On a learning rate factor and extent of ordering in basic self-organizing maps

Mitsuhiro Hoshino

Department of Management Science and Engineering, Faculty of Systems Science and Technology, Akita Prefectural University, 84-4 Ebinokuchi Tsuchiya Yurihonjo, Akita 015-0055, Japan

Abstract. We deal with an essential mathematical model for self-organizing maps referred to as Kohonen type algorithm. Self-organizing map algorithm has been widely used as a useful tool in many practical problems on extensive fields. By repeating learning, some model functions in self-organizing maps have some properties of mathematical interest such as regularity between the nodes and their values. We mainly describe a learning late factor and extent of ordering in essential self-organizing maps with one-dimensionally indexed array. We present some numerical examples and make a comparison of the degree of converging for some learning processes in a basic self-organizing map.

1. Self-organizing map models and their formulation

We consider mathematical models of self-organizing maps referred to as Kohonen [8] type algorithm, which is very practical and has many useful applications, such as a semantic map, a diagnosis of speech voicing, the traveling-salesman problem, and so on. We can observe some interesting phenomena between the array of nodes and the values of nodes on iterative processes in these models. On that respect, a mathematical argument of the learning process in the onedimensional node case with one-dimensional inputs was given by Cottrell and Fort [1]. Subsequently, convergence properties of learning processes are somewhat generally studied, e.g., in Erwin, Obermayer, and Schulten [2][3][4]. However some problems on this problem still remain without sufficient arguments on models with general conditions.

The purpose of our work is to make a study of closed classes of states and their characterization in the model. In this paper, we concentrate on a consideration of ordering of node values on learning process by several numerical examples and their calculations from various angles. One of our aim is to construct a theory on

Key words and phrases. self-organizing maps, learning-rate factor. Email address: hoshino@akita-pu.ac.jp

basis of wide-ranging experiments in learning processes on basic self-organizing maps with essential structures.

We consider to characterize a model $(I, V, X, \{m_k(\cdot)\}_{k=0}^{\infty})$ with four elements which consist of the *nodes*, the values of nodes, inputs and model functions with some *learning processes*, in this paper. There are several types of models with various spaces of nodes, spaces of their values and ways of learning for nodes. We suppose the following in this paper.

- (i) We suppose an array of *nodes*. Let I denote the set of all nodes, which is called the *node set*. We assume that I is a countable set metrized by a metric d.
- (ii) We suppose that each node has its value. V is the space of values of nodes. We assume that V is a real linear normed space with a norm $\|\cdot\|$. A mapping $m: I \to V$ transforming each node i to its value m(i) is called a model function. Let M be the set of all model functions.
- (iii) X is the *input set*. Let X be a subset of V. $x \in X$ is called an *input*.
- (iv) The *learning process* is defined by the following. If an input is given, then the value of each node is renewed to a new value by the input. If an initial model function m_0 and a sequence $x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots \in X$ of inputs are given, then the model functions m_1, m_2, m_3, \ldots are generated sequentially according to

$$m_{k+1}(i) = (1 - \alpha_{m_k, x_k}(i))m_k(i) + \alpha_{m_k, x_k}(i)x_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where α_{m_k,x_k} is the learning rate which satisfies $0 \leq \alpha_{m_k,x_k} \leq 1$.

2. AN ABSORBING CLASS OF A FUNDAMENTAL SELF-ORGANIZING MAP

In this paper, we restrict our considerations to a basic self-organizing map with real-valued nodes and a one-dimensional array of nodes. We suppose that a set V of values of nodes is identified with \mathbb{R} which is the set of all real numbers.

We consider a model

$$(I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, V = \mathbb{R}, X \subset \mathbb{R}, \{m_k(\cdot)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}).$$

(i) Let $I = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ be the node set with metric d(i, j) = |i - j|. (ii) Assume $V = \mathbb{R}$, that is, each node is \mathbb{R} -valued. (iii) $x_0, x_1, x_2, ... \in X \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an input sequence. (iv) we assume a learning process defined by the following procedures.

Learning process L_A with a learning radius r = 1 is as follows. (a) Areas of learning:

$$I(m_k, x_k) = \{i^* \in I \mid |m_k(i^*) - x_k| = \inf_{i \in I} |m_k(i) - x_k|\}$$
(1)

and $N_1(i) = \{j \in I \mid |j-i| \leq 1\}$. (b) Learning-rate factor: $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. (c) Learning: let $N_1(I(m_k, x_k)) = \bigcup_{i^* \in I(m_k, x_k)} N_1(i^*)$ and $\{m_k\}$ is defined by the following, for each $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, if $i \in N_1(I(m_k, x_k))$ then

$$m_{k+1}(i) = (1 - \alpha)m_k(i) + \alpha x_k, \tag{2}$$

otherwise $m_{k+1}(i) = m_k(i)$.

By repeating learning, some model functions have properties such as monotonicity and a certain regularity which may appear in the relation between the array of nodes and the values of nodes. Self-organizing maps apply to many practical problems by using this property.

The following is a well-known property [8].

Theorem 1 We consider a self-organizing map model

$$(\{1,2,\ldots,n\},\mathbb{R},X\subset\mathbb{R},\{m_k(\cdot)\}_{k=0}^\infty)$$

with Learning process $L_A(r = 1)$. For model functions m_1, m_2, \ldots , the following statements hold. If m_k is increasing on I, that is $m_k(i) \leq m_k(i+1)$ for all i, then m_{k+1} is increasing on I. If m_k is decreasing on I, that is $m_k(i) \geq m_k(i+1)$ for all i, then m_{k+1} is decreasing on I. Moreover, if m_k is strictly increasing on I, that is $m_k(i) < m_k(i+1)$ for all i, then m_{k+1} is strictly increasing on I. If m_k is strictly decreasing on I, that is $m_k(i) > m_k(i+1)$ for all i, then m_{k+1} is strictly decreasing on I.

The set of states with monotone in this self-organizing map is a closed class. Such properties as monotone are called *absorbing states* of self-organizing map models.

We give a results for preserving monotone of model functions.

Theorem 2 We consider a self-organizing map model

$$(\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}, \mathbb{R}, X, \{m_k(\cdot)\}_{k=0}^{\infty})$$

Assume Learning process L_A (r = 1, 2, ...) with learning rates α_i depending on node *i*. For learning, let $N_r(I(m_k, x_k)) = \bigcup_{i^* \in I(m_k, x_k)} \{i \in I \mid |i - i^*| \leq r\}$ and suppose that

$$m_{k+1}(i) = \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha_i)m_k(i) + \alpha_i x, & \text{if } i \in N_r(I(m_k, x_k)), \\ m_k(i), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where we assume that $\{\alpha_i\} \subset [0,1)$ satisfies, for each $i^* \in I(m_k, x_k)$,

$$\alpha_i \le \alpha_{i+1}, \quad i = i^* - r, i^* - r + 1, \dots, i^* - 1$$

and

$$\alpha_i \ge \alpha_{i+1}, \quad i = i^*, i^* + 1, \dots, i^* + r - 1.$$

Then, for model functions m_1, m_2, \ldots , if m_k is increasing on I, then m_{k+1} is increasing on I. If m_k is decreasing on I, then m_{k+1} is decreasing on I. Moreover, if m_k is strictly increasing on I, then m_{k+1} is strictly increasing on I. If m_k is strictly decreasing on I, then m_{k+1} is strictly decreasing on I.

A proof of Theorem 2 is in [7].

3. NUMERICAL CALCULATIONS AND TRANSITION OF MODEL FUNCTIONS

By Theorem 2, model functions m_k turn to monotone state from non-monotone state after sufficiently many times of iterations.

Example 1



Figure 1: Histogram of input values.



Figure 2: The horizontal and vertical axes show the node index and the value of each node, respectively.

We give some numerical examples of self-organizing map process models. Figure 2 illustrates a transition of the values of nodes in a 150 nodes model with a learning process from random inputs generated by the exponential distribution shown in Figure 1 which has mean 2 and variance 4. The initial values of nodes shown in a legend, step 0 of Figure 2, are given uniform randomly. We can observe that the values of nodes turn to ordering state gradually. The upper trajectory is Figure 3 shows a transition of the index of the node which has the maximum value. The lower one shows a transition with respect to the minimum node.



Figure 3: The horizontal and vertical axes show iteration steps and the maximum or minimum node index, respectively.

Example 2 On the other hand, in a learning processes on the self-organizing map model shown in Figure 4, the node values turn to ordering state gradually, however, is still not monotone state at 600,000 steps. Figure 5 shows that the node index which has the minimum value in this example does not turn to the index of either side node throughout.



Figure 4: A transition of the node values in a 200 nodes model with the same exponential inputs as inputs in Example 1.



Figure 5: Transitions of the maximum and minimum node index.

Example 3 Figure 6 is given by the date which consists of the numbers of renewal times up to a monotone state by 135 experiments under 15 different initial values of nodes, 3 different input sequences from normal distribution $N(0, 2^2)$, exponential distribution with mean 0 and variance 4 and mixed distribution with probability density function $\frac{3e^{-\frac{9}{2}(x-1)^2}}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{3e^{-\frac{9}{2}(x+1)^2}}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}$, respectively. The three horizontal lines of the rectangle indicate the mean-SD (the standard deviation), the mean, and the mean+SD, respectively. The ends of lower and upper vertical lines either side of the rectangle show the maximum and the minimum, respectively.



Figure 6: Box-and-whisker plot (the minimum, the mean-SD, the mean, the mean+SD, the maximum). The three pictures on the right show input distributions, a normal distribution, an exponential distribution and a mixed distribution from the top.

This figure illustrates a comparison of the number of renewal times up to

a monotone state. The mean renewal times up to monotone state by normal inputs is less than the mean by exponential one, statistically, p-value of T-test is 0.0404863.

References

- M. Cottrell and J.-C. Fort, Étude d'un processus d'auto-organisation, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, 23(1) (1987), pp. 1–20.
- [2] E. Erwin, K. Obermayer and K. Schulten, Convergence properties of self-organizing maps, in T. Kohonen, K. Mäkisara, O. Simula and J. Kangas, editors, Artificial Neural Networks, pp. 409–414, Amsterdam Netherlands Elsevier, 1991.
- [3] E. Erwin, K. Obermayer and K. Schulten, Self-organization maps: stationary states, metastability and convergence rate, Bio. Cybern., 67 (1992), pp. 35–45.
- [4] E. Erwin, K. Obermayer and K. Schulten, Self-organization maps: ordering, convergence properties and energy functions, Bio. Cybern., 67 (1992), pp. 47-55.
- [5] M. Hoshino and Y. Kimura, Absorbing states and quasi-convexity in self-organizing maps, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., Vol. 10, No. 3 (2009), pp. 395–406.
- [6] M. Hoshino and Y. Kimura, State preserving properties in self-organizing maps with inputs in an inner product space, Nonlinear Analysis and Convex Analysis (Tokyo, 2009), pp. 65–76.
- M. Hoshino, On state preserving property and nonexpansivity in self-organizing maps, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. Vol. 16 Num. 11 (2015), pp. 2255–2261
- [8] T. Kohonen, Self-Organizing Maps, Third Edition, Springer, 2001.