

# A Note on the Asymptotic Behavior in Time of the Kinetic Energy in a Liquid-Solid Interaction Problem

Giovanni P. Galdi

## 1 Introduction

Consider a sufficiently smooth, rigid body  $\mathcal{B}$  (the closure of a simply connected bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) completely immersed in a viscous liquid  $\mathcal{L}$  that fills the entire space outside  $\mathcal{B}$ . We assume that the center of mass  $G$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  is held fixed in a given position, while  $\mathcal{B}$  is allowed to rotate around  $G$ . The motion of the coupled system  $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{L}$  is driven by a time dependent torque with respect to  $G$ ,  $M=M(t)$ , acting on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Recently, the question of the large time behavior of  $\mathcal{S}$  has attracted the attention of several authors, also in the more general case when  $G$  is free to move. More specifically, in [2] for  $\mathcal{B}$  a sphere, and in [5, 7] in the general case, under diverse assumptions on the initial data and driving mechanism, the same conclusion is drawn, namely, that as time grows indefinitely large, the velocity  $u$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  as well as translational ( $\xi$ ) and angular ( $\omega$ ) velocities of  $\mathcal{B}$  will tend to 0 in certain norms. Actually, in [2, 7] it is also shown that  $G$  (in absence of external forces and torques) will cover a finite distance.

However, in the more general and interesting case of a body of *arbitrary* shape, the asymptotic decay in time of the velocity field of  $\mathcal{L}$  is established in norms that do not ensure that the total kinetic energy of the coupled system, defined as

$$E(t) := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \rho \int_{\mathcal{D}} |u(t)|^2 + m |\xi(t)|^2 + \omega(t) \cdot \mathbf{I} \cdot \omega(t) \right\}$$

with  $m$  and  $\mathbf{I}$  mass and inertia tensor of  $\mathcal{B}$ , ultimately vanishes. Precisely, in [5, 7] it is proved that, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , while  $\xi(t)$  and  $\omega(t)$  tend pointwise to 0, the velocity field  $u$  tends to 0 in the  $L^q$ -norm if  $q > 2$ , thus excluding the case  $q = 2$ , representative of the kinetic energy of  $\mathcal{L}$ .<sup>(1)</sup>

Objective of this note is to show that the kinetic energy,  $E$ , of any solution belonging to a suitable function class,  $\mathcal{C}$ , will eventually tend to 0. As shown in [5], the class  $\mathcal{C}$  is certainly not empty, provided the initial data are prescribed in appropriate function spaces with their magnitude is opportunely restricted, and  $M(t)$  vanishes as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in the  $L^2$ -sense. The method we use relies heavily upon establishing a space-weighted estimate on the solutions in combination with a uniform bound on the pressure field. Unfortunately, this approach does not seem to work if  $G$  is free to move, and therefore we defer to a future work the study of the more general case.

## 2 Equations of Motions and Preliminary Results

We shall describe the motion of the coupled system  $\mathcal{S}$  with respect to a frame,  $\mathcal{S}$ , attached to  $\mathcal{B}$  and with its origin at an interior point of  $\mathcal{B}$ . In this way, in particular, the domain occupied by  $\mathcal{L}$  becomes time-independent, and we will denote it by  $\mathcal{D} (:= \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{B})$  and by  $\Sigma$  its boundary. We suppose  $\mathcal{D}$  of class

---

<sup>(1)</sup>As a matter of fact, in [5] it is only proved  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_6 = 0$ . However, by elementary interpolation, for any  $q \in (2, 6)$ , we have  $\|u(t)\|_q \leq \|u(t)\|_2 \|u(t)\|_6$ , which, since  $\|u(t)\|_2$  is uniformly bounded [5, Theorem 2.1], shows the claimed result.

$C^2$ . Thus, with the notation introduced in the previous section, the governing equations of the motion of  $\mathcal{S}$  are given by (see [4])

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \varrho \partial_t u &= \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T}(u, p) - \varrho[(u - V) \cdot \nabla u + \omega \times u] \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{in } \mathcal{D} \times (0, \infty)$$

$$u = V \quad \text{at } \Sigma \times (0, \infty) \quad (2.1)$$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = 0, \quad t \in (0, \infty)$$

$$\mathbf{l} \cdot \dot{\omega} + \omega \times (\mathbf{l} \cdot \omega) + \int_{\Sigma} x \times \mathbb{T}(u, p) \cdot n = \mathbf{M} \quad (2.2)$$

endowed with initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{D}, \quad \omega(0) = \omega_0. \quad (2.3)$$

In the above equations,  $p$  is the pressure field of  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\varrho$  its (constant) density, and  $V(x, t) := \omega(t) \times x$ . Also,  $\mathbb{T}$  is the Cauchy stress tensor given by

$$\mathbb{T}(u, p) = 2\mu \mathbb{D}(u) - p\mathbb{I}, \quad 2\mathbb{D}(u) := \nabla u + (\nabla u)^\top,$$

with  $\mu$  shear-viscosity coefficient and  $\mathbb{I}$  identity. Moreover,  $m$  is the mass of  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathbf{l}$  its inertia tensor relative to  $G$ . Furthermore,

$$\mathbf{M}(t) = \mathbb{Q}^\top(t) \cdot \mathbf{M}(t), \quad (2.4)$$

with the tensor  $\mathbb{Q}$  satisfying the following equation

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbb{Q}} &= -\mathbb{Q} \cdot \mathbb{O}(\omega) \\ \mathbb{Q}(0) &= \mathbb{I} \end{aligned} \right. \quad \mathbb{O}(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega_3 & -\omega_2 \\ -\omega_3 & 0 & \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 & -\omega_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.5)$$

In particular,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is proper orthogonal, that is,

$$\mathbb{Q}^\top(t) \cdot \mathbb{Q}(t) = \mathbb{Q}(t) \cdot \mathbb{Q}^\top(t) = \mathbb{I}, \quad \det \mathbb{Q}(t) = 1, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We wish to introduce a suitable class of functions satisfying (2.1). To this end, let <sup>(2)</sup>

$$\mathcal{R} := \{\bar{u} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) : \bar{u}(x) = \bar{u} \times x, \quad \bar{u} \in \mathbb{R}^3\},$$

and define

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{D}) = \{u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{D}) : \operatorname{div} u = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}, \quad u|_{\Sigma} = \bar{u}, \text{ for some } \bar{u} \in \mathcal{R}\}.$$

We also set

$$B_R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| < R\}; \quad R_* := 2 \inf \{R \in (0, \infty) : \mathcal{B} \cap B_R \supset \mathcal{B}\};$$

$$\mathcal{D}_R := \mathcal{D} \cap B_R, \quad \mathcal{D}^R = \mathcal{D} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{D}_R}, \quad R > R_*.$$

**Definition 2.1** *A triple  $(u, p, \omega)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{C}$ , if*

$$u \in L^\infty(0, \infty; \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{D})), \quad \nabla u \in L^2(0, \infty; W^{1,2}(\mathcal{D}))$$

$$\omega \in W^{1,2}(0, \infty), \quad \nabla p \in L^2(0, \infty; L^2(\mathcal{D})),$$

$$u \in C([0, \tau]; W^{1,2}(\mathcal{D}_R)), \quad \partial_t u, p \in L^2(0, \infty; L^2(\mathcal{D}_R)), \quad \text{for all } R \geq R_*,$$

and, in addition,  $(u, p, \omega)$  satisfies (2.1).

<sup>(2)</sup>We shall use standard notation for function spaces, see [1]. So, for instance,  $L^q(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $W^{m,q}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $W_0^{m,q}(\mathcal{A})$ , etc., will denote the usual Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces on the domain  $\mathcal{A}$ , with norms  $\|\cdot\|_{q,\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{m,q,\mathcal{A}}$ , respectively. Whenever confusion will not arise, we shall omit the subscript  $\mathcal{A}$ . Occasionally, for  $X$  a Banach space, we denote by  $\|\cdot\|_X$  its associated norm. Moreover  $L^q(I; X)$ ,  $C(I; X)$   $I$  real interval, denote classical Bochner spaces.

The class  $\mathcal{C}$  is not empty, as secured by the following result, which is a particular case of [5, Theorem 2.1].

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $M \in L^2(0, \infty; \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $u_0 \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{D})$  with  $u_0|_{\Sigma} = \omega_0 \times x$ . Then, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that if*

$$\|u_0\|_{1,2} + |\omega_0| + \|M\|_{L^2(0,\infty)} \leq \delta, \quad (2.6)$$

*there exists at least one solution  $(\mathbf{u}, p, \omega, \mathbb{Q})$  to (2.1) – (2.5) with  $(u, p, \omega)$  in the class  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

From Definition 2.1 and Sobolev inequality, we infer that

$$p \in L^2(0, \infty; L^6(\mathcal{D})), \quad (2.7)$$

while we only have  $p \in L^2(0, \infty; L^2(\mathcal{D}_R))$  for all  $R > R_*$ . Our first objective is to prove that the latter property holds, in fact, in the whole of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Precisely, we have the following.

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $(u, p, \omega) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then,*

$$p \in L^2(0, \infty; L^2(\mathcal{D})).$$

In order to prove the proposition, we need the next two results, whose proofs are given in [5, Lemma 3.2] and [3, Lemma 3.1], respectively.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $(u, p, \xi, \omega, Q)$  be in the class  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then for a.a.  $t \in (0, \infty)$*

$$\nabla p \in L^{q_1}(\mathcal{D}^{2R_*}), \quad p \in L^{q_2}(\mathcal{D}^{2R_*}), \quad \text{for all } q_1 \in (1, 6], \quad q_2 \in (\frac{3}{2}, \infty].$$

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{D})$ . Then the Neumann problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \varphi &= g \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D} \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} &= 0 \quad \text{at } \Sigma \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

*with the side condition*

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \nabla \varphi(x) = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

*has one and only one solution such that for all  $s \in (1, 3)$*

$$D^2 \varphi \in L^s(\mathcal{D}), \quad \nabla \varphi \in L^{3s/(3-s)}(\mathcal{D})$$

*and*

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_K} \varphi = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

*for a fixed  $K > R_*$ . Moreover,  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{D})$  and*

$$\|\varphi\|_{s, \mathcal{D}_K} + \|\nabla \varphi\|_{3s/(3-s)} + \|D^2 \varphi\|_s \leq c \|g\|_s, \quad (2.11)$$

*where the constant  $c$  depends only on  $s, K$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

*Proof of Proposition 2.1.* By formally applying the div operator on both sides of (2.1)<sub>1</sub> and observing that

$$\operatorname{div} [\rho(\partial_t u + \omega \times x \cdot \nabla u - \omega \times u) - \Delta u] = 0,$$

one easily deduces that  $p$  satisfies for a.a.  $t \in (0, \infty)$  the following Neumann problem in the distributional sense

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta p &= \operatorname{div} (u \cdot \nabla u) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \\ \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} &= -[\rho \dot{\omega} \times x + \operatorname{curl} a] \cdot n \quad \text{at } \mathcal{D}, \quad a := \operatorname{curl} u. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Let  $g$  and  $\varphi$  be as in Lemma 2.2. Multiplying both sides of (2.12)<sub>1</sub> by  $g$ , integrating by parts over  $\mathcal{D}_r$ , and taking into account (2.8) and (2.12)<sub>2</sub>, we show

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_r} p g = - \int_{\Sigma \cup \partial B_r} \varphi \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} + \int_{\mathcal{D}_r} \varphi \operatorname{div} (u \cdot \nabla u) = \int_{\Sigma} \varphi [\rho \dot{\omega} \times x + \operatorname{curl} a] \cdot n + \rho \int_{\mathcal{D}_r} \varphi \partial_i \partial_j (u_i u_j) + \sigma_1(r), \quad (2.13)$$

where we used the identity  $\operatorname{div} (u \cdot \nabla u) = \partial_i \partial_j (u_i u_j)$ , and set

$$\sigma_1(r) := - \int_{\partial B_r} \left( \varphi \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} - p \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} \right).$$

By a double integration by parts, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{D}_r} \varphi \partial_i \partial_j (u_i u_j) &= \int_{\mathcal{D}_r} u_i u_j \partial_i \partial_j \varphi + \int_{\Sigma \cup \partial B_r} [\varphi u \cdot \nabla u \cdot n - u \cdot \nabla \varphi u \cdot n] \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{D}_r} u_i u_j \partial_i \partial_j \varphi + \int_{\Sigma} [\varphi \omega \times x \cdot \nabla u \cdot n - (\omega \times x \cdot \nabla \varphi) \omega \times x \cdot n] + \sigma_2(r), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$\sigma_2(r) := \int_{\partial B_r} [\varphi u \cdot \nabla u \cdot n - u \cdot \nabla \varphi u \cdot n].$$

By employing Hölder inequality, we deduce

$$\int_{R_*}^{\infty} |\sigma_2(r)| dr \leq \|\varphi\|_{\infty} \|u\|_2 \|\nabla u\|_2 + \|\nabla \varphi\|_2 \|u\|_4^2, \quad (2.15)$$

and, likewise, we infer

$$\int_{2R_*}^{\infty} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\sigma_1(r)| dr \leq \|\varphi\|_{\infty} \|r^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{3, (2R_*, \infty)} \|\nabla p\|_{\frac{3}{2}, \mathcal{D}^{2R_*}} + (2R_*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \varphi\|_2 \|p\|_2. \quad (2.16)$$

In view of Lemma 2.1, and the fact that  $u \in \mathcal{C}$ , we find that the right-hand side in both equations (2.15) and (2.16) is finite. Therefore, there exists an unbounded sequence  $\{r_n\}$  such that

$$\lim_{r_n \rightarrow \infty} [\sigma_1(r_n) + \sigma_2(r_n)] = 0.$$

Employing this information in (2.13), (2.14) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{D}} p g &= \int_{\Sigma} \{ \varphi [\rho \dot{\omega} \times x + \operatorname{curl} a] \cdot n + \rho [\varphi \omega \times x \cdot \nabla u \cdot n - (\omega \times x \cdot \nabla \varphi) \omega \times x \cdot n] \} + \rho \int_{\mathcal{D}} u_i u_j \partial_i \partial_j \varphi \\ &:= I_{\Sigma 1} + I_{\Sigma 2} + I_{\Sigma 3} + I_{\Sigma 4} + I_{\mathcal{D}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

In the following estimates, we shall use several times the classical trace inequality

$$\|w\|_{1, \Sigma} \leq c \|w\|_{1, 1, \mathcal{D}_K}. \quad (2.18)$$

We thus have

$$|I_{\Sigma 1}| + |I_{\Sigma 4}| \leq c (|\dot{\omega}| + |\omega|^2) \|\varphi\|_{2,2,\mathcal{D}_K}. \quad (2.19)$$

Moreover, employing (2.18) along with Schwarz inequality, we get

$$|I_{\Sigma 3}| \leq c \|\varphi\|_{1,1,\mathcal{D}_K} \|\nabla u\|_{1,1,\mathcal{D}_K} \leq c (\|\varphi\|_{2,\mathcal{D}_K} \|\nabla u\|_{2,\mathcal{D}_K} + \|\varphi\|_{1,2,\mathcal{D}_K} \|\nabla u\|_{1,2,\mathcal{D}_K}),$$

which, in turn, gives

$$|I_{\Sigma 3}| \leq c \|\nabla u\|_{1,2,\mathcal{D}_K} \|\varphi\|_{2,2,\mathcal{D}_K}. \quad (2.20)$$

Also, by Schwarz inequality,

$$|I_{\mathcal{D}}| \leq \|u\|_4^2 \|D^2\varphi\|_2. \quad (2.21)$$

The estimate for  $I_{\Sigma 2}$  requires a little care. Let  $\zeta$  be a function which is one in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$  and zero at large distances. We have

$$I_{\Sigma 2} = - \int_{\mathcal{D}} \operatorname{div}(\varphi \operatorname{curl}(\zeta a)) = - \int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla\varphi \cdot \operatorname{curl}(\zeta a). \quad (2.22)$$

Using the identity

$$-\operatorname{curl} A \cdot B + \operatorname{curl} B \cdot A = \operatorname{div}(A \times B)$$

with  $A = \zeta a$  and  $B = \nabla\varphi$ , from (2.22), (2.18) and Schwarz inequality we show

$$|I_{\Sigma 2}| = \left| \int_{\Sigma} a \times \nabla\varphi \cdot n \right| \leq c \|\nabla u\|_{1,1,\mathcal{D}_K} \|\nabla\varphi\|_{1,1,\mathcal{D}_K} \leq c (\|\nabla u\|_{2,\mathcal{D}_K} \|\nabla\varphi\|_{1,2,\mathcal{D}_K} + \|D^2u\|_{2,\mathcal{D}_K} \|\nabla\varphi\|_{2,\mathcal{D}_K}),$$

which leads to

$$|I_{\Sigma 2}| \leq c \|\nabla u\|_{1,2,\mathcal{D}_K} \|\varphi\|_{2,2,\mathcal{D}_K}. \quad (2.23)$$

If we employ (2.19)–(2.23) in (2.17) and take into account (2.11), we arrive at

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{D}} pg \right| \leq c (|\dot{\omega}| + |\omega|^2 + \|u\|_4^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2 + \|D^2u\|_2) \|g\|_2. \quad (2.24)$$

Since  $g$  is arbitrary in  $C_0^\infty(\mathcal{D})$ , and, by Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\|u\|_4^2 \leq c \|u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_2^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (2.25)$$

from (2.24) it follows that  $p \in L^2(\mathcal{D})$  for a.a.  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and

$$\|p\|_2 \leq c (|\dot{\omega}| + |\omega|^2 + \|u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_2^{\frac{3}{2}} + \|\nabla u\|_2 + \|D^2u\|_2). \quad (2.26)$$

The proposition is then a consequence of (2.26) and the fact that  $(u, \omega)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

We conclude this section with another preparatory result concerning the asymptotic behavior of functions in the class  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $(u, p, \omega)$  be in the class  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_6 = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

*Proof.* For  $R \geq 2R_*$ , let  $\psi_R = \psi_R(r)$  be a smooth, non-increasing “cut-off” function such that  $\psi_R = 1$  for  $r \leq R$ ,  $\psi_R = 0$  for  $r \geq 2R$ , and  $|\nabla\psi_R| \leq CR^{-1}$ , for some constant  $C$  independent of  $R$ . We then test (2.1)<sub>1</sub> by  $\psi_R \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T}(u, p)$  to get

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \psi_R \partial_t u_t \cdot \operatorname{div} T = \|\sqrt{\psi_R} \operatorname{div} T\|_2^2 - \varrho \int_{\mathcal{D}} (\psi_R u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \operatorname{div} T - \psi_R \Phi \cdot \operatorname{div} T). \quad (2.28)$$

By integration by parts, we formally show the following identity that can be rigorously justified by a standard approximation procedure

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \psi_R \partial_t u_t \cdot \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T} &= \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\operatorname{div}(\psi_R \partial_t u \cdot \mathbb{T}) - 2\mu \psi_R \mathbb{D}(\partial_t u) : \mathbb{D}(u)] \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \dot{V} \cdot \mathbb{T} \cdot n - \mu \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\psi_R} \mathbb{D}(u)\|_2^2 - \int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla \psi_R \cdot \mathbb{T} \cdot \partial_t u. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\Phi := \omega \times x \cdot \nabla u - \omega \times u$  and recall [6, Lemma 2.4(b)]

$$\Phi \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{at } \Sigma \quad (2.29)$$

Thus, integrating by parts and with the help of (2.29) we show

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \psi_R \Phi \cdot \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T} = 2\mu \int_{\Sigma} \Phi \cdot \mathbb{D}(u) \cdot n - 2\mu \int_{\mathcal{D}} \psi_R \partial_i \Phi_j (\mathbb{D}(u))_{ij} - \int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla \psi_R \cdot \mathbb{T} \cdot \Phi. \quad (2.30)$$

Next, since  $\operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} V = 0$ , we get

$$2\partial_i \Phi_j (\mathbb{D}(u))_{ij} = \operatorname{div}(\Phi \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1}{2} V |\nabla u|^2) + \omega \times \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i - \nabla(\omega \times u) : \nabla u,$$

so that, substituting the latter in (2.30) and using Gauss theorem, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \psi_R \Phi \cdot \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T} &= -\mu \int_{\mathcal{D}} \psi_R (\omega \times \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i - \nabla(\omega \times u) : \nabla u) + \mu \int_{\Sigma} (n \cdot \nabla u \cdot \Phi - \frac{1}{2} V \cdot n |\nabla u|^2) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla \psi_R \cdot [2\mu(\nabla u^\top \cdot \Phi + \frac{1}{2} V |\nabla u|^2) - \mathbb{T} \cdot \Phi]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

Collecting (2.28), (2.30) and (2.31) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\psi_R} \mathbb{D}(u)\|_2^2 + \|\sqrt{\psi_R} \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T}\|_2^2 &= \int_{\Sigma} \left[ \dot{V} \cdot \mathbb{T} \cdot n - \varrho \mu (n \cdot \nabla u \cdot \Phi - \frac{1}{2} V \cdot n |\nabla u|^2) \right] \\ &\quad + \varrho \int_{\mathcal{D}} \psi_R [u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T} + \mu (\omega \times \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i - \nabla(\omega \times u) : \nabla u)] \\ &\quad - \varrho \int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla \psi_R \cdot [\mathbb{T} \cdot \partial_t u + 2\mu(\nabla u^\top \cdot \Phi + \frac{1}{2} V |\nabla u|^2) - \mathbb{T} \cdot \Phi] := I_{\Sigma} + I_{\mathcal{D}} + I_R \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Arguing exactly as in the proof of [5, Eq. (3.22)] we show

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t I_R = 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \quad (2.33)$$

Furthermore, as shown in the proof of [5, Theorem 2.1], we have

$$|I_{\mathcal{D}}| \leq c (\|\mathbb{D}(u)\|_2^3 + \|\mathbb{D}(u)\|_2^4 + \|\mathbb{D}(u)\|_2^6) + \frac{1}{4} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbb{T}\|_2^2 \quad (2.34)$$

Finally, using (2.18) multiple times along with the inequality [4, Lemma 4.9]

$$|\omega| \leq c \|\nabla u\|_2, \quad (2.35)$$

one can prove, in a way entirely similar to [5, Eq. (4.11)]

$$|I_\Sigma| \leq c [|\dot{\omega}|(\|\nabla u\|_{1,2} + \|p\|_{1,2}) + \|\mathbb{D}(u)\|_2^3 + \|\mathbb{D}(u)\|_2^4] + \frac{1}{4}\|\operatorname{div} \mathbb{T}\|_2^2. \quad (2.36)$$

We now integrate both sides of (2.31) over  $(0, t)$ ,  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , let  $R \rightarrow \infty$  and employ (2.33), along with Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. If we differentiate with respect to  $t$  the resulting equation, and take into account the estimates (2.34) and (2.36), we conclude, in particular,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbb{D}(u)\|_2^2 \leq c [|\dot{\omega}|(\|\nabla u\|_{1,2} + \|p\|_{1,2}) + \|\mathbb{D}(u)\|_2^3 + \|\mathbb{D}(u)\|_2^4 + \|\mathbb{D}(u)\|_2^6] := h(t) \quad (2.37)$$

Since  $(u, p, \omega) \in \mathcal{C}$ , and also in view of Proposition 2.1 we infer, on the one hand,  $h \in L^1(0, \infty; \mathbb{R})$  and, on the other hand, the existence of an unbounded sequence of times  $\{t_n\}$  such that

$$\lim_{t_n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbb{D}(u(t_n))\|_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, integrating both sides of (2.37) over the interval  $(t_n, t)$ ,  $t > t_n$ , we get

$$\|\mathbb{D}(u(t))\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbb{D}(u(t_n))\|_2^2 + \int_{t_n}^{\infty} h(s) \, ds$$

which implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbb{D}(u(t))\|_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

The latter furnishes the desired result after we use the inequality [4, Eq. (4.75)]

$$\|u\|_6 \leq c \|\mathbb{D}(u)\|_2, \quad u \in \mathcal{V}.$$

□

### 3 Main Result

In this section we will give a proof of the following result, representing the major achievement of this note.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $(u, p, \omega)$  be in the class  $\mathcal{C}$ . Suppose that*

$$\sqrt{\ln r} u_0 \in L^2(\mathcal{D}), \quad (r := \sqrt{x_i x_i}).$$

*Then,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\|u(t)\|_2^2 + \omega(t) \cdot \mathbf{1} \cdot \omega(t)) = 0.$$

*Proof.* We begin to observe that by assumption  $\omega \in W^{1,\infty}(0, \infty; \mathbb{R}^3)$  which delivers

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\omega(t)| = 0.$$

Therefore, we only have to show

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_2 = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

To this end, let  $\psi_R = \psi_R(r)$  be the “cut-off” function introduced in Lemma 2.3. By dot-multiplying through both sides of (2.1) by  $\psi_R \ln r u$ , integrating by parts over  $\mathcal{D}$ , and using the fact that  $\nabla \psi_R \cdot \omega \times x =$

0, we show

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\psi_R \sqrt{\ln r} u(t)\|_2^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left( \ln r u^2 u \cdot \nabla \psi_R + \psi_R u^2 u \cdot \frac{x}{r^2} \right) - 2 \int_{\mathcal{D}} \ln r \mathbb{D}(u) : \mathbb{D}(u) \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{\mathcal{D}} (\mathbb{D}(u))_{ij} [\ln r (u_j \partial_i \psi_R + u_i \partial_j \psi_R) + \psi_R (u_j \frac{x_i}{r^2} + u_i \frac{x_j}{r^2})] \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{D}} p u \cdot \left( \nabla \psi_R \ln r + \psi_R \frac{x}{r^2} \right) + \int_{\Sigma} \psi_R \ln r \omega \times x \cdot \mathbb{T}(u, p) \cdot n.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Employing Hölder inequality multiple times, and recalling the properties of  $\psi_R$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{D}} \left\{ \ln r u^2 u \cdot \nabla \psi_R - 2(\mathbb{D}(u))_{ij} [\ln r (u_j \partial_i \psi_R + u_i \partial_j \psi_R)] + p u \cdot \nabla \psi_R \right\} \\
\leq \|\ln r |\nabla \psi_R|\|_{4, \mathcal{D}^R} (\|u\|_4^3 + 2\|\mathbb{D}(u)\|_2 \|u\|_4 + \|p\|_2 \|u\|_4) \\
\leq c R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|u\|_4^3 + \|\mathbb{D}(u)\|_2^{\frac{3}{2}} + \|p\|_2^{\frac{3}{2}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

On account of  $(u, p) \in \mathcal{C}$  and (2.26) we thus infer

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left\{ \ln r u^2 u \cdot \nabla \psi_R - 2(\mathbb{D}(u))_{ij} [\ln r (u_j \partial_i \psi_R + u_i \partial_j \psi_R)] + p u \cdot \nabla \psi_R \right\} \right\} ds = 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Similarly, using Hardy's inequality

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{u^2}{r^2} \leq 4 \|\nabla u\|_2^2$$

furnishes

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{r} \left| u^2 u \cdot \frac{x}{r} + p u \cdot \frac{x}{r} - 2(\mathbb{D}(u))_{ij} (u_j \frac{x_i}{r} + u_i \frac{x_j}{r}) \right| &\leq c \|r^{-1} u\|_2 (\|u\|_4^2 + \|p\|_2 + \|\mathbb{D}(u)\|_2) \\
&\leq c (\|u\|_4^4 + \|p\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2),
\end{aligned}$$

which, in turn, since  $(u, p) \in \mathcal{C}$ , with the help of Proposition 2.1 and (2.25) entails

$$\int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{r} \left| u^2 u \cdot \frac{x}{r} + p u \cdot \frac{x}{r} - 2(\mathbb{D}(u))_{ij} (u_j \frac{x_i}{r} + u_i \frac{x_j}{r}) \right| \right\} dt < \infty. \tag{3.4}$$

Finally, using (2.18) with  $w = \mathbb{D}(u)$  and  $w = p$ , and recalling (2.35), we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Sigma} \psi_R \ln r \omega \times x \cdot \mathbb{T}(u, p) \cdot n \right| \leq c \|\nabla u\|_2 (\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|D^2 u\|_2) + \|p\|_{1,2}$$

which, because  $(u, p) \in \mathcal{C}$ , with the help of Proposition 2.1 provides

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{\Sigma} \psi_R \ln r \omega \times x \cdot \mathbb{T}(u, p) \cdot n \right| dt < \infty. \tag{3.5}$$

We now integrate both sides of (3.2) over  $[0, t]$ , arbitrary  $t > 0$ , and then pass to the limit  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Taking into account (3.3), that  $\psi_R \leq 1$ , and employing Fubini's theorem, we thus receive, in particular,

$$\|\sqrt{\ln r} u(t)\|_2^2 - \|\sqrt{\ln r} u_0\|_2^2 \leq 2 \int_0^t \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{r} \left| u^2 u \cdot \frac{x}{r} + p u \cdot \frac{x}{r} - 2(\mathbb{D}(u))_{ij} (u_j \frac{x_i}{r} + u_i \frac{x_j}{r}) \right| \right\} ds, \tag{3.6}$$

which, by (3.4), (3.5) and the assumption, furnishes

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\sqrt{\ln r} u(t)\|_2^2 \leq M < \infty. \tag{3.7}$$



For any fixed  $R$ , employing also Hölder inequality, we have

$$\|u(t)\|_2^2 = \|u(t)\|_{2,\mathcal{D}_R}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{2,\mathcal{D}^R}^2 \leq cR^2\|u(t)\|_6^2 + \frac{1}{\ln R}\|\sqrt{\ln r}u(t)\|_{2,\mathcal{D}^R}^2,$$

which, by (3.7), entails

$$\|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq cR^2\|u(t)\|_6^2 + \frac{M}{\ln R}.$$

Therefore, if we operate with  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty}$  on both sides of this relation, use (2.27) and then let  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , we arrive at (3.1), thus completing the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** I would like to thank Mr. Jan A. Wein for helpful conversations.

## References

- [1] Adams, R.A., *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York (1975)
- [2] Ervedoza, S., Maity, D., and Tucsnak, M., Large time behaviour for the motion of a solid in a viscous incompressible fluid. *Math. Ann.* **385** (2023), no. 1-2, 631–691
- [3] Galdi, G.P., Slow motion of a body in a viscous incompressible fluid with application to particle sedimentation. *Recent developments in partial differential equations*, 1–35, Quad. Mat., **2**, Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta, 1998.
- [4] Galdi, G.P., On the motion of a rigid body in a viscous liquid: A mathematical analysis with applications, *Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, Elsevier Science, Vol. 1 653–791 (2002)
- [5] Galdi, G.P., Large-time behavior of a rigid body of arbitrary shape in a viscous fluid under the action of prescribed forces and torques. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **25** (2023), no. 3, Paper No. 43, 11 pp.
- [6] Galdi, G.P., Silvestre, A.L.: Strong Solutions to the Problem of Motion of a Rigid Body in a Navier–Stokes Liquid Under the Action of Prescribed Forces and Torques. *Nonlinear Problems in Mathematical Physics and Related Topics, I*, pp. 121–144. International Mathematical Series (N. Y.), vol. 1. Kluwer/Plenum, New York (2002)
- [7] Maity, D., and Tucsnak, M., Motion of rigid bodies of arbitrary shape in a viscous incompressible fluid: Wellposedness and Large Time Behaviour. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **25** (2023), no. 3, 74

University of Pittsburgh  
 Department of Mechanical Engineering  
 and Materials Science  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15260 U.S.A  
 E-mail address: galdi@pitt.edu