

Structure of positive solutions for semilinear elliptic equations with supercritical growth

Yasuhito Miyamoto

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo

and

Yūki Naito

Department of Mathematics, Ehime University

1 Introduction and main results

We study the global bifurcation diagram of the solutions of the supercritical semilinear elliptic Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0 & \text{in } B, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } B, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $B = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^N : |x| < 1\}$ with $N \geq 3$ and λ is a nonnegative constant. In (1) we assume that f has the form

$$f(u) = u^p + g(u), \quad (2)$$

where $p > p_S := (N + 2)/(N - 2)$ and $g(u)$ is a lower order term.

By the symmetry result of Gidas-Ni-Nirenberg [9], every regular positive solution u is radially symmetric and $\|u\|_{L^\infty} = u(0)$. It is known that all regular positive solutions can be described as a smooth graph of $\alpha := \|u\|_{L^\infty}$ (see, e.g., [14]). Therefore, the solution set becomes a curve and it is described as $\{(\lambda(\alpha), u_\alpha)\}_{\alpha > 0}$ with $\|u_\alpha\|_{L^\infty} = \alpha$. Since $\lambda(\alpha)$ determines the structure of the positive solutions, we mainly study the graph of $\lambda(\alpha)$.

There are several results about bifurcation diagrams of supercritical elliptic equations. Joseph-Lundgren [11] studied the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda(u + 1)^p = 0 & \text{in } B, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } B, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Define the exponent p_{JL} by

$$p_{JL} := \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{4}{N - 4 - 2\sqrt{N - 1}}, & N \geq 11, \\ \infty, & 2 \leq N \leq 10, \end{cases}$$

which is called the Joseph-Lundgren exponent introduced in [11]. It was shown by [11] that there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ and the following holds: When $p_S < p < p_{JL}$, $\lambda(\alpha)$ oscillates infinitely many times around λ^* and converges to λ^* as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, and when $p \geq p_{JL}$, $\lambda(\alpha)$ is strictly increasing and converges to λ^* as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Note that, by a special change of variables, the problem (3) can be transformed into an autonomous first order system.

The study of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u + u^p = 0 & \text{in } B, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } B, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

was initiated by Brezis-Nirenberg [1] in the critical case $p = p_S$. Later, the supercritical case $p > p_S$ was studied by Budd-Norbury [3], Budd [4], Merle-Peletier [13], Dolbeault-Flores [8], and Guo-Wei [10]. Note that (4) is transformed into (1) with $f(u) = u + u^p$ by changing $u \mapsto \lambda^{\frac{1}{p-1}}u$. The singular solution of (4) was constructed in [13]. According to [3, 8, 10], the bifurcation curve has infinitely many turning points if $p_S < p < p_{JL}$. In [10] the nonexistence of a turning point for large solutions was proved for a certain range on $p > p_{JL}$. In general we cannot expect a change of variables that transforms the equation into an autonomous first order system. In [10] they used the intersection number between the regular and singular solution and their Morse indices. In [5, 6, 7] Dancer studied infinitely many turning points for various analytic nonlinear terms, using the analyticity. For other bifurcation diagrams of supercritical problems see [12, 15, 16].

We mainly study the bifurcation curve in the case $p \geq p_{JL}$, using the intersection number. Let us introduce a collection of hypotheses of $f(u)$ in (1).

(f.1) $f \in C^1([0, \infty))$ and $f(u) > 0$ for $u \geq 0$.

(f.2) f has the form (2), where $g(u)$ satisfies

$$|g(u)| \leq C_0 u^{p-\delta} \quad \text{and} \quad |g'(u)| \leq C_0 u^{p-\delta-1} \quad \text{for } u \geq u_0$$

with some constants $u_0 \geq 0$, $\delta > 0$, and $C_0 > 0$.

(f.3) $f(u)$ is convex for $u \geq 0$.

Let \mathcal{C} denote the set of all the regular solution of (1). Assume that (f.1) and (f.2) hold. Then it is known by [14] that \mathcal{C} becomes a curve and is described as

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(\lambda(\alpha), u(r, \alpha)) : 0 < \alpha < \infty\} \quad \text{with } u(0, \alpha) = \alpha.$$

Since $f(0) > 0$, \mathcal{C} emanates from $(0, 0)$.

By a singular solution u of (1), we mean that $u(r)$ is a classical solution of (1) for $0 < r \leq 1$ and satisfies $u(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Define $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1 = \{u(x) \in H_0^1(B); u(x) = u(|x|)\}$. Let $p > p_S$, and assume that (f.1) and (f.2) hold. It was shown by [14] that there exists a singular solution (λ^*, u^*) of (1) such that $u^* \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1$ and satisfies

$$u^*(r) = A(\sqrt{\lambda^*}r)^{-\theta}(1 + O(r^{\delta\theta})) \quad \text{as } r \downarrow 0, \quad (5)$$

where $\delta > 0$ is the constant in (f.2),

$$\theta = \frac{2}{p-1} \quad \text{and} \quad A := \left\{ \frac{2}{p-1} \left(N - 2 - \frac{2}{p-1} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}. \quad (6)$$

We show the uniqueness of the singular solution (λ^*, u^*) and the asymptotic behavior of $u(r, \alpha)$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1. *Let $p > p_S$. Suppose that (f.1) and (f.2) hold.*

(i) *There exists a unique $\lambda^* > 0$ such that the problem (1) with $\lambda = \lambda^*$ has a singular solution u^* . The solution u^* is a unique singular solution of (1) with $\lambda = \lambda^*$. Furthermore, $u^* \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1$ and satisfies (5) with (6).*

(ii) *Let $(\lambda(\alpha), u(r, \alpha))$ be a solution of (1) with $u(0, \alpha) = \alpha > 0$. Then, as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\lambda(\alpha) \rightarrow \lambda^* \quad \text{and} \quad u(r, \alpha) \rightarrow u^*(r) \quad \text{in } C_{\text{loc}}^2((0, 1]), \quad (7)$$

where (λ^*, u^*) is the singular solution in (i).

Remark. The asymptotic properties (7) was shown by Merle-Peletier [13] for the problem (4). We will give a slight simpler proof.

Following the idea by [14], we define three types of bifurcation diagrams according to the intersection number of $\lambda(\alpha)$ and λ^* for $\alpha > 0$. Let $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ be an interval, and let $f \in C(I)$. We define the zero-number of f in I by

$$\mathcal{Z}_I(f) = \sup\{n \in \mathbf{N} : \text{there are } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1} \in I, \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{n+1} \\ \text{such that } f(\alpha_i)f(\alpha_{i+1}) < 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

if f changes sign in I , and $\mathcal{Z}_I(f) = 0$ otherwise. By $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{C}]$ we denote the number of the turning points of \mathcal{C} .

Definition. Put $m = \mathcal{Z}_{(0,\infty)}(\lambda(\cdot) - \lambda^*)$.

- (i) We say that \mathcal{C} is of Type I if $m = \infty$. As a consequence, if \mathcal{C} is of Type I, then (1) has infinitely many regular solutions for $\lambda = \lambda^*$ and $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{C}] = \infty$.
- (ii) We say that \mathcal{C} is of Type II if $m = 0$.
- (iii) We say that \mathcal{C} is of Type III if $1 \leq m < \infty$. As a consequence, if (1) has at least one and finitely many regular solutions for $\lambda = \lambda^*$, then \mathcal{C} is of Type III.

Since $f(0) > 0$, we have $\lambda(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Then the diagram \mathcal{C} is of type II if $\lambda(\alpha) \leq \lambda^*$ for all $\alpha > 0$. Furthermore, we obtain the following.

Proposition 1. *Assume that (f.1)-(f.3) hold. Then \mathcal{C} is of type II if and only if $\lambda(\alpha)$ is strictly increasing and $\lambda(\alpha) \uparrow \lambda^*$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$.*

As a consequence, \mathcal{C} is of type II if and only if (1) has a unique regular solution for each $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ and no regular solution for $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$. In particular, $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{C}] = 0$. For the problem (3), the diagram \mathcal{C} is of Type I if $p_S < p < p_{JL}$, and Type II if $p \geq p_{JL}$, and Type III does not appear.

Brezis-Vázquez [2] studied the problem (1) in a general domain when f is C^1 , nondecreasing, convex functions defined on $[0, \infty)$ with

$$f(0) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u} = \infty.$$

It is well known that there exists a finite positive number $\bar{\lambda}$, called the extremal value, such that

- (i) for $0 < \lambda < \bar{\lambda}$, there exists a minimal classical solution $u_\lambda \in C^2(\bar{B})$ of (1),
- (ii) for $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$, there exists a weak solution \bar{u} of (1),
- (iii) for $\lambda > \bar{\lambda}$, there exists no weak solution of (1).

The solution \bar{u} , called the extremal solution, is obtained as the increasing limit of u_λ as $\lambda \uparrow \bar{\lambda}$, and it may be either classical or singular. In the problem (1), if the extremal solution is singular, then $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda^*$, and by (iii), the curve \mathcal{C} is of Type II. Let (λ^*, u^*) be the singular solution of (1). It was shown by [2] that, if $u^* \in H_0^1(B)$, and if u^* is stable in the sense where

$$\int_B (|\nabla \phi|^2 - \lambda^* f'(u^*) \phi^2) dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C_0^1(B),$$

then (λ^*, u^*) is the extremal solution, and hence the curve \mathcal{C} is of Type II.

A partial result about the classification of the bifurcation diagrams was obtained in [14] in terms of Morse index. By $m(u^*)$ we define

$$m(u^*) = \sup\{\dim X : X \subset H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B), H[\phi] < 0 \text{ for all } \phi \in X \setminus \{0\}\},$$

where

$$H[\phi] = \int_B (|\nabla\phi|^2 - \lambda^* f'(u^*)\phi^2) dx.$$

We call $m(u^*)$ the Morse index of u^* .

Theorem A. [14, Theorems A and B] *Suppose that $N \geq 3$ and (f.1)–(f.2) hold.*

- (i) *If $p_S < p < p_{JL}$, then the curve \mathcal{C} is of Type I and $m(u^*) = \infty$.*
- (ii) *If $p > p_{JL}$, then $0 \leq m(u^*) < \infty$.*

In this note, we consider the case $p \geq p_{JL}$ and $N \geq 11$, and investigate the structure of solution curve of (1) by means of the zero number of the solutions to

$$\phi'' + \frac{N-1}{r}\phi' + \lambda^* f'(u^*)\phi = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < r < 1, \quad (8)$$

where $(\lambda^*, u^*(r))$ be the singular solution of (1). We denote by $z(\phi)$ the the number of the zeros of $\phi(r)$ for $0 < r < 1$. We see that, for any solution ϕ of (8), $z(\phi) = \infty$ if $p_S < p < p_{JL}$, and $0 \leq z(\phi) < \infty$ if $p \geq p_{JL}$. We show the following.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that (f.1)–(f.3) hold. Then the following (i)–(iii) are equivalent each other.*

- (i) *The diagram \mathcal{C} is of type II.*
- (ii) *For any $\phi \in C_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B)$,*

$$\int_B |\nabla\phi|^2 dx \geq \lambda^* \int_B f'(u^*)\phi^2 dx.$$

- (iii) *There exists a solution ϕ of (8) satisfying $z(\phi) = 0$.*

We consider the case where (8) has a solution ϕ satisfying $1 \leq z(\phi) < \infty$. We will see that if $p \geq p_{JL}$, then there exists a unique solution $\phi^*(r) \in C^2(0, 1]$ of

$$\begin{cases} (\phi^*)'' + \frac{N-1}{r}(\phi^*)' + \lambda^* f'(u^*)\phi^* = 0, & 0 < r < 1, \\ r^\nu \phi^*(r) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } r \downarrow 0, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{(1 - \varepsilon_p)(N - 2)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_p = \frac{2}{N - 2} \sqrt{\frac{(N - 2)^2}{4} - \frac{2p}{p - 1} \left(N - 2 - \frac{2}{p - 1} \right)}.$$

Note that $\varepsilon_p \in (0, 1)$ if $p > p_{JL}$ and $\varepsilon_p = 0$ if $p = p_{JL}$. By the Sturm comparison theorem, we have $|z(\phi_1) - z(\phi_2)| \leq 1$ for any solutions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 of (8). We see that, for any nontrivial solution ϕ of (8), $z(\phi^*) \leq z(\phi)$. Then, Theorem 2 implies that the curve \mathcal{C} is of Type II if and only if $z(\phi^*) = 0$.

We impose the condition on f :

(f.3)' $f(u)$ is convex for $u \geq u_0$ for some $u_0 \geq 0$.

Theorem 3. *Let $N \geq 11$ and $p \geq p_{JL}$. Suppose that (f.1), (f.2) and (f.3)' hold. Let ϕ^* be the unique solution of the problem (9). Assume that $z(\phi^*) \geq 1$. Then $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{C}] \geq z(\phi^*)$ and (1) has at least $z(\phi^*)$ regular solution(s) for $\lambda = \lambda^*$. Assume, in addition, that $\phi^*(1) \neq 0$. Then u is nondegenerate if $\|u\|_{L^\infty}$ is large, and hence, there exist constants $M \geq \tilde{M} > 0$ such that the curve $\{(\lambda(\alpha), u(r, \alpha)); \alpha > \tilde{M}\}$ has no turning point and $\lambda(\alpha) \neq \lambda^*$ for $\alpha > M$.*

Remark. Note that $z(\phi^*) < \infty$ in the case $N \geq 11$ and $p \geq p_{JL}$.

Corollary 1. *In addition to the hypotheses on N , p and f in Theorem 3, assume that f is analytic on $(-\eta, \infty)$ for some $\eta > 0$. Let ϕ^* be the unique solution of the problem (9). If $z(\phi^*) \geq 1$ and $\phi^*(1) \neq 0$, then the curve \mathcal{C} is of Type III.*

We see that, if (f.1), (f.2) and (f.3)' hold, then $m(u^*) = z(\phi^*)$. We are led to the following conjecture.

Conjecture. [14, Conjecture 1.4] The bifurcation curve \mathcal{C} has exactly $m(u^*)$ turning point(s) for a certain class of nonlinear terms, i.e., $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{C}] = m(u^*)$.

Combining Theorem A and Theorems 2 and 3, we can classify bifurcation diagrams as Table 1 shows.

Table 1 tells us that the structure of the regular solutions of (1) is encoded in the singular solution. From the viewpoint of the Morse index of the singular solution, a Type III bifurcation diagram is an intermediate case between Type I and Type II bifurcation diagrams.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} p_S < p < p_{JL} \\ \\ p \geq p_{JL} \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} \xRightarrow{\text{Theorem A (i)}} \\ \\ \xRightarrow{\text{Theorem A (ii)}} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} m(u^*) = \infty \\ \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} m(u^*) = 0 \\ \text{or} \\ 1 \leq m(u^*) < \infty \end{array} \right. \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{and} \\ \\ \xRightarrow{\text{Theorem 2}} \\ \\ \xRightarrow{\text{Corollary 1}} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{Type I} \\ (\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{C}] = \infty) \\ \\ \text{Type II} \\ (\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{C}] = 0) \\ \\ \text{Type III} \\ (m(u^*) \leq \mathcal{T}[\mathcal{C}] < \infty) \end{array}$$

Table 1: Classification of bifurcation diagrams for supercritical elliptic equations with power growth.

2 Sufficient conditions for Types II and III

We will show some sufficient conditions for Types II and III.

Theorem 4. *Let $N \geq 11$ and $p \geq p_{JL}$. Suppose that f satisfies (f.1)–(f.3). Assume in (2) that $g(u) \geq 0$ for $u \geq 0$ and*

$$g'(u) \leq C_A u^{p-1} \quad \text{for } u \geq 0, \quad (10)$$

where

$$C_A = \frac{\frac{(N-2)^2}{4} - pA^{p-1}}{A^{p-1}}.$$

Then the curve \mathcal{C} is of Type II.

Remark. (i) We see that $C_A > 0$ if $p > p_{JL}$ and $C_A = 0$ if $p = p_{JL}$. Thus, in the case $p = p_{JL}$, the condition (10) leads that $g'(u) \leq 0$ for $u \geq 0$.

(ii) Let $p > p_{JL}$. Since we assume (f.2), the inequality (10) is satisfied for sufficiently large u automatically. Thus the condition (10) require that inequality holds for $u \in [0, u_0]$ with some $u_0 > 0$.

For $a \geq 0$, define $f_a(u) = f(u+a)$ for $u \geq 0$. Let us consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda f_a(u) = 0, & x \in B, \\ u > 0, & x \in B, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial B. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

We obtain the following.

Theorem 5. *Let $N \geq 11$ and $p \geq p_{JL}$. Assume that f satisfies (f.1), (f.2) and (f.3)'. Then there exists $a_0 \geq 0$ such that, for all $a \geq a_0$, the curve \mathcal{C} of the problem (11) is of Type II.*

To show examples of Type III bifurcation diagram, we impose the condition on f :

(f.1)' $f \in C^1[0, \infty)$, $f(u) > 0$ for $u > 0$, and $f(0) = 0$.

Define $F(u)$ by

$$F(u) = \int_0^u f(t)dt \quad \text{for } u \geq 0.$$

We obtain the following.

Theorem 6. *Suppose that $p \geq p_{JL}$ and (f.1)', (f.2), (f.3) hold and $f(u)$ is analytic for $u > 0$. Assume that $g(u)$ in (2) satisfies*

$$g(u) = u^q + O(u^{q+\delta_0}) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$g'(u) = qu^{q-1} + O(u^{q-1+\delta_0}) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0$$

with some constants $q \in (p_S, p_{JL})$ and $\delta_0 > 0$. Assume, in addition, that

$$(q+1)F(u) \leq uf(u) \quad \text{for } u \geq 0.$$

Then there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_n > \dots > 0$ and the following holds: If $a_{n+1} < a < a_n$ for some $n \geq 1$, then the problem (11) has a Type III bifurcation diagram and $n \leq \mathcal{T}[\mathcal{C}] < \infty$ hold.

A typical example of f in Theorem 6 is given by

$$f(u) = u^p + u^q \quad \text{with } p_S < q < p_{JL} \leq p. \quad (12)$$

By changing the variables $u \mapsto au$ and $\lambda \mapsto a^{1-p}\lambda$, we see that (11) with (12) is equivalent to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda \{(u+1)^p + b(u+1)^q\} = 0 & \text{in } B, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } B, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where $b := a^{q-p}$. We obtain the following:

Corollary 2. *Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be as in Theorem 6. If $a_n^{q-p} < b < a_{n+1}^{q-p}$ for some $n \geq 1$, then the problem (13) has Type III bifurcation diagram and $n \leq \mathcal{T}[\mathcal{C}] < \infty$.*

We can intuitively understand Corollary 2 in the following way: If $b > 0$ is small, then (13) is close to (3) with $p \geq p_{JL}$, and hence, \mathcal{C} is of Type II. When b is large, the term $b(u+1)^q$ with $p_S < q < p_{JL}$ is dominant for a relatively small solution u and \mathcal{C} has turning point(s). However, if u is large, then $(u+1)^p$ with $p \geq p_{JL}$ becomes dominant and u is nondegenerate. Hence, this is an intermediate case. Moreover, the lower bound of $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{C}]$ can be controlled by $b = a^{q-p}$.

References

- [1] H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), 437–477.
- [2] H. Brezis and J. Vázquez, *Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems*, Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid **10** (1997), 443–469.
- [3] C. Budd, C and J. Norbury, *Semilinear elliptic equations and supercritical growth*, J. Differential Equations **68** (1987), 169–197.
- [4] C. Budd, *Applications of Shil'nikov's theory to semilinear elliptic equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **20** (1989), 1069–1080.
- [5] E. Dancer, *Infinitely many turning points for some supercritical problems*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **178** (2000), 225–233
- [6] E. Dancer, *Finite Morse index solutions of supercritical problems*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **620** (2008), 213–233.
- [7] E. Dancer, *Some bifurcation results for rapidly growing nonlinearities* Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **33** (2013), 153–161.
- [8] J. Dolbeault and I. Flores, *Geometry of phase space and solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in a ball*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 4073–4087.
- [9] B. Gidas, W.-M. Ni, and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **68** (1979), 209–243.
- [10] Z. Guo and J. Wei, *Global solution branch and Morse index estimates of a semilinear elliptic equation with super-critical exponent*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 4777–4799.
- [11] D. Joseph and S. Lundgren, *Quasilinear Dirichlet problems driven by positive sources*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **49** (1972/73), 241–269.
- [12] A. Kosaka and Y. Miyamoto, *The Emden-Fowler equation on a spherical cap of \mathbf{S}^N* , preprint.
- [13] F. Merle and L. Peletier, *Positive solutions of elliptic equations involving supercritical growth*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **118** (1991), 49–62.
- [14] Y. Miyamoto, *Structure of the positive solutions for supercritical elliptic equations in a ball*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **102** (2014), 672–701.

- [15] Y. Miyamoto, *Classification of bifurcation diagrams for elliptic equations with exponential growth in a ball*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **194** (2015), 931–952.
- [16] Y. Miyamoto, *Structure of the positive radial solutions for the supercritical Neumann problem $\varepsilon^2 \Delta u - u + u^p = 0$ in a ball*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **22** (2015), 685–739.

Yasuhito Miyamoto
Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences,
The University of Tokyo
Tokyo 153-8914, Japan
E-mail address: miyamoto@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Yūki Naito
Department of Mathematics,
Ehime University
Matsuyama 790-8577, Japan
E-mail address: ynaito@ehime-u.ac.jp

東京大学大学院数理科学研究科 宮本 安人
愛媛大学大学院理工学研究科 内藤 雄基