

# Singular integral operators on $B^{p,\lambda}$ with Morrey-Campanato norms

日本大学 経済学部 松岡勝男\* (Katsuo Matsuoka)  
College of Economics  
Nihon University

大阪教育大学 教育学部 中井英一† (Eiichi Nakai)  
Department of Mathematics  
Osaka Kyoiku University

This is an announcement of our recent work.

## 1 Definitions

For  $r > 0$ , let  $B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| < r\}$  and  $B_r = B(0, r)$ , and for  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , let

$$f_B = \int_B f(y) dy = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(y) dy,$$

where  $|B|$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $B$ , and let

$$m(B, f, t) = |\{x \in B : |f(x)| > t\}|$$

and

$$m_B(f, t) = \frac{m(B, f, t)}{|B|},$$

where  $0 \leq t < \infty$ .

First, we define the Morrey-Campanato norms on balls.

**Definition 1.** For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and the ball  $B_r$ , let

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}(B_r)} = \sup_{B(x,s) \subset B_r} \frac{1}{s^\lambda} \left( \int_{B(x,s)} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p},$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B35; Secondary 46E35, 46E30, 26A33

The first author was supported by Nihon University Individual Research Grant for 2009. The second author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), No. 20540167, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

\*1-3-2 Misaki-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8360, Japan; E-mail: katsu.m@nihon-u.ac.jp

†Kashiwara, Osaka 582-8582, Japan; E-mail: enakai@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp

$$\|f\|_{WL_{p,\lambda}(B_r)} = \sup_{B(x,s) \subset B_r} \frac{1}{s^\lambda} \sup_{t>0} t m_{B(x,s)}(f, t)^{1/p},$$

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(B_r)} = \sup_{B(x,s) \subset B_r} \frac{1}{s^\lambda} \left( \int_{B(x,s)} |f(y) - f_{B(x,s)}|^p dy \right)^{1/p}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(B_r)} = \sup_{x,y \in B_r, x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}.$$

Then, the following relation between the Campanato spaces and the Lipschitz spaces is shown.

**Theorem 1** (Meyers [M], Spanne [S]). *If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \lambda = \alpha \leq 1$  and  $r > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(B_r) = \text{Lip}_\alpha(B_r)$  modulo null-functions and there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , dependent only on  $n$  and  $\lambda$ , such that*

$$C^{-1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(B_r)} \leq \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(B_r)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(B_r)}.$$

The same conclusion holds on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Next, we introduce "new" function spaces  $B^\sigma$  spaces, i.e.  $B^{p,\lambda}$  with Morrey-Campanato norms (see [MN] for details, and cf. [KM<sub>2</sub>]).

**Definition 2.** For  $0 \leq \sigma < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , let  $B^\sigma$ - $E_{\{\text{name}\}}$  spaces  $B^\sigma(E)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\dot{B}^\sigma$ - $E_{\{\text{name}\}}$  spaces  $\dot{B}^\sigma(E)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the sets of all functions  $f$  such that the following functionals are finite, respectively:

$$\|f\|_{B^\sigma(E)} = \sup_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^\sigma} \|f\|_{E(B_r)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{\dot{B}^\sigma(E)} = \sup_{r > 0} \frac{1}{r^\sigma} \|f\|_{E(B_r)}$$

with

$$E = L^p, WL^p, L_{p,\lambda}, WL_{p,\lambda}, \mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda} \text{ and } \text{Lip}_\alpha.$$

We note that  $B^\sigma(L_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$  unifies  $L_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $B^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and that  $B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$  unifies  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\text{CMO}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Actually, we have the following relations:

$$B^0(L_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n) = L_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad B^0(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1)$$

and

$$B^{\lambda+n/p}(L_{p,-n/p})(\mathbb{R}^n) = B^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad B^{\lambda+n/p}(\mathcal{L}_{p,-n/p})(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{CMO}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2)$$

We also have the same properties for  $\dot{B}^\sigma(L_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\dot{B}^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Remark .* We recall the definitions of several function spaces on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see [AGL], [FLL], [LY<sub>1</sub>], [LY<sub>2</sub>] and [MN]): For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} B^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \left\{ f : \|f\|_{B^{p,\lambda}} = \sup_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^\lambda} \left( \int_{B_r} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}, \\ \text{CMO}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \left\{ f : \|f\|_{\text{CMO}^{p,\lambda}} = \sup_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^\lambda} \left( \int_{B_r} |f(y) - f_{B_r}|^p dy \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}, \\ \dot{B}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \left\{ f : \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{p,\lambda}} = \sup_{r > 0} \frac{1}{r^\lambda} \left( \int_{B_r} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}, \\ \text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \left\{ f : \|f\|_{\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}} = \sup_{r > 0} \frac{1}{r^\lambda} \left( \int_{B_r} |f(y) - f_{B_r}|^p dy \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}, \\ L_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \left\{ f : \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \frac{1}{r^\lambda} \left( \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}, \\ WL_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \left\{ f; \|f\|_{WL_{p,\lambda}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \frac{1}{r^\lambda} \sup_{t > 0} t m_{B(x,r)}(f, t)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \left\{ f : \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \frac{1}{r^\lambda} \left( \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f_{B(x,r)}|^p dy \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{Lip}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f : \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha} = \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} < \infty \right\}.$$

## 2 Results

We consider a standard singular integral operator  $T$  and its modified version  $\tilde{T}$  defined by the following:

$$Tf(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y)f(y)dy,$$

where

$$|K(x)| \leq \frac{C_K}{|x|^n} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla K(x)| \leq \frac{C_K}{|x|^{n+1}}, \quad x \neq 0,$$

$$\int_{\epsilon < |x| < N} K(x)dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 < \epsilon < N;$$

$$\tilde{T}f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \{K(x-y) - K(-y)(1 - \chi_{B_1}(y))\} f(y)dy,$$

where  $\chi_E$  is the characteristic function of a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Here, it is known that

$$T : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

$$\begin{aligned} T &: L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow WL^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ \tilde{T} &: \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{T} : \text{Lip}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Also, the following two theorems, which show the extension of boundedness properties of  $T$  and  $\tilde{T}$  to the Morrey spaces and the Campanato spaces, respectively, are well-known.

**Theorem 2** (Peetre [P], Chiarenza and Frasca [CF], Nakai [N<sub>1</sub>]). *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $-n/p \leq \lambda < 0$  and  $T$  be a standard singular integral operator. Then  $T$  is bounded on  $L_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e. there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|Tf\|_{L_{p,\lambda}} \leq C\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}}, \quad f \in L_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

*And also  $T$  is bounded from  $L_{1,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $WL_{1,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e. there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|Tf\|_{WL_{1,\lambda}} \leq C\|f\|_{L_{1,\lambda}}, \quad f \in L_{1,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

**Theorem 3** (Peetre [P], Nakai [N<sub>2</sub>]). *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $-n/p \leq \lambda < 1$  and  $T$  be a standard singular integral operator. Then  $\tilde{T}$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e. there exist constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\|\tilde{T}f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}} \leq C_1\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{C},$$

and

$$\|\tilde{T}f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}} + |(\tilde{T}f)_{B_1}| \leq C_2 (\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}} + |f_{B_1}|) \quad f \in \mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

respectively, where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the space of all constant functions.

Furthermore, we can extend the boundedness properties of  $T$  and  $\tilde{T}$  to  $B^\sigma$ -Morrey spaces and  $B^\sigma$ -Campanato spaces, respectively.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $-n/p \leq \lambda < 0$ ,  $0 \leq \sigma < -\lambda$  and  $T$  be a standard singular integral operator. Then  $T$  is bounded on  $B^\sigma(L_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e. there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|Tf\|_{B^\sigma(L_{p,\lambda})} \leq C\|f\|_{B^\sigma(L_{p,\lambda})}, \quad f \in B^\sigma(L_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

*The same conclusion holds for the boundedness on  $\dot{B}^\sigma(L_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

In the above theorem, if  $\lambda = -n/p$  and  $\sigma = \lambda + n/p$ , then by the relation (2), we have the result in [FLL].

**Corollary 5** (Fu, Liu and Lu [FLL]). *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $-n/p \leq \lambda < 0$  and  $T$  be a standard singular integral operator. Then  $T$  is bounded on  $B^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e. there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|Tf\|_{B^{p,\lambda}} \leq C\|f\|_{B^{p,\lambda}}, \quad f \in B^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

*The same conclusion holds for the boundedness on  $\dot{B}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $-n \leq \lambda < 0$ ,  $0 \leq \sigma < -\lambda$  and  $T$  be a standard singular integral operator. Then  $T$  is bounded from  $B^\sigma(L_{1,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $B^\sigma(WL_{1,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e. there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|Tf\|_{B^\sigma(WL_{1,\lambda})} \leq C\|f\|_{B^\sigma(L_{1,\lambda})}, \quad f \in B^\sigma(L_{1,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

*The same conclusion holds for the boundedness from  $\dot{B}^\sigma(L_{1,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\dot{B}^\sigma(WL_{1,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

In the above theorem, if  $\lambda = -n$  and  $\sigma = \lambda + n$ , then we have the following.

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $-n \leq \lambda < 0$  and  $T$  be a standard singular integral operator. Then  $T$  is bounded from  $B^{1,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $WB^{1,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e. there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|Tf\|_{WB^{1,\lambda}} \leq C\|f\|_{B^{1,\lambda}}, \quad f \in B^{1,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

*The same conclusion holds for the boundedness from  $\dot{B}^{1,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $W\dot{B}^{1,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $-n/p \leq \lambda < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \sigma < -\lambda + 1$  and  $T$  be a standard singular integral operator. Then  $\tilde{T}$  is bounded on  $B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{C}$  and  $B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e. there exist constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\|\tilde{T}f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})} \leq C_1\|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})}, \quad f \in B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{C},$$

and

$$\|\tilde{T}f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})} + |(\tilde{T}f)_{B_1}| \leq C_2 (\|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})} + |f_{B_1}|) \quad f \in B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

*respectively. The same conclusion holds for the boundedness on  $\dot{B}^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\dot{B}^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

In the above theorem, if  $\lambda = -n/p$  and  $\sigma = \lambda + n/p$ , then as a corollary, we have the extension of result in [KM<sub>1</sub>].

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $-n/p \leq \lambda < 1$  and  $T$  be a standard singular integral operator. Then  $\tilde{T}$  is bounded on  $\text{CMO}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\text{CMO}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e. there exist constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\|\tilde{T}f\|_{\text{CMO}^{p,\lambda}} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{\text{CMO}^{p,\lambda}}, \quad f \in \text{CMO}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{C},$$

and

$$\|\tilde{T}f\|_{\text{CMO}^{p,\lambda}} + |(\tilde{T}f)_{B_1}| \leq C_2 (\|f\|_{\text{CMO}^{p,\lambda}} + |f_{B_1}|), \quad f \in \text{CMO}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

respectively. The same conclusion holds for the boundedness on  $\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

And, if  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\lambda = 0$ , then by the relation (1),  $\tilde{T}$  is bounded on  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Also, if  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , then by Theorem 1, the following corollary is obtained.

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \sigma < -\alpha + 1$  and  $T$  be a standard singular integral operator. Then  $\tilde{T}$  is bounded on  $B^\sigma(\text{Lip}_\alpha)(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{C}$  and  $B^\sigma(\text{Lip}_\alpha)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e. there exist constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\|\tilde{T}f\|_{B^\sigma(\text{Lip}_\alpha)} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\text{Lip}_\alpha)}, \quad f \in B^\sigma(\text{Lip}_\alpha)(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{C},$$

and

$$\|\tilde{T}f\|_{B^\sigma(\text{Lip}_\alpha)} + |(\tilde{T}f)_{B_1}| \leq C_2 (\|f\|_{B^\sigma(\text{Lip}_\alpha)} + |f_{B_1}|), \quad f \in B^\sigma(\text{Lip}_\alpha)(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

respectively. The same conclusion holds for the boundedness on  $\dot{B}^\sigma(\text{Lip}_\alpha)(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\dot{B}^\sigma(\text{Lip}_\alpha)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

In the above corollary, if  $\sigma = 0$ , then  $\tilde{T}$  is bounded on  $\text{Lip}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

### 3 Proofs of theorems

In the following proofs of theorems, we use the symbol  $A \lesssim B$  to denote that there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that  $A \leq CB$ . If  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$ , we then write  $A \sim B$ .

Before proving Theorems 4, 6 and 8, we state the following lemma in [MN] (see also [N<sub>2</sub>] for the first part of the lemma).

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $r > 0$ ,*

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq 1, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \text{such that } \|h\|_{\text{Lip}_1} \leq 1, \quad (3)$$

and

$$h_r(\cdot) = h(\cdot/r).$$

(i) If  $-n/p \leq \lambda < 0$ , then for all  $f \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}(B_{3r})} < \infty$ ,

$$\|f\chi_r\|_{L_{p,\lambda}} \leq \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}(B_{3r})}.$$

(ii) If  $-n/p \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , then there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , dependent only on  $n$  and  $\lambda$ , such that for all  $f \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}(B_{3r})} < \infty$ ,

$$\|(f - f_{B_{2r}})h_r\|_{L_{p,\lambda}} \leq C\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}(B_{3r})}.$$

Now we prove the theorems. Here, we omit the proof of Theorem 4 due to the similarity with that of Theorem 6.

**Proof of Theorem 6.** Let  $f \in B^\sigma(L_{1,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $r \geq 1$ . Then, we prove that for any ball  $B_r$ ,

$$\|Tf\|_{WL_{1,\lambda}(B_r)} \lesssim r^\sigma \|f\|_{B^\sigma(L_{1,\lambda})}.$$

To prove this, let

$$Tf = T(f\chi_{B_{2r}}) + T(f(1 - \chi_{B_{2r}})).$$

Now, for any ball  $B(x, s) \subset B_r$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{s^\lambda} \sup_{t>0} 2t m_{B(x,s)}(Tf, 2t) \\ & \leq 2 \left\{ \frac{1}{s^\lambda} \sup_{t>0} t m_{B(x,s)}(T(f\chi_{B_{2r}}), t) + \frac{1}{s^\lambda} \sup_{t>0} t m_{B(x,s)}(T(f(1 - \chi_{B_{2r}})), t) \right\} \\ & = 2(I_1 + I_2), \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

First, by applying the boundedness of  $T$  from  $L_{1,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $WL_{1,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (Theorem 2) and (i) of Lemma 11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq \|T(f\chi_{B_{2r}})\|_{WL_{1,\lambda}(B_r)} \leq \|T(f\chi_{B_{2r}})\|_{WL_{1,\lambda}} \lesssim \|f\chi_{B_{2r}}\|_{L_{1,\lambda}} \\ & \leq \|f\|_{L_{1,\lambda}(B_{6r})} \lesssim r^\sigma \|f\|_{B^\sigma(L_{1,\lambda})}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate  $I_2$ . It follows that for  $x \in B_r$ ,

$$|T(f(1 - \chi_{B_{2r}}))(x)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2r}} \frac{|f(y)|}{|y|^n} dy \lesssim r^{\lambda+\sigma} \|f\|_{B^\sigma(L_{1,\lambda})}.$$

Therefore, since  $\lambda < 0$ , we obtain

$$I_2 \leq \|T(f(1 - \chi_{B_{2r}}))\|_{WL_{1,\lambda}(B_r)} \leq r^{-\lambda} \|T(f(1 - \chi_{B_{2r}}))\|_{L^\infty(B_r)} \lesssim r^\sigma \|f\|_{B^\sigma(L_{1,\lambda})}.$$

Thus, we have for any ball  $B_r$ ,

$$\|Tf\|_{WL_{1,\lambda}(B_r)} \lesssim r^\sigma \|f\|_{B^\sigma(L_{1,\lambda})}.$$

This shows the conclusion.

The proof of the boundedness from  $\dot{B}^\sigma(L_{1,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\dot{B}^\sigma(WL_{1,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the same as above.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 8.** Let  $f \in B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $r \geq 1$ . Then, we prove that that for any ball  $B_r$ ,

$$\|\tilde{T}f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(B_r)} \lesssim r^\sigma \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})},$$

and then  $|(\tilde{T}f)_{B_1}| \lesssim \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})} + |f_{B_1}|$ .

Now, let  $\tilde{f} = f - f_{B_{4r}}$  and let  $h$  be defined by (3). Then, for  $x \in B_r$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}f(x) &= \tilde{T}\tilde{f}(x) + \tilde{T}(f_{B_{4r}})(x) \\ &= T(\tilde{f}h_{2r})(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{f}(1 - h_{2r})(y) (K(x - y) - K(-y)) dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{f}(\chi_{B_1} - h_{2r})(y) K(-y) dy + f_{B_{4r}}(\tilde{T}1)(x) \\ &= I_1(r)(x) + I_2(r)(x) + I_3(r) + I_4(r)(x), \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Here, note that  $\tilde{T}1$  is a constant function and  $I_3(r)$  is constant.

First, since  $(\chi_{B_1} - h_{2r})/|\cdot|^n$  is in  $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , it follows that

$$|I_3(r)| \leq \left\| \frac{\chi_{B_1} - h_{2r}}{|\cdot|^n} \right\|_{L^{p'}} \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^p(B_{4r})} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^p(B_{4r})} \lesssim \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})}. \quad (4)$$

To estimate  $I_1(r)$ , applying the boundedness of  $\tilde{T}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{C}$  (Theorem 3) and (ii) of Lemma 11, we have

$$\|I_1(r)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(B_r)} \leq \|T(\tilde{f}h_{2r})\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}h_{2r}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(B_{6r})} \lesssim r^\sigma \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})}.$$

Similarly, by the boundedness of  $\tilde{T}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (Theorem 3) and (ii) of Lemma 11, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1(r)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(B_r)} + |(I_1(r))_{B_1}| &\leq \|T(\tilde{f}h_{2r})\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}} + |(T(\tilde{f}h_{2r}))_{B_1}| \\ &\lesssim \|\tilde{f}h_{2r}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}} + |(\tilde{f}h_{2r})_{B_1}| \lesssim r^\sigma \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})} + |(\tilde{f}h_{2r})_{B_1}|. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Next, we get for  $x \in B_r$ ,

$$|I_2(r)(x)| \leq r \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2r}} \frac{|f(y) - f_{B_{4r}}|}{|y|^{n+1}} dy \lesssim r^{\lambda+\sigma} \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})}. \quad (6)$$

If  $-n/p \leq \lambda \leq 0$ , then we have

$$\|I_2(r)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,0}(B_r)} \lesssim \|I_2(r)\|_{L_{p,0}(B_r)} \leq \|I_2(r)\|_{L^\infty(B_r)} \lesssim r^\sigma \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})}.$$



If  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , then we have for any  $x, z \in B_r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2(r)(x) - I_2(r)(z)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2r}} |\tilde{f}(y)| |K(x-y) - K(z-y)| dy \\ &\lesssim |x-z| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2r}} \frac{|f(y) - f_{B_{4r}}|}{|y|^{n+1}} dy \\ &\lesssim |x-z| r^{-1+\lambda+\sigma} \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\frac{|I_2(r)(x) - I_2(r)(z)|}{|x-z|^\lambda} \lesssim \left(\frac{|x-z|}{r}\right)^{1-\lambda} r^\sigma \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})} \lesssim r^\sigma \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1,

$$\|I_2(r)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(B_r)} \sim \|I_2(r)\|_{\text{Lip}_\lambda(B_r)} \lesssim r^\sigma \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})}.$$

Thus, we have for any ball  $B_r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{T}f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(B_r)} &= \|I_1(r) + I_2(r) + I_3(r) + I_4(r)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(B_r)} \\ &\lesssim r^\sigma \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the conclusion

$$\|\tilde{T}f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})} \lesssim \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})}.$$

Finally, we estimate each term of right hand side in the inequality

$$|(\tilde{T}f)_{B_1}| \leq |(I_1(1))_{B_1}| + |(I_2(1))_{B_1}| + |I_3(1)| + |I_4(1)|.$$

By taking  $r = 1$  in (4), (5) and (6), it follows that

$$|I_3(1)| \lesssim \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})},$$

$$|(I_1(1))_{B_1}| \lesssim \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})} + |(\tilde{f}h_2)_{B_1}| = \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})} + |f_{B_1} - f_{B_4}|$$

and

$$|(I_2(1))_{B_1}| \lesssim \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})},$$

respectively. Moreover,

$$|f_{B_1} - f_{B_4}| \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}(B_4)} \lesssim \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})}.$$

Therefore, we prove that

$$|(\tilde{T}f)_{B_1}| \lesssim \|f\|_{B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})} + |f_{B_1}|.$$

Thus, we complete the proof of the desired conclusion.

The proof of the boundedness of  $\tilde{T}$  on  $\dot{B}^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{C}$  and on  $\dot{B}^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda})(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the same as above.  $\square$

## References

- [AGL] J. Alvarez, M. Guzmán-Partida and J. Lakey, Spaces of bounded  $\lambda$ -central mean oscillation, Morrey spaces, and  $\lambda$ -central Carleson measures, *Collect. Math.*, **51** (2000), 1–47.
- [CF] F. Chiarenza and M. Frasca, Morrey spaces and Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, *Rend. Mat. Appl.*, **7** (1987), 273–279.
- [FLL] Z. Fu, Y. Lin and S. Lu,  $\lambda$ -central *BMO* estimates for commutators of singular integral operators with rough kernels, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, **24** (2008), no. 3, 373–386.
- [KM<sub>1</sub>] Y. Komori-Furuya and K. Matsuoka, Some weak-type estimates for singular integral operators on *CMO* spaces, *Hokkaido Math. J.*, **39** (2010), 115–126.
- [KM<sub>2</sub>] Y. Komori-Furuya and K. Matsuoka, Strong and weak estimates for fractional integral operators on some Herz-type function spaces, *Proceedings of the Maratea Conference FAAT 2009, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Suppl.*, **82** (2010), 375–385.
- [LY<sub>1</sub>] S. Z. Lu and D. C. Yang, The decomposition of weighted Herz space on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and its applications, *Science in China (Series A)*, **38** (1995), 147–158.
- [LY<sub>2</sub>] S. Lu and D. Yang, Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorems of fractional integration on Herz-type spaces and its applications, *Canad. J. Math.*, **48** (1996), no. 2, 363–380.
- [MN] K. Matsuoka and E Nakai, Fractional integral operators on  $B^{p,\lambda}$  with Morrey-Campanato norms, *Proceedings of Function Spaces IX (Krakow, Poland, 2009)*, Banach Center Publications, to appear.
- [M] N. G. Meyers, Mean oscillation over cubes and Hölder continuity, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **15** (1964), 717–721.
- [N<sub>1</sub>] E. Nakai, Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators and the Riesz potentials on generalized Morrey spaces, **166** (1994), 95–103.
- [N<sub>2</sub>] E. Nakai, Singular and fractional integral operators on Campanato spaces with variable growth conditions, *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, **23** (2010), no. 2, 355–381.
- [P] J. Peetre, On convolution operators leaving  $L_{p,\lambda}$  spaces invariant, *Ann. Math. Pure Appl.*, **72** (1966), 295–304.
- [S] S. Spanne, Some function spaces defined using the mean oscillation over cubes, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3)*, **19** (1965), 593–608.