A Proof of Hales-Jewett Theorem using a Non-Standard Method

Akito Tsuboi University of Tsukuba

Shelah's proof of Hales-Jewett theorem was explained in [1]. Following the ideas explained there, I give a proof using a nonstandard method.

Theorem 1. For all $n, n_c \in \omega$, we can find $N = N_{n,n_c} \in \omega$ with the following property: For a given coloring $c : n^N \to n_c$ there is a word $w(x) \in (n \cup \{x\})^N \setminus n^N$ such that $\{w(i) : i \in n\}$ is c-monochromatic.

Proof. Throughout n_c is fixed. We prove the theorem by induction on n. So we assume that the theorem is true for n. Let $N = N_{n,n_c}$. Now we work in a (sufficiently saturated) nonstandard model $M \succ (\omega, 0, 1, +, \cdot, <, ...)$. Let $a \in M \setminus \omega$ and let

$$c^*: (n+1)^a \to n_c$$

be given. We can assume, by coding method, c^* lives in M. We want to find a c^* -monochromatic line in $(n+1)^a$. First fix an indiscernible sequence

$$d_0 < d_1 < \dots < d_i < \dots < a$$

over c^* . For numbers $s \leq t \leq u \leq v$ and x, let

$$C(s,t,u,v;x) = (n)_{t-s} (x)_{u-t} (0)_{v-u} = \langle \underbrace{n \dots n}_{t-s}, \underbrace{x \dots x}_{u-t}, \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{v-u} \rangle$$

Then, for $\bar{e} = e_0, \ldots, e_{N-1} \in (n+1)^N$, $f(\bar{e})$ is the sequence

$$(n)_{d_0} \, \widehat{} \, C(d_{0,1,2,3}; e_0) \, \widehat{} \, C(d_{3,4,5,6}; e_1) \, \widehat{} \cdots \, \widehat{} \, C(d_{3N-3,3N-2,3N-1,3N}; e_{N-1}) \, \widehat{} \, (0)_{a-d_{3N}},$$

of length a, where $d_{i,j,k,l}$ denotes the sequence d_i, d_j, d_k, d_l . For $\bar{e} \in (n+1)^N$, let \hat{e} be the sequence obtained from \bar{e} by replacing every term $e_i = n$ with 0. For example, if $\bar{e} = \langle n, n-1, n, 1, \ldots \rangle$, then $\hat{e} = \langle 0, n-1, 0, 1, \ldots \rangle$. \hat{e} belongs to n^N .

Claim A. $c^*(f(\bar{e})) = c^*(f(\hat{e})).$

We assume $e_k = n$. Then the following equations are true.

$$c^{*}(f(\bar{e})) = c^{*}(\dots \hat{C}(d_{3k,3k+1,3k+2,3k+3};n) \hat{\ldots}))$$

$$= c^{*}(\dots \hat{C}(d_{3k,3k+1,3k+2,3k+3};n) \hat{\ldots}))$$
(1)

$$= c^{*}(\dots C(u_{3k,3k+2,3k+2,3k+3},n)\dots))$$
(1)
= $c^{*}(\dots C(d_{2k,2k+1,2k+1,2k+2},n))$ (2)

$$= c^{*}((a_{3k,3k+1,3k+1,3k+3}, n) (2))$$

$$= c^{*}((c_{3k,3k+1,3k+1,3k+3}, n) (2))$$
(3)

$$= \mathcal{C} \left(\dots \quad \mathcal{C} \left(a_{3k,3k+1,3k+2,3k+3}; 0 \right) \dots \right) \right)$$
(3)

$$= c (f(e)).$$

The equality (1) holds because the two cells $C(d_{3k,3k+1,3k+2,3k+3};n)$ and $C(d_{3k,3k+2,3k+2,3k+3};n)$ are the same. The equality (2) holds because of the indiscernibility of \overline{d} over c^* . The equality (3) holds because the two cells $C(d_{3k,3k+1,3k+1,3k+3};n)$ and $C(d_{3k,3k+1,3k+3};0)$ are the same. (End of Proof of Claim)

Now we consider the coloring $c': (n+1)^N \to n_c$ defined by $c'(\bar{e}) = c^*(f(\bar{e}))$. By our choice of N, if c' is restricted to the domain n^N , there is a word $w(x) \in (n \cup \{x\})^N \smallsetminus n^N$ such that $\{w(i): i \in n\}$ is c'-monochromatic. By Claim A and the choice of w(x), $\{w(i): i \in n+1\}$ is also monochromatic. Let $w^*(x)$ denote the word f(w(x)). It is a sequence in $((n+1) \cup \{x\})^{N^*} \backsim (n+1)^{N^*}$ and the following claim clearly holds.

Claim B. $\{w^*(i) : i \in n+1\}$ is c^* -monochromatic.

Now we have shown that the following statement holds in M:

 $\exists a, \forall c^* : (n+1)^a \to n_c, \exists w^*(x) \text{ s.t. } \{w^*(i) : i \in n+1\} \text{ is a singleton.}$

Since ω is an elementary substructure of M, the same statement holds in ω . This provides the induction step of our proof.

References

- [1] Pierre Matet, Shelah's proof of the Hales-Jewett thorem revisited, European Journal of Combinatorics 28(2007) 1742-1745.
- [2] S. Shelah, Primitive recursive bounds for van der Waerden numbers, Journal of the American Mathematical Society 1 (1988) 683-697.
- [3] Nikolaos Kragiannis, A combinatorial proof of an infinite version of the Hales-Jewett theorem.