COMMENTS ON "TOPICS IN ABSOLUTE ANABELIAN GEOMETRY I: GENERALITIES"

Shinichi Mochizuki

January 2024

(1.) The final portion [beginning with the *third sentence*] of the statement of Lemma 4.5, (iv), should be replaced by the following text:

Then the decomposition groups of cusps $\subseteq H^*$ may be characterized ["group-theoretically"] as the maximal closed subgroups $I \subseteq H^*$ isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_l which satisfy the following condition: We have

 $d_{\chi_{C}^{\text{cyclo}}}((I^{l} \cdot J)^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{l}) + 1 < l \cdot \{d_{\chi_{C}^{\text{cyclo}}}((I \cdot J)^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{l}) + 1\}$

[i.e., "the covering of curves corresponding to $J \subseteq I \cdot J$ is totally ramified at some cusp"] for every characteristic open subgroup $J \subseteq H^*$ such that $J \neq I \cdot J$.

(2.) In the statement of Proposition 2.5, (iv), the phrase "Suppose that k_1 either an **FF** or an **MLF**;" should read "Suppose that k_1 is either an **FF** or an **MLF**;".

(3.) In the final portion of the proof of Proposition 2.5, the phrase "a MLF" should read "an MLF".

(4.) In Definition 2.9, the phrase "nonabelian pro- Σ -solvable free group" should be replaced by the phrase "nonabelian free pro- Σ -solvable group [cf. [FJ], Definition 17.4.1]".

(5.) In the statement and (second paragraph of) the proof of Theorem 2.11, (iv), the notation " ϕ " should be replaced by the phrase "the natural inclusion $H \hookrightarrow J$ ".

(6.) In the proof of Proposition 3.2, the phrase "follows immediately the Hodge-Tate" should be replaced by the phrase "follows immediately from the Hodge-Tate".

SHINICHI MOCHIZUKI

(7.) In Remark 3.7.1, the phrase "continue to hold when" should be replaced by the text "continue to hold, at least under the additional assumption that each field k_i contains a primitive *p*-th root of unity, when".

(8.) In the final portion of the second line of the display in the statement of Proposition A.3, (ix), the notation " v_j " should be replaced by " $\phi_V(v_j)$ ".

(9.) In the third sentence of the proof of Proposition A.6, (ii), the notation " $W \times_S k$ " should be replaced by " $W \times_k S$ ".