

# On a class of indecomposable modules with trivial source

Masafumi Murai

April 25, 2012

**Abstract** The concept of  $p$ -radical groups was introduced by Motose-Ninomiya [MN]. Later Tsushima [Ts] investigated  $p$ -radical blocks, a block-wise version of  $p$ -radical groups. Here we consider more general blocks and introduce module-theoretical viewpoint.

## Introduction

Let  $p$  be a prime. Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ . Tsushima [Ts] has defined  $p$ -radical blocks. In this paper we consider a more general concept and give a module theoretical consideration. We need to introduce some terminology. Let  $G$  be a group and  $P$  a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . An indecomposable (right)  $kG$ -module  $S$  is said to be weakly  $P$ -radical if it is  $P$ -projective and the number of indecomposable summands (counting multiplicity) of  $S_P$  equals  $\frac{\dim S |vx(S)|}{|P|}$  (for notation, see below). A simple  $kG$ -module  $S$  is said to be  $P$ -radical if  $(1_P)^G \simeq mS \oplus V$ , where  $m$  is an integer and  $V$  is a  $kG$ -module not involving  $S$ , in which case  $m$  is positive, since  $\text{Hom}_{kG}(S, (1_P)^G) \neq 0$ . We call a  $p$ -block  $B$  of  $G$  weakly  $P$ -radical if any simple  $kG$ -module in  $B$  is weakly  $P$ -radical. We call  $B$   $P$ -radical if any simple  $kG$ -module in  $B$  is  $P$ -radical. Clearly  $B$  is  $P$ -radical if and only if  $(1_P)^G e_B$  is semi-simple, where  $e_B$  is a block idempotent of  $kG$  corresponding to  $B$ . So when  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , a  $P$ -radical block is a  $p$ -radical block in the sense of Tsushima [Ts].

In Section 1 we show for any  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ ,  $B$  is  $P$ -radical if and only if  $B$  is weakly  $P$ -radical. In Section 2 we consider relationship between weakly  $P$ -radical simple modules and subgroups of  $G$  for a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ . We obtain an alternative proof of a theorem of Laradji [La]. In Section 3 we consider  $D$ -radical blocks  $B$  for a defect group  $D$  of  $B$  and strengthen a theorem of Hida-Koshitani [HK].

For a  $k$ -module  $X$   $\dim X$  denotes the  $k$ -dimension of  $X$ . For an indecomposable  $kG$ -module  $S$ , let  $vx(S)$  be a vertex of  $S$ . For a group  $H$  and  $kH$ -modules  $X, Y$ ,  $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$  denotes  $\text{Hom}_{kH}(X, Y)$  and let  $P(X)$  be the projective cover of  $X$ . For subgroups  $H, K$  of  $G$ ,  $H \backslash G / K$  denotes a complete set of representatives of  $(H, K)$ -double cosets in  $G$ .

### 1. Weakly $P$ -radical and $P$ -radical modules

Let  $P$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of the group  $G$ . For an indecomposable  $kG$ -module  $S$ , let  $n_{S,P}$  be the number of indecomposable summands of  $S_P$  (counting multiplicity), let  $n'_{S,P}$  be the number of indecomposable summands of  $S_P$  (counting multiplicity) whose vertices are  $G$ -conjugate to  $\text{vx}(S)$ . Note that  $n'_{S,P}$  is positive, if  $S$  is  $P$ -projective, cf. [Fe, III 4.6]. Let  $m_{S,P}$  be the multiplicity of  $S$  in  $(1_P)^G$  as direct summands. If  $S$  is simple, let  $k_{S,P}$  be the multiplicity of  $S$  in  $(1_P)^G$  as irreducible constituents.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $S$  be a  $P$ -projective indecomposable  $kG$ -module. Then  $n_{S,P} \leq \frac{\dim S|\text{vx}(S)|}{|P|}$  and the following are equivalent.*

(i)  $n_{S,P} = \frac{\dim S|\text{vx}(S)|}{|P|}$ .

(ii)  $S_P$  is a direct sum of modules of the form  $(1_A)^P$  where  $A$  is a vertex of  $S$  contained in  $P$ .

(iii)  $S_P \simeq \oplus_i (1_{Q_i})^P$ , where  $Q_i$  are subgroups of  $P$  of the same order.

If these conditions hold, then  $S$  has a trivial source.

*Proof.* We have  $S_P = \oplus_{i=1}^{n_{S,P}} W_i^P$ , where  $W_i$  are indecomposable  $kQ_i$ -modules for  $Q_i \leq P$  with  $Q_i \leq_G \text{vx}(S)$ . So  $\dim S = \sum_i |P : Q_i| \dim W_i \geq (\sum_i \dim W_i) |P| / |\text{vx}(S)| \geq n_{S,P} |P| / |\text{vx}(S)|$ . Thus  $n_{S,P} \leq \frac{\dim S|\text{vx}(S)|}{|P|}$ . The rest follows from [Fe, III 4.6].  $\square$

As stated in Introduction, for a  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ , we say an indecomposable  $kG$ -module  $S$  weakly  $P$ -radical if  $S$  is  $P$ -projective and  $n_{S,P} = \frac{\dim S|\text{vx}(S)|}{|P|}$ . For this definition we have the following, which is straightforward to see.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $P$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and let  $S$  be an indecomposable  $kG$ -module. Let  $x$  be any element of  $G$ . Then  $n_{S,P} = n_{S,P^x}$ ,  $n'_{S,P} = n'_{S,P^x}$ ,  $m_{S,P} = m_{S,P^x}$  and if  $S$  is simple  $k_{S,P} = k_{S,P^x}$ . In particular, if  $S$  is weakly  $P$ -radical, then  $S$  is weakly  $P^x$ -radical.*

Recall that a weight  $U$  for  $G$  is a projective simple  $k[N_G(Q)/Q]$ -module for a  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$  ([Al]). So as a  $kN_G(Q)$ -module  $U$  is indecomposable with trivial source and has  $Q$  as a vertex. The Green correspondent of  $U$  with respect to  $(G, Q, N_G(Q))$  is said to be an Alperin ( $kG$ -)module.

The following strengthens Lemma 1 of [Al].

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $P$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $S$  be a  $P$ -projective indecomposable  $kG$ -module with trivial source. Then  $m_{S,P} \leq n'_{S,P}$  and the equality holds if and only if  $S$  is an Alperin module.*

*Proof.* We compute  $n'_{S,P}$ . Let  $Q$  be a vertex of  $S$ . Let  $U$  be the Green correspondent of  $S$  with respect to  $(G, Q, N_G(Q))$ . By Green's theorem,  $U^G = S \oplus V$ , where  $V$  is  $\mathcal{X}$ -projective for  $\mathcal{X} = \{Q \cap Q^x; x \notin N_G(Q)\}$ . Since  $V_P$  has no

direct summands whose vertex is  $G$ -conjugate to  $Q$ , it suffices to consider  $(U^G)_P$ . By Mackey decomposition,  $(U^G)_P \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in N_G(Q) \backslash G/P} ((U^x)_{N_G(Q)^x \cap P})^P$ . Assume that  $((U^x)_{N_G(Q)^x \cap P})^P$  has an indecomposable summand with vertex  $G$ -conjugate to  $Q$ . Then for a vertex  $R$  of some indecomposable summand of  $(U^x)_{N_G(Q)^x \cap P}$ , we have  $R^u \geq Q^g$  for some  $u \in P$  and  $g \in G$ . Since  $U^x$  has a vertex  $Q^x$ , which is normal in  $N_G(Q)^x$ , we have  $R \leq Q^x$ . Therefore  $R = Q^x$ . Hence  $P \geq Q^x$ . Conversely assume  $P \geq Q^x$ . Then  $N_G(Q)^x \cap P \geq Q^x$ . Therefore  $(U^x)_{N_G(Q)^x \cap P} = \overline{U^x}_{N_G(Q)^x \cap P}$ , where  $N_G(Q)^x \cap P = N_G(Q)^x \cap P/Q^x$  and  $\overline{U^x}$  is the  $N_G(Q)^x/Q^x$ -module corresponding to  $U^x$ .

Since  $\overline{U^x}$  is projective, we obtain  $\overline{U^x}_{N_G(Q)^x \cap P} \simeq \frac{\dim U}{|N_G(Q)^x \cap P|} k[\overline{N_G(Q)^x \cap P}]$ .

Since  $k[\overline{N_G(Q)^x \cap P}] = (1_{Q^x})^{N_G(Q)^x \cap P}$ , we have  $((U^x)_{N_G(Q)^x \cap P})^P \simeq \frac{\dim U}{|N_G(Q)^x \cap P|} (1_{Q^x})^P$ .

Therefore  $n'_{S,P} = \sum_{x \in N_G(Q) \backslash G/P, P \geq Q^x} \frac{\dim U}{|N_G(Q)^x \cap P|}$ .

Now we consider  $m_{S,P}$ . By the Burry-Carlson-Puig theorem,  $m_{S,P}$  equals the multiplicity of  $U$  in  $((1_P)^G)_{N_G(Q)}$  as direct summands. By Mackey decomposition, we have

$$((1_P)^G)_{N_G(Q)} \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in P \backslash G/N_G(Q)} (1_{P^x \cap N_G(Q)})^{N_G(Q)}.$$

Since  $U$  has vertex  $Q$  it suffices to consider those  $x \in P \backslash G/N_G(Q)$  for which  $Q \leq P^x$ . Then,  $(1_{P^x \cap N_G(Q)})^{N_G(Q)} = (1_{\overline{P^x \cap N_G(Q)}})^{\overline{N_G(Q)}}$ , where  $\overline{N_G(Q)} = N_G(Q)/Q$ .

Put  $(1_{\overline{P^x \cap N_G(Q)}})^{\overline{N_G(Q)}} \simeq n_x \overline{U} \oplus V_x$ , where  $\overline{U}$  is the  $\overline{N_G(Q)}$ -module corresponding to  $U$  and

$V_x$  has no summands isomorphic to  $\overline{U}$ . Then  $m_{S,P} = \sum_{x \in P \backslash G/N_G(Q), Q \leq P^x} n_x$ .  
Now

$$\dim \text{Hom}((1_{\overline{P^x \cap N_G(Q)}})^{\overline{N_G(Q)}}, \overline{U}) = n_x \dim \text{Hom}(\overline{U}, \overline{U}) + \dim \text{Hom}(V_x, \overline{U}) \geq n_x.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Hom}((1_{\overline{P^x \cap N_G(Q)}})^{\overline{N_G(Q)}}, \overline{U}) &= \dim \text{Hom}((1_{\overline{P^x \cap N_G(Q)}})^{\overline{N_G(Q)}}, \overline{U}_{\overline{P^x \cap N_G(Q)}}) \\ &= \frac{\dim U}{|\overline{P^x \cap N_G(Q)}|}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\overline{U}$  is projective. Therefore

$$\sum_{x \in P \backslash G/N_G(Q), Q \leq P^x} \dim \text{Hom}((1_{\overline{P^x \cap N_G(Q)}})^{\overline{N_G(Q)}}, \overline{U}) = \sum_{x \in P \backslash G/N_G(Q), Q \leq P^x} \frac{\dim U}{|\overline{P^x \cap N_G(Q)}|} = n'_{S,P}.$$

Here the last equality follows by considering the correspondence  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ . Hence  $n'_{S,P} \geq \sum_x n_x = m_{S,P}$ . If the equality holds then  $m_{S,P} = n'_{S,P} \neq 0$ , since  $S$  is  $P$ -projective, cf. [Fe, III 4.6]. So  $n_x \neq 0$  for some  $x$ . Thus  $\dim \text{Hom}(\overline{U}, \overline{U}) = 1$ . Since  $\overline{U}$  is projective we see  $\overline{U}$  is simple and  $S$  is Alperin. Conversely assume  $U$  is simple. Then equality holds throughout. Hence  $m_{S,P} = n'_{S,P}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $S$  be a  $P$ -projective simple module with trivial source. Then*

$$m_{S,P} \leq n'_{S,P} \leq n_{S,P} \leq k_{S,P} = \frac{\dim P(S)}{|P|}.$$

*Proof.* The first inequality follows from Theorem 3. The second is trivial. To prove the third, put  $S_P \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_{S,P}} (1_{Q_i})^P$  for suitable  $Q_i$ . Then  $n_{S,P} = \dim \text{Hom}(S_P, 1_P) = \dim \text{Hom}(S, (1_P)^G) \leq k_{S,P}$ . Further  $k_{S,P} = \dim \text{Hom}(P(S), (1_P)^G) = \dim \text{Hom}(P(S)_P, 1_P) = \frac{\dim P(S)}{|P|}$ , since  $P(S)_P$  is projective.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $S$  be a simple module. If  $S$  is  $P$ -radical, then  $S$  is weakly  $P$ -radical.*

*Proof.* Since  $S$  is  $P$ -projective and has trivial source, by Corollary 4 we have  $m_{S,P} \leq n'_{S,P} \leq n_{S,P} \leq k_{S,P}$ . By assumption  $m_{S,P} = k_{S,P}$ , so that  $n'_{S,P} = n_{S,P}$ . Thus  $S$  is weakly  $P$ -radical.  $\square$

When  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , a weakly  $P$ -radical module is said to be just a weakly radical module. The same is true for other terminology. Also  $n_{S,P}$  is denoted by  $n_S$  etc. Such convention is justified by Lemma 2. Note that then radical blocks are  $p$ -radical blocks as defined by Tsushima [Ts].

**Corollary 6.** ([Ok2, Lemma 1]) *A radical (simple) module is weakly radical.*

The following is fundamental.

**Proposition 7.** ([Ok1, Lemma 2.2]). *A simple  $kG$ -module with trivial source is an Alperin module.*

**Lemma 8.** *If  $S$  is an Alperin module, then  $(\dim S)_p = |P : \text{vx}(S)|$ , where  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  with  $\text{vx}(S) \leq P$ .*

*Proof.* See the proof of Lemma 2.2 of [Ok1].  $\square$

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $S$  be a simple  $kG$ -module. Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .*

(i) *If  $S$  is weakly radical,  $\dim P(S) \geq |\text{vx}(S)| \dim S \geq |P|(\dim S)_{p'}$ .*

*Furthermore the following conditions are equivalent.*

(ii)  *$S$  is radical.*

(iii)  *$S$  is weakly radical and  $\dim P(S) = |P|(\dim S)_{p'}$ .*

(iv)  *$S$  is weakly radical and  $\dim P(S) = |\text{vx}S| \dim S$ .*

(v)  *$n_S = \frac{\dim P(S)}{|P|}$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $P \geq \text{vx}(S)$ .

(i) By Corollary 4 we have  $\frac{\dim P(S)}{|P|} = k_S \geq n_S = \frac{\dim S}{|P:\text{vx}(S)|}$ , from which the

first inequality follows. The second inequality follows from Green's theorem.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): By Corollary 6,  $S$  is weakly radical. Also by Corollary 4  $n_S = k_S$ . We have  $n_S = \frac{\dim S}{|P:\text{vx}(S)|} = (\dim S)_{p'}$  by Proposition 7 and Lemma 8. And  $k_S = \frac{\dim P(S)}{|P|}$ . Thus the equality holds.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): This follows from (i).

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v): Since  $S$  is weakly radical,  $n_S = \frac{\dim S}{|P:\text{vx}(S)|}$ . The result follows.

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Write  $S_P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_S} (W_i)^P$ , where each  $W_i$  is an indecomposable  $kQ_i$ -module for some  $Q_i \leq P$ . Then  $\dim \text{Hom}(1_P, S_P) = \sum_i \dim \text{Hom}(1_P, (W_i)^P) = \sum_i \dim \text{Hom}(1_{Q_i}, W_i) \geq n_S$ . So we have

$$n_S \leq \dim \text{Hom}(1_P, S_P) = \dim \text{Hom}((1_P)^G, S) \leq k_S = \frac{\dim P(S)}{|P|}.$$

Hence equality holds throughout. Likewise we have  $\dim \text{Hom}(S, (1_P)^G) = k_S$ . Hence there exist submodules  $U$  and  $V$  of  $(1_P)^G$  with the following properties:  $U \simeq k_S S$ ,  $(1_P)^G/V \simeq k_S S$  and  $V$  does not involve  $S$ . Then  $U \cap V = 0$  and hence  $(1_P)^G = U \oplus V$ . Thus  $S$  is radical. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $S$  be a simple  $kG$ -module for a  $p$ -solvable group  $G$ . Then  $S$  is radical if and only if  $S$  is weakly radical.*

*Proof.* "only if" part: This follows from Corollary 6.

"if" part: Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable  $\dim P(S) = |P|(\dim S)_{p'}$  by Fong's theorem [Na, Corollary 10.14]. Thus Proposition 9 yields the result.  $\square$

**Remark.** There does exist a simple  $kG$ -module which is weakly radical but not radical. Indeed, clearly  $1_G$  is always weakly radical. Let  $G$  be the alternating group of degree 5 and  $p = 3$ . Then  $\dim P(1_G) = 6$  ([HB, p.222]). So by Proposition 9,  $1_G$  is not radical.

**Corollary 11.** *If  $B$  is radical, then  $(1_P)^G e_B \simeq \bigoplus_S (\dim S)_{p'} S$ , where  $S$  runs through simple modules in  $B$  up to isomorphism.*

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $P$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $B$  is  $P$ -radical if and only if  $B$  is weakly  $P$ -radical.*

*Proof.* "if" part: Let  $(1_P)^G e_B \simeq \bigoplus_S m_{S,P} S \oplus X$ , where  $S$  runs through simple modules in  $B$  up to isomorphism. Assume  $X \neq 0$  and let  $T$  be a simple submodule of  $X$ . Then  $\dim \text{Hom}(T, (1_P)^G) > m_{T,P}$ . But  $\dim \text{Hom}(T, (1_P)^G) = \dim \text{Hom}(T_P, 1_P) = n_{T,P} = n_{T,P} = m_{T,P}$  by Proposition 7 and Theorem 3, a contradiction. Hence  $X = 0$  and  $B$  is  $P$ -radical.

"only if" part: This follows from Proposition 5.  $\square$

The group  $G$  is said to be  $p$ -radical, if  $(1_P)^G$  is semi-simple for a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  ([Ts,p.80]),

**Corollary 13.**  $G$  is  $p$ -radical if and only if any simple  $kG$ -module is weakly radical.

**Lemma 14.** *If an Alperin module  $S$  is weakly radical, then  $S$  is simple.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3  $m_S = n_S$ . From  $(1_P)^G = m_S S \oplus V$ , we have  
 $n_S = \dim \text{Hom}(S_P, 1_P) = \dim \text{Hom}(S, (1_P)^G) = m_S \dim \text{Hom}(S, S) + \dim \text{Hom}(S, V)$   
 Thus  $\text{Hom}(S, S) = k$  and  $\text{Hom}(S, V) = 0$ . Let  $T$  be a simple module in the head of  $S$ . Since  $\text{Hom}(T, (1_P)^G) = \text{Hom}(T_P, 1_P) \neq 0$ ,  $T$  is a submodule of  $V$  or  $S$ . The former is impossible, since  $\text{Hom}(S, V) = 0$ . Thus the latter holds. Then there is a non-zero homomorphism  $\varphi : S \rightarrow \text{Soc}(S)$ . Of course  $\varphi(J(S)) = 0$ . Since  $\text{Hom}(S, S) = k$ ,  $\varphi$  must be a monomorphism. Therefore  $J(S) = 0$ . Thus  $S$  is simple.

**Proposition 15.** *Let  $B$  be a block of  $G$ . Assume that Alperin's weight conjecture [Al] is true for  $B$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $B$  is radical.
- (ii)  $(1_P)^G e_B$  is a direct sum of weakly radical indecomposable modules.
- (iii) All Alperin modules in  $B$  are weakly radical.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Any simple module  $S$  in  $B$  is radical. Hence  $S$  is weakly radical by Corollary 6.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let  $S$  be an Alperin module in  $B$ . Then  $m_S = n'_S > 0$  by Theorem 3 and [Fe, III 4.6]. Hence  $S$  is weakly radical.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $S$  be an Alperin module in  $B$ . Then  $S$  is weakly radical. Hence  $S$  is simple by Lemma 14. Thus, by Alperin's weight conjecture, any simple module  $T$  in  $B$  is an Alperin module. Hence  $T$  is weakly radical. So  $B$  is weakly radical and radical by Theorem 12.

**Proposition 16.** *Let  $S$  be an indecomposable  $kG$ -module. If  $\dim S$  is prime to  $p$ , then  $S$  is weakly radical if and only if  $G/\text{Ker} S$  is a  $p'$ -group.*

*Proof.* (i) "only if" part: Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . By Lemma 1, we have  $S_P \simeq \oplus_i (1_{Q_i})^P$ , where  $Q_i$  are vertices of  $S$ . Thus  $Q_i = P$  for all  $i$  and  $P \leq \text{Ker} S$ .

"if" part: Since  $P \leq \text{Ker} S$ , the result follows by Lemma 1.

## 2. Weakly radical simple modules and subgroups

In this section we consider relationship between weakly radical simple modules and subgroups.

**Proposition 17.** *Let  $S$  be a simple  $kG$ -module with trivial source. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and let  $U$  be a simple  $kH$ -module such that  $S \simeq U^G$ .*

- (i) If  $S$  is weakly radical, then  $U$  is weakly radical.  
(ii) Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . The following are equivalent.  
(iia)  $S$  is radical and  $P(S) \simeq P(U)^G$ .  
(iib)  $\dim \text{Inv}_{P^x \cap H}(U) = \frac{\dim P(U)}{|P^x \cap H|}$  for any  $x \in G$ .  
(iic)  $U$  is radical and  $S$  is weakly radical.

*Proof.* (i) Choose a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  such that  $Q = P \cap H$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ . We have  $(U_Q)^P |S_P$  by Mackey decomposition. Since  $S$  has a trivial source, so does  $U$ . So we can put  $U_Q \simeq \oplus_i (1_{R_i})^Q$  for some subgroups  $R_i$  of  $Q$ . Then  $(U_Q)^P \simeq \oplus_i (1_{R_i})^P$ . Since  $S$  is weakly radical, all  $R_i$  have the same order. Thus  $U$  is weakly radical by Lemma 1.

(iia) $\Rightarrow$ (iib): We have  $n_S = \dim \text{Hom}(1_P, S_P) = \dim \text{Hom}((1_P)^G, S) = \dim \text{Hom}(((1_P)^G)_H, U) = \sum_{x \in P \backslash G/H} \dim \text{Hom}((1_{P^x \cap H})^H, U)$ . Here

$$\dim \text{Hom}((1_{P^x \cap H})^H, U) = \dim \text{Hom}(1_{P^x \cap H}, U_{P^x \cap H}) = \dim \text{Inv}_{P^x \cap H}(U).$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Hom}((1_{P^x \cap H})^H, U) &\leq \dim \text{Hom}(P(U), (1_{P^x \cap H})^H) \\ &= \dim \text{Hom}(P(U)_{P^x \cap H}, 1_{P^x \cap H}) = \frac{\dim P(U)}{|P^x \cap H|}. \end{aligned}$$

Further,  $\sum_x \frac{|H|_p}{|P^x \cap H|} = |G : H|_{p'}$ . Therefore  $n_S \leq \frac{\dim P(U) |G:H|_{p'}}{|H|_p} = \frac{\dim P(S)}{|G|_p} = k_S$ . Since  $S$  is radical, equality holds throughout by Proposition 9, and the result follows.

(iib) $\Rightarrow$ (iia): From the above proof we obtain  $n_S = \frac{\dim P(U) |G:H|}{|G|_p}$ .

Since  $P(S) |P(U)^G$ ,  $\frac{\dim P(U) |G:H|}{|G|_p} \geq \frac{\dim P(S)}{|G|_p} = k_S$ . Therefore  $n_S = k_S$  by Corollary 4, and  $S$  is radical by Proposition 9. Further,  $P(S) \simeq P(U)^G$ .

(iia) $\Rightarrow$ (iic): Since  $S$  is weakly radical by Corollary 6,  $U$  is weakly radical by (i). So by Proposition 9 it suffices to show  $\dim P(U) = |\text{vx}(U)| \dim U$ . We have  $\dim P(S) = |G : H| \dim P(U)$ . Since  $S$  is radical, by Proposition 9  $\dim P(S) = |\text{vx}(S)| \dim S = |\text{vx}(S)| |G : H| \dim U$ . Since  $\text{vx}(S) =_G \text{vx}(U)$ , the result follows.

(iic) $\Rightarrow$ (iia): Since  $U$  is radical,  $\dim P(U)^G = |G : H| |\text{vx}(U)| \dim U$ . Since  $S$  is weakly radical, by Proposition 9  $\dim P(S) \geq |\text{vx}(S)| \dim(S) = |\text{vx}(S)| |G : H| \dim U$ . Hence  $\dim P(S) \geq \dim P(U)^G$ . But  $P(S) |P(U)^G$ . So the equality holds throughout. Therefore  $P(S) \simeq P(U)^G$  and  $S$  is radical by Proposition 9.  $\square$

**Theorem 18**([La, Theorem]) *Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $G$  is  $p$ -radical.  
(ii) For any simple  $kG$ -module  $S$ , there are a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  and a simple  $kH$ -module  $U$  with the following properties:  $S = U^G$ ,  $\text{vx}(U) \leq \text{Ker} U$ ,  $P^x \cap H$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$  for any  $x \in G$ .  
(iii) For any simple  $kG$ -module  $S$ , there are a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  and a simple  $kH$ -module  $U$  with the following properties:  $S = U^G$ ,  $\text{vx}(S) \leq \text{Ker} U$ ,  $P^x \cap H$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$  for any  $x \in G$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable by [Ok2]. So there are  $H$  and  $U$  as above such that  $S = U^G$  and that  $\dim U$  is a  $p'$ -number by [Na, Theorem 10.11]. Since  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable,  $P(S) \simeq P(U)^G$  by Fong's theorem [Na, Corollary 10.14]. Hence  $U$  is radical by Proposition 17. Therefore  $\text{vx}(U) \leq \text{Ker } U$  by Corollary 6 and Proposition 16. Further, for any  $x \in G$ ,  $\dim U = \dim \text{Inv}_{P^x \cap H}(U) = \frac{\dim P(U)}{|P^x \cap H|} = \frac{|H|_p \dim U}{|P^x \cap H|}$  by Proposition 16, Proposition 17 (iib) and Fong's theorem [Na, Corollary 10.14]. So  $P^x \cap H$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$  for any  $x \in G$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By Corollary 13, it suffices to show  $S$  is weakly radical. From the condition that  $\text{vx}(U) \leq \text{Ker}(U)$ , we see  $U | (1_{\text{Ker}(U)})^H$ . This implies  $U$  is weakly radical. We have  $S_P \simeq \sum_{x \in H \setminus G/P} (U_{H^x \cap P}^x)^P$ . Since  $U^x$  is a weakly radical  $kH^x$ -module and  $H^x \cap P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H^x$ , we have  $U_{H^x \cap P}^x \simeq \oplus_i (1_{Q_{x,i}})^{H^x \cap P}$  and  $|Q_{x,i}| = |\text{vx}U|$ . Therefore  $S_P \simeq \oplus_{x,i} (1_{Q_{x,i}})^P$ . So  $S$  is weakly radical by Lemma 1.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Since  $\text{vx}(U)$  is a vertex of  $S$ , the result follows.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Since  $\text{vx}(S) \leq \text{Ker}U$ ,  $\text{vx}(S) \leq \text{vx}(U)$  for a vertex of  $U$  ([NT, Theorem 4.7.8 (i)]). But  $\text{vx}(S) =_G \text{vx}(U)$ . So  $\text{vx}(U) = \text{vx}(S) \leq \text{Ker}U$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

In case of normal subgroups we have the following

**Proposition 19.** *Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $S$  (resp.  $X$ ) be a simple  $kG$ - (resp.  $kN$ -) module.*

(i) *If  $S | X^G$  and  $X$  is weakly radical, then  $S$  is weakly radical.*

(ii) *If  $X | S_N$  and  $S$  is weakly radical, then  $X$  is weakly radical.*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

(i) We have  $S_P | (X^G)_P$ . By Mackey decomposition,

$$(X^G)_P \simeq \oplus_{x_i \in N \setminus G/P} ((X^{x_i})_{P \cap N})^P.$$

It is straightforward to check that for each  $x_i$ ,  $X^{x_i}$  is also weakly radical. So by Lemma 1, for each  $i$ ,  $(X^{x_i})_{P \cap N} \simeq \oplus_j (1_{Q_{ij}})^{P \cap N}$ , where  $Q_{ij}$  are subgroups of  $P \cap N$  such that  $|Q_{ij}| = |\text{vx}(X)|$ . Hence  $S$  is weakly radical by Lemma 1.

(ii) We have  $X_{P \cap N} | S_{P \cap N}$ . Put  $S_P \simeq \oplus_i (1_{Q_i})^P$  for suitable  $Q_i \leq P$ . Then for each  $i$ ,

$$((1_{Q_i})^P)_{P \cap N} \simeq \oplus_{u \in Q_i \setminus P/P \cap N} (1_{N \cap (Q_i)^u})^{P \cap N},$$

Since  $Q_i$  are  $G$ -conjugate,  $|N \cap (Q_i)^u|$  are the same for all  $i$  and  $u$ . Thus  $X$  is weakly radical by Lemma 1. The proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. $D$ -radical blocks

Let  $B$  be a block of  $G$  with defect group  $D$ .  $D$ -radical blocks have been investigated in [Hida-Koshitani]

**Lemma 20.** *Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ .*

- (i) If  $S$  is a weakly  $P$ -radical module and  $P \leq Q$ , then  $S$  is weakly  $Q$ -radical.
- (ii) If  $S$  is a  $P$ -radical module and  $P \leq Q$ , then  $S$  is  $Q$ -radical. In particular, if  $B$  is  $D$ -radical, then  $B$  is radical.
- (iii) If  $B$  is  $P$ -radical,  $P$  contains a defect group of  $B$ .

*Proof* (i) Let  $X$  be an indecomposable summand of  $S_Q$ . Then, since  $S$  is weakly  $P$ -radical,  $(1_{Q_i})^P | X_P$  for some  $Q_i \leq P$  with  $Q_i =_G \text{vx}(S)$ . Then there is a vertex  $\text{vx}(X)$  of  $X$  with  $\text{vx}(X) \geq Q_i$ . But  $\text{vx}(X) \leq_G \text{vx}(S)$ , so  $\text{vx}(X) = Q_i$ . Since  $X$  has trivial source, we obtain  $X = (1_{Q_i})^Q$ . Thus  $S$  is weakly  $Q$ -radical.

(ii) Since there is an epi  $(1_P)^Q \rightarrow 1_Q$ , there is an epi  $\varphi : (1_P)^G \rightarrow (1_Q)^G$ . We have  $(1_P)^G = U \oplus V$ , where  $U \simeq mS$  for some integer  $m$  and  $V$  does not involve  $S$ . Then  $(1_Q)^G = \varphi(U) + \varphi(V)$ . Here  $\varphi(U) \simeq m'S$  for some integer  $m'$  and  $\varphi(V)$  does not involve  $S$ . Hence  $(1_Q)^G = \varphi(U) \oplus \varphi(V)$ , and  $S$  is  $Q$ -radical.

(iii) Let  $S$  be a simple module in  $B$  with vertex  $D$ . Then  $S$  is  $P$ -radical, and  $S$  is weakly  $P$ -radical. Thus  $P$  contains a vertex of  $S$ , and the result follows. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 21.** *Let  $S$  be an indecomposable  $kG$ -module. Let  $\text{vx}(S) = Q \leq P$  for a  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ . The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $S$  is weakly  $P$ -radical and  $Q$  is strongly closed in  $P$  with respect to  $G$ .
- (ii)  $S$  is weakly  $P$ -radical and  $Q$  is weakly closed in  $P$  with respect to  $G$ .
- (iii)  $S_P \simeq n(1_Q)^P$  for some integer  $n$  and  $Q \triangleleft P$ .
- (iv)  $Q \leq \text{Ker} S$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): This is trivial.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): We have  $S_P \simeq \oplus_i (1_{Q_i})^P$ , where  $Q_i =_G Q$  for each  $i$ . Since  $Q, Q_i \leq P$ , we obtain  $Q_i = Q$ . Therefore  $S_P \simeq n(1_Q)^P$  for some integer  $n$ . Clearly  $Q \triangleleft P$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Clearly  $S_Q \simeq m1_Q$  for some integer  $m$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): We have  $S_Q \simeq m1_Q$  for some integer  $m$ , so that  $S$  is weakly  $Q$ -radical. Thus  $S$  is weakly  $P$ -radical by Lemma 20. Put  $N = \text{Ker} S$ . Then  $S_N \simeq m1_N$  and  $S$  is  $N$ -projective. Hence  $S$  and  $1_N$  have a common vertex. Thus  $Q$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N$ . Since  $Q \leq P \cap N \leq N$ , we obtain  $Q = N \cap P$ . Then for any  $g \in G$ ,  $Q^g \cap P \leq N \cap P = Q$ . Thus  $Q$  is strongly closed in  $P$  with respect to  $G$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Let  $B_0(G)$  be the principal block of  $G$ .

**Theorem 22** (Okuyama). *If  $B_0(G)$  is radical,  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable.*

*Proof.* See the proof of Theorem 1 of [Ok2].  $\square$

Let  $R_p(G)$  be the maximal normal  $p$ -solvable subgroup of  $G$ .

The following strengthens Theorem 1.1 of [HK].

**Theorem 23.** Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  with  $P \geq D$ . The following are equivalent.

- (i)  $B$  is  $D$ -radical.
- (ii)  $B$  is weakly  $D$ -radical.
- (iii) There is a  $p$ -solvable normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that:  $B$  covers  $B_0(N)$ ,  $D$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N$ , and  $B_0(N)$  is radical.
- (iv) For a block  $b$  of  $R_p(G)$  covered by  $B$ , it holds that:  $D$  is a defect group of  $b$ ,  $b$  is  $D$ -radical, and  $G = N_G(D)R_p(G)$ .
- (v)  $B$  is radical and  $D$  is strongly closed in  $P$  with respect to  $G$ .
- (vi)  $B$  is radical and  $D$  is weakly closed in  $P$  with respect to  $G$ .
- (vii)  $B$  is radical and there is a simple  $kG$ -module  $S$  in  $B$  with  $\text{Ker}S \geq D$ .
- (viii)  $B$  is radical and there is a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that  $D$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) This follows from Theorem 12.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let  $S_1$  be a simple  $kG$ -module in  $B$  with vertex  $D$ . Put  $N = \text{Ker}S_1$ . Since  $S_1$  is weakly  $D$ -radical,  $(S_1)_D \simeq n1_D$  for some integer  $n$ . So  $D \leq N$ . Since  $B$  covers  $B_0(N)$ ,  $D$  is a defect group of  $B_0(N)$ . Thus  $D$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N$ . For any simple  $kN$ -module  $X$  in  $B_0(N)$ , choose a simple  $kG$ -module  $S$  in  $B$  lying over  $X$ . Then, since  $S$  is weakly  $D$ -radical, we see  $X$  is weakly radical by Proposition 19 and Lemma 20. So  $B_0(N)$  is radical by Theorem 12 and  $N$  is  $p$ -solvable by Theorem 22.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): Let  $b$  be a block of  $R_p(G)$  covered by  $B$ . Since  $N \leq R_p(G)$  and  $b$  covers  $B_0(N)$ , we may assume  $D$  is a defect group of  $b$ . By the Frattini argument  $G = N_G(D)N = N_G(D)R_p(G)$ . Let  $S$  be a simple module in  $b$ . For any irreducible constituent  $X$  of  $S_N$ ,  $X$  lies in  $B_0(N)$  and  $X$  is weakly  $D$ -radical. Thus  $S$  is weakly  $D$ -radical. So  $b$  is weakly  $D$ -radical and hence  $D$ -radical by Theorem 12.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): For any simple  $kG$ -module  $S$  in  $B$ , let  $X$  be an irreducible constituent in  $b$  of  $S_{R_p(G)}$ . Then, since  $b$  is  $D$ -radical and hence weakly  $D$ -radical,  $X_D \simeq \oplus_i (1_{Q_i})^D$ , where  $Q_i =_{R_p(G)} \text{vx}(X)$ .  $S_D$  is a direct sum of the modules of the form  $(X^g)_D, g \in G$ . Now there is  $n \in N_G(D)$  such that  $X^g \simeq X^n$ . Then

$$(X^g)_D \simeq (X^n)_D \simeq (X_D)^n \simeq \oplus_i (1_{Q_i^n})^D.$$

Since  $|Q_i^n| = |\text{vx}(X)|$ ,  $S$  is weakly  $D$ -radical. Hence (ii) follows.

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi): This is trivial.

(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (v): Let  $S$  be a simple module in  $B$  with vertex  $D$ . Since  $S$  is weakly radical and  $D$  is weakly closed in  $P$  with respect to  $G$ ,  $D$  is strongly closed in  $P$  with respect to  $G$  by Lemma 21.

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let  $S$  be a simple module in  $B$ . We have  $S_P \simeq \oplus_i (1_{Q_i})^P$ , where  $Q$  is a vertex of  $S$  and  $Q_i = Q^{x_i}, x_i \in G$ . We may assume  $Q \leq D$ .  $((1_{Q_i})^P)_D \simeq \oplus_{u \in Q_i \setminus P/D} (1_{Q_i^u})^D$ . We see  $Q_i^u = Q^{x_i u} \leq D^{x_i u} \cap P \leq D$  by (v). Therefore  $((1_{Q_i})^P)_D \simeq \oplus_u (1_{Q_i^u})^D$ . Hence  $S$  is weakly  $D$ -radical.

(i) and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (vii): By Lemma 20,  $B$  is radical. Let  $S$  be a simple module in  $B$  lying over  $1_N$ . Then  $D \leq N \leq \text{Ker}S$ .

(vii) $\Rightarrow$ (viii): Let  $N = \text{Ker}S$ . Then  $B$  covers  $B_0(N)$ . Therefore  $D = D \cap N$  is a defect group of  $B_0(N)$ .

(viii) $\Rightarrow$ (v): This follows from the fact that  $D = P \cap N$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark.** The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) has been proved in Lemma 7 of [Ko] in a different way.

**Corollary 24** ([HK], Corollary 1.3). *If  $\text{vx}(S) \leq \text{Ker}S$  for any simple module  $S$  in  $B$ , then  $B$  is  $D$ -radical.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a simple module in  $B$ . By Lemma 21  $S$  is weakly  $D$ -radical. Hence  $B$  is weakly  $D$ -radical, and  $B$  is  $D$ -radical by Theorem 23.  $\square$

The following extends Theorem 22.

**Corollary 25.** *Let  $B$  be a radical block of  $G$  with defect group  $D$ . If  $D$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable.*

*Proof.* We see  $B$  is  $D$ -radical. If  $N$  is as in (iii) of Theorem 23, then  $N$  is  $p$ -solvable and  $G/N$  is a  $p'$ -group. Hence  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable.  $\square$

## References

- [Al] J.L.Alperin: *Weights for finite groups*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **47**, 369-379, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 1987.
- [Fe] W.Feit: *The representation theory of finite groups*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [HK] A.Hida and S.Koshitani: *Morita equivalent blocks in non-normal subgroups and  $p$ -radical blocks in finite groups*, J.London Math.Soc.(2) **59** (1999), 541-556.
- [HB] B.Huppert and N.Blackburn: *Finite groups II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [Ko] S.Koshitani: *On the kernels of representations of finite groups II*, Glasgow Math.J.**32** (1990),341-347.
- [La] A.Laradji: *A characterization of  $p$ -radical groups*, J. Algebra **188** (1997), 686-691.
- [MN] K.Motose and Y.Ninomiya: *On the subgroups  $H$  of a group  $G$  such that  $J(KH)KG \supset J(KG)$* , Math.J.Okayama Univ. **17** (1975), 171-176.
- [NT] H.Nagao and Y.Tsushima: *Representations of finite groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1989.

- [Na] G.Navarro: Characters and blocks of finite groups, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Ok1] T.Okuyama: *Module correspondence in finite groups*, Hokkaido Math.J. **10** (1981), 299-318.
- [Ok2] T.Okuyama: *p-radical groups are p-solvable*, Osaka J.Math. **23** (1986), 467-469.
- [Ts] Y.Tsushima: *On p-radical groups*, J.Algebra **103** (1986), 80-86.

Meiji-machi 2-27  
Izumi Toki-shi  
Gifu 509-5146  
Japan