

# Hypercontractions on Banach space

Caixing Gu\*

California Polytechnic State University, USA

Zhengli Chen†

Shaanxi Normal University, P.R. China

## 1 Introduction

The operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is an  $n$ -hypercontraction for some positive integer  $n$  as in Agler [2], if for all  $1 \leq m \leq n$ ,

$$\beta_m(T) := \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} T^{*k} T^k \geq 0$$

or equivalently, for all  $1 \leq m \leq n$ ,

$$\langle \beta_m(T)h, h \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \|T^k h\|^2 \geq 0 \text{ for all } h \in H.$$

Inspired by the above definition of  $n$ -hypercontractions and the work of  $m$ -isometries on Hilbert spaces [3] [4] and recent work on  $(m, p)$ -isometries on a Banach space  $X$  [8] [6] [14] [13], we introduce  $(m, p)$ -hypercontractions on  $X$ . Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and let  $B(X)$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $X$ . An operator  $T \in B(X)$  is called an  $(m, p)$ -contraction if

$$\beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) := \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \|T^k x\|^p \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in X. \quad (1)$$

We say  $T$  is an  $(n, p)$ -hypercontraction if  $T$  is an  $(m, p)$ -contraction for all  $1 \leq m \leq n$ . An operator  $T$  is an  $(m, p)$ -isometry if  $\beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . We note that an  $(m, p)$ -isometry is automatically an  $(m + 1, p)$ -isometry, see

---

\*e-mail: cgu@calpoly.edu

†e-mail: czl@snnu.edu.cn

formula (4) below. But an  $(m, p)$ -hypercontraction is in general not an  $(m + 1, p)$ -hypercontraction. When  $n = 1$ , the power  $p$  is irrelevant and a  $(1, p)$ -contraction is just a contraction. When  $n > 1$ , the power  $p$  is highly relevant. For example, it was proved in [8] that there is no  $(2, 2)$ -isometric weighted shifts on  $l_p$  for  $p \neq 2$ . A characterization of  $(m, q)$ -isometric weighted shifts on  $l_p$  spaces is given by one of the authors in [13]. One of the results in [13] states that if a weighted shift on  $l_p$  is an  $(m, q)$ -isometry, then  $q = pk$  for some integer  $k$ .

The following result is well-known [10] [16] [9].

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $S$  denote the unilateral (unweighted) shift of multiplicity one and let  $S^{*(\infty)}$  be the backward shift of infinite multiplicity. Let  $T \in B(H)$ . Then  $T$  is unitarily equivalent to a part of  $S^{*(\infty)}$  if and only if  $\|T\| \leq 1$  and  $T^k \rightarrow 0$  strongly.*

Agler in [1] developed a  $C^*$ -algebra method for operator models and proved an analog of Theorem A with  $S$  replaced by Bergman shift  $B$ .

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $T \in B(H)$ . Then  $T$  is unitarily equivalent to a part of  $B^{*(\infty)}$  if and only if  $I - 2T^*T + T^{*2}T \geq 0$  and  $T^k \rightarrow 0$  strongly.*

To state the more general result in Agler [2], we need to introduce some notations. Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer.

$$M_n = \left\{ f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(i)z^i : \|f(z)\|_n^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (w_{n,i})^{-1} |\hat{f}(i)|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

where  $w_{n,i}$  is defined by

$$w_{n,i} = \binom{n-1+i}{n-1} \text{ so that } (1-z)^{-n} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w_{n,i}z^i, |z| < 1. \quad (2)$$

$M_n$  is the Hilbert space of analytic functions on the open unit disc  $D$  with the reproducing kernel  $k_w(z) = (1 - \bar{w}z)^{-n}$ . Let  $S_n$  be the operator on  $M_n$  defined by

$$S_n(f)(z) = zf(z), f \in M_n.$$

Thus  $S_1$  is the unilateral shift on the Hardy space,  $S_2$  is the Bergman shift on the Bergman space and  $S_n$  is a weighted shift.

**THEOREM C.** *Let  $T \in B(H)$ . Then  $T$  is unitarily equivalent to a part of  $S_n^{*(\infty)}$  if and only if  $\beta_n(T) \geq 0$  and  $T^k \rightarrow 0$  strongly.*

In this paper, we extend Theorem C to Banach spaces. Recall  $l_p(X)$  denote the Banach space defined by

$$l_p(X) = \left\{ f = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty} : \|f\|^p = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|x_i\|^p < \infty, x_i \in X \text{ for } i \geq 0 \right\}.$$

More generally, we define weighted Banach space  $l_{(n,p)}(X)$  by using weight sequences  $\{w_{n,i}\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  as in (2),

$$l_{(n,p)}(X) = \left\{ f = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty} : \|f\|_n^p = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w_{n,i} \|x_i\|^p < \infty, x_i \in X \text{ for } i \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Note  $l_p(X) = l_{(1,p)}(X)$ . Let  $B_n$  be the (unweighted) backward shift on  $l_{(n,p)}(X)$  defined by

$$B_n(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, \dots), \{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \in l_{(n,p)}(X).$$

It is clear that  $B_1$  can be extended to be an invertible bilateral shift defined on two sided  $l_p(X)$  space. It is not clear how to extend  $B_n$  for  $n > 1$ . Let  $T \in B(X)$ . We say  $T$  is unitarily equivalent to a part of  $B_n$  if there is an isometry  $W_n$  from  $X$  into  $l_{(n,p)}(X)$  such that

$$W_n T = B_n W_n. \quad (3)$$

Note that  $B_n$  is invariant on the range  $W_n(X)$  and hence one may write

$$T = W_n^{-1} B_n W_n.$$

Now we state the main theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $T \in B(X)$ . Then  $T$  is unitarily equivalent to a part of  $B_n$  if and only if  $T$  is an  $(n, p)$ -contraction and  $T^k \rightarrow 0$  strongly.*

Instead of working with weighted Banach space  $l_{(n,p)}(X)$ , we could just work on  $l_p(X)$ . The trade-off would be that we use weighted backward shift  $D_n$  on  $l_p(X)$  instead of unweighted backward shift  $B_n$  on  $l_{(n,p)}(X)$ . The operator  $D_n$  on  $l_p(X)$  is defined by

$$D_n(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots) = (c_1 x_1, c_2 x_2, \dots, c_i x_i, \dots)$$

where  $c_i = (w_{n,i-1}/w_{n,i})^{1/p}$ ,  $i \geq 1$ . The operator  $D_n$  is a contraction since  $c_i \leq 1$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Then Theorem 1 can be reformulated as the following: There is an isometry  $W_n$  from  $X$  into  $l_p(X)$  such that  $W_n T = D_n W_n$  if and only if  $T$  is an  $(n, p)$ -contraction and  $T^k \rightarrow 0$  strongly.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 needs several lemmas which we stated below. Here we will only give the proof of "if" part of Theorem 1 which is short.

We first state a lemma proved on page 2143 in [6].

**Lemma 2** *Let  $T \in B(X)$ ,  $N \geq n \geq 1$  and  $x \in X$ . Then*

$$\beta_{(n,p)}(T, x) = \beta_{(n-1,p)}(T, x) - \beta_{(n-1,p)}(T, Tx). \quad (4)$$

We also need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3** *Let  $T \in B(X)$ . If  $T$  is an  $(n, p)$ -contraction and  $T^k \rightarrow 0$  strongly, then  $T$  is an  $(n, p)$ -hypercontraction. Furthermore, for each  $x \in X$  and all  $0 \leq m \leq n$ ,*

$$k^m \beta_{(m,p)}(T, T^k x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5)$$

**Lemma 4** *Let  $T \in B(X)$ ,  $N \geq n \geq 1$  and  $x \in X$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^N w_{n,k} \beta_{(n,p)}(T, T^k x) + \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} w_{l+1,N} \beta_{(l,p)}(T, T^{N+1} x) = \|x\|^p \quad (6)$$

**The proof of "if" part of Theorem 1.** Let  $T \in B(X)$  be such that  $\beta_{(n,p)}(T, x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $T^k \rightarrow 0$  strongly. We define  $W_n$  from  $X$  into  $l_{(n,p)}(X)$  as

$$W_n x = \left\{ \beta_{(n,p)}^{1/p}(T, T^i x) \frac{T^i x}{\|T^i x\|} \right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$$

with the understanding that if  $T^i x = 0$  for a specific  $i$ , then  $\beta_{(n,p)}^{1/p}(T, T^i x) \frac{T^i x}{\|T^i x\|} = 0$ . We now show  $W_n$  is well-defined and is an isometry. We need to show  $\|W_n x\|_n^p = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w_{n,i} \beta_{(n,p)}(T, T^i x)$  converges to  $\|x\|^p$ . By Lemma 4, for  $N > n$ ,

$$\sum_{i=0}^N w_{n,i} \beta_{(n,p)}(T, T^i x) = \|x\|^p - \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} w_{l+1,N} \beta_{(l,p)}(T, T^{N+1} x) \leq \|x\|^p.$$

Furthermore for each  $0 \leq l \leq n-1$ , by Lemma 3 and  $\frac{w_{l+1,N}}{(N+1)^l} \rightarrow 1$ , we have

$$w_{l+1,N} \beta_{(l,p)}(T, T^{N+1} x) = \frac{w_{l+1,N}}{(N+1)^l} (N+1)^l \beta_{(l,p)}(T, T^{N+1} x) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . The proof is complete.

### 3 A similarity model on Banach space

Theorem 1 gives a characterization of an operator unitarily equivalent to a part of the  $(n, p)$ -hypercontraction  $B_n$ . What is a characterization of an operator similar to a part of  $B_n$ ? This question has not even been discussed on Hilbert spaces for  $n > 1$ . For  $n = 1$ , the following model theorem of Rota [17] predates Theorem A and is the first example of a universal operator. Let  $r(T)$  denote the spectral radius of a bounded operator  $T$ .

**THEOREM D.** *Let  $T \in B(H)$ . If  $r(T) < 1$ , then  $T$  is similar to a part of  $S^{*(\infty)}$ .*

The proof of Theorem D and some of its late generalizations (see the book [15]) can be adapted to Banach spaces. Thus some of the results below might be known to experts. Let  $T \in B(X)$ , we say  $T$  is similar to a part of  $B_n$ , the backward shift on  $l_{(n,p)}(X)$ , if there is an bounded operator  $W_n$  from  $X$  into  $l_{(n,p)}(X)$  such that  $W_n$  is bounded below and  $W_n T = B_n W_n$ . The following result is inspired by Proposition 6.6 from [15] and the proof is also similar. However, Proposition 6.6 from [15] only deals with the case  $n = 1$ .

**Theorem 5** *Let  $T \in B(X)$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

(a) *There exist constants  $\beta \geq \alpha > 0$  and  $Q \in B(X)$ , such that for all  $x \in X$ ,*

$$\alpha \|x\|^p \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{n,k} \left\| QT^k x \right\|^p \leq \beta \|x\|^p. \quad (7)$$

(b)  *$T$  is similar to a part of  $B_n$  on  $l_{(n,p)}(X)$ .*

**Proof.** The proof is adapted from the proof of Proposition 6.6 in [15]. Assume (a) holds. We define  $W_n$  from  $X$  into  $l_{(n,p)}(X)$  by

$$W_n x = \left\{ QT^k x \right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}.$$

Then assumption (7) is the same as  $\alpha \|x\|^p \leq \|W_n x\|_n^p \leq \beta \|x\|^p$ . Therefore the range of  $W_n$ , denoted by  $R(W_n)$ , is a closed subspace of  $l_{(n,p)}(X)$  and  $W_n$  from  $X$  onto  $R(W_n)$  is invertible. It is also clear that  $W_n T = B_n W_n$ , so  $R(W_n)$  is invariant for  $B_n$  and

$$T = W_n^{-1}(B_n|_{R(W_n)})W_n.$$

That is,  $T$  is similar to the restriction of  $B_n$  to  $R(W_n)$ .

Now assume (b) holds. Let  $W_n$  from  $X$  into  $l_{(n,p)}(X)$  be such that  $W_n$  is bounded below and  $W_n T = B_n W_n$ . Let  $P_k$  be the projection from  $l_{(n,p)}(X)$  onto its  $k$ -th component,  $P_k \{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty} = x_k e_k$ . Let  $Q_k = P_k W_n, k \geq 0$ . Then for  $x \in X$ ,

$$W_n x = \{Q_k x\}_{k=0}^{\infty}.$$

The relation  $W_n T = B_n W_n$  means  $Q_k T x = Q_{k+1} x$ . Thus  $Q_{k+1} = Q_k T$ . Set  $Q = Q_0$ , we have

$$W x = \{Q_k x\}_{k=0}^{\infty} = \left\{ Q T^k x \right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}.$$

Now  $W_n$  from  $X$  into  $l_{(n,p)}(X)$  is bounded and bounded below is the same as (7). The proof is complete. ■

The following is the analogue of Theorem D on Banach spaces.

**Corollary 6** *Let  $T \in B(X)$ . If  $r(T) < 1$ , then  $T$  is similar to a part of  $B_1$ . Furthermore  $T$  is similar to a strict contraction.*

**Proof.** If  $r(T) < 1$ , the condition (7) (with  $w_{1k} = 1$ ) holds for by taking  $Q$  to be the identity operator. Thus  $T$  is similar to a part of  $B_1$ . To prove  $T$  is similar to a strict contraction, we use the scaling as in [17]. Let  $\varepsilon$  be such that  $r(T) < \varepsilon < 1$ . By what we just proved,  $T/\varepsilon$  is similar to a part of  $B_1$ . Therefore  $T$  is similar to a part of  $\varepsilon B_1$ . We will show below that  $B_1|R(W_1)$  is in fact a strict contraction. ■

**Acknowledgement** The research of Zhengli Chen was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(No.11371012), the IFGP of Shaanxi Normal University (No.2013CXB012) and the FRF for the Central Universities(GK 201002006).

## References

- [1] J. Agler, The Arveson extension theorem and coanalytic models, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 5(1982) 608-631.
- [2] J. Agler, Hypercontractions and subnormality, *J. Operator Theory* 13(1985) 203-217.
- [3] J. Agler and M. Stankus,  $m$ -isometric transformations of Hilbert space I, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 21(1995) 383-429.

- [4] J. Agler and M. Stankus,  $m$ -isometric transformations of Hilbert space III, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 24(1996) 379-421.
- [5] A. Athavale, On completely hyperexpansive operators, *Proc. Math. Soc.* 124 (1996) 3745-3752.
- [6] F. Bayart,  $m$ -isometries on Banach spaces, *Math. Nachr.* 284(2011) 2141-2147.
- [7] C. Berg, J.P.R. Christensen and P. Ressel, *Harmonic analysis on semi-group*, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1984.
- [8] F. Botelho, On the existence of  $n$ -isometries on  $l_p$  spaces, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 76(2010) 183-192.
- [9] L. de Branges and J. Rovnyak, Canonical models in quantum scattering theory, in *Perturbation theory and its applications in quantum mechanics*, Wiley, New York, 1966, pp 347-392.
- [10] C. Foias, A remark on the universal model for contractions of G. C. Rota, *Comm. Acad. R. P. Române*, 13(1963) 349-352.
- [11] H.W. Gould, [www.math.wvu.edu/~gould/Vol.4.PDF](http://www.math.wvu.edu/~gould/Vol.4.PDF), *Combinatorial identities*, 2010.
- [12] Caixing Gu, On  $(m, p)$ -expansive and  $(m, p)$ -contractive operators on Hilbert and Banach spaces, to appear in *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*
- [13] Caixing Gu, The  $(m, q)$ -isometric weighted shifts on  $l_p$  spaces, to appear in *Integral Equations Operator Theory*.
- [14] P. Hoffman, M. Mackey, and M. Ó Searcoid, On the second parameter of an  $(m, p)$ -isometry, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 71 (2011) 389-405.
- [15] C. S. Kubrusly, *An introduction to models and decompositions in operator theory*, Birkäuser Boston, 1997.
- [16] B. Sz-Nagy and C. Foias, Sur les contractions de l'espace de Hilbert, VIII, *Acta Sci. Math (Szeged)*, 25(1964), 38-71.
- [17] G.C. Rota, On models for linear operators, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 13(1960) 469-472.