

## SOME RESULTS ON SET-VALUED STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS WITH RESPECT TO POISSON JUMP IN AN M-TYPE 2 BANACH SPACE

JINPING ZHANG\*, ITARU MITOMA, AND YOSHIAKI OKAZAKI

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Probability theory is an important tool of modeling randomness in a practical problem. But besides randomness, in the real world, there exists other kind of uncertainties such as impreciseness or vagueness. Set-valued functions are employed to model the impreciseness in applied field such as in Economics, control theory (see for example [1]). Integrals of set-valued functions have been received much attention with widespread applications, see for example [2, 7, 9, 10] etc. Recently, stochastic integrals for set-valued stochastic processes with respect to the Brownian motion and martingales have been received much attention, e.g. see [12, 13, 18, 23, 32, 37]. Correspondingly, the set-valued stochastic differential equations are studied, e.g. see [23, 25, 33, 34, 35, 36]. Michta (2011) [22] extended the integrator to a larger class: semimartingales. But the integrably boundedness of the corresponding set-valued stochastic integrals are not obtained since the semimartingales may not be of finite variation. In such cases, the set-valued stochastic integrals may not be well defined as Ogura pointed out [25].

The Poisson stochastic processes are special. They play important roles both in the random mathematics (c.f. [11, 8, 17]) and in applied fields, for example, in the financial mathematics [17]. If the characteristic measure  $\nu$  of a stationary Poisson process  $\mathbf{p}$  is finite, then both of the Poisson random measure  $N(dsdz)$  (where  $z \in Z$ , the state space of  $\mathbf{p}$ ) and the compensated Poisson random measure  $\tilde{N}(dsdz)$  are of finite variation a.s. We will give some results (without giving proof since the page limitation) on the set-valued stochastic integrals with respect to the Poisson random measure  $N(dsdz)$ ,  $\tilde{N}(dsdz)$ . For the detail proof, the reader can refer to [31, 38]. For example, the stochastic integrals for set-valued  $\mathcal{S}$ -predictable (see Definition 3.2) processes with respect to  $N(dsdz)$  and  $\tilde{N}(dsdz)$  are  $L^2$ -integrably bounded. For Brownian or Martingale integrator with continuous part, the integrable boundedness are not obtained until now. Furthermore, if the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  is separable, then the integral  $\{I_t(F)\}$  of convex set-valued stochastic process will not become a set-valued martingale, which is very different from single valued case. We would like to pointed out that there is a gap in the proof of Theorem 3.7 in [31] about the set-valued martingale property of set-valued stochastic integral with respect to the compensated Poisson measure, which is corrected and proven in [38].

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 65C30; Secondary 26E25, 54C65.

*Key words and phrases.* M-type 2 Banach space, set-valued stochastic processes, Set-valued stochastic integral, Poisson random measure, Compensated Poisson random measure .

\*Partly supported The Project Sponsored by SRF for ROCS, SEM and The Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, No 12MS81.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we give the notations and the preliminaries in the set-valued theory. Section 3 is on the definitions and results of stochastic integrals for set-valued  $\mathcal{S}$ -predictable processes with respect to  $N(dsdz)$  and  $\tilde{N}(dsdz)$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a complete probability space,  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  a filtration satisfying the usual conditions, that is:  $\mathcal{F}_0$  includes all  $P$ -null sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ , the filtration is non-decreasing and right continuous. Let  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  be the Borel field of a topological space  $E$ ,  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  a separable Banach space equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\mathbf{K}(X)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{K}_b(X)$ ,  $\mathbf{K}_c(X)$ ) the family of all nonempty closed (resp. closed bounded, closed convex) subsets of  $X$ . Let  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  and  $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; X)$  (denoted briefly by  $L^p(\Omega; X)$ ) be the Banach space of equivalence classes of  $X$ -valued  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable functions  $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$  such that the norm

$$\|f\|_p = \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \|f(\omega)\|^p dP \right\}^{1/p}$$

is finite. An  $X$ -valued function  $f$  is called  $L^p$ -integrable if  $f \in L^p(\Omega; X)$ .

A set-valued function  $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(X)$  is said to be *measurable* if for any open set  $O \subset X$ , the inverse  $F^{-1}(O) := \{\omega \in \Omega : F(\omega) \cap O \neq \emptyset\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Such a function  $F$  is called a *set-valued random variable*. Let  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathbf{K}(X))$  be the family of all set-valued random variables, which is briefly denoted by  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbf{K}(X))$ .

For any open subset  $O \subset X$ , set

$$Z_O := \{E \in \mathbf{K}(X) : E \cap O \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$\mathcal{C} := \{Z_O : O \subset X, O \text{ is open}\},$$

and let  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ .

A set-valued function  $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(X)$  is measurable if and only if  $F$  is  $\mathcal{F}/\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ -measurable.

For  $A, B \in 2^X$  (the power set of  $X$ ),  $H(A, B) \geq 0$  is defined by

$$H(A, B) := \max\left\{ \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} \|x - y\|, \sup_{y \in B} \inf_{x \in A} \|x - y\| \right\}.$$

$H(A, B)$  for  $A, B \in \mathbf{K}_b(X)$  is called the *Hausdorff metric*. It is well-known that  $\mathbf{K}_b(X)$  equipped with the  $H$ -metric denoted by  $((\mathbf{K}_b(X), H))$  is a complete metric space.

The following results are also well-known. (see e.g. [9], [19], [24]).

PROPOSITION 2.1. (i) For  $A, B, C, D \in \mathbf{K}(X)$ , we have

$$H(A + B, C + D) \leq H(A, C) + H(B, D),$$

$$H(A \oplus B, C \oplus D) = H(A + B, C + D),$$

where  $A \oplus B := cl\{a + b; a \in A, b \in B\}$ .

(ii) For  $A, B \in \mathbf{K}(X)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$H(\mu A, \mu B) = |\mu|H(A, B).$$

For  $F \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}(X))$ , the family of all  $L^p$ -integrable selections is defined by

$$S_F^p(\mathcal{F}) := \{f \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; X) : f(\omega) \in F(\omega) \text{ a.s.}\}.$$

In the following,  $S_F^p(\mathcal{F})$  is denoted briefly by  $S_F^p$ . If  $S_F^p$  is nonempty,  $F$  is said to be  $L^p$ -integrable.  $F$  is called  $L^p$ -integrably bounded if there exists a function  $h \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\|x\| \leq h(\omega)$  for any  $x$  and  $\omega$  with  $x \in F(\omega)$ . It is equivalent to that  $\|F\|_{\mathbf{K}} \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ , where  $\|F(\omega)\|_{\mathbf{K}} := \sup_{a \in F(\omega)} \|a\|$ . The family of all measurable  $\mathbf{K}(X)$ -valued  $L^p$ -integrably bounded functions is denoted by  $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathbf{K}(X))$ . Write it for brevity as  $L^p(\Omega; \mathbf{K}(X))$ .

The *integral (or expectation)* of a set-valued random variable  $F$  was defined by Aumann in 1965 ([2]):

$$E[F] := \{E[f] : f \in S_F^1\}.$$

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** ([35]) *Let  $F \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega; X)$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ . Then  $F$  is  $L^p$ -integrably bounded if and only if  $S_F^p$  is nonempty and bounded in  $L^p(\Omega; X)$ .*

Let  $\mathbb{R}_+$  be the set of all nonnegative real numbers and  $\mathcal{B}_+ := \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of natural numbers. An  $X$ -valued stochastic process  $f = \{f_t : t \geq 0\}$  (or denoted by  $f = \{f(t) : t \geq 0\}$ ) is defined as a function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow X$  with the  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable section  $f_t$ , for  $t \geq 0$ . We say  $f$  is *measurable* if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}_+ \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable. The process  $f = \{f_t : t \geq 0\}$  is called  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted if  $f_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable for every  $t \geq 0$ .  $f = \{f_t : t \geq 0\}$  is called *predictable* if it is  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable, where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by all left continuous and  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted stochastic processes.

In a fashion similar to the  $X$ -valued stochastic process, a *set-valued stochastic process*  $F = \{F_t : t \geq 0\}$  is defined as a set-valued function  $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(X)$  with  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable section  $F_t$  for  $t \geq 0$ . It is called *measurable* if it is  $\mathcal{B}_+ \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable, and  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted if for any fixed  $t$ ,  $F_t(\cdot)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable.  $F = \{F_t : t \geq 0\}$  is called *predictable* if it is  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable.

**DEFINITION 2.3.** (see [9]) An integrable bounded convex set-valued  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted stochastic process  $\{F_t, \mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$  is called a *set-valued  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -martingale* if for any  $0 \leq s \leq t$  it holds that  $E[F_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = F_s$  in the sense of  $S_{E[F_t | \mathcal{F}_s]}^1(\mathcal{F}_s) = S_{F_s}^1(\mathcal{F}_s)$ .

It is called a *set-valued submartingale (supermartingale)* if for any  $0 \leq s \leq t$ ,  $E[F_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \supset F_s$  (resp.  $E[F_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \subset F_s$ ) in the sense of  $S_{E[F_t | \mathcal{F}_s]}^1(\mathcal{F}_s) \supset S_{F_s}^1(\mathcal{F}_s)$  (resp.  $S_{E[F_t | \mathcal{F}_s]}^1(\mathcal{F}_s) \subset S_{F_s}^1(\mathcal{F}_s)$ ).

### 3. STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS WITH RESPECT TO POISSON POINT PROCESSES

**3.1. Single Valued Stochastic Integrals w.r.t. Poisson Point Processes.** Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space and  $Z$  be another separable Banach space with  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(Z)$ . A *point function*  $\mathbf{p}$  on  $Z$  means a mapping  $\mathbf{p} : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow Z$ , where the domain  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{p}}$  is a countable subset of  $[0, T]$ .  $\mathbf{p}$  defines a counting measure  $N_{\mathbf{p}}(dtdz)$  on  $[0, T] \times Z$  (with the product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{B}(Z)$ ) by

$$(3.1) \quad N_{\mathbf{p}}((0, t], U) := \#\{\tau \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{p}} : \tau \leq t, \mathbf{p}(\tau) \in U\},$$

$$t \in (0, T], U \in \mathcal{B}(Z).$$

For  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad N_{\mathbf{p}}((s, t], U) := N_{\mathbf{p}}((0, t], U) - N_{\mathbf{p}}((0, s], U).$$

In the following, we also write  $N_{\mathbf{p}}((0, t], U)$  as  $N_{\mathbf{p}}(t, U)$ .

A *point process* is obtained by randomizing the notion of point functions. If there is a continuous  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted increasing process  $\hat{N}_{\mathbf{p}}$  such that for  $U \in \mathcal{B}(Z)$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\tilde{N}_{\mathbf{p}}(t, U) := N_{\mathbf{p}}(t, U) - \hat{N}_{\mathbf{p}}(t, U)$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -martingale, then the random measure  $\{\tilde{N}_{\mathbf{p}}(t, U)\}$  is called the *compensator* of the point process  $\mathbf{p}$  (or  $\{N_{\mathbf{p}}(t, U)\}$ ) and the process  $\{\tilde{N}_{\mathbf{p}}(t, U)\}$  is called the *compensated point process*.

A point process  $\mathbf{p}$  is called the *Poisson Point Process* if  $N_{\mathbf{p}}(dtdz)$  is a Poisson random measure on  $[0, T] \times Z$ . A Poisson point process is stationary if and only if its intensity measure  $\nu_{\mathbf{p}}(dtdz) = E[N_{\mathbf{p}}(dtdz)]$  is of the form

$$(3.3) \quad \nu_{\mathbf{p}}(dtdz) = dt\nu(dz)$$

for some measure  $\nu(dz)$  on  $(Z, \mathcal{B}(Z))$ .  $\nu(dz)$  is called the *characteristic measure of  $\mathbf{p}$* .

Let  $\nu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(Z, \mathcal{B}(Z))$ , (i.e. there exists  $U_i \in \mathcal{B}(Z)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , pairwise disjoint such that  $\nu(U_i) < \infty$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $Z = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$ ),  $\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{p}_t)$  be the  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted stationary Poisson point process on  $Z$  with the characteristic measure  $\nu$  such that the compensator  $\hat{N}_{\mathbf{p}}(t, U) = E[N_{\mathbf{p}}(t, U)] = t\nu(U)$  (non-random).

The above definitions and notations of Poisson point processes come from [11] and [30].

For convenience, we will omit the subscript  $\mathbf{p}$  in the above notations.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** ([31]) *Assume  $\nu(Z)$  is finite. Then for any  $U \in \mathcal{B}(Z)$ , both  $\{N(t, U), t \in [0, T]\}$  and  $\{\tilde{N}(t, U), t \in [0, T]\}$  are stochastic processes with finite variation a.s.*

For convenience, from now on, we suppose  $\nu$  is a finite measure in the measurable space  $(Z, \mathcal{B}(Z))$ .

**DEFINITION 3.2.** An  $X$ -valued mapping  $f(t, z, \omega)$  defined on  $[0, T] \times Z \times \Omega$  is called  $\mathcal{S}$ -predictable if the mapping  $(t, z, \omega) \rightarrow f(t, z, \omega)$  is  $\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{B}(X)$ -measurable, where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $[0, T] \times Z \times \Omega$  with respect to which all mappings  $g : [0, T] \times Z \times \Omega \rightarrow X$  satisfying (i) and (ii) below are measurable:

- (i) for each  $t \in [0, T]$ , the mapping  $(z, \omega) \rightarrow g(t, z, \omega)$  is  $\mathcal{B}(Z) \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable;
- (ii) for each  $(z, \omega) \in Z \times \Omega$ , the mapping  $t \rightarrow g(t, z, \omega)$  is left continuous.

**REMARK 3.3.** (see e.g. [30])  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(Z)$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -field on  $[0, t] \times \Omega$  generated by all left continuous and  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted processes.

Set

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ f(t, z, \omega) : f \text{ is } \mathcal{S}\text{-predictable and} \right. \\ \left. E \left[ \int_0^T \int_Z \|f(t, z, \omega)\|^2 \nu(dz) dt \right] < \infty \right\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}} := \left( E \left[ \int_0^T \int_Z \|f(t, z, \omega)\|^2 \nu(dz) dt \right] \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the subspace of those  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  for which there exists a partition  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$  of  $[0, T]$  such that

$$f(t, z, \omega) = f(0, z, \omega)\chi_{\{0\}}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{(t_{i-1}, t_i]}(t) f(t_{i-1}, z, \omega).$$

Let  $f$  be in  $\mathbb{S}$  and

$$(3.4) \quad f(t, z, \omega) = f(0, z, \omega)\chi_{\{0\}}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{(t_{i-1}, t_i]}(t) f(t_{i-1}, z, \omega),$$

where  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$  is a partition of  $[0, T]$ . Define

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} J_T(f) &= \int_0^{T+} \int_Z f(s-, z, \omega) N(dt dz) \\ &:= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_Z f(t_{i-1}, z, \omega) N((t_{i-1}, t_i], dz), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} I_T(f) &= \int_0^{T+} \int_Z f(s-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}(dt dz) \\ &:= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_Z f(t_{i-1}, z, \omega) \tilde{N}((t_{i-1}, t_i], dz), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\int_Z f(t_{i-1}, z, \omega) N((t_{i-1}, t_i], dz)$  and  $\int_Z f(t_{i-1}, z, \omega) \tilde{N}((t_{i-1}, t_i], dz)$  are the Bochner integrals. The notation ' $\int_0^{T+}$ ' means ' $\int_{(0, T]}$ '.

For any integer  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , let

$$M_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \int_Z f(t_{i-1}, z, \omega) \tilde{N}((t_{i-1}, t_i], dz)$$

then  $M_k$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{t_k}$ -measurable,  $E[M_k] = 0$ ,  $E[I_T(f)] = E[M_n] = 0$  and

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} E[M_k | \mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}}] &= E[(M_{k-1} + \int_Z f(t_{k-1}, z, \omega) \tilde{N}((t_{k-1}, t_k], dz) | \mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}}] \\ &= M_{k-1} + E[\int_Z f(t_{k-1}, z, \omega) \tilde{N}((t_{k-1}, t_k], dz) | \mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}}] \\ &= M_{k-1} + \int_Z f(t_{k-1}, z, \omega) E[\tilde{N}((t_{k-1}, t_k], dz)] = M_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $t \in (0, T]$ , define

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} J_t(f) &= \int_0^{t+} \int_Z f(s-, z, \omega) N(dz ds) \\ &:= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_Z f(t_{i-1}, z, \omega) N((t_{i-1} \wedge t, t_i \wedge t], dz), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} I_t(f) &= \int_0^{t+} \int_Z f(s-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}(dz ds) \\ &:= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_Z f(t_{i-1}, z, \omega) \tilde{N}((t_{i-1} \wedge t, t_i \wedge t], dz). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.4. ([31]) For any  $f \in \mathbb{S}$ , both  $\{I_t(f)\}$  and  $\{J_t(f)\}$  are  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted integrable processes. Moreover,  $\{I_t(f)\}$  is an  $X$ -valued right continuous martingale. And for any  $t \in (0, T]$ ,

$$(3.10) \quad E\left[\int_0^{t+} \int_Z f(s-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}(dsdz)\right] = 0,$$

$$(3.11) \quad E\left[\int_0^{t+} \int_Z f(s-, z, \omega) N(ds dz)\right] = \int_0^{t+} \int_Z E[f(s-, z, \omega)] ds \nu(dz),$$

In order to extend the integrand from the step function which belongs to  $\mathbb{S}$  to a more general case ( belongs to  $\mathcal{L}$ ), it is necessary to add some assumption in the Banach space  $X$ . Now we assume  $X$  is of M-type 2 below.

DEFINITION 3.5. ([5]) A Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called M-type 2 if and only if there exists a constant  $C_X > 0$  such that for any  $X$ -valued martingale  $\{\mathbf{M}_k\}$ , it holds that

$$(3.12) \quad \sup_k E[\|\mathbf{M}_k\|^2] \leq C_X \sum_k E[\|\mathbf{M}_k - \mathbf{M}_{k-1}\|^2].$$

THEOREM 3.6. ([31]) Let  $X$  be of M-type 2 and  $(Z, \mathcal{B}(Z))$  a separable Banach space with finite measure  $\nu$ . Let  $\mathbf{p}$  be a stationary Poisson process with the characteristic measure  $\nu$  and let  $f$  be in  $\mathbb{S}$ . Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & E\left[\sup_{0 < s \leq t} \left\| \int_0^{s+} \int_Z f(\tau-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}(d\tau dz) \right\|^2\right] \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \int_Z E[\|f(s, z, \omega)\|^2] ds \nu(dz), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & E\left[\sup_{0 < s \leq t} \left\| \int_0^{s+} \int_Z f(\tau-, z, \omega) N(d\tau dz) \right\|^2\right] \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \int_Z E[\|f(s, z, \omega)\|^2] ds \nu(dz), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  depends on the constant  $C_X$  in Definition 3.5.

LEMMA 3.7. ([31])  $\mathbb{S}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}}$ .

By Lemma 3.7, for any  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ , there exist a sequence  $\{f^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $\mathbb{S}$  such that  $\{f^n\}$  converges to  $f$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}}$  and the sequence

$$\left\{ \int_0^{t+} \int_Z f^n(s-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}(ds dz), n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

converges to a limit in  $L^2$ -sense. We denote the limit by

$$I_t(f) = \int_0^{t+} \int_Z f(s-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}(ds dz),$$

which is called the *stochastic integral of  $f$*  with respect to the compensated Poisson random measure  $\tilde{N}(ds dz)$ . Similarly, we can define the *stochastic integral of  $f$*  with respect to the Poisson random measure  $N(ds dz)$ , denoted by

$$J_t(f) = \int_0^{t+} \int_Z f(s-, z, \omega) N(ds dz).$$

Similarly, for any  $0 < s < t < T$ ,

$$\int_s^t \int_Z f(\tau-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}_{\mathbf{p}}(d\tau dz)$$

and

$$\int_s^t \int_Z f(\tau-, z, \omega) N(d\tau dz)$$

can be well defined.

REMARK 3.8. When the measure  $\nu$  is finite, for any  $U \in \mathcal{B}(Z)$ , the processes  $\{N(t, U)\}$  and  $\{\tilde{N}(t, U)\}$  are both of finite variation a.s. Then the stochastic integrals coincide with the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrals.

COROLLARY 3.9. ([31]) *Let  $X$  be of  $M$ -type 2 and  $(Z, \mathcal{B}(Z))$  a separable Banach space with finite measure  $\nu$ . Let  $\mathbf{p}$  be a stationary Poisson process with the characteristic measure  $\nu$  and let  $f$  be in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & E \left[ \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \left\| \int_0^{s+} \int_Z f(\tau-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}(d\tau dz) \right\|^2 \right] \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \int_Z E[\|f(s, z, \omega)\|^2] d\nu(dz), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & E \left[ \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \left\| \int_0^{s+} \int_Z f(\tau-, z, \omega) N(d\tau dz) \right\|^2 \right] \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \int_Z E[\|f(s, z, \omega)\|^2] d\nu(dz), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  depends on the constant  $C_X$  in Definition 3.5.

COROLLARY 3.10. ([31]) *For any  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ , both  $\{I_t(f)\}$  and  $\{J_t(f)\}$  are  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted square-integrable processes. Moreover,  $\{I_t(f)\}$  is an  $X$ -valued right continuous martingale. And for any  $t \in (0, T]$ ,*

$$(3.17) \quad E \left[ \int_0^{t+} \int_Z f(s-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}(ds dz) \right] = 0,$$

$$(3.18) \quad E \left[ \int_0^{t+} \int_Z f(s-, z, \omega) N(ds dz) \right] = \int_0^t \int_Z E[f(s, z, \omega)] d\nu(dz),$$

**3.2. Set-Valued Stochastic Integrals w.r.t. Poisson Point Processes.** A set-valued stochastic process  $F = \{F_t\} : [0, T] \times Z \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(X)$  is called  $\mathcal{S}$ -predictable if  $F(z, t, \omega)$  is  $\mathcal{S}/\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ -measurable.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} = & \left\{ F(t, z, \omega) : F \text{ is } \mathcal{S}\text{-predictable and} \right. \\ & \left. E \left[ \int_0^T \int_Z \|F(t, z, \omega)\|_{\mathbf{K}}^2 dt \nu(dz) \right] < \infty \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Given a set-valued stochastic process  $\{F(t, z, \omega)\}$ , the  $X$ -valued stochastic process  $\{f(t, z, \omega)\}$  is called an  $\mathcal{S}$ -selection if  $f(t, z, \omega) \in F(t, z, \omega)$  for all  $(t, z, \omega)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ . By Proposition ??, for  $F \in \mathcal{M}$ , the  $\mathcal{S}$ -selection exists and satisfies  $E\left[\int_0^T \int_Z \|f(t, z, \omega)\|^2 dt \nu(dz)\right] < \infty$  since

$$E\left[\int_0^T \int_Z \|f(t, z, \omega)\|^2 dt \nu(dz)\right] \leq E\left[\int_0^T \int_Z \|F(t, z, \omega)\|_{\mathbf{K}}^2 dt \nu(dz)\right] < \infty,$$

which means  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ . The family of all  $f$  which belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$  and satisfies  $f(t, z, \omega) \in F(t, z, \omega)$  for a.e.  $(t, z, \omega)$  is denoted by  $S(F)$ , that is

$$S(F) = \{f \in \mathcal{S} : f(t, z, \omega) \in F(t, z, \omega) \text{ for a.e. } (t, z, \omega)\}.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}_t &:= \left\{ \int_0^{t+} \int_Z f(s-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}(ds dz) : (f(t))_{t \in [0, T]} \in S(F) \right\}, \\ \Gamma_t &:= \left\{ \int_0^t \int_Z f(s-, z, \omega) N(ds dz) : (f(t))_{t \in [0, T]} \in S(F) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 3.11. It is easy to see for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\tilde{\Gamma}_t$  and  $\Gamma_t$  are the subsets of  $L^2[\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P; X]$ . Furthermore, if  $\{F_t, \mathcal{F}_t : t \in [0, T]\}$  is convex, then so are  $\tilde{\Gamma}_t$  and  $\Gamma_t$ .

Let  $de\tilde{\Gamma}_t$  (resp.  $de\Gamma_t$ ) denote the decomposable set of  $\tilde{\Gamma}_t$  (resp.  $\Gamma_t$ ) with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_t$ ,  $\overline{de\tilde{\Gamma}_t}$  (resp.  $\overline{de\Gamma_t}$ ) the decomposable closed hull of  $\tilde{\Gamma}_t$  (resp.  $\Gamma_t$ ) with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , where the closure is taken in  $L^1(\Omega, X)$ . That is to say, for any  $g \in \overline{de\tilde{\Gamma}_t}$  (resp.  $\overline{de\Gamma_t}$ ) and any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable partition  $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  of  $\Omega$  and  $(f^1(t))_{t \in [0, T]}, \dots, (f^m(t))_{t \in [0, T]} \in S(F)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|g - \sum_{k=1}^m \chi_{A_k} \int_0^{t+} \int_Z f^k(s-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}(ds dz)\|_{L^1} < \epsilon. \\ (\text{resp. } &\|g - \sum_{k=1}^m \chi_{A_k} \int_0^t \int_Z f^k(s-, z, \omega) N(ds dz)\|_{L^1} < \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Similar to Theorem 4.1 in [32], we have

THEOREM 3.12. Let  $\{F_t, \mathcal{F}_t : t \in [0, T]\} \in \mathcal{M}$ , then for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\overline{de\Gamma}_t \subset L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P; X)$ . Moreover, there exists a set-valued random variable  $J_t(F) \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P; \mathbf{K}(X))$  such that  $S_{J_t(F)}^1(\mathcal{F}_t) = \overline{de\Gamma}_t$ . Similarly, there exists a set-valued random variable  $I_t(F) \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P; \mathbf{K}(X))$  such that  $S_{I_t(F)}^1(\mathcal{F}_t) = \overline{de\tilde{\Gamma}_t}$ . If  $F$  is convex, then so are  $S_{I_t(F)}^1(\mathcal{F}_t)$  and  $S_{J_t(F)}^1(\mathcal{F}_t)$ .

DEFINITION 3.13. The set-valued stochastic processes  $(J_t(F))_{t \in [0, T]}$  and  $(I_t(F))_{t \in [0, T]}$  defined as above are called the stochastic integrals of  $\{F_t, \mathcal{F}_t : t \in [0, T]\} \in \mathcal{M}$  with respect to the Poisson random measure  $N(ds, dz)$  and the compensated random measure  $\tilde{N}(ds dz)$  respectively. For each  $t$ , we denote  $I_t(F) = \int_0^{t+} \int_Z F(s-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}(ds dz)$ ,  $J_t(F) = \int_0^{t+} \int_Z F(s-, z, \omega) N(ds dz)$ . Similarly, for  $0 < s < t$ , we also can define the set-valued random variable  $I_{s,t}(F) = \int_s^t \int_Z F(\tau-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}(d\tau dz)$ ,  $J_{s,t}(F) = \int_s^t \int_Z F(\tau-, z, \omega) N(d\tau dz)$ .

For brevity, the integral  $\int_0^{t+} \int_Z h(s-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}(ds dz)$  ( $\int_0^{t+} \int_Z h(s-, z, \omega) \tilde{N}(ds dz)$ ) also will be denoted by  $\int_0^{t+} \int_Z h_{s-} \tilde{N}(ds dz)$  ( $\int_0^{t+} \int_Z h_{s-} \tilde{N}(ds dz)$  resp.), where  $h$  is an  $X$ -valued or  $\mathbf{K}(X)$ -valued integrand.



PROPOSITION 3.14. ([38]) Assume set-valued stochastic processes  $\{F_t, \mathcal{F}_t : t \in [0, T]\}$  and  $\{G_t, \mathcal{F}_t : t \in [0, T]\} \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then

$$J_t(F + G) = \text{cl}\{J_t(F) + J_t(G)\} \text{ a.s and } I_t(F + G) = \text{cl}\{I_t(F) + I_t(G)\} \text{ a.s.,}$$

where the  $\text{cl}$  stands for the closure in  $X$ .

THEOREM 3.15. ([31]) Assume a set-valued stochastic process  $\{F_t, \mathcal{F}_t : t \in [0, T]\} \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\{J_t(F)\}$  and  $\{I_t(F)\}$  are integrably bounded.

THEOREM 3.16. ([31, 38]) Let a convex set-valued stochastic process  $\{F_t, \mathcal{F}_t : t \in [0, T]\} \in \mathcal{M}$ , then the stochastic integral  $\{I_t(F), \mathcal{F}_t : t \in [0, T]\}$  is a set-valued submartingale but not a set-valued martingale.

REMARK 3.17. With the assumption of  $\mathcal{F}$  being separable with respect to the probability measure  $P$ , Theorem 3.7 in [31] pointed out that the integral  $\{I_t(F)\}$  is a set-valued martingale. But unfortunately, now we found there is a gap in the proof. In fact,  $\{I_t(F)\}$  is not a set-valued martingale except for special case (the singletons). The counterexample and rigorous proof are given in [38].

THEOREM 3.18. ([38]) Assume a set-valued stochastic process  $\{F_t, \mathcal{F}_t : t \in [0, T]\} \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then both  $\{J_t(F)\}$  and  $\{I_t(F)\}$  are  $L^2$ -integrably bounded.

THEOREM 3.19. ([31])(Castaing representation of set-valued stochastic integral) Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is separable with respect to the probability measure  $P$ . Then for a set-valued stochastic process  $\{F_t, \mathcal{F}_t : t \in [0, T]\} \in \mathcal{M}$ , there exists a sequence  $\{(f_t^i)_{t \in [0, T]} : i = 1, 2, \dots\} \subset S(F)$  such that for each  $t \in [0, T], z \in Z, F(t, z, \omega) = \text{cl}\{(f_t^i(z, \omega)) : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  a.s., and

$$I_t(F)(\omega) = \text{cl}\left\{\int_0^{t+} \int_Z f_{s-}^i(z, \omega) \tilde{N}(dsdz)(\omega) : i = 1, 2, \dots\right\} \text{ a.s.}$$

and

$$J_t(F)(\omega) = \text{cl}\left\{\int_0^{t+} \int_Z f_{s-}^i(z, \omega) N(dsdz)(\omega) : i = 1, 2, \dots\right\} \text{ a.s.}$$

THEOREM 3.20. ([38]) Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is separable with respect to  $P$ . Let  $\{F_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  and  $\{G_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  be set-valued stochastic processes in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then for all  $t$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left[H\left(\int_0^{t+} \int_Z F(s-, z, \omega) N(dsdz), \int_0^{t+} \int_Z G(s-, z, \omega) N(dsdz)\right)\right] \\ (3.19) \quad & \leq E\left[\int_0^{t+} \int_Z H(F(s-, z, \omega), G(s-, z, \omega)) N(dsdz)\right] \\ & = E\left[\int_0^t \int_Z H(F(s, z, \omega), G(s, z, \omega)) ds\nu dz\right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left[H^2\left(\int_0^{t+} \int_Z F(s-, z, \omega) N(dsdz), \int_0^{t+} \int_Z G(s-, z, \omega) N(dsdz)\right)\right] \\ (3.20) \quad & \leq CE\left[\int_0^{t+} \int_Z H^2(F(s-, z, \omega), G(s-, z, \omega)) N(dsdz)\right] \\ & = CE\left[\int_0^t \int_Z H^2(F(s, z, \omega), G(s, z, \omega)) ds\nu(dz)\right] \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is the constant appearing in Corollary 3.9.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. P. Aubin and H. Frankowska, Introduction: Set-valued analysis in control theory, *Set-Valued Analysis* 8 (2000): 1-9.
- [2] R. Aumann, Integrals of set-valued functions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 12 (1965) 1-12.
- [3] R.G. Bartle, N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, Weak compactness and vector measures, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* 7 (1955) 298-305.
- [4] J.K. Brooks and N. Dinculeanu, Weak compactness in spaces of Bochner integrable functions and applications, *Advances in Mathematics* 24 (1977) 172-188.
- [5] Z. Brzeźniak, A. Carroll, Approximations of the Wong-Zakai differential equations in M-type 2 Banach spaces with applications to loop spaces, *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXVII* (2003) 251-289.
- [6] D.A. Charalambos, C.B. Kim, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [7] C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions*, Lecture Notes in Math Vol. 580 Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [8] E. Dettweiler, A characterization of the Banach spaces of type  $p$  by Lévy measures, *Math.Z.* 157 (1977) 121-130.
- [9] F. Hiai and H. Umegaki, Integrals, conditional expectations and martingales of multivalued functions, *Journal Multivar Anal* 7 (1977) 149-182.
- [10] F. Hiai. Convergence of conditional expectations and strong laws of large numbers for multivalued random variables, *Trans. A.M.S.* 291 (1985) 613-627.
- [11] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe, *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*, North-Holland publishing company, 1981.
- [12] E.J. Jung and J.H. Kim, On set-valued stochastic integrals, *Stoch Anal Appl* 21 (2) (2003) 401- 418.
- [13] B.K. Kim and J.H. Kim, Stochastic integrals of set-valued processes and fuzzy processes, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 236 (1999) 480-502.
- [14] Y. K. Kim and B.M. Ghil, Integrals of fuzzy-number-valued functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 86 (1997) 213-222.
- [15] M. Kisielewicz, Set-valued stochastic integrals and stochastic inclusions, *Stoch Anal Appl* 15 (1997) 780-800.
- [16] H. Kunita, *Stochastic Differential Equations and Stochastic Flows of Diffeomorphisms*, *Lect Notes in Math* 1097, Springer, 1984.
- [17] H. Kunita, Itô's stochastic calculus: Its surprising power for applications, *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications* 120 (2010) 622-652.
- [18] S. Li, A. Ren, Representation theorems, set-valued and fuzzy set-valued Itô Integral, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 158 (2007) 949-962.
- [19] S. Li, Y. Ogura, V. Kreinovich, *Limit Theorems and Applications of Set-Valued and Fuzzy Sets-Valued Random Variables*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.
- [20] J. Li, S. Li and Y. Ogura, Strong solution of Itô type set-valued stochastic differential equation, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series* 26(9) (2010) 1739-1748.
- [21] R.E. Megginson, *An Introduction to Banach Space Theory*, Springer, New York, 1998.
- [22] M. Michta, On set-valued stochastic integrals and fuzzy stochastic equations, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 177 (2011) 1-19.
- [23] I. Mitoma, Y. Okazaki, J. Zhang, Set-valued stochastic differential equations in M-type 2 Banach space, *Communications on Stochastic Analysis* 4(2) (2010) 215-237.
- [24] I. Molchanov, *Theory of Random Sets*, Springer-Verlag, London, 2005.
- [25] Y. Ogura, On stochastic differential equations with set coefficients and the Black-Scholes model, in: *Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Intelligent Technologies*, 2008, pp: 263-270.

- [26] N. S. Papageorgiou, On the conditional expectation and convergence properties of random sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 347 (7) (1995) 2495-2515.
- [27] N. S. Papageorgiou, Convergence theorems for set-valued amarts and uniform amarts, *Analysis Mathematica* 22 (1996) 147-161.
- [28] G. Pisier, Martingales with values in uniformly convex spaces, *Israel J. Math.* 20 (1976) 326-350.
- [29] K.I. Sato, Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [30] S. Watanabe, Itô's theory of excursion point processes and its developments, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* 120 (2010) 653-677.
- [31] J. Zhang, I. Mitoma, Y. Okazaki, Set-valued stochastic integral with respect to Poisson process in a Banach space, *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, Vol. 54, No. 3 (2013) 404-417
- [32] J. Zhang, S. Li, I. Mitoma, Y. Okazaki, On Set-Valued Stochastic Integrals in an M-type 2 Banach Space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 350 (2009) 216-233.
- [33] J. Zhang, Integrals and Stochastic Differential Equations for Set-Valued Stochastic Processes, PhD Thesis, Saga University, Japan, 2009.
- [34] J. Zhang, Stochastic differential equations for set-valued stochastic processes, In: *Information and Mathematics of Non-Additivity and Non-Extensivity*, RIMS Kokyuroku 1630, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 2009, pp: 55-63.
- [35] J. Zhang, S. Li, I. Mitoma, Y. Okazaki Y, On the solution of set-valued stochastic differential equations in M-type 2 Banach space, *Tohoku Mathematical Journal* 61 (2009) 417-440.
- [36] J. Zhang, Y. Ogura, On set-valued stochastic differential equation of jump type, in: *Proceedings of The 10th International Conference on Intelligent Technologies*, 2009, pp: 493-499.
- [37] J. Zhang, Set-valued stochastic integrals with respect to a real valued martingale. In: *Soft Method for Handling Variability and Imprecision ASC 48*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp: 253-259.
- [38] J. Zhang, I Mitoma and Y.Okazaki, On set-valued stochastic integrals and differential equations with Poisson jump in a Banach space, in press.
- [39] W. Zhang, S. Li, Z. Wang and Y. Gao, *An Introduction of Set-Valued Stochastic Processes*. Science Press, Beijing (in Chinese), 2007.

MATHEMATICAL DEPARTMENT  
 NORTH CHINA ELECTRIC POWER UNIVERSITY  
 BEIJING 102206  
 CHINA  
*E-mail address:* zhangjinping@ncepu.edu.cn

MATHEMATICAL DEPARTMENT  
 SAGA UNIVERSITY  
 SAGA 840-8502  
 JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* mitoma@ms.saga-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS DESIGN AND INFORMATICS  
 KYUSHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
 IIZUKA 820-8502  
 JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* okazaki@ces.kyutech.ac.jp