

# COMMENTS ON “ABSOLUTE ANABELIAN CUSPIDALIZATIONS OF PROPER HYPERBOLIC CURVES”

SHINICHI MOCHIZUKI

February 2008

The argument given in Remark 1.18.2 [i.e., Remark 10 in the LaTeX Version], (iii), is somewhat sketchy and a bit misleading. This argument should read as follows:

(iii) Nevertheless, as was pointed out to the author by *A. Tamagawa*, even if  $X, Y$  are *not necessarily*  $\Sigma$ -separated, it is still possible to conclude, essentially from the arguments of [Tama], Corollary 2.10, Proposition 3.8, that:

Any *Frobenius-preserving* isomorphism  $\alpha$  is *quasi-point-theoretic*.

Indeed, it suffices to give a “*group-theoretic*” *characterization* of the quasi-sections  $D \subseteq \Pi_X$  which are decomposition groups of points  $\in X^{\text{cl}}$ . Write

$$\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$$

for the *pro-finite étale covering* corresponding to  $\Pi_X$ . If  $E \subseteq \Pi_X$  is a closed subgroup whose image in  $G_{k_X}$  is *open*, then let us write  $k_E$  for the finite extension field of  $k_X$  determined by this image. If  $J \subseteq \Pi_X$  is an open subgroup, then let us write  $X_J \rightarrow X$  for the covering determined by  $J$  and  $J_\Delta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J \cap \Delta_X$ . If  $J \subseteq \Pi_X$  is an open subgroup such that  $J_\Delta$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $\Delta_X$ , then we shall say that  $J$  is *geometrically characteristic*. Now let  $J \subseteq \Pi_X$  be a *geometrically characteristic open subgroup*. Let us refer to as a *descent-group for  $J$*  any open subgroup  $H \subseteq \Pi_X$  such that  $J \subseteq H$ ,  $J_\Delta = H_\Delta$ . Thus, a descent-group  $H$  for  $J$  may be thought of as an intermediate covering  $X_J \rightarrow X_H \rightarrow X$  such that  $X_H \times_{k_H} k_J \cong X_J$ . Write

$$X_J(k_J)^{\text{fld-def}} \subseteq X_J(k_J)$$

for the subset of  $k_J$ -valued points of  $X_J$  that do *not* arise from points  $\in X_H(k_H)$  for any descent-group  $H \neq J$  for  $J$  — i.e., the  $k_J$ -valued points whose *field of definition* is  $k_J$  with respect to *all possible “descended forms”* of  $X_J$ . Thus, if  $\tilde{x}$  is a closed point of  $\tilde{X}$  that maps to  $x \in X_J(k_J)$ , and we write  $D_{\tilde{x}} \subseteq \Pi_X$  for the *stabilizer in  $\Pi_X$*  [i.e., “decomposition group”] of  $\tilde{x}$ , then it is a *tautology* that  $x$  maps to a point  $\in X_{H_x}(k_{H_x})$  for  $H_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_{\tilde{x}} \cdot J_\Delta (\supseteq J)$  [so  $H_x$  forms a *descent-group for  $J$* ]; in particular, it follows immediately that:

$$x \in X_J(k_J)^{\text{fld-def}} \iff D_{\tilde{x}} \subseteq J \iff H_x = J.$$

Now it follows immediately from this characterization of “fld-def” that if  $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \Pi_X$  are geometrically characteristic open subgroups such that  $k_{J_1} = k_{J_2}$ , then the natural map  $X_{J_1}(k_{J_1}) \rightarrow X_{J_2}(k_{J_2})$  induces a map  $X_{J_1}(k_{J_1})^{\text{fld-def}} \rightarrow X_{J_2}(k_{J_2})^{\text{fld-def}}$ . Moreover, these considerations allow one to conclude [cf. the theory of [Tama]] that:

A quasi-section  $D \subseteq \Pi_X$  is a *decomposition group* of a point  $\in X^{\text{cl}}$  if and only if, for every geometrically characteristic open subgroup  $J \subseteq \Pi_X$  such that  $D \cdot J_{\Delta} = J$ , it holds that  $X_J(k_J)^{\text{fld-def}} \neq \emptyset$ .

Thus, to render this characterization of decomposition groups “*group-theoretic*”, it suffices to give a “group-theoretic” criterion for the condition that  $X_J(k_J)^{\text{fld-def}} \neq \emptyset$ . In [Tama], the *Lefschetz trace formula* is applied to compute the cardinality of  $X_J(k_J)$ . On the other hand, if we use the notation “ $|\cdot|$ ” to denote the cardinality of a finite set, then one verifies immediately that

$$|X_J(k_J)| = \sum_H |X_H(k_H)^{\text{fld-def}}|$$

— where  $H \supseteq J$  ranges over the *descent-groups* for  $J$ . In particular, by applying *induction* on  $[\Pi_X : H]$ , one concludes immediately from the above formula that  $|X_J(k_J)^{\text{fld-def}}|$  may be computed from the  $|X_H(k_H)|$ , as  $H$  ranges over the *descent-groups* for  $J$  [while  $|X_H(k_H)|$  may be computed, as in [Tama], from the *Lefschetz trace formula*]. This yields the desired “group-theoretic” characterization of the decomposition groups of  $\Pi_X$ .