source algebra of  $b_{\mathbf{Q}}$ . Note that we may assume  $B = i\mathcal{O}Gi$ . Let  $S$  be a hyperfocal subalgebra of  $b_{\mathbf{Q}}$  contained in  $B_{\mathbf{Q}}$  and set  $C_B(S) = \{x \in B \mid xs = sx \ (\forall s \in S)\}$ . Then  $C_B(S)$  is  $P$ -stable because  $S$  is  $P$ -stable. We will observe that  $C_B(S)$  is a crossed product of  $C_{B_{\mathbf{Q}}}(S)$  over  $E$ , then  $C_B(S) \cong B_0$  as interior  $P$ -algebras.

By [10], Theorem 1.6,  $S$  is a (primitive) Dade  $P$ -algebra. Moreover by [10], 1.8, there is a unique group homomorphism  $\iota : P \rightarrow S^{\times}$  lifting the action of  $P$  on  $S$  such that  $\det(\iota(u)) = 1$  for any  $u \in P$ . Set  $z_u = \iota(u^{-1})u = u\iota(u^{-1})$ . We have  $z_u z_v = z_{uv}$  and  $z_u \in (C_{B_{\mathbf{Q}}}(S))^P$  ( $u \in Z(P)$ ). Hence  $C_B(S)$  becomes an interior  $P$ -algebra. Moreover

$$B_{\mathbf{Q}} = \bigoplus_{u \in P} Su = \bigoplus_{u \in P} Sz_u.$$

Since  $S$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -simple,

$$C_{B_{\mathbf{Q}}}(S) = \bigoplus_{u \in P} \mathcal{O}z_u \cong \mathcal{O}P.$$

Let  $g \in N$ . Since  $P_{\delta}$  is  $N$ -invariant, there is  $x_g \in ((\mathcal{O}C)^P)^{\times}$  such that  $gig^{-1} = x_g i x_g^{-1}$ . Set  $a_g = (x_g^{-1}g)i = i(x_g^{-1}g) \in B \cap \mathcal{O}Cg$ . Then  $(g^{-1}x_g)i = i(g^{-1}x_g)$  is the inverse of  $a_g$  in  $B$  (cf. [15], (44.2)). It is easy to see that

$$(1) \quad {}^{a_g}u = a_g u (a_g)^{-1} = (gug^{-1})i \quad (\forall u \in P).$$

Here we note we can take  $x_{cg} = cx_g$  and hence  $a_{cg} = a_g$  for any  $c \in C_G(P)$ . From (1),  ${}^{a_g}S$  is a hyperfocal subalgebra of  $b_{\mathbf{Q}}$ . By [12], 13.3,  $S$  is unique up to  $((B_{\mathbf{Q}})^P)^{\times}$ -conjugation, and hence we may assume that  $S = {}^{a_g}S$  by replacing  $x_g$  by  $x_g(y_g + (1 - i))$

where  $y_g \in ((B_{\mathbf{Q}})^P)^\times$ . On the other hand, since  $S$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -simple, there exists  $t_g \in S^\times$  such that

$${}^{a_g} s = {}^{t_g} s \quad (\forall s \in S)$$

by a theorem of Skolem-Noether. We may assume  $t_g = t_{cg}$  for any  $c \in C_G(P)$ . Since  $\iota(u^g)s\iota((u^g)^{-1}) = u^g s (u^g)^{-1}$ , we can see

$${}^{a_g} \iota(u^g) s ({}^{a_g} \iota((u^g)^{-1})) = u s u^{-1}.$$

Note  $\det({}^{a_g} \iota(u)) = \det({}^{t_g} \iota(u)) = 1$ . Hence, by the uniqueness of  $\iota$ , we have

$$(2) \quad \iota(u^g) = \iota(u)^{a_g} = \iota(u)^{t_g}.$$

Now we can see

$$(3) \quad B = \bigoplus_{g \in [E]} B_{\mathbf{Q}} a_g = \bigoplus_{g \in [E]} (B \cap \mathcal{O} C g).$$

Set  $c_g = t_g^{-1} a_g \in C_B(S) \cap \mathcal{O} C g$ . We may assume  $c_g = c_{cg}$  for any  $c \in C_G(P)$ . Moreover  $(a_g)^{-1} t_g$  is the inverse of  $c_g$  in  $B$ . From (1) and (2) we can see

$$(4) \quad {}^{a_g} z_u = z_{gu}, \quad {}^{c_g} z_u = z_{gu} \quad (g \in N, u \in P).$$

Moreover

$$c_g c_h (c_{gh})^{-1} \in (C_{B_{\mathbf{Q}}}(S))^\times.$$

Since we have

$$B = \bigoplus_{g \in [E]} \bigoplus_{u \in P} S z_u c_g,$$

$$(5) \quad C_B(S) = \bigoplus_{g \in [E], u \in P} \mathcal{O} z_u c_g.$$

Thus  $C_B(S)$  is a crossed product of  $E$  over  $C_{B_{\mathbf{Q}}}(S)$ . From (4) and [4], Lemma M,  $C_B(S)$  is a twisted group algebra of  $P \rtimes E$  over  $\mathcal{O}$  (see [7] and [5]). In fact, by replacing  $c_g$  by  $c_g \epsilon_g$  for some  $\epsilon_g \in i + J(Z(\mathcal{O}\hat{P})) \subseteq (\mathcal{O}C)^P$  if necessary, where  $\hat{P} = \{z_u \mid u \in P\}$ , we have for some 2-cocycle  $\alpha \in Z^2(E, \mathcal{O}^\times)$

$$(6) \quad c_g c_h = \alpha(g, h) c_{gh} \quad (g, h \in N).$$

Hence by replacing  $x_g$  by  $\tilde{x}_g := x_g ({}^{a_g} \epsilon_g^{-1}) + 1 - i$ , we may assume (6) holds. Then note that we have  $S = (\tilde{x}_g^{-1} g) i S$ .

Since  $S$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -simple,

$$B \cong S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} C_B(S)$$

as interior  $P$ -algebras. In order to complete the proof of (i), by [10], Lemma 7.8, it suffices to show  $C_B(S) \cong B_0$  as interior  $P$ -algebras assuming  $\mathcal{R} = k$ .

Set  $N_S(P) = \{t \in S^\times \mid t.P = \iota(P)t = P.t\}$ . By [9], (e) and [10], Theorem 1.6, there is a group homomorphism  $f : N_{S^\times}(P) \rightarrow S(P)^\times = k^\times i'$  which extends  $\text{Br}_P|_{(S^P)^\times}$ . Since  $t_g \in N_{S^\times}$  from (2) we set

$$f(t_g) = \delta_g i' \quad (g \in N, \delta_g \in k^\times).$$

Now since  $gig^{-1} = x_gix_g^{-1}$  we have

$$gi'g^{-1} = x'_g\delta_gi'\delta_g^{-1}x'_g^{-1}.$$

We set

$$\mathbf{a}_g = (\delta_g^{-1}x'_g{}^{-1}g)i' = i'(\delta_g^{-1}x'_g{}^{-1}g) \in (i'kN_G(P_\gamma)i')^\times.$$

We may assume  $\mathbf{a}_g = \mathbf{a}_{cg}$  for any  $c \in C_G(P)$ . Moreover we have

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{a}_g(ui') = {}^g ui' \quad (g \in N, u \in P).$$

From (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(g, h)i' &= \text{Br}_P(c_{gh}^{-1}c_gc_h) = (gh)^{-1}\text{Br}_P(x_{gh}t_{gh}t_g^{-1}x_g^{-1}(gt_h^{-1}x_h^{-1}g^{-1}))gh \\ &= (gh)^{-1}x'_{gh}i'\delta_{gh}\delta_g^{-1}x'_g{}^{-1}(g\delta_h^{-1}x'_h{}^{-1}g^{-1})gh = \mathbf{a}_{gh}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_g\mathbf{a}_h, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{a}_g\mathbf{a}_h = \alpha(g, h)\mathbf{a}_{gh} \quad (g, h \in N).$$

Since  $B_0 = i'kN_G(P_\gamma)i' = \bigoplus_{g \in [E]} \bigoplus_{u \in P} k(ui')\mathbf{a}_g$ , from (4), (6), (7) and (8),  $B_0 \cong C_B(S)$  as interior  $P$ -algebras. This proves (i).

(ii) Since  $Q$  is  $N_G(P_\gamma)$ -invariant, from (1),  $D = \bigoplus_{g \in [E]} \bigoplus_{u \in Q} Sua_g = \bigoplus_{g \in [E]} \bigoplus_{u \in Q} Szu_cg$  is  $P$ -stable, and we see  $D$  is a hyperfocal subalgebra of  $b$ . On the other hand  $\bigoplus_{r \in L} \mathcal{O}z_r$  is contained in the center  $Z(B)$  and  $B = \bigoplus_{r \in L} Dz_r$ . This implies (ii).

(iii). Let  $e$  be a primitive idempotent of  $S$  and set  $V = Se$ . Then  $V$  becomes an endo-permutation  $\mathcal{O}P$ -module with  $p \nmid \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} V$  by [10], Theorem 1.6. Now from (i) and [8], Theorem 3.4, the  $(\mathcal{O}Gb, \mathcal{O}N_G(P)b_0)$ -bimodule

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}Gi \otimes_{B \cong S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B_0} (V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B_0) \otimes_{B_0} \mathcal{O}N_G(P)$$

and the  $(\mathcal{O}N_G(P)b_0, \mathcal{O}Gb)$ -bimodule

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}N_G(P) \otimes_{B_0} (B_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} V^*) \otimes_{B_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S \cong B} i\mathcal{O}G$$

induce a Morita equivalence between  $b$  and  $b_0$ . We notice that  $\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{M}^*$ . In fact  $\mathcal{N} \cong \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{M}, A) \cong \mathcal{M}^*$  because  $A$  is symmetric, where  $A = \mathcal{O}Gb$  (Auslander-Fuller, 22.1). We can see

$$\mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{O}Gi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}P} (V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B_0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}P} \mathcal{O}N_G(P), \quad V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B_0 \mid_{\mathcal{O}P} \mathcal{M} \mid_{\mathcal{O}P}$$

because  $B$  and  $B_0$  are, respectively, separable interior  $\mathcal{O}P$ -algebras. Since  $B_0$  is a permutation  $\mathcal{O}(P \times P)$ -module and  $V$  is an endo-permutation  $\mathcal{O}P$ -module,  $V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B_0$  is an endo-permutation  $\mathcal{O}(P \times P)$ -module. This implies  $b$  and  $b_0$  are basic Morita equivalent. Recall that any indecomposable component of  $B_0$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Ind}_{P_x}^{P \times P}(\mathcal{O})$  for some  $x \in G$ , where  $P_x$  denotes the subgroup  $\{(u, x^{-1}u) \in P \times P \mid u \in P \cap {}^x P\}$ . Since  $|P| \nmid \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B_0)$ , we can see  $\Delta P = \{(u, u) \mid u \in P\}$  is a vertex of  $\mathcal{M}$ . ■

In the above proposition assume that  $P$  is abelian and  $C_G(\mathbf{Q}) \cap N_G(P_\gamma) = C_G(\mathbf{Q}) \cap N_G(P, b_P) = C_G(P)$ . Then  $b_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is nilpotent.

**Corollary 2** *Assume that  $P$  is abelian and let  $\mathbf{Q}$  be a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $N_G(P_\gamma)$  such that  $C_G(\mathbf{Q}) \cap N_G(P_\gamma) = C_G(P)$ . Then  $(b_P)^{N_G(\mathbf{Q})}$  and  $b_0$  are basic Morita equivalent.*

Proof. Set

$$c = (b_P)^{N_G(\mathbf{Q})}, \quad d = (b_P)^{N_G(\mathbf{Q}) \cap N_G(P)}.$$

By the above theorem  $c$  and  $d$  are basic Morita equivalent. On the other hand  $d\mathcal{O}N_G(P)$  realizes a (splendid) Morita equivalent between  $d$  and  $b_0$ . This implies that  $c$  and  $b_0$  are basic Morita equivalent. ■

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_G(\mathbf{Q}) & c & \\ \uparrow & \text{basic Morita eq.} & \\ N_G(\mathbf{Q}) \cap N_G(P) & d & \\ \uparrow & & \\ C_G(P) & b_P & \end{array}$$

**Corollary 3** *Assume that  $P$  is abelian. Then  $\hat{b}_Q = (b_P)^{N_G(Q)}$  and  $b_0$  are basic Morita equivalent. In particular,  $b$  and  $b_0$  are derived equivalent if and only if  $b$  and  $\hat{b}_Q$  are derived equivalent.*

**Corollary 4** (see [14]) *Assume that  $P$  is abelian and suppose that  $Q$  is cyclic, and let  $Q_1$  be a non-trivial subgroup of  $Q$ . Then  $(b_P)^{N_G(Q_1)}$  and  $b_0$  are basic Morita equivalent.*

## References

- [1] C. Broto, N. Castellan, J. Grodal, R. Levi and B. Oliver, Extensions of  $p$ -local finite groups, *Trans. A. M. S.*, 359(2007), 3791-3858.
- [2] Y. Fan, Relative local control and the block source algebras, *Sci. in China, (Ser. A)*, 40(1997), 785-798.
- [3] M.E. Harris and M. Linckelmann, Splendid derived equivalence for blocks of finite  $p$ -solvable groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* 62(2000), 85-96.
- [4] B. Külshammer, Crossed products and blocks with normal defect groups, *Com. in Algebra*, 13(1985), 147-168.
- [5] B. Külshammer and L. Puig, Extensions of nilpotent blocks, *Invent. math.* 102(1990), 17-71.
- [6] B. Külshammer, T. Okuyama and A. Watanabe, A lifting theorem with applications to blocks and source algebras, *J. Algebra*, 232(2000), 299-309.
- [7] M. Linckelmann and L. Puig, Structure des  $p'$ -extensions des blocs nilpotents, *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, t.304, I(1987), 181-184.
- [8] L. Puig, Pointed groups and construction of characters, *Math. Z.*, 176(1981), 265-292.

- [9] L. Puig, Local extensions in endo-permutation modules split: a proof of Dade's theorem, *Seminaire sur les groupes finis*, Tome III, iii, *Publ. Math. Univ. Paris VII*, 25(1986), 199-205.
- [10] L. Puig, Nilpotent blocks and their source algebras, *Invent. math.*, 93(1988), 77-116.
- [11] L. Puig, On the local structure of Morita and Rickard equivalences between Brauer blocks, *Birkhäuser*, Berlin, 1999
- [12] L. Puig, The hyperfocal subalgebra of a block, *Invent. math.*, 141(2000), 365-397.
- [13] L. Puig, Blocks of finite groups, *The hyperfocal subalgebra of a block*, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [14] R. Rouquier, The derived category of blocks with cyclic defect groups, *L.N.M.*, 1685(1998), 199-220.
- [15] J. Thévenaz, *G-algebras and modular representation theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [16] A. Watanabe, A remark on a splitting theorem for blocks with abelian defect groups, *京都大学数理解析研究所講究録* 1140(2000), 76-79.
- [17] A. Watanabe, On perfect isometries for blocks with abelian defect groups and with cyclic hyperfocal subgroups, *Kumamoto J. Math.*, 18(2005), 85-92.
- [18] A. Watanabe, Note on hyperfocal subalgebras of blocks of finite groups, *J. Algebra*, 322(2009), 449-452.