# **On Weighted Fock Spaces and Distributions**

Nobuhiro ASAI (淺井暢宏)\* Department of Mathematics, Aichi University of Education, Kariya, 448-8542, Japan.

#### Abstract

In this note, we shall consider a Gel'fand triple associated with weighted Fock spaces and revisit the characterization theorems for the S-transform and the operator symbol in terms of analytic and growth conditions. In addition, some results on higher order Bell numbers as a non-trivial example of weight sequences are summarized.

#### **1** Preliminaries

#### 1.1 Weighted Fock Spaces

Let H be a complex Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm  $|\cdot|_0$ . Let A be a self-adjoint operator in H with dense domain  $\text{Dom}(A) \subset H$  satisfying inf  $\text{Spec}(A) \geq 1$ . For each  $p \geq 0$ , a dense subspace of H,  $\mathcal{D}_p := \{\xi \in H; |\xi|_p := |A^p\xi|_0 < \infty\}$ , is a Hilbert space. It is easy to see  $\mathcal{D}_q \subset \mathcal{D}_p \subset H = \mathcal{D}_0$  for  $0 \leq p \leq q$ . Then, consider  $\mathcal{D} := \text{proj} \lim_{p \to \infty} \mathcal{D}_p$  and let  $\mathcal{D}^*$  denote the dual space of  $\mathcal{D}$ . For each  $p \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{D}_{-p}$  be the completion of H with respect to the norm  $|\xi|_{-p} := |A^{-p}\xi|_0$ . Then we get  $H = \mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_{-p} \subset \mathcal{D}_{-q}$  for  $0 \leq p \leq q$ , and  $\mathcal{D}^* \cong \text{ind} \lim_{p \to \infty} \mathcal{D}_{-p}$ . As a result, with the identification  $H \cong H^*$  by the Riesz representation theorem, we obtain a triple,  $\mathcal{D} \subset H \subset \mathcal{D}^*$ , where the bilinear form on  $\mathcal{D}^* \times \mathcal{D}$  is also denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_1(H)$  be a standard Boson Fock space over H and  $\alpha = \{\alpha(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a weight sequence of positive real numbers satisfying the condition,

(A1) 
$$\alpha(0) = 1$$
,  $\inf_{n>0} \alpha(n) > 0$ .

Now we introduce a weighted Boson Fock space as follows. Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D}_p)$  be a weighted Boson Fock space over  $\mathcal{D}_p$  given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D}_{p}) := \left\{ \phi := (f_{n})_{n=0}^{\infty}; \ f_{n} \in \mathcal{D}_{p}^{\hat{\otimes}n}, \ \|\phi\|_{p,\alpha}^{2} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \alpha(n) |f_{n}|_{p}^{2} < \infty \right\}$$

where  $\cdot^{\hat{\otimes}n}$  for the *n*-fold symmetric tensor product of  $\cdot$  and  $|f_n|_p := |(A^p)^{\otimes n} f_n|_0$ . The condition  $\alpha(0) = 1$  in (A1) is simply to ensure that the norm on  $\mathcal{D}_p^{\hat{\otimes}0}$  coincides with the absolute value on  $\mathbb{C}$ . The condition  $\inf_{n\geq 0} \alpha(n) > 0$  in (A1) is required to have  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(H) \subset \mathcal{F}_1(H)$ . By identifying  $\mathcal{F}_1(H)$  with its dual space, we have a chain of weighted Fock spaces,

$$\cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D}_p) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(H) \subset \mathcal{F}_1(H) \subset \mathcal{F}_{1/\alpha}(H) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_{1/\alpha}(\mathcal{D}_{-p}) \subset \cdots, \ p \ge 0,$$

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), No.16K05175, JSPS.

where the norm on  $\mathcal{F}_{1/\alpha}(\mathcal{D}_{-p})$  is given by  $\|\cdot\|_{-p,1/\alpha} := \|(A^{-p})^{\otimes n} \cdot\|_{0,1/\alpha}$ . Consider the space  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})$  of test functions defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D}) = \operatorname{proj}_{p \to \infty} \lim \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D}_p).$$

The dual space  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})^*$  of  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})^* \cong \operatorname{ind} \lim_{p \to \infty} \mathcal{F}_{1/\alpha}(\mathcal{D}_{-p}),$$

is called the space of generalized functions. Then we get a triple,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{F}_{1}(H) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})^{*}.$$

We adopt the notation  $\langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle$  to denote the bilinear form on  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})^* \times \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})$ ,

$$\langle \langle \Phi, \phi \rangle \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \langle F_n, f_n \rangle, \quad \Phi = (F_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})^*, \ \phi = (f_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D}).$$

Due to the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have  $|\langle\langle \Phi, \phi \rangle\rangle| \leq \|\Phi\|_{-p,1/\alpha} \|\phi\|_{p,\alpha}$ .

#### 1.2 Growth Bound of the S-transform

Moreover, let us assume that

(A2) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{\alpha(n)}{n!} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0.$$

This condition implies that

$$G_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{n!} z^n$$

is an entire function. Then the exponential vector (coherent state)  $e(\xi)$  given by

$$e(\xi) := \left(\frac{\xi^{\otimes n}}{n!}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})$  due to  $||e(\xi)||_{p,\alpha}^2 = G_{\alpha}(|\xi|_p^2) < \infty$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Assume (A1) and (A2). The S-transform  $S\Phi$  of  $\Phi = (F_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})^*$  is defined to be the function on  $\mathcal{D}$  by

$$(S\Phi)(\xi):=\langle\langle \Phi,e(\xi)\rangle\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^\infty \langle F_n,\xi^{\otimes n}\rangle, \ \ \xi\in\mathcal{D}.$$

The S-transform can be viewed as the generalization to distributions of the Segal-Bargmann transform.

**Lemma 1.2.** Assume that conditions (A1)(A2) hold. The S-transform  $F = S\Phi$  of a generalized function  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})^*$  satisfies the growth condition

$$|(S\Phi)(\xi)| \le ||\Phi||_{-p,1/\alpha} G_{\alpha}(|\xi|_p^2)^{1/2}, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}$$

for some  $p \geq 0$ .

Note that the condition (A1) guarantees that  $G_{1/\alpha}$  given by

$$G_{1/\alpha}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!\alpha(n)} z^n$$

is an entire function.

**Lemma 1.3.** Assume that condition (A1) holds. Then the S-transform  $F = S\varphi$  of a test function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})$  satisfies the growth condition

$$|(S\varphi)(\xi)| \le ||\varphi||_{p,1/\alpha} G_{1/\alpha}(|\xi|^2_{-p})^{1/2}, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D},$$

for any  $p \geq 0$ .

Up to here, the nuclearity of  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})$  is not assumed.

### 2 Analytic Characterizations

The characterization of generalized functions in terms of analytic and growth conditions is called the analytic characterization, which was first discussed by Potthoff-Streit [24] for Hida distributions (Kuo *et al.* [20] for test functions). From the point of infinite dimensional analytic functions, equivalent results were obtained by Lee [21].

It is well-known that the nuclearity of  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})$  is a sufficient condition for the analytic characterization. It is recently proved [1] that the nuclearity of  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})$  is a necessary condition for it. In proof, the infinite dimensional Bargmann-Segal space [14][16], the space of square integrable analytic functions on infinite dimensional complex Gaussian space, plays important roles.

From now on, we suppose that the self-adjoint operator A satisfies the condition,

(H1) inf Spec(A) > 1 and  $A^{-r}$  is of Hilbert-Schmidt type for some r > 0.

Then  $\mathcal{D}$  becomes a nuclear space and so is  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})$ . In such a case, we denote  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D})$  by  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})$ , respectively, and so a Gel'fand triple

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{F}_{1}(H) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})^{*}$$

is referred to as a CKS-space where a condition  $\inf_{n\geq 0} \alpha(n) > 0$  in (A1) is assumed in [11]. However, a weaker condition,

 $(A1)^* \ \alpha(0) = 1, \ \inf_{n \ge 0} \alpha(n) \sigma^n > 0 \text{ for some } \sigma \ge 1,$ 

is strong enough to assure that the nuclear space  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{F}_{1}(H)$ . This weaker condition was first introduced in [4]. Therefore, the condition (A1) on Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 can be replaced by (A1)\*.

**Theorem 2.1** ([11]). Assume that conditions (A1)\*(A2) hold. The S-transform  $F = S\Phi$  of a generalized function  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})^*$  satisfies the conditions:

- (a) For any  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}$ , the function  $F(z\xi + \eta)$  is an entire function of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- (b) There exist constants  $K > 0, a > 0, p \ge 0$  such that

$$|F(\xi)| \le KG_{\alpha}(a|\xi|_p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Conversely, assume that

(B1) 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{n!}{\alpha(n)} \inf_{r > 0} \frac{G_{\alpha}(r)}{r^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} < \infty$$

holds and let a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function F on  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies the above two conditions (a)(b). Then, there exists a unique  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})^*$  such that  $F = S\Phi$ . Moreover, for any q > p with  $ae^2 ||A^{-(q-p)}||_{HS}^2 < 1$ , we have the norm estimate

$$\|\Phi\|_{-q,1/\alpha} \le K(1 - ae^2 \|A^{-(q-p)}\|_{HS}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For the space  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})$  of test functions, which was not studied in [11], we have

**Theorem 2.2** ([3]). Assume that condition (A1)<sup>\*</sup> holds. Then the S-transform  $F = S\varphi$  of a test function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})$  satisfies the conditions:

- (a) For any  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}$ , the function  $F(z\xi + \eta)$  is an entire function of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- (b) For any  $p \ge 0, a > 0$ , there exists a constant K > 0 such that

$$|F(\xi)| \le KG_{1/\alpha}(a|\xi|^2_{-p})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \xi \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Conversely, assume that

$$(\tilde{B}1) \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left( n! \alpha(n) \inf_{r > 0} \frac{G_{1/\alpha}(r)}{r^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} < \infty$$

holds and let a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function F on  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies the above two conditions (a)(b). Then there exists a unique  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})$  such that  $F = S\varphi$ . Moreover, for any given a, p > 0, choose  $q \in [0, p)$  such that  $ae^2 ||A^{-(p-q)}||_{HS}^2 < 1$ , then we have the norm estimate

$$\|\varphi\|_{q,\alpha} \le K(1 - ae^2 \|A^{-(p-q)}\|_{HS}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

*Remark* 2.3. (1) It will be seen that (A3) and (A4) given in Section 4 are necessary and sufficient conditions for (B1) and  $(\tilde{B}1)$ , respectively.

(2) It was our starting point [5][6] to clarify minimal conditions on  $\{\alpha(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  to carry out theories of generalized functions and operators associated with a CKS space,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{F}_{1}(H) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})^{\prime}$$

such that Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 hold.

## 3 Examples and Log-concavity Criterion

Example 3.1. It is easy to see that the classical examples,

(1)  $\alpha(n) = 1$  for the Hida-Kubo-Takenaka space [19],

$$\mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{F}_1(H) \subset \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{E})^*,$$

and  $\beta(n) = (n!)^{\beta} \ (0 \le \beta < 1)$  for the Kondratiev-Streit space [17],

$$\mathcal{F}_{\beta}(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{F}_1(H) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\beta}(\mathcal{E})^*,$$

satisfy  $(A1)(A2)(B1)(\tilde{B}1)$ , which can be checked by direct computations.

87

(3) Let  $\exp_k(x)$  denotes the k-times iterated exponential function for an integer  $k \ge 2$ , that is,

$$\exp_k(x) = \exp(\exp\cdots(\exp(x))).$$

The k-th order Bell numbers  $b_k(n)$  are defined by

$$\frac{\exp_k(x)}{\exp_k(0)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_k(n)}{n!} x^n, \ k \ge 2,$$

where the numbers  $b_2(n), n \ge 0$  are known as the (standard) Bell numbers. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{b_k}(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\beta}(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{F}_1(H) \subset \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{E})^* \subset \mathcal{F}_{\beta}(\mathcal{E})^* \subset \mathcal{F}_{b_k}(\mathcal{E})^*.$$

It is not difficult to check (A1)(A2) for  $\{b_k(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ . Cochran *et.al* [11] proved by direct computations that the condition (B1) is satisfied, but they did not study  $(\tilde{B}1)$ . It seems impossible to check by direct computations whether or not  $(\tilde{B}1)$  holds for the case of the *k*-th order bell numbers. Hence it is natural to seek an easy criterion for  $(\tilde{B}1)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A sequence  $\{\delta(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is log-concave if  $\delta(n)\delta(n+2) \leq \delta(n+1)^2$  and  $\{\delta(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is log-convex if  $\delta(n+1)^2 \leq \delta(n)\delta(n+2)$ .

In fact, the following criterion was mentioned in [11].

**Proposition 3.3.** If  $\{\alpha(n)/n!\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is log-concave, then (B1) holds.

Due to this proposition, it is easy to see the following.

**Corollary 3.4.** If  $\{1/n!\alpha(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is log-concave, then  $(\tilde{B}1)$  holds.

However, it was not proved in [11] if the sequences  $\{b_k(n)/n!\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{1/n!b_k(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  are log-concave or not. We filled up these gaps [2].

**Theorem 3.5.** (1)  $\{b_k(n)/n!\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is log-concave.

- (2)  $\{b_k(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is log-convex and hence  $\{1/n!b_k(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is log-concave.
- (3)  $\{b_k(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  satisfies  $(A1)(A2)(B1)(\tilde{B}1)$ .

Remark 3.6. One can find a different way of proof by Engel [12] concerning the log-convexity of  $\{b_2(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ . Canfield [9] showed that the log-concavity of  $\{b_2(n)/n!\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  holds asymptotically.

In [18], the following "log-additivity" conditions were introduced in order to prove the continuity of various operators acting on  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})^*$ :

(C1) There exists a constant  $c_1$  such that for any  $n \leq m$ ,

$$\alpha(n) \le c_1^m \alpha(m).$$

(C2) There exists a constant  $c_2$  such that for any n, m,

$$\alpha(n+m) \le c_2^{n+m} \alpha(n) \alpha(m).$$

(C3) There exists a constant  $c_3$  such that for any n, m,

$$\alpha(n)\alpha(m) \le c_3^{n+m}\alpha(n+m).$$

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $\{\alpha(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of positive numbers with  $\alpha(0) = 1$ .

(1) If  $\{\alpha(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is log-convex, then

$$\alpha(n)\alpha(m) \le \alpha(n+m), \quad n,m \ge 0.$$

(2) If  $\{\alpha(n)/n!\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is log-concave, then

$$\alpha(n+m) \le 2^{n+m} \alpha(n) \alpha(m), \quad n,m \ge 0.$$

Due to Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.7, one has the following inequalities.

Corollary 3.8. 
$$\{b_k(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$
 satisfies  $(C1)(C2)(C3)$  with  $c_1 = 1, c_2 = 2, c_3 = 1$ , that is,  
 $b_k(n)b_k(m) \le b_k(n+m) \le 2^{n+m}b_k(n)b_k(m), \quad n, m \ge 0.$ 

Remark 3.9. In [18], it was proved that (C3) implies (C1) and the k-th order Bell numbers  $\{b_k(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  satisfies (C1)(C2)(C3) in an asymptotical consideration. Moreover, we proved in [2] that  $c_1 = 1, c_3 = 1$  for any  $k \ge 2$  and  $c_2 = 2$  for k = 2 are best constants. It is not known if  $c_2 = 2$  for  $k \ge 3$  is the best constant.

## 4 Growth Functions

In this section, we shall recall key notions and results from [5][6]. Let  $C_{+,\log}$  denote the collection of all positive continuous functions u on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log u(r)}{\log r} = \infty.$$

The Legendre transform  $\ell_u$  of  $u \in C_{+,\log}$  defined as the function,

$$\ell_u(t) := \inf_{r>0} \frac{u(r)}{r^t}, \quad t \in [0,\infty).$$

Let  $C_{+,1/2}$  denotes the collection of all positive continuous functions u on  $[0,\infty)$  satisfying

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log u(r)}{\sqrt{r}} = \infty.$$

The dual Legendre transform  $u^*$  of  $u \in C_{+,1/2}$  is defined to be the function

$$u^*(r) = \sup_{s>0} \frac{e^{2\sqrt{rs}}}{u(s)}, \quad r \in [0,\infty).$$

It can be proved that  $u^* \in C_{+,1/2}$ .

Remark 4.1. One can see that  $\exp[\sqrt{r}] \in C_{+,\log}$ , but  $\notin C_{+,1/2}$ . In addition,  $\exp[2\sqrt{r \log \sqrt{r}}] \in C_{+,1/2}$ .

**Definition 4.2.** We say that two sequences  $\{a(n)\}$  and  $\{b(n)\}$  are equivalent denoted by  $\{a(n)\} \sim \{b(n)\}$  if there exist constants  $K_1, K_2, c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that for all n,

$$K_1 c_1^n a(n) \le b(n) \le K_2 c_2^n a(n)$$

Now we state the weaker conditions for the sequence  $\{\alpha(n)\}$ :

- (A3)  $\{\alpha(n)\}\$  is equivalent to a positive sequence  $\{\lambda(n)\}\$  such that  $\{\lambda(n)/n!\}\$  is log-concave.
- (A4)  $\{\alpha(n)\}\$  is equivalent to a positive sequence  $\{\lambda(n)\}\$  such that  $\{1/n!\lambda(n)\}\$  is log-concave. Then it is easy to see the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.3. (1) (B1) is equivalent to (A3).

(2) (B1) is equivalent to (A4).

For our discussion, the following conditions on u play important roles:

$$(U1) \inf_{r \ge 0} u(r) = 1.$$

 $(U2) \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log u(r)}{r} < \infty.$ 

(U3)  $u(r^2)$  is a log-convex function on  $[0.\infty)$ .

For a given  $u \in C_{+,\log}$ , define a sequence  $\{\alpha_u(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  given by

$$\alpha_u(n) := \frac{1}{\ell_u(n)n!},$$

which plays a role of a sequence  $\{\alpha(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** (1) If  $u \in C_{+,\log}$  satisfies (U1)(U2), then  $\{\alpha_u(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  satisfies  $(A1)^*$ .

- (2) If  $u \in C_{+,1/2}$  satisfies (U3), then  $\{\alpha_u(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  satisfies (A2).
- (3) If  $u \in C_{+,\log}$  satisfies (U3),  $\{\alpha_u(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  satisfies (A3).
- (4) If  $u \in C_{+,\log}$ , then  $\{\alpha_u(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  satisfies (A4).

**Theorem 4.5.** Suppose that  $u \in C_{+1/2}$  satisfies (U1)(U2)(U3). Then,

- (1) a sequence  $\{\alpha_u(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  satisfies conditions  $(A1)^*(A2)(A3)(A4)$ .
- (2) (A3) ( $\iff$  (B1)) implies (C2).
- (3) (A4) ( $\iff$  ( $\tilde{B}1$ )) implies (C3).
- (4) (C3) implies (C1).

**Definition 4.6.** Two positive functions f and g on  $[0, \infty)$  are called equivalent, denoted by  $f \sim g$ , if there exists constants  $c_1, c_2, a_1, a_2 > 0$  such that

$$c_1 f(a_1 r) \le g(r) \le c_2 f(a_2 r), \ r \in [0, \infty).$$

**Example 4.7.** (1) For  $0 \le \beta < 1$ , one can see that

$$u_{\beta}(r) = \exp[(1+\beta)r^{\frac{1}{1+\beta}}] \in C_{+,1/2} \iff u_{\beta}^{*}(r) = \exp[(1-\beta)r^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}] \in C_{+,1/2}.$$

In fact, the series  $G_{\alpha}$  and  $G_{1/\alpha}$  with  $\alpha(n) = (n!)^{\beta}$  cannot have the closed forms unless  $\beta = 0$ , but we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{cases} \exp[(1-\beta)r^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}] \le G_{\alpha}(r) \le 2^{\beta} \exp[(1-\beta)2^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}r^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}], \\ 2^{-\beta} \exp[(1+\beta)2^{-\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}}r^{\frac{1}{1+\beta}}] \le G_{1/\alpha}(r) \le \exp[(1+\beta)r^{\frac{1}{1+\beta}}]. \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

That is,  $u_{\beta}(r) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n!)^{1+\beta}} r^n$  and  $u_{\beta}^*(r) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n!)^{1-\beta}} r^n$ . (2) Let  $\log_j(\cdot)$  denote the *j*-th iterated logarithmic function inductively defined by

$$\log_1(r) := \log(\max\{r, e\}), \ \log_j(r) := \log_1(\log_{j-1}(r)), \ j \ge 2$$

Then we have

$$u_k^*(r) := \exp_k(r) / \exp_k(0) \in C_{+,1/2} \iff u_k(r) \sim w_k(r) = \exp[2\sqrt{r\log_{k-1}\sqrt{r}}] \in C_{+,1/2}$$

and  $w_k(r) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n! b_k(n)} r^n$ .

If one merges everything together with replacements of growth conditions in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 respectively by

- $|F(\xi)| \leq Ku^*(a|\xi|_p)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})^*$ ,
- $|F(\xi)| \leq Ku(a|\xi|_{-p})^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})$ ,

where  $\alpha = \{\alpha_u(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , then we obtain

**Theorem 4.8.** Suppose that  $u \in C_{+1/2}$  satisfies (U1)(U2)(U3). The S-transform  $F = S\Phi$  of a generalized function  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})^*$  satisfies the conditions:

- (a) For any  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}$ , the function  $F(z\xi + \eta)$  is an entire function of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- (b) There exist constants  $K > 0, a > 0, p \ge 0$  such that

$$|F(\xi)| \le Ku^*(a|\xi|_p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \xi \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Conversely, let a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function F on  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies the above two conditions (a)(b). Then there exists a unique  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})^*$  such that  $F = S\Phi$ . Moreover, for any q > p with  $ae^2 ||A^{-(q-p)}||_{HS}^2 < 1$ , we have the norm estimate

$$\|\Phi\|_{-q,1/\alpha} \le K(1 - ae^2 \|A^{-(q-p)}\|_{HS}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

**Theorem 4.9.** Suppose that  $u \in C_{+1/2}$  satisfies (U1)(U2)(U3). The S-transform  $F = S\varphi$  of a test function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})$  satisfies the conditions:

- (a) For any  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}$ , the function  $F(z\xi + \eta)$  is an entire function of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- (b) For any  $p \ge 0, a > 0$ , there exists a constant K > 0 such that

$$|F(\xi)| \le Ku(a|\xi|^2_{-p})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \xi \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Conversely, let a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function F on  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies the above two conditions (a)(b). Then there exists a unique  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})$  such that  $F = S\varphi$ . Moreover, for any given a, p > 0, choose  $q \in [0, p)$  such that  $ae^2 ||A^{-(p-q)}||_{HS}^2 < 1$ , then we have the norm estimate

$$\|\varphi\|_{q,\alpha} \le K(1 - ae^2 \|A^{-(p-q)}\|_{HS}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Remark 4.10. Consult our papers [6][7] to see connections Gannoun et al. [13].

# 5 Generalization of Obata's Theorem

Obata [22][23] characterized the operator symbol of  $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{E})^*)$  and Chung *et al.* [10] presented a simplified proof.

**Definition 5.1.** For any  $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})^*)$ , the operator symbol  $\widehat{\Xi}$  of  $\Xi$  is defined by

$$\Xi(\xi,\eta) = \langle \langle \Xi e(\xi), e(\eta) \rangle \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}.$$

The operator symbol is an operator version of the S-transform. Therefore, one can generalize the characterization theorem for the operator symbol as follows.

**Theorem 5.2.** Suppose that  $u \in C_{+1/2}$  satisfies (U1)(U2)(U3). The symbol  $G = \widehat{\Xi}$  of  $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})^*)$  satisfies the conditions:

- (a) For any  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ , the function  $G(z\xi_1 + \eta_1, w\xi_2 + \eta_2)$  is an entire function of  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ .
- (b) There exist constants  $K > 0, a > 0, p \ge 0$  such that

$$|G(\xi,\eta)| \le K u^* \left( a(|\xi|_p^2 + |\eta|_p^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \ \xi,\eta \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Conversely, suppose a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function G on  $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}$  satisfies the above two conditions (a)(b). Then there exists a unique  $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E})^*)$  such that  $G = \widehat{\Xi}$ .

*Proof.* Due to Theorem 4.5, there exist constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that

$$u^*(s)u^*(t) \le u^*(c_1(s+t)) \le u^*(c_2s)u^*(c_2t), \quad s,t \ge 0.$$
(5.1)

Thanks to this inequality (5.1), one can apply the idea of Chung *et al.* [10]. It means that the proof can be done by applying Theorem 4.8 two times.

Remark 5.3. It is not difficult to generalize further and state Theorem 4.8, Theorem 4.9 and Theorem 5.2 in a unified manner as of Ji-Obata [15]. It is because essential properties what we need for norm estimates related with a CKS space can be derived from conditions  $(A1)^*(A2)(A3)(A4)$ . on  $\{\alpha(n)\}$  and (U1)(U2)(U3) on  $u \in C_{+,1/2}$ .

# Acknowledgment

The author would like to celebrate the 60th birthday of Professor N. Obata and thank to organizers of this conference giving me an opportunity to give a talk on this occasion.

#### References

- L. Accardi, U.C. Ji, and K. Saitô, Analytic characterization of infinite dimensional distributions, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top., 20 (2017), 1750007 (13 pages).
- [2] N. Asai, I. Kubo, and H.-H. Kuo, Bell numbers, log-concavity, and log-convexity, Acta. Appl. Math., 63 (2000), 79–87.
- [3] N. Asai, I. Kubo, and H.-H. Kuo, *Characterization of test functions in CKS-space*, in: "Mathematical Physics and Stochastic Analysis: in honor of L. Streit", S. Albeverio et al. (eds.) World Scientific, (2000), pp. 68–78.

- [4] N. Asai, I. Kubo, and H.-H. Kuo, CKS-space in terms of growth functions, in: "Quantum Information II", T. Hida and K. Saitô. (eds.) World Scientific, (2000), pp. 17–27.
- [5] N. Asai, I. Kubo, and H.-H. Kuo, Roles of log-concavity, log-convexity, and growth order in white noise analysis, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top., 4 (2001), 59–84.
- [6] N. Asai, I. Kubo, and H.-H. Kuo, General characterization theorems and intrinsic topologies in white noise analysis, Hiroshima Math. J., 31 (2001), 299–330.
- [7] N. Asai, I. Kubo, and H.-H. Kuo, Gaussian and Poisson white noises with related characterization theorems, Contemp. Math., 317 (2003), 45–56.
- [8] E.A. Bender and E.R. Canfield, Log-concavity and related properties of the cycle index polynomials, J. Combin. Theory A, 76 (1996), 57–70.
- [9] E.R. Canfield, Engel's inequality for Bell numbers, J. Combin. Theory A, 72 (1995), 184– 187.
- [10] D.M. Chung, T.S. Chung, and U.C. Ji, A simple proof of analytic characterization theorem for operator symbols, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 34 (1997), 421–436.
- [11] W. G. Cochran, H.-H. Kuo, and A. Sengupta, A new class of white noise generalized functions, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top., 1 (1998), 43–67.
- [12] K. Engel, On the average rank of an element in a filter of the partition lattice, J. Combin. Theory A, 65 (1994), 67–78.
- [13] R. Gannoun, R. Hachaichi, H. Ouerdiane, and A. Rezgui, Un théorème de dualite entré espaces de fonctions holomorphes à croissance exponentiele, J. Funct. Anal., 171 (2000), 1–14.
- [14] M. Grothaus, Y.G. Kondratiev, and L. Streit, Complex Gaussian analysis and the Bargmann-Segal space, Methods Funct. Anal. Topol, 3 (1997), 46–64.
- [15] U.C. Ji, and N. Obata, A unified characterization theorem in white noise theory, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top., 6 (2003), 167–178.
- [16] U.C. Ji, and N. Obata, A role of Bargmann-Segal spaces in characterization and expansion of operators on Fock space, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 56 (2004), 311–338.
- [17] Yu. G. Kondratiev and L. Streit, Spaces of white noise distributions: Constructions, Descriptions, Applications. I, Reports on Math. Phys., 33 (1993), 341–366.
- [18] I. Kubo, H.-H. Kuo, and A. Sengupta, White noise analysis on a new space of Hida distributions, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top., 2 (1999), 315–335.
- [19] I. Kubo and S. Takenaka, Calculus on Gaussian white noise I, II, III, IV, Proc. Japan Acad., 56A (1980), 376–380, 56A (1980), 411–416, 57A (1981), 433–437, 58A (1982), 186–189.
- [20] H.-H. Kuo, J. Potthoff, and L. Streit, A characterization of white noise test functionals, Nagoya Math. J., 121 (1991), 185–194.
- [21] Y.-J. Lee, Analytic version of test functionals, Fourier transform, and a characterization of measures in white noise calculus, J. Funct. Anal., 100 (1991), 359–380.

- [22] N. Obata, An analytic characterization of symbols of operators on white noise functionals, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 45 (1993), 422–445.
- [23] N. Obata, "White Noise Calculus and Fock Space", Lecture Notes in Math. 1577, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [24] J. Potthoff and L. Streit, A characterization of Hida distributions, J. Funct. Anal., 101 (1991), 212–229.