## Construction of a single-peak solution of the Liouville-Gel'fand equation on a two-dimensional domain with a hole

東京工業大学大学院理工学研究科 菅 徹 (Toru Kan) Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology

## **1** Introduction

We are concerned with the Liouville-Gel'fand equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda e^u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}. \end{cases}$$
(LG)

Here  $\lambda > 0$  is a parameter and  $\Omega_{\epsilon} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a planar domain with a hole whose size is  $\epsilon > 0$ . The precise definition of  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$  will be introduced later. What we discuss in this article is construction of a solution of (LG) caused by a hole in  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ .

The equation (LG) has an interesting solution structure when a domain is non-simply connected. The case where  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$  is an annulus was investigated by S.-S. Lin [7] and Nagasaki and Suzuki [8]. They independently showed that radially symmetric solutions make a branch and it emanates from  $(\lambda, u) = (0, 0)$ , bends back once and blows up at each point in  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$  as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ . Moreover, S.-S. Lin found that the branch has infinitely many secondary bifurcation points from which non-radially symmetric solutions emanate. Nagasaki and Suzuki also obtained non-radially symmetric solutions which have rotational symmetry of order k $(k \in \mathbb{N})$  and is large in some sense. Additionally, Dancer [2] showed that the set of bifurcating non-radially symmetric solutions are unbounded in the bifurcation diagram. These results indicate that bifurcating non-radially symmetric solutions connect to the large solutions obtained by Nagasaki and Suzuki. In [5, 6], suggestive evidence of this expectation was given provided that the inside radius of  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$  is small.

For a general non-simply connected domain, Chen and C.-C. Lin [1] revealed the existence of a solution whose mass is not equal to  $8\pi k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Furthermore, del Pino, Kowalczyk and Musso [3] proved that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , (LG) has a solution blowing up at k different points as  $\lambda \to 0$ .

Our motivation is to obtain more detailed information on the solution structure for general non-simply connected domains by extending the results in [5, 6]. What we consider in particular is a solution with one maximum point. In this article, only by a formal argument, we explain how such a solution can be constructed.

## **2** Construction of a formal solution

We begin with the definition of the domain  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ . Let  $\Omega$  and  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be bounded domains including the origin. Then, for small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  by

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon} := \Omega \setminus \overline{(\varepsilon D)} = \{ x \in \Omega \, ; \, \varepsilon^{-1} x \notin \overline{D} \}.$$

The following figure is an example of  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ .



Figure : Domain  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ 

As will be seen below, an important factor to construct a formal solution is the regular part of a Green's function for Dirichlet Laplacian in  $\Omega$ . We denote it by  $H^{\Omega} = H^{\Omega}(x, y)$ . Then, through this section, we assume that

$$\nabla_x H^{\Omega}(0,0) \neq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

This assumption leads to success of argument.

In what follows, we find a formal expansion of a solution  $(\lambda . u) = (\lambda_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon})$  by using the method of matched asymptotic expansions. To do this we separate  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  into three parts. Two of them are regions near the boundary  $(|x| \sim 1 \text{ and } |x| \sim \varepsilon)$  and the other is a region between them. The latter region is supposed to be  $|x| \sim \delta_{\varepsilon}$ , where  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$  has a property  $\varepsilon \ll \delta_{\varepsilon} \ll 1$   $(\varepsilon \to 0)$  and is determined later. To obtain the expansion in this region, it is convenient to perform the change of variables  $x = \delta_{\varepsilon} y$  and  $v_{\varepsilon}(y) = u_{\varepsilon}(x) + \log(\delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \lambda_{\varepsilon})$ . Then we see that  $v_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies

 $\Delta v_{\varepsilon} + e^{v_{\varepsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\Omega) \setminus (\varepsilon \delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}D).$ 

Assuming that  $v_{\varepsilon}$  can be expanded as  $v_{\varepsilon}(y) = v_0(y) + \delta_{\varepsilon}v_1(y) + \cdots$ , we have

$$\Delta v_0 + e^{v_0} = 0,$$
  

$$\Delta v_1 + e^{v_0} v_1 = 0$$
 in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}.$ 

Since a solution which we find has one peak, it is appropriate to choose  $v_0$  as

$$v_0(y) = \log \frac{8(1-\rho^2)}{(1-\rho^2+|y-\rho\omega|)^2},$$

or, in polar coordinates  $y = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$ ,

$$v_0(y) = \log \frac{8(1-\rho^2)}{r^2 \{r+r^{-1}-2\rho\cos(\theta-\gamma)\}^2}.$$
(2.2)

Here  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  are parameters and  $\omega = (\cos \gamma, \sin \gamma)$ . Substituting this into the equation for  $v_1$ , we have

$$\Delta v_1 + \frac{8(1-\rho^2)}{r^2 \{r+r^{-1}-2\rho\cos(\theta-\gamma)\}^2} v_1 = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}.$$
 (2.3)

To determine  $v_1$ , boundary conditions at the origin and infinity is needed. They are obtained as matching conditions, and therefore we consider the expansion near the boundary. First we treat the region  $|x| \sim 1$ . We formally expand  $u_{\varepsilon}(x) = u_0(x) + \delta_{\varepsilon}u_1(x) + \cdots$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Then, for j = 0, 1, we have

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_j = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \\ u_j = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

Since the maximum principle implies that  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is positive,  $u_0$  must be nonnegative. Hence  $u_0$  is given by

$$u_0(x) = c_0 G_0^{\Omega}(x). \tag{2.5}$$

Here  $c_0$  is a nonnegative constant and  $G_0^{\Omega}$  is a Green's function for the Dirichlet Laplacian in  $\Omega$  with a singularity at the origin.

We substitute  $x = \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{x}$  in (2.5) and  $y = \delta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{x}$  in (2.2), and compare the asymptotic behavior as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . As  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ,

$$\begin{split} u_0(\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{x}) &= c_0 \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{x}|} - H_0^{\Omega}(\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{x}) \right) \\ &\sim c_0 \left( \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|\tilde{x}|} - H_0^{\Omega}(0) - \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla H_0^{\Omega}(0) \cdot \tilde{x} \right) \\ &= c_0 \left( \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} - H_0^{\Omega}(0) - \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu \tilde{r} \cos(\tilde{\theta} - \tau) \right) \\ v_0(\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{x}) &= \log \frac{8(1 - \rho^2)}{(\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{r})^2 \{ (\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{r}) + (\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{r})^{-1} - 2\rho \cos(\tilde{\theta} - \gamma) \}^2} \\ &\sim \log\{8(1 - \rho^2)\delta_{\varepsilon}^2\} + 4\log \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} + \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{4\rho \cos(\tilde{\theta} - \gamma)}{\tilde{r}} \\ &= \log\{8(1 - \rho^2)\delta_{\varepsilon}^2\} + 4\log \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} + \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{4\rho \tilde{x} \cdot \tilde{\omega}}{|\tilde{x}|^2}, \end{split}$$

where  $H_0^{\Omega}(x) = H^{\Omega}(x,0), \nabla H_0^{\Omega}(0) = (\mu \cos \tau, \mu \sin \tau), \tilde{x} = (\tilde{r} \cos \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{r} \sin \tilde{\theta})$  and  $\tilde{\omega} = (\cos \gamma, \sin \gamma)$ . By matching two expansions  $\log 1/(\delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \lambda_{\varepsilon}) + v_0(z) + \delta_{\varepsilon} v_1(z) + \cdots$  and  $u_0(x) + \delta_{\varepsilon} v_1(z) + \cdots$ 

 $\delta_arepsilon u_1(x)+\cdots$  in the region  $|x|\sim \delta_arepsilon^{rac{1}{2}}$  , we have  $c_0=8\pi$  and

$$u_1(x) = 4\rho \frac{x \cdot \tilde{\omega}}{|x|^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right) \quad \text{as} \quad x \to 0.$$
(2.6)

(2.4) and (2.6) give

$$u_1(x) = 4\rho \left( \frac{x \cdot \tilde{\omega}}{|x|^2} - 2\pi \nabla_y H(x, 0) \cdot \tilde{\omega} \right) + c_1 G_0^{\Omega}(x),$$

where  $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  is an undetermined constant. From this,

$$u_1(\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{x}) \sim 4\rho \left( \delta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\tilde{x} \cdot \omega}{|\tilde{x}|^2} - 2\pi\mu\omega \cdot \tilde{\omega} \right) + c_1 \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{x}|} - H_0^{\Omega}(0) \right)$$
$$= \delta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{4\rho \cos(\tilde{\theta} - \tau)}{\tilde{r}} + \frac{c_1}{4\pi} \log \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} + \frac{c_1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} - 8\pi\rho\mu\cos(\gamma - \tau) - c_1 H_0^{\Omega}(0).$$

Thus it is appropriate to impose the condition

$$v_1(y) = -c_0 \mu r \cos(\theta - \tau) + \frac{c_1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r} + a_1 + o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty.$$
 (2.7)

Here  $a_1$  is a constant determined later. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_0}{4\pi} \log \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} &- c_0 H_0^{\Omega}(0) + \frac{c_1}{2\pi} \delta_{\varepsilon} \log \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} - \delta_{\varepsilon} \left( 8\pi \rho \mu \cos(\gamma - \tau) + c_1 H_0^{\Omega}(0) \right) \\ &= \log \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \lambda_{\varepsilon}} + \log\{ 8(1 - \rho^2) \delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \} - \delta_{\varepsilon} a_1, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon} = 8(1-\rho^2)\delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \exp\left[c_0 H_0^{\Omega}(0) + \frac{c_1}{2\pi}\delta_{\varepsilon}\log\frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} + \delta_{\varepsilon}\left\{a_1 - 8\pi\rho\mu\cos(\gamma-\tau) - c_1 H_0^{\Omega}(0)\right\}\right].$$
(2.8)

Next we consider the expansion in  $|x| \sim \varepsilon$ . Performing the change of variables  $x = \varepsilon z$ and putting  $w_{\varepsilon}(z) = u_{\varepsilon}(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^2 \lambda_{\varepsilon} e^{w_{\varepsilon}} = 0 & \text{in} \quad \varepsilon^{-1} \Omega_{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon^{-1} \Omega) \setminus \overline{D}, \\ w_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial(\varepsilon^{-1} \Omega_{\varepsilon}). \end{cases}$$

Hence the formal expansion  $w_{\varepsilon}(z) = w_0(z) + \delta_{\varepsilon} w_1(z) + \cdots$  gives

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w_j = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D, \\ w_j = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial D \end{cases}$$

$$w_j^*(z^*) = w_j(z), \qquad z^* = \frac{z}{|z|^2}.$$

Then  $w_j^*$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w_j^* = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad D^* \setminus \{0\}, \\ w_j^* = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial D^*, \end{cases}$$

where  $D^* := \{z^* = z/|z|^2; z \in D\}$ . Since  $w_0^*$  is nonnegative, we see that  $w_0^*(z^*) = d_0 G_0^{D^*}(z^*)$  for some constant  $d_0 \ge 0$ . Thus

$$w_0(z) = d_0 G_0^{D^*}(z^*) = d_0 G_0^{D^*}(z/|z|^2).$$

If  $d_0 > 0$ , this function has logarithmic growth at  $z = \infty$ , while  $v_0$  has no such a singularity at y = 0. This implies that  $d_0 = 0$ , that is,  $w_0 \equiv 0$ . Since  $w_1$  satisfies the same equation as  $w_0$  and must be nonnegative, we have

$$w_1(z) = d_1 G_0^{D^*}(z^*) = d_1 G_0^{D^*}(z/|z|^2),$$
(2.9)

where  $d_1 \ge 0$  is some undetermined constant.

We compare the expansions in the region  $|x| \sim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . By putting  $z = \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{x}$  in (2.9) and  $y = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{x}$  in (2.2), we have

$$\begin{split} w_1(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{x}) &= d_1\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\log|\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{x}| - H_0^{D^*}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\hat{x}}{|\hat{x}|^2}\right)\right) \\ &\sim d_1\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\log(\varepsilon^{-1}\delta_{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{2\pi}\log|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{x}| - H_0^{D^*}(0)\right), \\ v_0(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{x}) &= \log\frac{8(1-\rho^2)}{(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{r})^2\{(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{r}) + (\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{r})^{-1} - 2\rho\cos(\hat{\theta}-\gamma)\}^2} \\ &\sim \log\{8(1-\rho^2)\}. \end{split}$$

Thus, assuming that two expansions  $\log 1/(\delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \lambda_{\varepsilon}) + v_0(y) + \delta_{\varepsilon} v_1(y) + \cdots$  and  $w_0(z) + \delta_{\varepsilon} w_1(z) + \cdots$  give the same expansion in  $|x| \sim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , we deduce

$$v_1(y) = \frac{d_1}{2\pi} \log r + a_2 + o(1)$$
 as  $r \to 0$  (2.10)

and

$$\log \frac{8(1-\rho^2)}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \lambda_{\varepsilon}} - \delta_{\varepsilon} a_2 = d_1 \delta_{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(\varepsilon^{-1} \delta_{\varepsilon}) - H_0^{D^*}(0) \right).$$
(2.11)

Here  $a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant determined later.

Now we solve (2.3) under the conditions (2.7) and (2.10). First we observe that the functions

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\rho,\gamma,1}(z) &= \frac{r - r^{-1}}{r + r^{-1} - 2\rho\cos(\theta - \gamma)},\\ \Phi_{\rho,\gamma,2}(z) &= \frac{2\cos(\theta - \gamma) - \rho(r + r^{-1})}{r + r^{-1} - 2\rho\cos(\theta - \gamma)},\\ \Phi_{\rho,\gamma,3}(z) &= \frac{\sin(\theta - \gamma)}{r + r^{-1} - 2\rho\cos(\theta - \gamma)} \end{split}$$

are bounded solutions of (2.3). Furthermore, every bounded solution of (2.3) is linear combination of these solutions (see [4], [5]). We also observe what is necessary to solve the equation. Suppose that (2.3), (2.10) and (2.7) has a solution. By a simple computation, we have

$$\Phi_{\rho,\gamma,j}(z) = \begin{cases} 1+2\rho r^{-1}\cos(\theta-\gamma) + O(r^{-2}) & (j=1) \\ -\rho\{1-2(\rho^{-1}-\rho)r^{-1}\cos(\theta-\gamma)\} + O(r^{-2}) & (j=2) & \text{as } r \to \infty, \\ r^{-1}\cos(\theta-\gamma) + O(r^{-2}) & (j=3) \end{cases}$$
$$\Phi_{\rho,\gamma,j}(z) = \begin{cases} -1+2\rho r\cos(\theta-\gamma) + O(r^2) & (j=1) \\ -\rho\{1-2(\rho^{-1}-\rho)r\cos(\theta-\gamma)\} + O(r^2) & (j=2) \\ r\cos(\theta-\gamma) + O(r^2) & (j=3) \end{cases} \text{ as } r \to 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, as  $r \to \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} r\left(\frac{\partial w_1}{\partial r}\Phi_{\rho,\gamma,j}-w_1\frac{\partial\Phi_{\rho,\gamma,j}}{\partial r}\right) \\ = \begin{cases} -c_0\mu r\cos(\theta-\tau)-\frac{c_1}{2\pi}-4c_0\rho\mu\cos(\theta-\tau)\cos(\theta-\gamma)+o(1) & (j=1) \\ -\rho\{-c_0\mu r\cos(\theta-\tau)-\frac{c_1}{2\pi} & \\ +4c_0(\rho^{-1}-\rho)\mu\cos(\theta-\tau)\cos(\theta-\gamma)\}+o(1) & (j=2) \\ -2c_0\mu\cos(\theta-\tau)\sin(\theta-\gamma)+o(1) & (j=3) \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

and as  $r \to 0$ ,

$$r\left(\frac{\partial w_1}{\partial r}\Phi_{\rho,\gamma,j} - w_1\frac{\partial \Phi_{\rho,\gamma,j}}{\partial r}\right) = \begin{cases} -d_1/(2\pi) + o(1) & (j=1)\\ -(\rho d_1)/(2\pi) + o(1) & (j=2) \\ o(1) & (j=3) \end{cases}$$

Thus multiplying both sides of (2.3) by  $\Phi_{\rho,\gamma,j}$  and integrating give

$$0 = \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} r \left( \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial r} \Phi_{\rho,\gamma,j} - w_1 \frac{\partial \Phi_{\rho,\gamma,j}}{\partial r} \right) d\theta \right]_{r=0}^{\infty}$$

$$= \begin{cases} -c_1 - 4\pi c_0 \rho \mu \cos(\gamma - \tau) + d_1 & (j = 1) \\ -\rho \{ -c_1 + 4\pi c_0 (\rho^{-1} - \rho) \mu \cos(\gamma - \tau) - d_1 \} & (j = 2) \\ 2\pi c_0 \mu \sin(\gamma - \tau) & (j = 3) \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\mu > 0$  from (2.1) and  $d_1 \ge 0$ . Therefore the above relations yield

$$\gamma = \tau,$$

$$c_1 = 2\pi c_0 \mu \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - 2\rho\right) = 16\pi^2 \mu \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - 2\rho\right),$$

$$d_1 = \frac{2\pi c_0 \mu}{\rho} = \frac{16\pi^2 \mu}{\rho}.$$

Conversely, it can be checked that the function

$$V(y) = -c_0 \mu \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \rho\right) \Phi_{\rho,\tau,1}(y) \log r + \Phi_{\rho,\tau,1}(y) \log r - \frac{1}{\rho} + r \cos(\theta - \tau) \right\}$$

is a solution of (2.3), (2.10), (2.7) provided that  $\gamma$ ,  $c_1$  and  $d_1$  satisfy the above relations. Thus, by setting  $a_2 = a_3 = (c_0 \mu)/\rho$ , we see that  $v_1$  is given by

$$v_1(y) = V(y) + \alpha \Phi_{\rho,\tau,3}(y),$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is an arbitrary constant.

From (2.8) and (2.11), it can be shown that

$$\delta_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\rho}{2\pi\mu} \frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} (1 + o(1))$$

as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Hence setting  $\eta_{\varepsilon} = 2\pi\mu\delta_{\varepsilon}/\rho$ , we have

$$\eta_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\log \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} (1 + o(1)),$$
$$\lambda_{\varepsilon} = \frac{4\rho^2 (1 - \rho^2) e^{8\pi H_0^{\Omega}(0)}}{\mu \pi} \eta_{\varepsilon}^2 (1 + o(1)).$$

This indicates that  $u_{\epsilon}$  appears through a saddle-node bifurcation when  $\rho \sim 1/\sqrt{2}$ .

Finally we discuss how the constant  $\alpha$  is determined. From the formal expansion obtained above, the solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is expected to expand as

$$u_{\varepsilon}(x) = \log \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \lambda_{\varepsilon}} + v_0(y) + \delta_{\varepsilon} V(y) + \alpha \delta_{\varepsilon} \Phi_{\rho,\tau,3}(y) + (h.o.t.)$$

provided that  $|y| \sim 1$ . This expansion is valid only in the region  $|y| \sim 1$ , and therefore we add a correction term to obtain an approximation in the whole region of  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ . We define a

correction function  $v_c$  as a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v_c = 0 & \text{in } \delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\Omega_{\varepsilon}, \\ v_c = -\log \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \lambda_{\varepsilon}} - v_0 - \delta_{\varepsilon} V & \text{on } \partial(\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\Omega_{\varepsilon}). \end{cases}$$

Then one can show that

$$|v_c(y)| \le C \left(\varepsilon r^{-1} + \delta_{\varepsilon}^2 r^2\right)$$

for all  $y \in \delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ , and

$$v_c(y) = \delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \xi(y) + o(\delta_{\varepsilon}^2)$$

locally uniformly for  $y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Here C > 0 is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\xi$  is a function determined by the regular part of a Green's function in  $\Omega$  (we omit the detail of  $\xi$ ). Consequently, we obtain the expansion

$$u_{\varepsilon}(x) = \log \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \lambda_{\varepsilon}} + U_{\varepsilon}(y) + \alpha \delta_{\varepsilon} \Phi_{\rho,\tau,3}(y) + r_{\varepsilon}(y),$$

where  $U_{\varepsilon} = v_0 + \delta_{\varepsilon} V + v_c$  and  $r_{\varepsilon}$  is a remainder term.  $r_{\varepsilon}$  is expected to be small on whole domain  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  in some appropriate topology.

We set  $\eta_{\varepsilon}(y) = \alpha \delta_{\varepsilon} \Phi_{\rho,\tau,3}(y) + r_{\varepsilon}(y)$  and substitute the above expansion into (LG). Then the equation is rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}(\eta_{\varepsilon}) + F(\eta_{\varepsilon}) + R_{\varepsilon} = 0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\eta_{\varepsilon}) = \Delta \eta_{\varepsilon} + e^{U_{\varepsilon}} \eta_{\varepsilon},$$
  

$$F(\eta_{\varepsilon}) = e^{U_{\varepsilon}} (e^{\eta_{\varepsilon}} - 1 - \eta_{\varepsilon}),$$
  

$$R_{\varepsilon} = \Delta U_{\varepsilon} + e^{U_{\varepsilon}}.$$

To determine the constant  $\alpha$ , we multiply the above equation by  $\Phi_{\rho,\tau,3}$  and integrate over  $\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ . Then we have

$$\int_{\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \mathcal{L}(\eta_{\varepsilon}) \Phi_{\rho,\tau,3} dx \sim \int_{\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \eta_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}(\Phi_{\rho,\tau,3}) dx$$
$$\sim \alpha \delta_{\varepsilon} \int_{\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\Omega_{\varepsilon}} (e^{U_{\varepsilon}} - e^{v_{0}}) \Phi_{\rho,\tau,3}^{2} dx$$
$$\sim \alpha \delta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{v_{0}} V \Phi_{\rho,\tau,3}^{2} dx$$
$$= \frac{4\pi^{2}\mu}{\rho} \alpha \delta_{\varepsilon}^{2},$$
$$\int_{\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\Omega_{\varepsilon}} F(\eta_{\varepsilon}) \Phi_{\rho,\tau,3} dx \sim \int_{\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\Omega_{\varepsilon}} e^{U_{\varepsilon}} \eta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \Phi_{\rho,\tau,3} dx$$

$$\sim \alpha^2 \delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{v_0} \Phi^3_{\rho,\tau,3} dx$$
$$= 0.$$

From the definition of  $U_{\varepsilon}$ , we see that

$$R_{\varepsilon} = e^{v_0} (e^{\delta_{\varepsilon} V + v_c} - 1 - \delta_{\varepsilon} V).$$

Hence

$$\int_{\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\Omega_{\varepsilon}} R_{\varepsilon} \Phi_{\rho,\tau,3} dx \sim \int_{\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\Omega_{\varepsilon}} e^{v_{0}} \{ v_{c} + (\delta_{\varepsilon}V + v_{c})^{2} \} \Phi_{\rho,\tau,3} dx$$
$$\sim \delta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{v_{0}} (\xi + V^{2}) \Phi_{\rho,\tau,3} dx$$
$$= \delta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{v_{0}} \xi \Phi_{\rho,\tau,3} dx.$$

Thus  $\alpha$  is given by

$$\alpha = \frac{\rho}{4\pi^2 \mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{v_0} \xi \Phi_{\rho,\tau,3} dx.$$

At the end, we summarize what we obtained.

**Main Result 1.** Assume (2.1). Then, for small  $\varepsilon$  and  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , we can construct a "formal" solution  $(\lambda_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon})$  of (LG) with the following expansion :

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon} \sim \frac{4\rho^2 (1-\rho^2) e^{8\pi H_0^{\Omega}(0)}}{\mu \pi} \left(\frac{\log \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}\right)^2, \qquad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0.$$
$$u_{\varepsilon}(x) \sim \log \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \lambda_{\varepsilon}} + v_0(\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}x) + \delta_{\varepsilon} v_1(\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}x) + v_c(\delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}x)$$

Here constants and functions are chosen suitably as discussed above.

## References

- [1] C.-C. Chen and C.-C. Lin, Topological Degree for a Mean Field Equation on Riemann Surfaces,
- [2] E.N. Dancer, Global breaking of symmetry of positive solutions on two-dimensional annuli, Differential Integral Equations 5 (1992), 903-913.
- [3] M. del Pino, M. Kowalczyk and M. Musso, Singular limits in Liouville-type equations, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 24 (2005), 47–81.
- [4] M. del Pino, P. Esposito and M. Musso, Nondegeneracy of entire solutions of a singular Liouville equation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), 581–588.

- [5] T. Kan, Global structure of the solution set for a semilinear elliptic equation related to the Liouville equation on an annulus, Springer INdAM Series Vol.2 (2013), 201–222.
- [6] T. Kan, Non-radially symmetric solutions of the Liouville equation on a twodimensional annular domain, in preparation.
- [7] S.-S. Lin, On non-radially symmetric bifurcation in the annulus, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 56 (2003), 1667–1727.
- [8] K. Nagasaki and T. Suzuki, Radial and nonradial solutions for the nonlinear eigenvalue problem  $\Delta u + \lambda e^u = 0$  on annuli in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , J. Differential Equations 87 (1990), 144–168.