Compression of Palindromes and Regularity.

Kayoko Shikishima-Tsuji Center for Liberal Arts Education and Research Tenri University

1 Introduction

In [1], a property of clickstream data at a view of database is discussed and it is shown that page repetitions occur for the majority as a very specific structure, namely in the form of nested palindromes. A kind of function *CFP* (*Compress First Palindrome*) required for an algorithm which extracts these structures in linear time is introduced.

In this paper, we define a rewriting system R which covers CFP and consider relation between R and CFP. We adopt the name "wrinkled word" instead of "nested palindrome" and it means a word which has a *non-trivial* palindrome as a factor. The set of all wrinkled words is regular, though the set of all palindromes is not regular. We also give automata on some alphabets which accept all wrinkled words.

2 Preliminaries

We assume the reader to be familiar with basic concepts as alphabet, word, language, regular expression and automaton (for more details see [2]).

Words together with the operation of concatenation form a free monoid, which is usually denoted by Σ^* for a finite *alphabet* Σ . The *length* of a finite word wis the number of not necessarily distinct symbols it consists of and is written by |w|. The *empty* word is denoted by λ and $|\lambda| = 0$. For a word $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ for $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n \in \Sigma$, a *factor* of w is $a_i \cdots a_j$, where $1 \le i \le j \le n$, and the *reverse* w^R of w is $a_n \cdots a_2 a_1$. A word $p \in \Sigma^*$ is said to be *palindrome* if $p = p^R$. If a palindrome p is not in $\Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}$, the palindrome p is *non-trivial*, otherwise p is *trivial*. If a word $w \in \Sigma^*$ has at least one non-trivial palindrome as a factor, w is said to be *wrinkled*. A string rewriting system R on Σ is a subset of $\Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$. We define reduction relation on Σ^* that is induced by R is defined as follows: for every $u, v \in \Sigma^*$, $u \to_R v$ if and only if there exists $(l,m) \in R$ such that for some $x, y \in \Sigma^*$, u = xlyand v = xmy. By \to_R^* , we denote the reflexive transitive closure of \to_R . If $x \in \Sigma^*$ and there is no $y \in \Sigma^*$ such that $x \to_R y$, then x is *irreducible*; otherwise, x is *reducible*. The set of all irreducible words with respect to \to_R is denoted by IRR(R). For $x, y \in \Sigma^*$, if $x \to_R^* y$ and y is irreducible, then y is a normal form for x. If, for all $w, x, y \in \Sigma^*$, $w \to_R^* x$ and $w \to_R^* y$ imply that there exists $z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $x \to_R^* z$ and $y \to_R^* z$, we say that R is *confluent*. If for all $w, x, y \in \Sigma^*$, $w \to_R x$ and $w \to_R y$ imply that there exists $z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $x \to_R^* z$ and $y \to_R^* z$, we say that R is *locally confluent*. If there is no infinite sequence $x_1 \to_R x_2 \to_R \cdots$ where $x_1, x_2, \cdots \in \Sigma^*$, then R is said to be *noetherian*.

Two string rewriting systems R and S on Σ are called *equivalence* if $w \to_R^* z$ implies $w \to_S^* z$ and $w \to_S^* z$ implies $w \to_R^* z$ and then we denote $R \cong S$.

Compress First Palindrome $CFP : \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ is defined as follows (see [1]): if $w \in \Sigma^*$ is a wrinkled word, for the left most *aba* of *w* where $a \in \Sigma$, $b \in \Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}$, $a \neq b$, there are $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ such that w = uabav and we define CFP(w) = uv and if $w \in \Sigma^*$ is a non-wrinkled word, we define CFP(w) = w. Since a wrinkled word *w* has only finite non-trivial palindromes as factor and |w| > |CFP(w)|, then we can define $CFP^{\infty}(w) = CFP^n(w)$ where *n* is a large enough number such that $CFP^n(w) = CFP^{n+1}(w) (= CFP(CFP^n(w))).$

3 Regularity of the set of all wrinkled words.

Proposition 1. Let *R* and *S* be string rewriting systems $R = \{apa \rightarrow_R a \mid a \in \Sigma, p \text{ is a palindrome}\}$ and $S = \{aba \rightarrow_S a \mid a \in \Sigma, b \in \Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}\}$. Then *R* and *S* are equivalent.

Proof) Since $R \supseteq S$, it is clear that if $w \to_S z$ for some $w, z \in \Sigma^*$, then we have $w \to_R z$.

On the other side, let $w \to_R z$ for some $w, z \in \Sigma^*$, then there exist $u, v \in \Sigma^*$, $a \in \Sigma$ and a palindrome $p \in \Sigma^*$ such that w = uapav and z = uav. If $p \in \Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}$, then $w \to_S z$. If $p \notin \Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}$, then p is written $xbcbx^R$ by $c \in \Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}$, $b \in \Sigma$ and $x \in \Sigma^*$. Since $w = uaxbcbx^R av \to_S uaxbx^R av$ and so on, we have $w = uaxbcbx^R av \to_S^* uav = z$.

The following lemma is well-known (see [3]).

Lemma 1. If a string rewriting system R is noetharian and locally confluent, then R is confluent.

Proposition 2. Let *R* and *S* be string rewriting systems $R = \{apa \rightarrow_R a \mid a \in \Sigma, p \text{ is a palindrome}\}$ and $S = \{aba \rightarrow_S a \mid a \in \Sigma, b \in \Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}\}$. Then *R* and *S* are confluent.

Proof) By Proposition 1 and Lemma 1, it is enough to prove that S is locally confluent.

- (a) If $w = u_1 v_1 u_2 v_2 u_3$ where $u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, u_3 \in \Sigma^*$ and $v_1 \to_S a, v_2 \to_S b$ for some $a, b \in \Sigma$, then $w \to_S u_1 a u_2 v_2 u_3$, $w \to_S u_1 v_1 u_2 b u_3$ and $u_1 a u_2 v_2 u_3 \to_S u_1 a u_2 b u_3$, $u_1 v_1 u_2 b u_3 \to_S u_1 a u_2 b u_3$.
- (b) If $w = u_1 v u_3$ and $v \in \{aaa, abaa, aaba, aaba\}$ where $u_1, u_3 \in \Sigma^*$, $a, b \in \Sigma$. Since $aaa \rightarrow_s^* a$, $abaa \rightarrow_s^* a$, $aaba \rightarrow_s^* a$, $abab \rightarrow_s^* ab$, we have $w \rightarrow_s^* u_1 v' u_3$ where v' = a when $v \in \{aaa, abaa, aaba\}$ and v' = ab when v = aaba.

q.e.d.

Proposition 3. Let *R'* be one of string rewriting systems of Proposition 2. If, for $w, z \in \Sigma^*$ and a natural number *n*, $CFP^n(w) = z$, then $w \to_{R'}^* z$. On the other hand, if $w \to_{R'}^* z$ for $w, z \in \Sigma^*$, then there exist a natural number *n* and $z' \in \Sigma^*$ such that $CFP^n(w) = z'$ and $w \to_{R'}^* z'$.

Proof) If CFP(w) = z, it is obvious that $w \to_{R'} z$.

If w has no non-trivial palindrome as a factor, then we have w = z and CFP(w) = w. We may assume that w is wrinkled. There exists a finite sequence: $w = w_0$, $CFP(w) = w_1, \dots, CFP^n(w) = w_n$ such that w_{n-1} is wrinkled and w_n is not wrinkled. Then we have a sequence $w \to_S w_1 \to_S \dots \to_S w_n$. Since w_n is not wrinkled, w_n has no non-trivial palindrome as a factor and $w_n \in IRR(R')$. By Proposition 2, the rewriting system R' is confluent and then we have $w \to_R^* w_n$.

The following corollary is clear by Proposition 3.

q.e.d.

Corollary 1. Let *R* be the string rewriting system $R = \{apa \rightarrow_R a \mid a \in \Sigma, p \text{ is a palindrome}\}$. Then we have $CFP^{\infty}(\Sigma^*) = IRR(R)$.

By Corollary 1, we have $CFP^{\infty}(\Sigma^*) = \{$ the set of all non-wrinkled words $\}$ The following lemma is well-known (see [3]).

Lemma 2. A string rewriting system R is finite, then IRR(R) is a regular set.

By Proposition 3 and Lemma 2, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. The language $\mathcal{NW} = \{\text{the set of all non-wrinkled words}\}$ and the language $\mathcal{W} = \{\text{the set of all wrinkled words}\}$ are both regular.

Proof) The language $\mathcal{NW} = CFP^{\infty}(\Sigma^*)$ is regular and then $\mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{NW})^c$ is regular. q.e.d.

The set of all palindromes are contest-free but not regular. $\mathcal{W} = \{$ the set of all wrinkled words $\} = \{w \mid w \text{ has at least one non-trivial palindrome as a factor} \}$ is regular.

4 Examples.

For $|\Sigma| \le 4$, we give an automaton on Σ which accepts all wrinkled words on Σ .

Example 1. If $\Sigma = \{a\}$, then $\mathcal{NW} = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ is non-wrinkled word}\}$ is the empty set.

Example 2. If $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$, then \mathcal{NW} is the set $\{ab, ba\}$

Example 3. If $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$, then \mathcal{NW} is the set $\{u \in \Sigma^* \mid u \text{ is a factor of } (abc)^* \cup (acb)^* \text{ such that } |u| > 1\}$.

By the following automaton $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, i, F)$ (Figure 1), \mathcal{M} is accepted, where $Q = \{i, 1, 2, \dots, 9\}$, $i \in Q$ is the initial state and F = Q is a set of final states.





Example 4. If $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, d\}$, then \mathcal{NW} is the language which is accepted by the following automaton $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, i, F)$ (Figure 2), where $Q = \{i, 1, 2, \dots, 16\}$, $i \in Q$ is the initial state and F = Q is a set of final states. In Figure 2, states i, 13, 14, 15, 16 and the following transition functions which start from these states are omitted for simplicity: $a: i \rightarrow 13$, $b: i \rightarrow 14$, $c: i \rightarrow 14$, $d: i \rightarrow 15$, $b: 13 \rightarrow 2$, $c: 13 \rightarrow 8$, $d: 13 \rightarrow 10$, $a: 14 \rightarrow 11$, $c: 14 \rightarrow 7$, $d: 14 \rightarrow 11$, $a: 15 \rightarrow 5$, $b: 15 \rightarrow 6$, $d: 15 \rightarrow 9$, $a: 16 \rightarrow 3$, $b: 16 \rightarrow 12$, $a: 16 \rightarrow 4$ (see Figure 3).









References.

[1] Michel Speiser, Gianluca Antonini, Abderrahim Labbi, Juliana

Sutanto: On nested palindromes in clickstream data. The 18th ACM SIGKDD

International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '12, Beijing, China, August 12-16, 2012. ACM 2012: 1460-1468

[2] Peter Linz, An Introduction to Formal Language and Automata, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Inc., USA 2006, ISBN, 0763737984

[3] Ronald V. Book, Friedrich Otto: String-Rewriting Systems. Texts and Monographs in Computer Science, Springer 1993, ISBN 978-3-540-97965-4

Center for Liberal Arts Education and Research Tenri University 1050 Somanouchi, Tenri, Nara 632-8510, Japan E-mail address: tsuji sta.tenri-u.ac.jp

天理大学・総合教育研究センター 辻佳代子