

On Rieffel's theorem

Masanori ITAI *

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Tokai University, Hiratsuka, Japan

Abstract

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. We defined a notion of quantum 2-torus T_θ in [1] and studied its model theoretic properties. In the subsequent paper [2], we introduced the notion of *geometric equivalence* and also of *Morita equivalence* between such quantum 2-tori.

We showed that this notion is closely connected with the fundamental notion of *Morita equivalence* of non-commutative geometry. Namely, we proved that the quantum 2-tori T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} are Morita equivalent if and only if $\theta_2 = \frac{a\theta_1 + b}{c\theta_1 + d}$ for some $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. This is our version of Rieffel's Theorem [4] which characterizes Morita equivalence of quantum tori in the same terms.

In this note we reconsider the relation between the original version of Rieffel's theorem and our model theoretic version.

1 Quantum 2-torus T_q

In this section we give a quick review of the construction of a quantum 2-torus T_θ described in [1].

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and put $q = \exp(2\pi i\theta)$. Let $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Consider a \mathbb{C}^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_q generated by operators U, U^{-1}, V, V^{-1} satisfying

$$VU = qUV, \quad UU^{-1} = U^{-1}U = VV^{-1} = V^{-1}V = I.$$

Let $\Gamma_\theta = q^{\mathbb{Z}} = \{q^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a cyclic multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{C}^* . From now on in this note we work in an uncountable \mathbb{C} -module \mathcal{M} such that $\dim \mathcal{M} \geq |\mathbb{C}|$.

*joint work with Boris Zilber, Oxford University

1.1 Quantum line bundles

For each pair $(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$, we will construct two \mathcal{A}_q -modules $M_{|u,v\rangle}$ and $M_{\langle v,u|}$ so that both $M_{|u,v\rangle}$ and $M_{\langle v,u|}$ are sub-modules of \mathcal{M} .

The module $M_{|u,v\rangle}$ is generated by linearly independent elements labeled $\{\mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v) \in \mathcal{M} : \gamma \in \Gamma_\theta\}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} U & : \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v) \mapsto \gamma u \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v), \\ V & : \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v) \mapsto v \mathbf{u}(q^{-1} \gamma u, v). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Next let $\phi : \mathbb{C}^*/\Gamma_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\phi(x\Gamma_\theta) \in x\Gamma_\theta$ for each $x\Gamma_\theta \in \mathbb{C}^*/\Gamma_\theta$. Put $\Phi = \text{ran}(\phi)$. We call ϕ a *choice function* and Φ the system of representatives.

Set for $\langle u, v \rangle \in \Phi^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \cdot \mathbf{u}(u, v) & := \{\gamma \mathbf{u}(u, v) : \gamma \in \Gamma_\theta\}, \\ \mathbf{U}_{\langle u, v \rangle} & := \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\theta} \Gamma \cdot \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v) = \{\gamma_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}(\gamma_2 u, v) : \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_\theta\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

And set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_\phi & := \bigcup_{\langle u, v \rangle \in \Phi^2} \mathbf{U}_{\langle u, v \rangle} \\ & = \{\gamma_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}(\gamma_2 u, v) : \langle u, v \rangle \in \Phi^2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_\theta\}, \\ \mathbb{F}^* \mathbf{U}_{\phi_1} & := \{x \cdot \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v) : \langle u, v \rangle \in \Phi^2, x \in \mathbb{F}^*, \gamma \in \Gamma_\theta\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We call $\Gamma \cdot \mathbf{u}(u, v)$ a Γ -**set** over the pair (u, v) , \mathbf{U}_ϕ a Γ -**bundle** over $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*/\Gamma$, and $\mathbb{C}^* \mathbf{U}_\phi$ a **line-bundle** over \mathbb{C}^* . Notice that \mathbf{U}_ϕ can also be seen as a bundle inside $\bigcup_{\langle u, v \rangle} M_{|u,v\rangle}$. Notice also that the line bundle $\mathbb{C}^* \mathbf{U}_\phi$ is closed under the action of the operators U and V satisfying the relations (1).

We define the module $M_{\langle v,u|}$ generated by linearly independent elements labeled $\{\mathbf{v}(\gamma v, u) \in \mathcal{M} : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} U & : \mathbf{v}(\gamma v, u) \mapsto u \mathbf{v}(q \gamma v, u), \\ V & : \mathbf{v}(\gamma v, u) \mapsto \gamma v \mathbf{v}(\gamma v, u), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} U^{-1} & : \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v) \mapsto \gamma^{-1} u^{-1} \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v), \\ V^{-1} & : \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v) \mapsto v^{-1} \mathbf{u}(q \gamma u, v). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Similarly a Γ -set $\Gamma \cdot \mathbf{v}(v, u)$ over the pair (v, u) , a Γ -bundle \mathbf{V}_ϕ over $\mathbb{C}^*/\Gamma \times \mathbb{C}^*$, and $\mathbb{C}^* \mathbf{V}_\phi$ a **line-bundle** over \mathbb{C}^* are defined.

To define the line bundles $\mathbb{C}^* \mathbf{U}_\phi$ and $\mathbb{C}^* \mathbf{V}_\phi$, we do not need any particular properties of the element $q = \exp(2\pi i \theta)$ or the choice function ϕ . Therefore we have:

Proposition 1 (Proposition 2 [1]) *Let \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}' be fields and $q \in \mathbb{F}, q' \in \mathbb{F}'$ such that there is an field isomorphism i from \mathbb{F} to \mathbb{F}' sending q to q' . Then i can be extended to an isomorphism from the Γ -bundle \mathbf{U}_ϕ to the Γ' -bundle $\mathbf{U}_{\phi'}$ and also from the line-bundle $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{U}_\phi$ to the line-bundle $(\mathbb{F}')^*\mathbf{U}_{\phi'}$. The same is true for the line-bundles $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{V}_\phi$ and $(\mathbb{F}')^*\mathbf{V}_{\phi'}$.*

In particular the isomorphism type of Γ -bundles and line-bundles does not depend on the choice function.

Proof: Let i be an isomorphism from \mathbb{F} to \mathbb{F}' sending q to q' . Set $i(x \cdot \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v)) = i(x) \cdot \mathbf{u}(i(\gamma u), i(v))$. Then this defines an isomorphism from $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{U}_\phi$ to $(\mathbb{F}')^*\mathbf{U}_{\phi'}$. \blacksquare

1.2 Pairing function

Recall next the notion of *pairing function* $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ which plays the rôle of an *inner product* of two Γ -bundles \mathbf{U}_ϕ and \mathbf{V}_ϕ :

$$\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : \left(\mathbf{V}_\phi \times \mathbf{U}_\phi \right) \cup \left(\mathbf{U}_\phi \times \mathbf{V}_\phi \right) \rightarrow \Gamma. \quad (6)$$

having the following properties:

1. $\langle \mathbf{u}(u, v) | \mathbf{v}(v, u) \rangle = 1$,
2. for each $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\langle U^r V^s \mathbf{u}(u, v) | U^r V^s \mathbf{v}(v, u) \rangle = 1$,
3. for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \in \Gamma$,

$$\langle \gamma_1 \mathbf{u}(\gamma_2 u, v) | \gamma_3 \mathbf{v}(\gamma_4 v, u) \rangle = \langle \gamma_3 \mathbf{v}(\gamma_4 v, u) | \gamma_1 \mathbf{u}(\gamma_2 u, v) \rangle,$$

4. $\langle \gamma_1 \mathbf{u}(\gamma_2 u, v) | \gamma_3 \mathbf{v}(\gamma_4 v, u) \rangle = \gamma_1^{-1} \gamma_3 \langle \mathbf{u}(\gamma_2 u, v) | \mathbf{v}(\gamma_4 v, u) \rangle$, and
5. for $v' \notin \Gamma \cdot v$ or $u' \notin \Gamma \cdot u$, $\langle q^s \mathbf{v}(v', u) | q^r \mathbf{u}(u', v) \rangle$ is not defined.

Proposition 2 (Proposition 3 [1]) *The pairing function (6) defined above satisfies the following: for any $m, k, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\langle q^s \mathbf{v}(q^m v, u) | q^r \mathbf{u}(q^k u, v) \rangle = q^{r-s-km} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\langle q^r \mathbf{u}(q^k u, v) | q^s \mathbf{v}(q^m v, u) \rangle = q^{km+s-r} = \langle q^s \mathbf{v}(q^m v, u) | q^r \mathbf{u}(q^k u, v) \rangle^{-1}. \quad (8)$$

1.3 Quantum 2-torus

We call the three sorted structure $\langle \mathbf{U}_\phi, \mathbf{V}_\phi, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle \rangle$ a quantum 2-torus and denoted by T_θ .

From Proposition 1 we know that the structure of the line-bundles does not depend on the choice function. The next proposition tells us that the structure of the quantum 2-torus $T_q^2(\mathbb{C})$ depends only on \mathbb{C} , q and not on the choice function.

Proposition 3 (cf. Proposition 4.4, [6]) *Given $q \in \mathbb{F}^*$ not a root of unity, any two structures of the form $T_q^2(\mathbb{F})$ are isomorphic over \mathbb{F} . In other words, the isomorphism type of $T_q^2(\mathbb{F})$ does not depend on the system of representatives Φ .*

Remark 4 *In our construction of quantum line bundles and quantum 2-tori, the \mathbb{C}^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_q does not play a major role, but a minor one.*

2 Geometrically equivalent quantum 2-tori

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Note that this θ has no relation with the one used in the previous section.

From now on we work in the structure $\mathbb{C}^\theta = (\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, 1, x^\theta)$ (raising to real power θ in the complex numbers).

We define

$$x^\theta = \exp(\theta \cdot (\ln x + 2\pi i\mathbb{Z})) = \{\exp(\theta \cdot (\ln x + 2\pi ik)) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

as a multi-valued function and by $y = x^\theta$ we mean the relation $\exists z (x = \exp(z) \wedge y = \exp(z\theta))$.

Notation 5 $C_\theta(x, y)$ denotes the binary relation $y = x^\theta$ as defined above.

Let $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Set $q_1 = \exp(2\pi i\theta_1)$ and $q_2 = \exp(2\pi i\theta_2)$. Put $\Gamma_{\theta_1} = \langle q_1 \rangle$ and $\Gamma_{\theta_2} = \langle q_2 \rangle$.

Definition 6 Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

(1) We say that C_θ sends the coset $a \cdot \Gamma_{q_1}$ of Γ_{θ_1} to the coset $b \cdot \Gamma_{\theta_2}$ of Γ_{θ_2} if

$$\forall x' \in a \cdot \Gamma_{\theta_1} \forall y' \in \mathbb{C}^* \left(y' \in b \cdot \Gamma_{\theta_2} \iff C_\theta(x', y') \right).$$

- (2) We say that C_θ sends the cosets of Γ_{θ_1} to the cosets of Γ_{θ_2} if C_θ gives rise to a one-to-one correspondence from the cosets of Γ_{θ_1} to the cosets of Γ_{θ_2} .

Let Φ_1 be the system of representatives for a choice function $\phi_1 : \mathbb{C}^*/\Gamma_{\theta_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Let T_{θ_2} be quantum 2-tori constructed as explained in the previous section.

Suppose $(u, v) \in (\Phi_1)^2$. We identify the modules $M_{|u,v\rangle}$ constitutes the quantum 2-torus T_{θ_1} with its canonical basis denoted by $E_{|u,v\rangle}$. Put

$$E_{|u,v\rangle} = \{q^{nl} \mathbf{u}(q^n u, v) : l, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We see the Γ_{q_1} -bundle \mathbf{U}_{ϕ_1} as a bundle inside $\bigcup_{(u,v) \in (\Phi_1)^2} M_{|u,v\rangle}$. Thus knowing the set of bases of \mathbf{U}_{ϕ_1} that is the set $\bigcup_{(u,v) \in (\Phi_1)^2} E_{|u,v\rangle}$, we can determine the quantum 2-torus T_{θ_1} .

Let Φ_2 be the system of representatives for a choice function $\phi_2 : \mathbb{C}^*/\Gamma_{\theta_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Let T_{θ_2} be quantum 2-tori constructed as explained in the previous section.

We define a similar set $E_{|u',v'\rangle}$ which is a canonical basis for $M_{|u',v'\rangle}$ where $(u', v') \in (\Phi_2)^2$ and the set $\bigcup_{(u',v') \in (\Phi_2)^2} E_{|u',v'\rangle}$ determines the quantum 2-torus T_{θ_2} .

We now introduce the notion called *geometric equivalence* between quantum 2-tori.

Definition 7 (Geometric equivalence) We say that the quantum 2-torus T_{θ_1} is geometrically equivalent to T_{θ_2} , written $T_{\theta_1} \simeq_\theta T_{\theta_2}$, if

- (1) C_θ sends the cosets of Γ_{q_1} to the cosets of Γ_{q_2} , and
- (2) there is a one-to-one correspondence L_θ from $\bigcup_{(u,v) \in (\Phi_1)^2} E_{|u,v\rangle}$ to $\bigcup_{(u',v') \in (\Phi_2)^2} E_{|u',v'\rangle}$ such that for each $(u, v) \in (\Phi_1)^2$ and $(u', v') \in (\Phi_2)^2$ satisfying $C_\theta(u, u')$ and $C_\theta(v, v')$ we have

$$L_\theta(q_1^{nl} \mathbf{u}(q_1^n u, v)) = q_2^{nl} \mathbf{u}(q_2^n u', v').$$

We call L_θ a *geometric transformation* from $\bigcup_{(u,v) \in (\Phi_1)^2} E_{|u,v\rangle}$ to $\bigcup_{(u',v') \in (\Phi_2)^2} E_{|u',v'\rangle}$ and we simply write as

$$L_\theta : E_{|u,v\rangle} \mapsto E_{|u',v'\rangle}.$$

For a geometric transformation L_θ , we have the following diagrams, for each $(u, v) \in (\Phi_1)^2$ and $(u', v') \in (\Phi_2)^2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{u}((q_1)^n u, v) & \xrightarrow{L_\theta} & \mathbf{u}((q_2)^n u', v') \\
\downarrow U & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow U \\
(q_1)^n u \mathbf{u}((q_1)^n u, v) & \xrightarrow{L_\theta} & (q_2)^n u' \mathbf{u}((q_2)^n u', v')
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{u}((q_1)^n u, v) & \xrightarrow{L_\theta} & \mathbf{u}((q_2)^n u', v') \\
\downarrow V & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow V \\
v \mathbf{u}((q_1)^{-1} (q_1)^n u, v) & \xrightarrow{L_\theta} & v' \mathbf{u}((q_2)^{-1} (q_2)^n u', v')
\end{array}$$

Conversely, the existence of such diagrams is sufficient for L_θ to be a geometric transformation.

3 Rieffel's theorem

Recall

Definition 8 *Two algebras A and B are said to be Morita equivalent if the categories $A\text{-mod}$ and $B\text{-mod}$ of modules are equivalent.*

For quantum tori this notion was studied by M.Rieffel and in the particular case of 2-tori we have the following

Theorem 9 (Rieffel) *Let A_{θ_1} and A_{θ_2} be (the coordinate algebras of) quantum 2-tori. Then A_{θ_1} and A_{θ_2} are Morita equivalent if and only if there exist integers a, b, c, d such that $ad - bc = \pm 1$ and $\theta_2 = \frac{a\theta_1 + b}{c\theta_1 + d}$.*

For quantum tori T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} constructed as in the previous section, we say that T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} are Morita equivalent if their coordinate algebras \mathcal{A}_{θ_1} and \mathcal{A}_{θ_2} are Morita equivalent. We shall prove a theorem stating that: T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} are Morita equivalent if and only if T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} are geometrically equivalent.

Of course, in light of Rieffel's theorem it is enough to prove that the geometric equivalence of T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} amounts to the condition

$$\theta_2 = \frac{a\theta_1 + b}{c\theta_1 + d} \text{ for some } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

3.1 Relations giving rise to geometric transformations

Proposition 10 For each $\begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the binary relation

$$C_\Theta(x, y), \quad \Theta = \frac{m_{11}\theta + m_{12}}{m_{21}\theta + m_{22}}$$

corresponding to

$$y = x^{\frac{m_{11}\theta + m_{12}}{m_{21}\theta + m_{22}}}$$

is positive quantifier-free definable in the structure \mathbb{C}_θ .

Proof: Observe the following immediate equivalences:

- $y = x^{m\theta} \equiv C_\theta(x^m, y)$
- $y = x^{m\theta+n} \equiv C_\theta(x^m, yx^{-n})$
- $y = x^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \equiv C_\theta(y, x)$
- $y = x^{\frac{1}{m\theta+n}} \equiv x = y^{m\theta+n} \equiv C_\theta(y^m, xy^{-n})$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} y = x^{\frac{m_{11}\theta + m_{12}}{m_{21}\theta + m_{22}}} &\equiv y^{m_{21}\theta + m_{22}} = x^{m_{11}\theta + m_{12}} \\ &\equiv (y^{m_{21}} x^{-m_{11}})^\theta = x^{m_{12}} y^{-m_{22}} \\ &\equiv C_\theta(y^{m_{21}} x^{-m_{11}}, x^{m_{12}} y^{-m_{22}}) \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 11 Suppose that C_θ sends the cosets of Γ_{q_1} to the cosets of Γ_{q_2} . Then there is a geometric transformation from T_{θ_1} to T_{θ_2} , hence we have $T_{\theta_1} \simeq_\theta T_{\theta_2}$.

Proof: Once we know the correspondence between the cosets of Γ_{q_1} and the cosets of Γ_{q_2} , it is easy to define a geometric transformation L_θ from T_{θ_1} to T_{θ_2} , and we have $T_{\theta_1} \simeq_\theta T_{\theta_2}$ ■

3.1.1 Main theorem

We now show the main theorem.

Theorem 12 *Let $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Then $T_{\theta_1} \simeq_{\theta} T_{\theta_2}$ if and only if $\theta_2 = \frac{a\theta_1 + b}{c\theta_1 + d}$ for some $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof: By Lemma 11 $T_{\theta_1} \simeq_{\theta} T_{\theta_2}$ if and only if C_{θ} sends cosets of Γ_{θ_1} to Γ_{θ_2} . In particular, C_{θ} induces a group isomorphism $\Gamma_{\theta_1} = \langle q_1 \rangle$ to $\Gamma_{\theta_2} = \langle q_2 \rangle$:

$$\exp(2\pi i(\mathbb{Z}\theta_1 + \mathbb{Z})) \xrightarrow{\theta} \exp(2\pi i((\mathbb{Z}\theta_1 + \mathbb{Z})\theta)) = \exp(2\pi i(\mathbb{Z}\theta_2 + \mathbb{Z})).$$

The isomorphism is completely determined by the images of $q_1 = \exp(2\pi i\theta_1)$ and 1 both in $\Gamma_{[\theta_1]}$. Thus it suffices to know the images of θ_1 and 1 by this isomorphism i.e., multiplication by θ . Hence we have

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \theta_1\theta & = & a\theta_2 + b \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \theta & = & c\theta_2 + d \end{cases} \quad \text{where } a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } |ad - bc| = 1.$$

It follows that

$$\theta = \frac{a\theta_2 + b}{\theta_1} = c\theta_2 + d. \quad (9)$$

Solving for θ_2 we get

$$\theta_2 = \frac{d\theta_1 - b}{-c\theta_1 + a}. \quad (10)$$

Since $|ad - bc| = 1$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

And this completes the proof. ■

3.2 Relation between modularity and Morita equivalence

Let \mathcal{A}_{θ_1} and \mathcal{A}_{θ_2} be (the coordinate algebras of) quantum 2-tori T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} .

Combining Rieffel's Theorem and Theorem 12, we see that the following three properties are equivalent:

- (1) \mathbb{C}^* -algebras \mathcal{A}_{θ_1} and \mathcal{A}_{θ_2} are Morita equivalent,
- (2) quantum-tori T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} are geometrically equivalent,
- (3) there exist integers a, b, c, d such that $ad - bc = \pm 1$ and

$$\theta_2 = \frac{a\theta_1 + b}{c\theta_1 + d}.$$

Keeping this relation in mind, we introduce an equivalence relation $E_\theta(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ over $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ defined as follows; we work in the structure $\mathbb{C}^\theta = (\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, 1, x^\theta)$ (raising to real power θ in the complex numbers), and take $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Put

$$E_\theta(\theta_1, \theta_2) \iff T_{\theta_1} \simeq_\theta T_{\theta_2}.$$

Our next objective is to investigate the structure $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})/E_\theta$.

References

- [1] Masanori Itai and Boris Zilber, *Notes on a model theory of quantum 2-torus T_q^2 for generic q* , arXiv:1503.06045v1 [mathLO], 2015
- [2] Masanori Itai and Boris Zilber, *A model theoretic Rieffel's theorem of quantum 2-torus*, arXiv:1708.02615v1 [mathLO], 2017
- [3] Matilde Marcoli, *Noncommutative geometry and arithmetic*, Invited talk, 2010 ICM Hyderabad
- [4] M. A. Rieffel and A. Schwarz, *Morita equivalence of multidimensional noncommutative tori*, Internat. J. Math. 10, 2 (1999) 289-299
- [5] Boris Zilber, *The theory of exponential sums*, arxiv.org/abs/1501.03297
- [6] Boris Zilber, *Perfect infinities and finite approximation In: Infinity and Truth*. IMS Lecture Notes Series, V.25, 2014

Department of Mathematical Sciences
 Tokai University
 Hiratsuka 259-1292
 Japan
 E-mail address: itai@tokai-u.jp