

## ON EVALUATION FIBER SEQUENCES

MITSUNOBU TSUTAYA

**ABSTRACT.** We denote the  $n$ -th projective space of a topological monoid  $G$  by  $B_nG$  and the classifying space by  $BG$ . Our main result states that, if  $G$  is a topological group, then the evaluation fiber sequence  $\text{Map}_0(B_nG, BG) \rightarrow \text{Map}(B_nG, BG) \rightarrow BG$  extends to the right. This theorem is proved by the technique of  $A_n$ -maps.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this note is to present the result of the author's paper [Tsu].

First of all, let us review the history of  $A_n$ -theory.  $H$ -space was introduced by J.-P. Serre, which is named after H. Hopf. A pointed space  $G$  is said to be an  $H$ -space if a continuous binary operation  $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$  of which the identity element is the basepoint is given. Every topological monoid is of course an  $H$ -space. But the converse does not hold.

J. F. Adams [Ada60] proved that an  $n$ -dimensional sphere  $S^n$  admits a structure of an  $H$ -space if and only if  $n = 0, 1, 3$  or  $7$ . But I. M. James [Jam57] proved that  $S^7$  never admit a homotopy associative  $H$ -structure. An  $H$ -space  $(G, m)$  is said to be *homotopy associative* if the maps  $m \circ (\text{id}_G \times m)$  and  $m \circ (m \times \text{id}_G)$  are homotopic. So, there is some difference between  $H$ -spaces and topological monoids. Then, how about the difference between homotopy associative  $H$ -spaces and topological monoids?

J. D. Stasheff [Sta63a] introduced the notion of  $A_n$ -spaces.  $H$ -space and homotopy associative  $H$ -space are nothing but  $A_2$ -spaces and  $A_3$ -spaces, respectively. Every topological monoid is an  $A_\infty$ -space. For general  $n$ ,  $A_n$ -space is an  $H$ -space with some *higher homotopy associativity of order  $n$*  in some sense. This homotopy associativity data is called  $A_n$ -form. His work revealed that being a topological monoid is much far from being an  $H$ -space.

The same thing can be said for maps between topological monoids. A map  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is said to be an  $H$ -map if the maps  $(g_1, g_2) \mapsto f(g_1g_2)$  and  $(g_1, g_2) \mapsto f(g_1)f(g_2)$  are homotopic. Every continuous homomorphism between topological monoids is an  $H$ -map but the converse is false.

M. Sugawara [Sug60] given a condition for that a map between topological monoid induces a map between their classifying spaces. As is well-known, a homomorphism  $G \rightarrow G'$  induces a map  $Bf : BG \rightarrow BG'$  between the classifying spaces. He introduced the notion *strongly multiplicative map* and proved that a strongly multiplicative map induces a map between the classifying spaces. After that, Stasheff [Sta63b] proved the converse for path-connected topological monoids. Being a strongly multiplicative map requires a map to preserve the infinitely higher homotopy associativity of topological monoids. Stasheff weakened the condition and defined  $A_n$ -map between topological monoids.  $A_n$ -map is also defined by the data of preservation of higher homotopy associativity, called  $A_n$ -form.

By definition of  $A_n$ -map, one may expect that  $A_n$ -map is generalized for morphism between  $A_n$ -maps. Stasheff [Sta70] described the condition only for  $n \leq 4$  because it needs combinatorially complicated cell complex, called *multiplihedra*. Abstractly, this was done by Boardman–Vogt [BV73]

---

*Key words and phrases.* mapping space, homotopy fiber sequence,  $A_n$ -space,  $A_n$ -map, gauge group.

using their “ $W$ -construction”. Independently, N. Iwase also constructed those complexes in his master thesis [Iwa83]. He described their combinatorial structure as well.

## 2. SPACE OF $A_n$ -MAPS

Now, to state our result, we get back to the work of Stasheff about  $A_n$ -maps between topological monoids. Consider a path-connected topological monoid  $G$ . Let us denote the  $n$ -th projective space and the classifying space by  $B_n G$  and  $BG = B_\infty G$ , respectively. We remark that there is the canonical inclusion  $B_{n_1} G \subset B_{n_2} G$  for  $n_1 < n_2$  and the homeomorphism  $B_1 G \cong \Sigma G$  with the reduced suspension. There is a universal principal  $G$ -fibration  $EG \rightarrow BG$  with  $EG$  contractible. The restriction  $E_n G \rightarrow B_n G$  over  $B_n G$  is also a principal  $G$ -fibration. Using the canonical homotopy cofiber sequence

$$E_n G \longrightarrow B_n G \longrightarrow B_{n+1} G$$

and the homotopy fiber sequence

$$E_n G \longrightarrow B_n G \longrightarrow BG,$$

Stasheff’s result [Sta63b, Theorem 4.5] is rephrased as follows.

**Theorem 2.1** (Stasheff, 1963). *Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be connected topological monoids which are CW complex. Then, a pointed map  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is an  $A_n$ -map if and only if the reduced suspension  $\Sigma f : \Sigma G \rightarrow \Sigma G' \subset BG'$  can be extended to a map  $B_n G \rightarrow BG'$ .*

Theorem 2.1 states nothing about the correspondence of  $A_n$ -forms. Our result refines this point.

Let us denote the space of continuous maps between  $X$  and  $Y$  by  $\text{Map}(X, Y)$  and that of pointed ones by  $\text{Map}_0(X, Y)$ . To guarantee the exponential law, we always work in the category of compactly generated spaces and the mapping spaces are considered in the manner of compactly generated spaces. The space of  $A_n$ -maps with  $A_n$ -forms between  $G$  and  $G'$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_n(G, G')$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (T). *Let  $G$  be a well-pointed topological monoid of homotopy type of a CW complex and  $G'$  a well-pointed grouplike topological monoid. Then the following composite is a weak equivalence.*

$$\mathcal{A}_n(G, G') \xrightarrow{B_n} \text{Map}_0(B_n G, B_n G') \xrightarrow{(\iota_n)_\#} \text{Map}_0(B_n G, BG').$$

A pointed space  $X$  is said to be *well-pointed* if the basepoint of  $X$  has the homotopy extension property. A topological monoid  $G$  is said to be *grouplike* if  $\pi_0(G)$  is a group with respect to the multiplication induced from that of  $G$ . The map  $B_n$  is given by Sugawara’s construction [Sug60] and the map  $(\iota_n)_\#$  is the composition with the inclusion  $\iota_n : B_n G \rightarrow BG$ . In [Tsu], the author constructs a topological category  $\mathcal{A}_n$  of topological monoids and  $A_n$ -maps between them and realizes  $B_n$  as a continuous functor from  $\mathcal{A}_n$  to the category of (compactly generated) pointed spaces.

## 3. EVALUATION FIBER SEQUENCE

Next, we explain the main result on evaluation fiber sequences. If  $X$  is well-pointed, then the evaluation

$$\text{Map}(X, Y) \longrightarrow Y$$

at the basepoint is a Hurewicz fibration of which the fiber over the basepoint is  $\text{Map}_0(X, Y)$ . This fiber sequence is called the *evaluation fiber sequence*. Roughly, our second result states that this fiber sequence extends to the right if  $X = B_n G$  and  $Y = BG$ . One may think that this is strange. Because it implies not only that the mapping space  $\text{Map}_0(B_n G, BG)$  is equivalent to a topological monoid, but also the connecting map  $G \rightarrow \text{Map}_0(B_n G, BG)$  is equivalent to a homomorphism between

topological monoids. But Theorem 2.2 claims that  $\text{Map}_0(B_nG, BG)$  is equivalent to the topological monoid  $\mathcal{A}_n(G, G)$ .

There is a well-known adjunction

$$\text{Map}_0(\Sigma X, Y) \cong \text{Map}_0(X, \Omega Y)$$

for pointed spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , where  $\Omega Y$  is the space of based loops in  $Y$ .

For a topological monoid  $G$ , define the subspace  $\overline{\text{Map}}_0(B_nG, BG) \subset \text{Map}_0(B_nG, BG)$  consisting of maps  $B_nG \rightarrow BG$  which restricts to a map  $\Sigma G \rightarrow BG$  with the adjoint  $G \rightarrow \Omega BG \simeq G$  is a homotopy equivalence. We also define the subspace  $\overline{\text{Map}}(B_nG, BG) \subset \text{Map}(B_nG, BG)$  as the union of the path-components that intersect with  $\overline{\text{Map}}_0(B_nG, BG)$ .

For a topological group  $G$ , the composition of the conjugation  $G \rightarrow G$  by an element of  $G$  to an  $A_n$ -map from the left defines the left action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{A}_n(G, G)$ . In particular, the composition to the identity map defines a map  $\delta : G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n(G, G)$ .

Note that there is a natural well-pointed replacement  $\mathcal{W}G \rightarrow G$  of a topological monoid  $G$ , which is a homomorphism between topological monoids and is a weak equivalence.

**Theorem 3.1** (T). *Let  $G$  be a well-pointed topological group of homotopy type of a CW complex. Consider a map  $BG \rightarrow B\mathcal{W}\mathcal{A}_n(G, G; \text{eq})$  defined by the composite*

$$BG \xleftarrow{\cong} B\mathcal{W}G \xrightarrow{B\mathcal{W}\delta} B\mathcal{W}\mathcal{A}_n(G, G; \text{eq}).$$

Then the sequence

$$\overline{\text{Map}}_0(B_nG, BG) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Map}}(B_nG, BG) \rightarrow BG \rightarrow B\mathcal{W}\mathcal{A}_n(G, G; \text{eq}),$$

is a homotopy fiber sequence.

When  $n = \infty$ , the above sequence can be extended as

$$\overline{\text{Map}}_0(BG, BG) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Map}}(BG, BG) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} BG \rightarrow B\mathcal{W}\overline{\text{Map}}_0(BG, BG) \rightarrow B\mathcal{W}\overline{\text{Map}}(BG, BG),$$

where the subspaces  $\overline{\text{Map}}_0(BG, BG) \subset \text{Map}_0(BG, BG)$  and  $\overline{\text{Map}}(BG, BG) \subset \text{Map}(BG, BG)$  are exactly those of homotopy equivalences  $BG \rightarrow BG$ . For details, see Gottlieb's paper [Got73].

#### 4. $A_n$ -TYPES OF GAUGE GROUPS

Theorem 2.2 and 3.1 may be applied in many situations. As an application of them, we obtain the result for  $A_n$ -types of gauge groups. For a principal  $G$ -bundle  $P \rightarrow B$ , the topological group  $\mathcal{G}(P)$  consisting of  $G$ -equivariant maps  $P \rightarrow P$  that induces the identity on  $B$  is called the *gauge group* of  $P$ . The associated bundle  $\text{ad } P = P \times_G G$  with respect to the adjoint action of  $G$  is called the *adjoint bundle* and becomes a bundle of topological groups. The space of sections  $\Gamma(\text{ad } P)$  is naturally isomorphic to the gauge group  $\mathcal{G}(P)$ . For example, the classification of the  $A_n$ -types of the gauge groups of principal  $SU(2)$ -bundles over  $S^4$  is investigated by Kono [Kon91], by Crabb–Sutherland [CS00], by Tsukuda [Tsu01] and by the author [Tsu12]. For homotopy types, there are many related works.

**Theorem 4.1** (Kishimoto–Kono, 2010 and T). *Let  $G$  be a well-pointed topological group and  $B$  be a pointed space, both of which have the pointed homotopy types of CW complexes. For a principal  $G$ -bundle  $P$  over  $B$  classified by  $\epsilon : B \rightarrow BG$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{ad } P$  is  $A_n$ -trivial,
- (ii) the map  $(\epsilon, \iota_n) : B \vee B_nG \rightarrow BG$  extends over the product  $B \times B_nG$ ,
- (iii) the composite  $B \xrightarrow{\epsilon} BG \rightarrow B\mathcal{W}\mathcal{A}_n(G, G; \text{eq})$  is null-homotopic.

A bundle of topological monoids  $E \rightarrow B$  is said to be  $A_n$ -trivial if there exist a topological monoid  $G$  and a “fiberwise  $A_n$ -equivalence”  $B \times G \rightarrow \text{ad}P$ . The  $A_n$ -triviality of  $\text{ad}P$  implies the  $A_n$ -equivalence of  $\mathcal{G}(P)$  and  $\text{Map}(B, G)$ . The equivalence of (i) and (ii) have already been known by Kishimoto–Kono [KK10].

In [Tsu], the author applied Theorem 2.2 and 3.1 to higher homotopy commutativity and to cyclic maps as well.

## 5. FUTURE WORK

It seems that Theorem 3.1 has several applications to homotopy theory of gauge groups and higher homotopy commutativity. Another direction is generalizations of Theorem 2.2. The author is trying to generalize Theorem 2.2 for the  $A_\infty$ -functors between small topological categories.

## REFERENCES

- [Ada60] J. F. Adams, *On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one*, Ann. of Math. **72** (1960), 20–104.
- [BV73] J. M. Boardman and R. M. Vogt, *Homotopy invariant structures on topological spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 347, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [CS00] M. C. Crabb and W. A. Sutherland, *Counting homotopy types of gauge groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **81** (2000), 747–768.
- [Got73] D. H. Gottlieb, *The total space of universal fibrations*, Pacific J. Math. **46** (1973), 415–417.
- [Iwa83] N. Iwase, *On the ring structure of  $K^*(XP^n)$  (Japanese)*, Master Thesis, Kyushu Univ., 1983.
- [Jam57] I. M. James, *Multiplication on spheres. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1957), 545–558.
- [KK10] D. Kishimoto and A. Kono, *Splitting of gauge groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 6715–6731.
- [Kon91] A. Kono, *A note on the homotopy type of certain gauge groups*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh: Sect. A **117** (1991), 295–297.
- [Sta63a] J. D. Stasheff, *Homotopy associativity of H-spaces. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 275–292.
- [Sta63b] J. D. Stasheff, *Homotopy associativity of H-spaces. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 293–312.
- [Sta70] J. D. Stasheff, *H-spaces from a homotopy point of view*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 161, 1970.
- [Sug60] M. Sugawara, *On the homotopy-commutativity of groups and loop spaces*, Mem. College Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A Math. **33** (1960), 257–269.
- [Tsu01] S. Tsukuda, *Comparing the homotopy types of the components of  $\text{Map}(S^4, BSU(2))$* , J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **161** (2001), 235–247.
- [Tsu12] M. Tsutaya, *Finiteness of  $A_n$ -equivalence types of gauge groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **85** (2012), 142–164.
- [Tsu] M. Tsutaya, *Mapping spaces from projective spaces*, arXiv:1408.2010, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* tsutaya@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp