TANGENTIAL REPRESENTATIONS ON A SPHERE

九州大学基幹教育院 角 俊雄 (Toshio Sumi) Faculty of Arts and Science Kyushu University

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group. The Smith problem is as follows. Let Σ be a homotopy sphere with smooth G-action such that Σ has just two fixed points, say a and b. Are tangential representations $T_a(\Sigma)$ and $T_b(\Sigma)$ isomorphic as real G-modules? Two real G-modules U and V are called Smith equivalent if there exists a smooth action of G on a sphere Σ such that $S^G = \{a, b\}, T_a(\Sigma) \cong U$ and $T_b(\Sigma) \cong V$ as real G-modules. We know infinitely many Oliver groups possessing non-isomorphic Smith equivalent real modules. We consider about the subset Sm(G) of the real representation ring RO(G) of G consisting of all differences U - V of Smith equivalent real G-modules. Recently we have several results corresponding to the Smith set. In this note, we study a sufficient condition for the Smith set to be an additive subgroup of the real representation ring RO(G). This work is a continuous study from [24].

2. Smith Problem

The Smith problem asks whether the Smith set Sm(G) is zero or not. There are many results corresponding to the Smith problem.

Atiyah and Bott [1] or Milnor [7] showed that for a homotopy sphere Σ with semi-free smooth compact Lie group with just two fixed points, the tangential representations are isomorphic. Thus, any Smith equivalent real modules over an abelian simple group are isomorphic, that is, Sm(C) = 0 for a prime order cyclic group C. Sanchez [18] generalized the result as follows by computing G-signature and using Franz-Bass's theorem. For a cyclic group P of odd prime power order, Smith equivalent real P-modules are isomorphic. Therefore Sm(P) = 0 for any group P of odd prime power order by combining the Smith theory.

On the other hand, Cappell and Shaneson [2] showed that there exists non-isomorphic, Smith equivalent real module over a cyclic group C_{4n} of order 4n for $n \ge 2$, that is, $Sm(C_{4n}) \ne \{0\}$. Petrie [17] showed that the Smith set of an abelian group of odd order which has at least four non-cyclic subgroups is nontrivial, eg. $Sm(C_{pqrs} \times C_{pqrs}) \ne 0$,

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 57S17, 20C15.

Key words and phrases. real representation, Smith problem, Oliver group.

This work is partially supported by KAKENHI No. 24540083.

where p, q, r, s are distinct odd primes. And in 1980's, Dovermann, Suh, Masuda, etc. studied the Smith equivalent real modules.

Oliver [13] showed that G acts smoothly on a disk without fixed points if and only if there are no subgroups P and H such that P is a p-group, H/P is cyclic, G/H is a q-group for some primes p and q, possibly p = q. A group acting on a disk without fixed points is called an Oliver group. Laitinen and Morimoto [5] showed that G is an Oliver group if and only if there exists a one fixed point G-action on sphere. Laitinen and Pawałowski [6] showed that there exists Smith equivalent, non-isomorphic real G-modules for a perfect group G with $r_G \ge 2$ by connecting sum with a sphere with just one fixed point, where r_G is the number of real conjugacy classes of elements of G not of prime power order. After that, Pawałowski and Solomon [14] extended to that $Sm(G) \ne 0$ if G is a gap Oliver group with $r_G \ge 2$ except $Aut(A_6)$ and $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$. A group G is a gap group if there exists a real G-module V such that

- dim $V^L = 0$ for any prime power index subgroup L of G and
- for any subgroups P of prime power order and H with H > P,

$$\dim V^P \ge 2 \dim V^H.$$

In particular, a perfect group G with $r_G \ge 2$ is a gap Oliver group. A study for gap groups is seen in [12, 19, 20, 22, 23].

Now we need some notations. A real conjugacy class $(x)^{\pm}$ of an element x of G is the union of the conjugacy class

$$(x) = \{ g^{-1} x g \mid g \in G \}$$

of x and one of its inverse x^{-1} . We denote by NPP(G) the set of elements of G not of prime power order, by $\overline{\text{NPP}}(G)$ the set of elements of the real conjugacy classes of elements of NPP(G). Then r_G is the cardinality of the set $\overline{\text{NPP}}(G)$. For a prime p, let $\mathcal{N}_p(G)$ be the set of normal subgroups N of G with $[G : N] \leq p$. We denote by RO(G) the real representation ring, by $\mathcal{P}(G)$ the set of all subgroups of G of prime power, possibly 1, order, by $O^p(G)$ the Dress subgroup of type p for a prime p defined as

$$O^p(G) = \bigcap_{L \trianglelefteq G; [G:L] = p^a} L$$

and by $\mathcal{L}(G)$ the set of all prime power, possible 1, index subgroups of G. Then for $L \in \mathcal{L}(G)$, L contains $O^{p}(G)$ for some prime p. We put

$$\cap p(G) = \cap_{N \in \mathcal{N}_p(G)} N$$

which quotient is an elementary abelian p-group and denote by G^{nil} the smallest normal subgroup of G by which quotient is nilpotent:

$$G^{\operatorname{nil}} = \bigcap_{p} O^{p}(G).$$

Note that

$$G \trianglerighteq \cap p(G) \trianglerighteq O^p(G) \trianglerighteq G^{\operatorname{nil}}.$$

For families \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 of subgroups of G and a subset A of RO(G), we put

$$A_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{F}_1} \ker(\operatorname{Res}_P^G \colon \operatorname{RO}(G) \to \operatorname{RO}(P)) \cap A,$$
$$A^{\mathcal{F}_2} = \bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{F}_2} \ker(\operatorname{Fix}^L \colon \operatorname{RO}(G) \to \operatorname{RO}(N_G(L)/L)) \cap A,$$

and

$$A_{\mathcal{F}_1}^{\mathcal{F}_2} = A_{\mathcal{F}_1} \cap A^{\mathcal{F}_2} = \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{F}_1} \ker \operatorname{Res}_P^G \cap \bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{F}_2} \ker \operatorname{Fix}^L \cap A.$$

The automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(A_6)$ of the alternating group A_6 is not a gap group, $r_{\operatorname{Aut}(A_6)} = 2$, and $\operatorname{Sm}(G) = 0$ [8]. Morimoto [8] gave a condition

$$\operatorname{Sm}(G) \subset \operatorname{RO}(G)^{\mathcal{N}_2(G)} = \operatorname{RO}(G)^{\cap 2(G)}$$

for Smith equivalent real modules. The rank of $RO(G)^{N_2(G)}$ is equal to

$$r_G - r_{G,\cap 2(G)},$$

where $r_{G,\cap 2(G)}$ is the cardinality of the set $\pi(\overline{\text{NPP}}(G))$ for a canonical projection $\pi: G \to G/\cap 2(G)$ (cf. [14]). This condition implies that there are Oliver solvable groups G such that $r_G \ge 2$ and Sm(G) = 0 [15]. The group P $\Sigma L(2, 27)$ is an extension of PSL(2, 27) by a field automorphism group of order 3 which is a gap non-solvable group, $r_{P\Sigma L(2,27)} = 2$ and $\text{Sm}(P\Sigma L(2, 27)) \ne 0$ [9]. Moreover, putting together with [16], for an Oliver non-solvable group G with $r_G \ge 2$, Sm(G) = 0 if and only if G is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(A_6)$.

3. Subsets of the Smith set

Sanchez's criterion and Petrie's observation says that

$$\operatorname{Sm}(G) \subset \operatorname{RO}(G)_{\mathcal{P}_{a}(G)}^{\{G\}},$$

where $\mathcal{P}_o(G)$ is the set of subgroups of G of order 1, 2, 4, or odd prime power. Thus we have

$$\operatorname{Sm}(G) \subset \operatorname{RO}(G)_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{O}}(G)}^{\mathcal{N}_{2}(G)}$$

Note that if G has no element of order 8 then $\mathcal{P}_o(G) = \mathcal{P}(G)$. Recall that two real G-modules U and V are Smith equivalent if there exists a smooth action of G on a sphere Σ such that $S^G = \{a, b\}, T_a(\Sigma) \cong U$ and $T_b(\Sigma) \cong V$ as real G-modules and put

 $Sm(G) = \{[U] - [V] | U \text{ and } V \text{ are Smith equivalent}\}.$

Similarly we consider the sets $PSm^{c}(G)$ (resp. LSm(G)) of all differences [U] - [V] such that U and V are Smith equivalent and in addition the homotopy sphere Σ satisfies that Σ^{P} is connected for any prime power order subgroups P of G (resp. for any 2-groups of G).

The set $PSm^{c}(G)$ (resp. LSm(G)) is empty if and only if G is of order prime power (resp. 2-power). It holds the inclusions

 $PSm^{c}(G) \subset LSm(G) \subset Sm(G)$

and

$$LSm(G) \subset RO(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$$
.





Theorem 3.1. Let G be an Oliver group whose nil-quotient G/G^{nil} is not a 2-group. Then $\operatorname{RO}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}^{\mathcal{L}(G)} \subset \operatorname{PSm}^{c}(G).$

Moreover, we have

Theorem 3.2. Let G be an Oliver non-gap group with $[G : O^2(G)] = 2$. Suppose that all elements x of $G \setminus O^2(G)$ of order 2 such that $C_G(x)$ is not a 2-group. Then

$$\operatorname{RO}(G)^{\mathcal{L}(G)}_{\mathcal{P}(G)} \subseteq \operatorname{PSm}^{c}(G).$$

We denote by SG(m, n) the small group of order m and type n which is obtained as SmallGroup(m, n) in the software GAP [3]. Morimoto studied (or is studying) the set Sm(G)_{$\mathcal{P}(G)$} \setminus Sm(G)^{$\mathcal{L}(G)$}_{$\mathcal{P}(G)$}. He [9] showed that for $G = P\Sigma L(2, 27)$, SG(864, 2666), SG(864, 4666), Sm(G)^{$\mathcal{L}(G)$}_{$\mathcal{P}(G)$} = 0 but Sm(G)_{$\mathcal{P}(G)$} = Sm(G) $\cong \mathbb{Z}$. Also he and his colleagues [4] showed that if a Sylow 2-subgroup is normal, then

$$\operatorname{Sm}(G) \subset \operatorname{RO}(G)^{\mathcal{N}_3(G)}$$

and in particular Sm(G) = 0 holds for G = SG(1176, 220), SG(1176, 221).

For an Oliver group, we see $PSm^c(G) \neq 0$ to show $Sm(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)} \neq 0$. We have no rich examples so that $Sm(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)} \neq Sm(G)$, whole $Sm(G) \setminus Sm(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$ is a finite set. We do

not have an example for an Oliver group G such that $PSm^{c}(G) \neq Sm(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$. It remains the problem whether $PSm^{c}(G) = Sm(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$ for an Oliver group.

4. CRITERION FOR THE SMITH SET TO BE A GROUP

We discuss for Oliver groups G such that $PSm^{c}(G)$ is a subgroup of RO(G). We introduce two condition. One is a part of a sufficient condition to show $Sm(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)} \times Sm(G)^{\mathcal{L}(G)} \neq 0$ and the other is a sufficient condition so that $Sm(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$ is a group.

Let $Q = \bigcap_{p \neq 2} O^p(G)$ be a normal subgroup of G with odd index and let N be a normal subgroup of G with $G^{\text{nil}} \leq N \leq \bigcap 2(G) \cap Q$. Then

$$Q \ge \cap 2(G) \cap Q \ge N \ge G^{\operatorname{nil}} \ge O^2(Q).$$

Definition 4.1. We say that G satisfies the quasi-N- \mathcal{P} -condition if there are real Q-modules U and V such that

- dim $U^{\cap 2(G)\cap Q}$ = dim V^N = 0 and
- $[\mathbb{R} \oplus U] [V] \in \mathrm{RO}(Q)_{\mathcal{P}(Q)}$.

In particular, the quasi- G^{nil} - \mathcal{P} -condition is simply called quasi-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition.

Definition 4.2. We say that G satisfies the weak-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition if there are real G-modules U and V such that

- dim $U^{\cap 2(G)}$ = dim $V^{G^{\text{nil}}}$ = 0 and
- $[\mathbb{R} \oplus U] [V] \in \mathrm{RO}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$.

Lemma 4.3. If G satisfies the quasi-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition, then G satisfies the weak Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition.

Proposition 4.4 (cf. [10, Lemma 15]). Let G be a finite group with $O^2(G) = G$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) G^{nil} has a sub-quotient isomorphic to D_{2pq} for distinct primes p, q.
- (2) G satisfies the quasi-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition.

Morimoto and Qi [11] obtained a sufficient condition for an Oliver group G to hold that $\operatorname{Sm}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$ is not equal to $\operatorname{Sm}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}^{\mathcal{L}(G)}$. This result supplies that $\operatorname{Sm}(G) = \operatorname{Sm}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for $G = \operatorname{SG}(864, 2666)$ or $\operatorname{SG}(864, 4666)$. For $G = \operatorname{SG}(864, 2666)$ or $\operatorname{SG}(864, 4666)$, G/G^{nil} is a cyclic group of order 3 and $\operatorname{RO}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$ is generated by two element $\mathbb{R}[G/G^{\operatorname{nil}}] + X_1$ and $3(\mathbb{R}[G/G^{\operatorname{nil}}] - \mathbb{R}) + X_2$ for some elements $X_1, X_2 \in \operatorname{RO}(G)^{\{G^{\operatorname{nil}}\}}$ and thus, G satisfies the weak-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition since G/G^{nil} is a cyclic group of order 3. We see it in the next section. Indeed, G has a sub-quotient isomorphic to D_{12} and G satisfies the quasi-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition.

Definition 4.5. For a normal subgroup N of G, we say that G satisfies the N- \mathcal{P} -condition if there are real G-modules U and V such that $U^N = V^N = 0$ and $[\mathbb{R} \oplus U] - [V] \in \operatorname{RO}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$. If $N = G^{\operatorname{nil}}$ we say that G satisfies the Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition.

Lemma 4.6 or Theorem 4.8 in [9] essentially yields us the following two theorems.

Theorem 4.6. If a gap Oliver group G satisfies the weak-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition with NPP(G) \cap G^{nil} $\neq \emptyset$ and has an element of NPP(G) outside $O^p(G)$ for some prime p, then

$$\mathsf{PSm}^{c}(G) \setminus \mathsf{RO}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}^{\mathcal{L}(G)} \neq 0.$$

Note that under the assumption that NPP(G) $\cap G^{\text{nil}} \neq \emptyset$ the inequality RO(G) $_{\mathcal{P}(G)}^{\mathcal{N}_2(G)} \neq$ RO(G) $_{\mathcal{P}(G)}^{\mathcal{L}(G)}$ if and only if NPP(G) $\setminus O^p(G)$ is not empty for some prime p. By using the multiplication of RO(G), we get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. Let G be a gap Oliver group satisfying the Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition. Then

$$\mathrm{PSm}^{c}(G) = \mathrm{RO}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}^{\mathcal{N}_{2}(G)} = \mathrm{Sm}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$$

and in particular $Sm(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$ is an additive group.

If a Sylow 2-subgroup of G is normal, G does not satisfy the Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition. Although the Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition is a sufficient one for an Oliver group G such that $\text{Sm}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$ is a additive group, it is not a necessary condition. For example, $A_5 \times C_4$ does not satisfy the Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition but the following result holds.

Proposition 4.8. $PSm^{c}(A_{5} \times C_{4}) = Sm(A_{5} \times C_{4}) = RO(A_{5} \times C_{4})^{\{A_{5}\}}$.

Problem. PSm^c $(A_5 \times (C_4)^n) =$ Sm $(A_5 \times (C_4)^n)$ holds. Is it true that PSm^c $(A_5 \times (C_4)^n) =$ RO $(A_5 \times (C_4)^n)^{\{A_5 \times (C_2)^n\}}$ for $n \ge 2$?

5. QUASI-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition

In this section we study properties for the weak-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition. Remark that there is an Oliver group which satisfies the weak-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition but does not satisfy the Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition (eg. SG(864, 2666), SG(864, 4666)).

Proposition 5.1. Let K be a subgroup of G such that $\cap 2(G) \cdot K = G$. If K satisfies the weak- $(G^{nil} \cap K)$ - \mathcal{P} -condition, then G satisfies the weak-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition.

Theorem 5.2. Let G be a gap Oliver group. Suppose that NPP(G) \cap G^{nil} is not empty and that there is an element NPP(G) outside of $O^p(G)$ for some prime p. If an odd index subgroup K of G satisfies the weak- $(G^{nil} \cap K)$ -P-condition, then

$$\mathsf{PSm}^{c}(G) \smallsetminus \mathsf{RO}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}^{\mathcal{L}(G)} \neq 0.$$

Morimoto and Qi [10, Lemma 21 and Theorem 22] showed that $\operatorname{Sm}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)} \neq \operatorname{Sm}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}^{\mathcal{L}(G)}$ for an odd integer n > 1, an odd prime p, and $G = D_{2n} \int C_p$, the wreath product of the dihedral group D_{2n} of order 2n by a cyclic group C_p of order p. The group G satisfies the assumption of Proposition 5.1 as follows. The group G has a presentation

$$a_i^n = b_i^2 = (a_i b_i)^2 = 1, \ (\forall i),$$

$$\langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_p, b_p, c \mid a_i a_j = a_j a_i, a_i b_j = b_j a_i, b_i b_j = b_j b_i, \ (i \neq j), \ \rangle$$

$$c^p = 1, c^{-1} a_i c = a_{i+1}, c^{-1} b_i c = b_{i+1}, \ (\forall i)$$

where $a_{p+1} = a_1$ and $b_{p+1} = b_1$. The group G^{nil} is a subgroup of G generated by elements a_1, \ldots, a_p and $b_i b_j$ (i < j), and then $G/G^{\text{nil}} \cong C_{2p}$. Thus G is a gap Oliver group. Put $K = O^p(G)$. Let $f: D_{2n}^p \to D_{2n}$ be the first projection and let \hat{U} and \hat{V} be $\mathcal{P}(D_{2n})$ -matched real D_{2n} -modules such that $\hat{U}^{D_{2n}} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\hat{V}^{D_{2n}} = 0$. The real K-modules $f^*\hat{U}$ and $f^*\hat{V}$ implies that K satisfies the assumption of Proposition 5.1 since $f(G^{\text{nil}}) = D_{2n}$. (Or directly, two real G-modules $\text{Ind}_K^G f^*\hat{U}$ and $\text{Ind}_K^G f^*\hat{V}$ implies that G satisfies the weak-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition.)

Before closing this section, we should say the strongness of the weak-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition. Let G be a finite group such that G/G^{nil} is a nilpotent group of odd order and there are an element of G^{nil} not of prime power order and an element of G outside G^{nil} not of prime power order. Then

$$\mathrm{RO}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}^{\{G^{\mathrm{nil}}\}} \neq \mathrm{RO}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}^{\{G\}}.$$

Note that if a Sylow 2-subgroup of G is normal then $\operatorname{Sm}(G) \subset \operatorname{RO}(G)^{\{N_s(G)|s\}}$ (cf. [4]) and G does not satisfy the weak-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition. Otherwise, if G has a sub-quotient isomorphic to D_{2qr} for some distinct primes q and r, there are real G-modules U and V such that the equalities $U^{G^{\operatorname{nil}}} = 0 = V^{G^{\operatorname{nil}}}$ hold and that $\mathbb{R}[G/G^{\operatorname{nil}}] \oplus U$ and V are $\mathcal{P}(G)$ -matched:

 $\mathbb{R} + [(\mathbb{R}[G/G^{\operatorname{nil}}] - \mathbb{R}) \oplus U] - [V] = \mathbb{R}[G/G^{\operatorname{nil}}] + [U] - [V] \in \operatorname{RO}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}.$

Thus, G satisfies the weak-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition and in addition if G is a gap Oliver group then

$$\mathrm{PSm}^{c}(G)^{\{G^{\mathrm{inn}}\}} \neq \mathrm{PSm}^{c}(G).$$

6. Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition

In this section we study properties for the Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition.

Proposition 6.1. If G satisfies the Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition, then G satisfies the weak-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition.

Proposition 6.2. If a quotient group of G satisfies the Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition, then G also satisfies the Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition.

Proposition 6.3. Let N be a normal subgroup of G. If there are a subgroup K of G and an epimorphism $f: K \to H$ such that $f(K \cap N) = H$, KN = G and H has sub-quotient isomorphic to D_{2pq} , where p and q are distinct primes, then G satisfies the N-P-condition.

For a perfect group G, the weak-Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition and Nil- \mathcal{P} -conditionare equivalent and moreover equivalent to that G has a sub-quotient isomorphic to a dihedral group D_{2pq} for distinct primes p and q.

Proposition 6.4 (cf. [21]). Simple groups except the following groups satisfy the Nil-Pcondition.

- (1) Cyclic group
- (2) Projective special linear groups: $PSL(2,4) = PSL(2,5) = A_5$, PSL(2,7) = PSL(3,2), PSL(2,8), $PSL(2,9) = A_6$, PSL(2,17), PSL(3,4), PSL(3,8)
- (3) Suzuki groups Sz(8), Sz(32)
- (4) Projective unitary groups: PSU(3,3), PSU(3,4), PSU(3,8)

Theorem 6.5. Let q > 1 be a prime power. The following groups are gap groups satisfying the Nil- \mathcal{P} -condition.

- (1) Symmetric groups S_n , $n \ge 7$
- (2) Projective general linear groups PGL(2,q), $q \neq 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 17$
- (3) Projective general linear groups $PGL(3, q), q \neq 2, 4, 8$
- (4) Projective general linear groups $PGL(n,q), n \ge 4$
- (5) General linear groups $GL(2,q), q \neq 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 17$
- (6) General linear groups $GL(3, q), q \neq 2, 4, 8$
- (7) General linear groups GL(n,q), $n \ge 4$
- (8) The automorphism group of sporadic groups

The Smith sets of PGL(2, q) and PGL(3, q) have been already obtained in [24]. This can be proved by finding subgroups as in Proposition 6.3. The groups listed up in Theorem 6.5 are non-solvable gap group. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.6. Let G be a group which has quotient isomorphic to a group in Theorem 6.5. Then M(G)

$$\mathsf{PSm}^c(G) = \mathsf{Sm}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)} = \mathsf{RO}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}^{N_2(G)}.$$

Corollary 6.7. Let K be a group in Theorem 6.5 and F any finite group. Then for $G = K \times F$,

$$\mathrm{PSm}^{c}(G) = \mathrm{Sm}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)} = \mathrm{RO}(G)_{\mathcal{P}(G)}^{N_{2}(G)}.$$

References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott. A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes. II. Applications. Ann. of Math. (2), 88:451-491, 1968.
- [2] S. E. Cappell and J. L. Shaneson. Fixed points of periodic differentiable maps. *Invent. Math.*, 68(1):1–19, 1982.
- [3] The GAP Group. GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.6.5, 2013.
- [4] A. Koto, M. Morimoto, and Y. Qi. The Smith sets of finite groups with normal Sylow 2-subgroups and small nilquotients. J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 48(1):219–227, 2008.

- [5] E. Laitinen and M. Morimoto. Finite groups with smooth one fixed point actions on spheres. *Forum Math.*, 10(4):479–520, 1998.
- [6] E. Laitinen and K. Pawałowski. Smith equivalence of representations for finite perfect groups. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 127(1):297-307, 1999.
- [7] J. Milnor. Whitehead torsion. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 72:358-426, 1966.
- [8] M. Morimoto. Smith equivalent Aut(A₆)-representations are isomorphic. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 136(10):3683-3688, 2008.
- [9] M. Morimoto. Nontrivial \$\mathcal{P}(G)\$-matched \$\mathcal{S}\$-related pairs for finite gap Oliver groups. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 62(2):623-647, 2010.
- [10] M. Morimoto and Y. Qi. Study of the Smith sets of gap Oliver groups. *RIMS Kokyuroku*, 1670:126–139, 2009. Transformation groups from a new viewpoint (Kyoto, 2009).
- [11] M. Morimoto and Y. Qi. The primary Smith sets of finite Oliver groups. In *Group actions and homo-geneous spaces*, pages 61–73. Fak. Mat. Fyziky Inform. Univ. Komenského, Bratislava, 2010.
- [12] M. Morimoto, T. Sumi, and M. Yanagihara. Finite groups possessing gap modules. In *Geometry and topology: Aarhus (1998)*, volume 258 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 329–342. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [13] B. Oliver. Fixed point sets and tangent bundles of actions on disks and Euclidean spaces. *Topology*, 35(3):583–615, 1996.
- [14] K. Pawałowski and R. Solomon. Smith equivalence and finite Oliver groups with Laitinen number 0 or 1. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 2:843–895 (electronic), 2002.
- [15] K. Pawałowski and T. Sumi. The Laitinen conjecture for finite solvable Oliver groups. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 137(6):2147–2156, 2009.
- [16] K. Pawałowski and T. Sumi. Smith equivalence of representations and the nil-condition. *Proc. Camb. Philo. Soc.*, 56:303–336, 2013.
- [17] T. Petrie. Smith equivalence of representations. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 94(1):61–99, 1983.
- [18] C. U. Sanchez. Actions of groups of odd order on compact, orientable manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 54:445–448, 1976.
- [19] T. Sumi. Gap modules for direct product groups. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 53(4):975-990, 2001.
- [20] T. Sumi. Gap modules for semidirect product groups. Kyushu J. Math., 58(1):33-58, 2004.
- [21] T. Sumi. Existence of a special *P*-matched pair. *RIMS Kokyuroku*, 1517:78–91, 2006. Methods of transformation group theory (Kyoto, 2006).
- [22] T. Sumi. Representation spaces fulfilling the gap hypothesis. In *Group actions and homogeneous* spaces, pages 99–116. Fak. Mat. Fyziky Inform. Univ. Komenského, Bratislava, 2010.
- [23] T. Sumi. The gap hypothesis for finite groups which have an abelian quotient group not of order a power of 2. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 64(1):91–106, 2012.
- [24] T. Sumi. Smith sets of non-solvable groups whose nilquotients are cyclic groups of order 1, 2, or 3. RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu, B39:149–165, 2013.

Faculty of Arts and Science, Kyushu University, Motooka 744, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka, 819–0395, Japan *E-mail address*: sumi@artsci.kyushu-u.ac.jp