

On the module category of the triplet W-algebra \mathcal{W}_{p_+,p_-}

Hiromu Nakano
Mathematical Institute,
Tohoku University

We study the structure of the category of modules over the triplet W -algebra \mathcal{W}_{p_+,p_-} defined by Feigin, Gainutdinov, Semikhatov and Tipunin [1]. Since \mathcal{W}_{p_+,p_-} satisfies the C_2 -cofinite condition, by Huang, Lepowsky and Zhang [2], every simple module has the projective cover and the module categories have the structure of a braided tensor category. We determine the structure of the projective covers of all simple \mathcal{W}_{p_+,p_-} -modules, and determine certain non-semisimple fusion rules conjectured by Rasmussen [3] and Gaberdiel, Runkel and Wood [4]. This paper is based on the thesis [5].

1 Main results on the triplet W -algebra \mathcal{W}_{p_+,p_-}

Fix two coprime integers p_+, p_- such that $p_- > p_+ \geq 2$ and let

$$c_{p_+,p_-} := 1 - 6 \frac{(p_+ - p_-)^2}{p_+ p_-}$$

be a minimal central charge of Virasoro algebra. Let us briefly review the definitions of the triplet W -algebra \mathcal{W}_{p_+,p_-} and the simple \mathcal{W}_{p_+,p_-} -modules in accordance with [6, 7, 8].

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, let F_α be the bosonic Fock module generated from the bosonic field

$$Y(|\alpha\rangle, z) = e^{\alpha \hat{a}} z^{\alpha a_0} e^{\alpha \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n} e^{-\alpha \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n} z^{-n}},$$

where

$$[a_m, a_n] = m \delta_{m+n,0} \text{id}, \quad [\hat{a}, a_n] = \delta_{n,0} \text{id}.$$

Let

$$T := \frac{1}{2}(a_{-1}^2 - (\alpha_+ + \alpha_-)a_{-2})|0\rangle, \quad \alpha_+ := \sqrt{\frac{2p_-}{p_+}}, \quad \alpha_- := -\sqrt{\frac{2p_+}{p_-}}$$

be a conformal vector. By T , each Fock module F_α becomes a Virasoro module whose central charge c_{p_+, p_-} .

For $r, s, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we introduce the following symbols

$$\alpha_{r,s;n} := \frac{1-r}{2}\alpha_+ + \frac{1-s}{2}\alpha_- + \frac{\sqrt{2p_+p_-}}{2}n.$$

Let $F_{r,s;n} := F_{\alpha_{r,s;n}}$.

As detailed in [9], we can define the complex screening operators

$$Q_+^{[r]} = \oint_{z=0} dz \int_{[\Delta_{r-1}]} Q_+(z)Q_+(zy_1)\cdots Q_+(zy_{r-1})dy_1\cdots dy_{r-1} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(F_{r,k;l}, F_{-r,k;l}),$$

$$Q_-^{[s]} = \oint_{z=0} dz \int_{[\Delta_{s-1}]} Q_-(z)Q_-(zy_1)\cdots Q_-(zy_{s-1})dy_1\cdots dy_{s-1} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(F_{k,s;l}, F_{k,-s;l}),$$

where $Q_{\pm}(z) = Y(|\alpha_{\pm}\rangle, z)$ and $[\Delta_n]$ is a regularized cycle constructed from the simplex $\Delta_n = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 1 > y_1 > \dots > y_n > 0\}$. Let $Q_+^{[r]}$ and $Q_-^{[s]}$ be the zero modes of $Q_+^{[r]}(z)$ and $Q_-^{[s]}(z)$. These zero modes commute with every Virasoro mode of $Y(T, z)$ and are called screening operators.

Definition 1.1.

The lattice vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{V}_{[p_+, p_-]}$ is the tuple

$$(\mathcal{V}_{1,1}^+, |0\rangle, T, Y),$$

where underlying vector space of $\mathcal{V}_{[p_+, p_-]}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{V}_{1,1}^+ = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{1,1;2n} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{n\sqrt{2p_+p_-}},$$

and $Y(|\alpha_{1,1;2n}\rangle; z) = V_{\alpha_{1,1;2n}}(z)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It is a known fact that simple $\mathcal{V}_{[p_+, p_-]}$ -modules are given by the following $2p_+p_-$ direct sum of Fock modules

$$\mathcal{V}_{r,s}^+ = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{r,s;2n}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{r,s}^- = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{r,s;2n+1},$$

where $1 \leq r \leq p_+$, $1 \leq s \leq p_-$.

Note that the two screening operators Q_+ and Q_- act on $\mathcal{V}_{1,1}^+$. We define the following vector subspace of $\mathcal{V}_{1,1}^+$:

$$\mathcal{K}_{1,1} = \ker Q_+ \cap \ker Q_- \subset \mathcal{V}_{1,1}^+.$$

Definition 1.2 ([1]). *The triplet W -algebra*

$$\mathcal{W}_{p_+, p_-} = (\mathcal{K}_{1,1}, |0\rangle, T, Y)$$

is a sub vertex operator algebra of $\mathcal{V}_{[p_+, p_-]}$, where the vacuum vector, conformal vector and vertex operator map are those of $\mathcal{V}_{[p_+, p_-]}$.

Let

$$r^\vee = p_+ - r, \quad s^\vee = p_- - s.$$

For each $1 \leq r \leq p_+$, $1 \leq s \leq p_-$, let $\mathcal{X}_{r,s}^\pm$ be the following vector subspace of $\mathcal{V}_{r,s}^\pm$:

1. For $1 \leq r \leq p_+ - 1$, $1 \leq s \leq p_- - 1$,

$$\mathcal{X}_{r,s}^+ = Q_+^{[r^\vee]}(\mathcal{V}_{r^\vee, s}^-) \cap Q_-^{[s^\vee]}(\mathcal{V}_{r, s^\vee}^-), \quad \mathcal{X}_{r,s}^- = Q_+^{[r^\vee]}(\mathcal{V}_{r^\vee, s}^+) \cap Q_-^{[s^\vee]}(\mathcal{V}_{r, s^\vee}^+).$$

2. For $1 \leq r \leq p_+ - 1$, $s = p_-$,

$$\mathcal{X}_{r, p_-}^+ = Q_+^{[r^\vee]}(\mathcal{V}_{r^\vee, p_-}^-), \quad \mathcal{X}_{r, p_-}^- = Q_+^{[r^\vee]}(\mathcal{V}_{r^\vee, p_-}^+).$$

3. For $r = p_+$, $1 \leq s \leq p_- - 1$,

$$\mathcal{X}_{p_+, s}^+ = Q_-^{[s^\vee]}(\mathcal{V}_{p_+, s^\vee}^-), \quad \mathcal{X}_{p_+, s}^- = Q_-^{[s^\vee]}(\mathcal{V}_{p_+, s^\vee}^+).$$

4. $r = p_+$, $s = p_-$,

$$\mathcal{X}_{p_+, p_-}^+ = \mathcal{V}_{p_+, p_-}^+, \quad \mathcal{X}_{p_+, p_-}^- = \mathcal{V}_{p_+, p_-}^-.$$

We define the interior Kac table \mathcal{T} as the following quotient set

$$\mathcal{T} = \{(r, s) \mid 1 \leq r < p_+, 1 \leq s < p_-\} / \sim$$

where $(r, s) \sim (r', s')$ if and only if $r' = p_+ - r, s' = p_- - s$. Note that $\#\mathcal{T} = \frac{(p_+-1)(p_--1)}{2}$. For $(r, s) \in \mathcal{T}$, let $L(h_{r,s})$ be the Virasoro minimal simple module defined by

$$L(h_{r,s}) = \text{Ker}_{F_{r,s;0}} Q_+^{[r]} / \text{Im}_{F_{r^\vee, s; -1}} Q_+^{[r^\vee]}.$$

Theorem 1.3 ([6, 7, 8]). *The $\frac{(p_+-1)(p_--1)}{2} + 2p_+p_-$ vector spaces*

$$L(h_{r,s}), (r, s) \in \mathcal{T}, \quad \mathcal{X}_{r,s}^\pm, 1 \leq r \leq p_+, 1 \leq s \leq p_-$$

become simple \mathcal{W}_{p_+, p_-} -modules and give all simple \mathcal{W}_{p_+, p_-} -modules.

We use the following symbols for the projective covers of the simple modules.

Definition 1.4. *Let $1 \leq r < p_+$, $1 \leq s < p_-$.*

1. *Let $\mathcal{P}_{r,s}^+$ and $\mathcal{P}_{r,s}^-$ be the projective covers of the simple modules $\mathcal{X}_{r,s}^+$ and $\mathcal{X}_{r,s}^-$, respectively.*
2. *Let $\mathcal{P}(h_{r,s})$ be the projective cover of the minimal simple module $L(h_{r,s})$.*
3. *Let $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{r,p_-}^\pm)_{r^\vee, p_-}$ be the projective covers of the simple modules \mathcal{X}_{r,p_-}^+ and \mathcal{X}_{r,p_-}^- , respectively.*
4. *Let $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{p_+,s}^\pm)_{p_+, s^\vee}$ be the projective covers of the simple modules $\mathcal{X}_{p_+,s}^+$ and $\mathcal{X}_{p_+,s}^-$, respectively.*

Theorem 1.5 ([5]). *The projective modules $\mathcal{P}_{r,s}^\pm$, $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{r,p_-}^\pm)_{r^\vee, p_-}$ and $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{p_+,s}^\pm)_{p_+, s^\vee}$ have the following socle series:*

1. *For $\mathcal{P}_{r,s}^+$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \mathcal{X}_{r,s}^+, \\ S_2/S_1 &= \mathcal{X}_{r,s^\vee}^- \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r,s^\vee}^- \oplus L(h_{r,s}) \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s}^- \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s}^-, \\ S_3/S_2 &= \mathcal{X}_{r,s}^+ \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s^\vee}^+ \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s^\vee}^+ \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s^\vee}^+ \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s^\vee}^+ \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r,s}^+, \\ S_4/S_3 &= \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s}^- \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s}^- \oplus L(h_{r,s}) \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r,s^\vee}^- \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r,s^\vee}^-, \\ \mathcal{P}_{r,s}^+/S_4 &= \mathcal{X}_{r,s}^+. \end{aligned}$$

where $S_i = \text{Soc}_i$.

2. *For $\mathcal{P}_{r^\vee,s}^-$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s}^-, \\ S_2/S_1 &= \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s^\vee}^+ \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s^\vee}^+ \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r,s}^+ \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r,s}^+, \\ S_3/S_2 &= \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s}^- \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s}^- \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s^\vee}^- \oplus L(h_{r,s}) \oplus L(h_{r,s}) \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r,s^\vee}^- \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r,s^\vee}^- \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s}^-, \\ S_4/S_3 &= \mathcal{X}_{r,s}^+ \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r,s}^+ \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s^\vee}^+ \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s^\vee}^+, \\ \mathcal{P}_{r^\vee,s}^-/S_4 &= \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s}^-. \end{aligned}$$

3. *Let (a, b, c, d, ϵ) be an element in*

$\{(r, p_-, r^\vee, +), (r^\vee, p_-, r, p_-, -), (p_+, s, p_+, s^\vee), (p_+, s^\vee, p_+, s)\}$. Then, for the socle series of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{a,b}^\epsilon)_{c,d}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Soc}_1 &= \mathcal{X}_{a,b}^\epsilon, \\ \text{Soc}_2/\text{Soc}_1 &= \mathcal{X}_{c,d}^{-\epsilon} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{c,d}^{-\epsilon}, \\ \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{a,b}^\epsilon)_{c,d}/\text{Soc}_2 &= \mathcal{X}_{a,b}^\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.6. By taking quotients of $\mathcal{P}_{r,s}^+$, $\mathcal{P}_{r^\vee,s^\vee}^+$, $\mathcal{P}_{r^\vee,s}^-$ and \mathcal{P}_{r,s^\vee}^- , we obtain eight indecomposable modules $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{a,b}^\epsilon)_{c,d}$ where

$$\{(\epsilon, a, b, c, d)\} = \left\{ (+, r, s, r^\vee, s), (+, r, s, r, s^\vee), (+, r^\vee, s^\vee, r^\vee, s), (+, r^\vee, s^\vee, r, s^\vee), \right. \\ \left. (-, r^\vee, s, r, s), (-, r^\vee, s, r^\vee, s^\vee), (-, r, s^\vee, r, s), (-, r, s^\vee, r^\vee, s^\vee) \right\},$$

and each socle series is given by:

1. For $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{a,b}^+)_{c,d}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Soc}_1 &= \mathcal{X}_{a,b}^+, \\ \text{Soc}_2/\text{Soc}_1 &= \mathcal{X}_{c,d}^- \oplus L(h_{a,b}) \oplus \mathcal{X}_{c,d}^-, \\ \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{a,b}^+)_{c,d}/\text{Soc}_2 &= \mathcal{X}_{a,b}^+. \end{aligned}$$

2. For $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{a,b}^-)_{c,d}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Soc}_1 &= \mathcal{X}_{a,b}^-, \\ \text{Soc}_2/\text{Soc}_1 &= \mathcal{X}_{c,d}^+ \oplus \mathcal{X}_{c,d}^+, \\ \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{a,b}^-)_{c,d}/\text{Soc}_2 &= \mathcal{X}_{a,b}^-. \end{aligned}$$

Using the structure of the center of the Zhu algebra $A(\mathcal{W}_{p_+,p_-})$ [6, 7, 8], we can determine the structure of the projective modules $\mathcal{P}(h_{r,s})$.

Theorem 1.7 ([5]). *Each projective module $\mathcal{P}(h_{r,s})$ has the following length five socle series:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Soc}_1(\mathcal{P}(h_{r,s})) &= L(h_{r,s}), \\ \text{Soc}_2(\mathcal{P}(h_{r,s}))/\text{Soc}_1(\mathcal{P}(h_{r,s})) &= \mathcal{X}_{r,s}^+ \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s^\vee}^+, \\ \text{Soc}_3(\mathcal{P}(h_{r,s}))/\text{Soc}_2(\mathcal{P}(h_{r,s})) &= 2\mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s}^- \oplus L(h_{r,s}) \oplus 2\mathcal{X}_{r,s^\vee}^-, \\ \text{Soc}_4(\mathcal{P}(h_{r,s}))/\text{Soc}_3(\mathcal{P}(h_{r,s})) &= \mathcal{X}_{r,s}^+ \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^\vee,s^\vee}^+, \\ \mathcal{P}(h_{r,s})/\text{Soc}_4(\mathcal{P}(h_{r,s})) &= L(h_{r,s}). \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we introduce the structure of certain fusion rules of \mathcal{W}_{p_+,p_-} . Let us define the following indecomposable modules.

Definition 1.8.

1. For $1 \leq r \leq p_+ - 1$, $1 \leq s \leq p_- - 1$,

$$\mathcal{K}_{r,s} := \mathcal{W}_{p_+,p_-} \cdot |\alpha_{r,s}\rangle.$$

2. For $1 \leq r \leq p_+$, $1 \leq s \leq p_-$,

$$\mathcal{K}_{r,p_-} := \mathcal{X}_{r,p_-}^+, \quad \mathcal{K}_{p_+,s} := \mathcal{X}_{p_+,s}^+.$$

Let \mathcal{C}_{p_+,p_-} be the category of \mathcal{W}_{p_+,p_-} -modules and let $(\mathcal{C}_{p_+,p_-}, \boxtimes, \mathcal{K}_{1,1})$ be the braided tensor category on \mathcal{C}_{p_+,p_-} , where $\mathcal{K}_{1,1}$ is the unit object.

Similar to the arguments in [10, 11, 12], we can show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.9. *The indecomposable modules $\mathcal{K}_{1,2}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{2,1}$ are rigid and self-dual.*

Using the self-duality of $\mathcal{K}_{1,2}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{2,1}$, we obtain the following theorems.

Theorem 1.10 ([5]). *All indecomposable modules of types $\mathcal{K}_{r,s}$, $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{r,s}^\pm)_{\bullet,\bullet}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{r,s}^\pm$ are rigid and self-dual in $(\mathcal{C}_{p_+,p_-}, \boxtimes, \mathcal{K}_{1,1})$.*

Theorem 1.11 ([5]). 1. For $1 \leq r \leq p_+$, $1 \leq s \leq p_-$,

$$\mathcal{K}_{1,1}^* \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{r,s} = \mathcal{K}_{r,s}^*,$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{r,s}^*$ is the contragredient of $\mathcal{K}_{r,s}$.

2. For any simple modules $\mathcal{X}_{r,s}^\pm$ and $\mathcal{X}_{r',s'}^\pm$, we have

$$\mathcal{X}_{r,s}^\pm \boxtimes \mathcal{X}_{r',s'}^\pm = (\mathcal{K}_{r,s} \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{r',s'}) \boxtimes \mathcal{K}_{1,1}^*.$$

Let us introduce the free abelian group $P^0(\mathcal{C}_{p_+,p_-})$ of rank $8p_+p_- - 4p_+ - 4p_- + 2$

$$\begin{aligned} P^0(\mathcal{C}_{p_+,p_-}) = & \bigoplus_{r=1}^{p_+} \bigoplus_{s=1}^{p_-} \bigoplus_{\epsilon=\pm} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{X}_{r,s}^\epsilon]_P \oplus \bigoplus_{r=1}^{p_+-1} \bigoplus_{s=1}^{p_- -1} \bigoplus_{\epsilon=\pm} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{P}_{r,s}^\epsilon]_P \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{r=1}^{p_+-1} \bigoplus_{s=1}^{p_- -1} \bigoplus_{\epsilon=\pm} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{r,s}^\epsilon)_{r^\vee,s}]_P \oplus \bigoplus_{r=1}^{p_+-1} \bigoplus_{s=1}^{p_- -1} \bigoplus_{\epsilon=\pm} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{r,s}^\epsilon)_{r,s^\vee}]_P \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{r=1}^{p_+-1} \bigoplus_{\epsilon=\pm} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{r,p_-}^\epsilon)_{r^\vee,p_-}]_P \oplus \bigoplus_{s=1}^{p_- -1} \bigoplus_{\epsilon=\pm} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_{p_+,s}^\epsilon)_{p_+,s^\vee}]_P. \end{aligned}$$

For any $M \in \mathcal{C}_{p_+,p_-}$ which have minimal simple modules in the Socle, let $\pi_0(M)$ be the quotient module of M quotiented by all the minimal simple modules in the Socle. We define a $\pi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}_{p_+,p_-})$ such that for any M in \mathcal{C}_{p_+,p_-}

$$\pi(M) = \begin{cases} \pi_0(M) & M \text{ contains minimal simple modules in Soc}(M) \\ M & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.12 ([5]). $P^0(\mathcal{C}_{p_+,p_-})$ has the structure of a commutative ring where the product as a ring is given by

$$[\bullet]_P \cdot [\bullet]_P = [\pi(\bullet \boxtimes \bullet)]_P.$$

The three operators

$$X = \pi(\mathcal{X}_{1,2}^+ \boxtimes -), \quad Y = \pi(\mathcal{X}_{2,1}^+ \boxtimes -), \quad Z = \pi(\mathcal{X}_{1,1}^- \boxtimes -)$$

define \mathbb{Z} -linear endomorphism of $P^0(\mathcal{C}_{p_+,p_-})$. Thus $P^0(\mathcal{C}_{p_+,p_-})$ is a module over $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y, Z]$. We define the following $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y, Z]$ -module map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \mathbb{Z}[X, Y, Z] &\rightarrow P^0(\mathcal{C}_{p_+,p_-}), \\ f(X, Y, Z) &\mapsto f(X, Y, Z) \cdot [\mathcal{X}_{1,1}^+]_P. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.13 ([5]). The $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y, Z]$ -module map ψ is surjective, and, through ψ , we have the isomorphism of rings

$$P^0(\mathcal{C}_{p_+,p_-}) \simeq \frac{\mathbb{Z}[X, Y] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]Z}{\langle Z^2 - 1, U_{2p_- - 1}(X) - 2ZU_{p_- - 1}(X), U_{2p_+ - 1}(Y) - 2ZU_{p_+ - 1}(Y) \rangle},$$

where $U_n(A)$ is the Chebyshev polynomials defined recursively

$$\begin{aligned} U_0(A) &= 1, & U_1(A) &= A, \\ U_{n+1}(A) &= AU_n(A) - U_{n-1}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.14. By using this theorem, we can obtain the non-semisimple fusion rules conjectured by [3] and [4].

References

- [1] B. L. Feigin, A.M. Gainutdinov, A.M. Semikhatov, and I. Yu Tipunin, “Logarithmic extensions of minimal models: characters and modular transformation”, *Nuclear Phys. B* 757(2006),303-343.
- [2] Y. Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, “Logarithmic tensor product theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra”, arXiv:0710.2687v3 [math.QA].
- [3] J. Rasmussen, “W-extended logarithmic minimal models”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **807** (2009) 495 [0805.2991 [hep-th]].

- [4] M. Gaberdiel, I. Runkel and S. Wood, “Fusion rules and boundary conditions in the $c = 0$ triplet model”, *J.Phys.* **A42** (2009) 325403, arXiv:0905.0916 [hep-th].
- [5] H. Nakano, “The category of modules of the triplet W -algebras associated to the Virasoro minimal models”, *the Doctor Thesis, Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University*.
- [6] D. Adamović and A. Milas, “On \mathcal{W} -algebras associated to $(2, p)$ minimal models for certain vertex algebras”, *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2010 (2010) 20 : 3896-3934, arXiv:0908.4053.
- [7] D. Adamović and A. Milas, “On W -algebra extensions of $(2, p)$ minimal models: $p > 3$ ”, *Journal of Algebra* **344** (2011) 313-332. arXiv:1101.0803.
- [8] A. Tsuchiya and S. Wood, “On the extended W -algebra of type sl_2 at positive rational level”, *International Mathematics Research Notices*, Volume 2015, Issue 14, 1 January 2015, Pages 5357-5435.
- [9] A. Tsuchiya and Y. Kanie. “Fock space representations of the Virasoro algebra - Intertwining operators”, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 22(1986) 259-327.
- [10] A. Tsuchiya, S. Wood. “The tensor structure on the representation category of the \mathcal{W}_p triplet algebra”, *J. Phys. A* **46** (2013), no. 44, 445203, 40 pp.
- [11] T. Creutzig, R. McRae. and J. Yang, “On ribbon categories for singlet vertex algebras”, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 387(2), 865-925, arXiv:2007.12735.
- [12] R. McRae and J. Yang, “Structure of Virasoro tensor categories at central charge $13 - 6p - 6p^{-1}$ for integers $p > 1$ ”, arXiv:2011.02170 (2020).