

Generalized fractional integrals on Orlicz spaces

中井 英一 (茨城大学理学部)

Eiichi Nakai (Ibaraki University, Japan)

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Yasuji Takahashi

1 Introduction

This is a joint work with Ryutato Arai and Minglei Shi, and an announcement of [5, 29].

Let \mathbb{R}^n be the n -dimensional Euclidean space, and let I_α be the fractional integral operator of order $\alpha \in (0, n)$, that is,

$$I_\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then it is known as the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem that I_α is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if $\alpha \in (0, n)$, $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ and $-n/p + \alpha = -n/q$. This boundedness was extended to Orlicz spaces by several authors, see [4, 6, 15, 22, 30, 31, 32], etc. The L^p - L^q boundedness of the commutator $[b, I_\alpha]$ with $b \in \text{BMO}$ was considered by Chanillo [3]. The result was also extended to Orlicz spaces by Fu, Yang and Yuan [7] and Guliyev, Deringoz and Hasanov [8].

In this report we consider generalized fractional integral operators I_ρ on Orlicz spaces. For a function $\rho : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, the operator I_ρ defined by

$$I_\rho f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^n} f(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.1}$$

where we always assume that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\rho(t)}{t} dt < \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

If $\rho(r) = r^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < n$, then I_ρ is the usual fractional integral operator I_α . The condition (1.2) is needed for the integral in (1.1) to converge for bounded functions f with compact support.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E30, 42B35.

Key words and phrases. Orlicz space, fractional integral, commutator.

The author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B), No. 15H03621, and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), No. 17K05306, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Eiichi Nakai, Department of Mathematics, Ibaraki University, Mito, Ibaraki 310-8512, Japan
Email: eiichi.nakai.math@vc.ibaraki.ac.jp

The operator I_ρ was introduced in [20] whose partial results were announced in [19]. In these papers we assumed that ρ satisfies the doubling condition;

$$\frac{1}{C_1} \leq \frac{\rho(r)}{\rho(s)} \leq C_1, \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{r}{s} \leq 2, \quad (1.3)$$

and that $r \mapsto \rho(r)/r^n$ is almost decreasing;

$$\frac{\rho(s)}{s^n} \leq C_2 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^n}, \quad \text{if } r < s, \quad (1.4)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants independent of $r, s \in (0, \infty)$. Under these conditions we proved the boundedness of I_ρ on Orlicz spaces.

In this report, instead of these conditions, we assume that there exist positive constants C, K_1 and K_2 with $K_1 < K_2$ such that, for all $r > 0$,

$$\sup_{r \leq t \leq 2r} \rho(t) \leq C \int_{K_1 r}^{K_2 r} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} dt. \quad (1.5)$$

The condition (1.5) was considered in [25] and also used in [28]. If ρ satisfies (1.3) or (1.4), then ρ satisfies (1.5). Let

$$\rho(r) = \begin{cases} r^n (\log(e/r))^{-1/2}, & 0 < r < 1, \\ e^{-(r-1)}, & 1 \leq r < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Then ρ satisfies (1.2) and (1.5), but doesn't satisfy (1.3) or (1.4). Therefore, the results in this report are improvement of one in [20]. Moreover, we consider the commutator $[b, I_\rho]$ with functions b in generalized Campanato spaces. To prove the boundedness of $[b, I_\rho]$ on Orlicz spaces we need the sharp maximal operator M^\sharp and generalized fractional maximal operators M_ρ , see (1.8) and (1.9) below for their definitions. Moreover, we need a generalization of the Young function.

First we recall the definition of the generalized Campanato space and the sharp maximal and generalized fractional maximal operators. We denote by $B(x, r)$ the open ball centered at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and of radius r , that is,

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x| < r\}.$$

For a measurable set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $|G|$ and χ_G the Lebesgue measure of G and the characteristic function of G , respectively. For a function $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a ball B , let

$$f_B = \int_B f = \int_B f(y) dy = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(y) dy. \quad (1.7)$$

Definition 1.1. For $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{L}_{p, \psi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the sets of all functions f such that the following functional is finite:

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p, \psi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{B=B(x, r)} \frac{1}{\psi(r)} \left(\int_B |f(y) - f_B|^p dy \right)^{1/p},$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B(x, r)$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Then $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\psi}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is a norm modulo constant functions and thereby $\mathcal{L}_{p,\psi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space. If $p = 1$ and $\psi \equiv 1$, then $\mathcal{L}_{p,\psi}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The sharp maximal operator M^\sharp is defined by

$$M^\sharp f(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \int_B |f(y) - f_B| dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.8)$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B containing x . For a function $\rho : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, let

$$M_\rho f(x) = \sup_{B(z,r) \ni x} \rho(r) \int_{B(z,r)} |f(y)| dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.9)$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B containing x . We don't assume the condition (1.2) or (1.5) on the definition of M_ρ . The operator M_ρ was studied in [27] on generalized Morrey spaces. If $\rho(B) = |B|^{\alpha/n}$, then M_ρ is the usual fractional maximal operator M_α . If $\rho \equiv 1$, then M_ρ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M , that is,

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \int_B |f(y)| dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The operator M is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself, if $1 < p \leq \infty$.

It is known that the usual fractional maximal operator M_α is dominated pointwise by the fractional integral operator I_α , that is, $M_\alpha f(x) \leq CI_\alpha |f|(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the boundedness of M_α follows from one of I_α . However, we need a better estimate on M_ρ than I_ρ to prove the boundedness of the commutator $[b, I_\rho]$. In this report we give a necessary and sufficient condition of the boundedness of M_ρ .

Here we recall the proof of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem by Hedberg [11].

Theorem 1.1 (Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev (1928, 1932, 1938)).

If $\alpha \in (0, n)$, $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ and $-n/p + \alpha = -n/q$, then

$$I_\alpha : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{bounded.}$$

Proof by Hedberg (1972). We prove that, for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|f\|_{L^p} = 1$,

$$|I_\alpha f(x)|^q \lesssim Mf(x)^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, using the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_\alpha f|^q \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Mf)^p \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p = 1.$$

To prove the above pointwise estimate, let

$$|I_\alpha f(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy = \int_{|x-y| < r} + \int_{|x-y| \geq r} = J_1 + J_2.$$

Then we can get

$$J_1 \leq Mf(x) \int_{|x|<r} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-\alpha}} \lesssim Mf(x) r^\alpha,$$

$$J_2 \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \left(\int_{|x|\geq r} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{n-\alpha}} \right)^{p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \sim r^{-n/q}.$$

Let $r = Mf(x)^{-p/n}$. Then $r^\alpha = Mf(x)^{-\alpha p/n} = Mf(x)^{p/q-1}$ and

$$|J_\alpha f(x)| \leq J_1 + J_2 \lesssim Mf(x)^{p/q}. \quad \square$$

In this report, to prove the boundedness of I_ρ from $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we show the pointwise estimate

$$\Psi \left(\frac{|I_\rho f(x)|}{C_1} \right) \leq \Phi \left(\frac{Mf(x)}{C_0} \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for $f \in L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|f\|_{L^\Phi} = 1$.

2 Young functions and Orlicz spaces

For an increasing function $\Phi : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, let

$$a(\Phi) = \sup\{t \geq 0 : \Phi(t) = 0\}, \quad b(\Phi) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \Phi(t) = \infty\}.$$

Then $0 \leq a(\Phi) \leq b(\Phi) \leq \infty$. Let $\bar{\Phi}$ be the set of all increasing functions $\Phi : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \Phi(t) = \Phi(0) = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\Phi \text{ is left continuous on } [0, b(\Phi)), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\text{if } b(\Phi) = \infty, \text{ then } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t) = \Phi(\infty) = \infty, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{if } b(\Phi) < \infty, \text{ then } \lim_{t \rightarrow b(\Phi)-0} \Phi(t) = \Phi(b(\Phi)) (\leq \infty). \quad (2.4)$$

Any function in $\bar{\Phi}$ is neither identically zero nor identically infinity on $(0, \infty)$.

For $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$, we recall the generalized inverse of Φ in the sense of O'Neil [22, Definition 1.2]. For $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$ and $u \in [0, \infty]$, let

$$\Phi^{-1}(u) = \begin{cases} \inf\{t \geq 0 : \Phi(t) > u\}, & u \in [0, \infty), \\ \infty, & u = \infty. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Then Φ^{-1} is finite and right continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and positive on $(0, \infty)$. If Φ is bijective from $[0, \infty]$ to itself, then Φ^{-1} is the usual inverse function of Φ . Moreover, we have the following relation, which is a generalization of Property 1.3 in [22].

$$\Phi(\Phi^{-1}(u)) \leq u \leq \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(u)) \quad \text{for all } u \in [0, \infty]. \quad (2.6)$$

Definition 2.1. A function $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$ is called a Young function (or sometimes also called an Orlicz function) if Φ is convex on $[0, b(\Phi))$.


By the convexity, any Young function Φ is continuous on $[0, b(\Phi))$ and strictly increasing on $[a(\Phi), b(\Phi)]$.

We define three subsets $\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) of Young functions as

$$\mathcal{Y}^{(1)} = \{\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_Y : b(\Phi) = \infty\},$$

$$\mathcal{Y}^{(2)} = \{\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_Y : b(\Phi) < \infty, \Phi(b(\Phi)) = \infty\},$$

$$\mathcal{Y}^{(3)} = \{\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_Y : b(\Phi) < \infty, \Phi(b(\Phi)) < \infty\}.$$



For $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}$, we write $\Phi \approx \Psi$ if there exists a positive constant

$$\Phi(C^{-1}t) \leq \Psi(t) \leq \Phi(Ct) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \infty].$$

Definition 2.2. (i) Let $\bar{\Phi}_Y$ be the set of all Young functions.

(ii) Let $\bar{\Phi}_Y$ be the set of all $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$ such that $\Phi \approx \Psi$ for some $\Psi \in \bar{\Phi}_Y$.

For $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_Y$, we define the Orlicz space $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the weak Orlicz space $wL^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of all complex valued measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 2.3. For a function $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_Y$, let

$$L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\epsilon|f(x)|) dx < \infty \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0 \right\},$$

$$\|f\|_{L^\Phi} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

$$wL^\Phi(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n) : \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \Phi(t) m(\epsilon f, t) < \infty \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0 \right\},$$

$$\|f\|_{wL^\Phi} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \Phi(t) m\left(\frac{f}{\lambda}, t\right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

$$\text{where } m(f, t) = |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > t\}|.$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_{L^\Phi}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{wL^\Phi}$ are quasi-norms and $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_Y$, then $\|\cdot\|_{L^\Phi}$ is a norm and thereby $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space. For $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}_Y$, if $\Phi \approx \Psi$, then $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and quasi-norms $\|\cdot\|_{L^\Phi}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L^\Psi}$ are equivalent. Orlicz spaces are introduced by [23, 24]. For the theory of Orlicz spaces, see [14, 15, 16, 17, 26] for example.

Definition 2.4. (i) A function $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$ is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition, denote $\Phi \in \bar{\Delta}_2$, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\Phi(2t) \leq C\Phi(t) \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \quad (2.7)$$

(ii) A function $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$ is said to satisfy the ∇_2 -condition, denote $\Phi \in \bar{\nabla}_2$, if there exists a constant $k > 1$ such that

$$\Phi(t) \leq \frac{1}{2k}\Phi(kt) \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \quad (2.8)$$

(iii) Let $\Delta_2 = \bar{\Phi}_Y \cap \bar{\Delta}_2$ and $\nabla_2 = \bar{\Phi}_Y \cap \bar{\nabla}_2$.

The following theorem is known, see [15, Theorem 1.2.1] for example.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_Y$. Then M is bounded from $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $wL^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, if $\Phi \in \bar{\nabla}_2$, then M is bounded on $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

See also [4, 12, 13] for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on Orlicz spaces.

3 Results

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\rho : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfy (1.2) and (1.5), and let $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}_Y$, $a(\Phi) = 0$ and $b(\Phi) = \infty$. Assume that there exists a positive constant A such that, for all $r \in (0, \infty)$,*

$$\int_0^r \frac{\rho(t)}{t} dt \Phi^{-1}(1/r^n) + \int_r^\infty \frac{\rho(t) \Phi^{-1}(1/t^n)}{t} dt \leq A\Psi^{-1}(1/r^n). \quad (3.1)$$

Then, for any positive constant C_0 , there exists a positive constant C_1 such that, for all $f \in L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $f \neq 0$,

$$\Psi \left(\frac{|I_\rho f(x)|}{C_1 \|f\|_{L^\Phi}} \right) \leq \Phi \left(\frac{Mf(x)}{C_0 \|f\|_{L^\Phi}} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

Consequently, I_ρ is bounded from $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $wL^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, if $\Phi \in \bar{\nabla}_2$, then I_ρ is bounded from $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

See [5, 21] for examples of $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}_Y$ which satisfy the assumption in Theorem 3.1. See also [18] for the boundedness of I_ρ on Orlicz space $L^\Phi(\Omega)$ with bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Next we state the result on the operator M_ρ defined by (1.9) in which we don't assume (1.2) or (1.5).

Theorem 3.2. Let $\rho : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, and let $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}_Y$.

(i) Assume that there exists a positive constant A such that, for all $r \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\left(\sup_{0 < t \leq r} \rho(t) \right) \Phi^{-1}(1/r^n) \leq A \Psi^{-1}(1/r^n). \quad (3.3)$$

Then, for any positive constant C_0 , there exists a positive constant C_1 such that, for all $f \in L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $f \not\equiv 0$,

$$\Psi \left(\frac{|M_\rho f(x)|}{C_1 \|f\|_{L^\Phi}} \right) \leq \Phi \left(\frac{Mf(x)}{C_0 \|f\|_{L^\Phi}} \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Consequently, M_ρ is bounded from $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $wL^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, if $\Phi \in \bar{\nabla}_2$, then M_ρ is bounded from $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) Conversely, if M_ρ is bounded from $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $wL^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then (3.3) holds for some A and all $r \in (0, \infty)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\rho : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfy (1.2).

(i) Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Delta}_2 \cap \bar{\nabla}_2$. Assume that $r \mapsto \rho(r)/r^{n-\epsilon}$ is almost decreasing for some $\epsilon \in (0, n)$. Assume also that there exists a positive constant A and $\Theta \in \bar{\nabla}_2$ such that, for all $r \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\int_0^r \frac{\rho(t)}{t} dt \Phi^{-1}(1/r^n) + \int_r^\infty \frac{\rho(t) \Phi^{-1}(1/t^n)}{t} dt \leq A \Theta^{-1}(1/r^n), \quad (3.5)$$

$$\psi(r) \Theta^{-1}(1/r^n) \leq A \Psi^{-1}(1/r^n), \quad (3.6)$$

and that there exist a positive constant C_ρ such that, for all $r, s \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\left| \frac{\rho(r)}{r^n} - \frac{\rho(s)}{s^n} \right| \leq C_\rho |r - s| \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} \int_0^r \frac{\rho(t)}{t} dt, \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{r}{s} \leq 2. \quad (3.7)$$

If $b \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\psi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $[b, I_\rho]$ is bounded from $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there exists a positive constant C such that, for all $f \in L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|[b, I_\rho]f\|_{L^\Psi} \leq C \|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1,\psi}} \|f\|_{L^\Phi}. \quad (3.8)$$

(ii) Conversely, let $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}_Y$, and assume that there exists a positive constant A such that, for all $r \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\Psi^{-1}(1/r^n) \leq A r^\alpha \psi(r) \Phi^{-1}(1/r^n).$$

If $[b, I_\alpha]$ is bounded from $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then b is in $\mathcal{L}_{1,\psi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there exists a positive constant C , independent of b , such that

$$\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1,\psi}} \leq C \|[b, I_\alpha]\|_{L^\Phi \rightarrow L^\Psi}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $\|[b, I_\alpha]\|_{L^\Phi \rightarrow L^\Psi}$ is the operator norm of $[b, I_\alpha]$ from $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

References

- [1] R. Arai and E. Nakai, Commutators of Calderón-Zygmund and generalized fractional integral operators on generalized Morrey spaces, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* 31 (2018), Vol. 2, 287–331.
- [2] R. Arai and E. Nakai, Compact commutators of Calderón-Zygmund and generalized fractional integral operators with a function in generalized Campanato spaces on generalized Morrey spaces, to appear in *Tokyo J. Math.*
- [3] S. Chanillo, A note on commutators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 31 (1982), No. 1, 7–16.
- [4] A. Cianchi, Strong and weak type inequalities for some classical operators in Orlicz spaces, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 60 (1999), No. 1, 187–202.
- [5] F. Deringoz, V.S. Guliyev, E. Nakai, Y. Sawano and M. Shi, Generalized fractional maximal and integral operators on Orlicz and generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces of the third kind, preprint.
- [6] D. E. Edmunds, P. Gurka and B. Opic, Double exponential integrability of convolution operators in generalized Lorentz-Zygmund spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 44 (1995), No. 1, 19–43.
- [7] X. Fu, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Generalized fractional integrals and their commutators over non-homogeneous metric measure spaces, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 18 (2014), No. 2, 509–557.
- [8] V. S. Guliyev, F. Deringoz and S. G. Hasanov, Riesz potential and its commutators on Orlicz spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2017, Paper No. 75, 18 pp.
- [9] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some properties of fractional integrals. I*, *Math. Z.*, **27** (1928), 565–606.
- [10] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some properties of fractional integrals. II*, *Math. Z.*, **34** (1932), 403–439.
- [11] L. I. Hedberg, On certain convolution inequalities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 36 (1972), 505–510.
- [12] H. Kita, On maximal functions in Orlicz spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124 (1996), 3019–3025.
- [13] H. Kita, On Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions in Orlicz spaces, *Math. Nachr.* 183 (1997), 135–155.
- [14] H. Kita, *Orlicz spaces and their applications* (Japanese), Iwanami Shoten, Publishers. Tokyo, 2009.
- [15] V. Kokilashvili and M. Krbeč, *Weighted inequalities in Lorentz and Orlicz spaces*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1991.

- [16] M. A. Krasnoselsky and Y. B. Rutitsky, Convex functions and Orlicz spaces. Translated from the first Russian edition by Leo F. Boron. P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen 1961 .
- [17] L. Maligranda, Orlicz spaces and interpolation, Seminars in mathematics 5, Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Brasil, 1989.
- [18] Y. Mizuta, E. Nakai, T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, Boundedness of fractional integral operators on Morrey spaces and Sobolev embeddings for generalized Riesz potentials. J. Math. Soc. Japan 62 (2010), No. 3, 707–744.
- [19] E. Nakai, On generalized fractional integrals in the Orlicz spaces. Proceedings of the Second ISAAC Congress, Vol. 1 (Fukuoka, 1999), 75–81, Int. Soc. Anal. Appl. Comput., 7, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
- [20] E. Nakai, On generalized fractional integrals, Taiwanese J. Math. 5 (2001), 587–602.
- [21] E. Nakai, On generalized fractional integrals in the Orlicz spaces on spaces of homogeneous type, Sci. Math. Jpn. 54 (2001), 473–487.
- [22] R. O’Neil, Fractional integration in Orlicz spaces. I., Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1965), 300–328.
- [23] W. Orlicz, Über eine gewisse Klasse von Räumen vom Typus B, Bull. Acad. Polonaise A (1932), 207–220; reprinted in his Collected Papers, PWN, Warszawa 1988, 217–230.
- [24] W. Orlicz, Über Räume (L^M), Bull. Acad. Polonaise A (1936), 93–107; reprinted in his Collected Papers, PWN, Warszawa 1988, 345–359.
- [25] C. Pérez, Two weighted inequalities for potential and fractional type maximal operators, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 43 (1994), 663–683.
- [26] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, Theory of Orlicz Spaces, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, Basel and Hong Kong, 1991.
- [27] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano and H. Tanaka, Generalized fractional integral operators and fractional maximal operators in the framework of Morrey spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), No. 12, 6481–6503.
- [28] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano and H. Tanaka, Orlicz-Morrey spaces and fractional operators, Potential Anal. 36 (2012), No. 4, 517–556.
- [29] M. Shi, R. Arai and E. Nakai, Generalized fractional integrals and their commutators with functions in generalized Campanato spaces on Orlicz spaces, preprint.
- [30] R. S. Strichartz, A note on Trudinger’s extension of Sobolev’s inequalities, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 21 (1972), 841–842.
- [31] A. Torchinsky, Interpolation of operations and Orlicz classes, Studia Math. 59 (1976), No. 2, 177–207.
- [32] N. S. Trudinger, On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications, J. Math. Mech. 17 (1967), 473–483.