Inose quartic surfaces & type II degeneration

Arithmetic Shigeru MUKAI
4/5/23(W)
(A1) Even unimodular lattice of signature (1, 17)

$$II_{1,17} = U + E_8 + E_8$$
 $U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $E_8 = II_{0,8}$
(A2) $II_{1,17} + <-2>$
Geometry
(G1) Aut (Inose) & type II degeneration of K3 surfaces
(G2) Bir-Aut (Inose^[1,1]) & type II degeneration of hol. symp. 4-folds
(S1 Lattice $II_{1,17}$ as Pic (Inose)
 $H^2(K3, Z) = II_{3,19} \leftarrow II_{1,17}$
(G2) 2-parameter family of K3 surfaces whose Picard lattice
contains $II_{1,17}$
Explicitly given by Inose(1978) as quartic surface
 $S_{a,g} : y^2 z + \cdots = 0 \leftarrow D^3$
Simpler realization is
 $y^2 = x^3 - at^4 x + t^5(t^2 + 1-2bt)$,
elliptic K3 surface with 2 $\frac{1}{5}$ -fibers at t = 0, ∞ and a section

We assume a,b are very general and Picard number $\rho = 18$.



(2) Nef cone is polyhedral with 19 facets.The Π-diagram is the Coxeter diagram.



 $\underline{S2 \ II}_{1,1,\gamma}$ as type II K3 lattice $\Lambda_{\underline{II}}$

Kulikov model of type II degeneration of K3



One difficulty of (compact) moduli construction of polarized K3 (X, L) is that naive

{RDP}^U {type II}/ isom

is not Hausdorff by the following reason. (Another reason caused by flop is discussed in other lectures and omitted here.)





Which one among two (or more) polarized type II K3 surfaces should we take when (partially) compactifing moduli?

My proposal in 1989: Choose it following Vinberg's fundamental domain (or diagram) since $II_{L,\eta}$ is the Picard lattice of the standard type II K3 surface.



and the fundamental domain coincides with the new cone.

Standardization of type II K3's to make moduli Hausdorff. The next page shows Π -diagram in $\Lambda_{\mathbf{I}}$, the Picard lattice of $K3_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{F}}$



Thus for Example above, our choice is the union T^UP, not a rational surface with an elliptic singularity of type $\widetilde{E_{\ell}}$.

$$T = \text{Disgreen of the lattice } \Lambda_{IL}$$

$$d_{1} \quad d_{2} \quad d_{3} \quad d_{6} \quad d_{5} \quad d_{6} \quad d_{7} \quad d_{8} \quad d_{5} \quad d_{5} \quad d_{9} \quad d_{$$

-

.

Ŀ,

i ye

.

. .

$$5 = (3h - e_1 - \cdots - e_q) - (3h' - e'_1 - \cdots - e'_q)$$

.

5

Vector in the fundamental domain

$$w = w_{+} + a f + w_{-}$$
 it $e \sum_{i=0}^{8} bi w_{-} = \sum_{j=0}^{8} c_{i}w_{i}$
 $w \in A_{II} \iff a, b_{i}, c_{i}$ integen
 $(w_{+}, f) = (w_{-}, f)(p_{i}, e)$

,

$$w_{i} = h \qquad \qquad w_{i} = h' - e_{i} \qquad \qquad w_{i} = h' - e_{i}' \qquad \qquad w_{i} = h' - e_{i}' - e_{i}' = h' - e_{i}' - e_{i}' - e_{i}' = h' - e_{i}' - e_{i}'$$

35158

.



Before moving to §3, recall some basics of holomorphic symplectic manifold of K3-type





This quartic polarization plays a key role in the proof of the following:

<u>Theorem</u> Bir-Aut (Inose^{$l_2l_}) is finite.</sup>$

Proof: Similar to the Inose surface case after replacing nef cone, (-2) P¹, Vinberg's diagram by movable cone, Q-effective (-2) effective divisor, KLS-diagram, respectively.

KLS diagram = Π -diagram + 5 vertices 24=19+5 Vertices are (-2) classes in the Picard lattice of Inose Blasis is written, using double Blag \mathbb{P}^{1} model, on the next page.



<u>Remark</u> KLS stands for Kondo-Looijenga-Scattone. Kondo uses the diagram to compute the automorphism group of a K3 surface. Others, including the recent sophisticated construction by Alexeev-Engel-Thompson, use for type II degeneration of K3's of degree 2.

Proof (cont'd) (*) Show all classes are Q-effective. 1. 19 (-2) classes in sub-Π-diagram comes from (-2)P¹ on Inose surface. Easy to prove their effectiveness.

2. Two comes from the Lagrangian fibration of Inose₄, induced from the elliptic fibration of Inose . Also easy.

3. δ' and δ'' . Here Inose quartic is crucial. From a pair of points p,q, one obtain new pair p',q' in the following way.

Thus one gets an involution of Inose^[1], which is called Beauville inv.

δ' and δ'' are the image of δ by Beauville inv's. Since δ is Q- effective so are δ' and δ''. Note that both δ' and δ'' are of the form 2(Inose pol) - 3δ.

By (*), cone of movable divisors $Mov(Inose^{[2]})$ is finite polyhedral. Hence Bir-Aut(Inose^[2]) is finite. QED

§4 Type II degeneration of holomorphic symplectic 4-fold of K3 -type

We still do'nt have a good theory like Kulikov's about this. I just pose

Problem: Find a standardization of λ , similar to K3, replacing Vinberg's Π by KLS-diagram.

Seems difficult to answer since geometric meaning of S³- symmetry of KLS-diagram is still unclear.

References

§1 Inose, H.: Defining equations of singular K3 surfaces and a notion of isogeny, Int'l Symp. on Algebraic Geometry, Kyoto, 1977, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, pp. 495-502 (1978).

Shioda, T.: A note on K3 surfaces and sphere packings, Proc. Japan Acad., 76(2000), 68-72.

Vinberg, E.B.: Some arithmetic in Lobacevskii spaces, in "Discrete subgroups of Lie groups and applications to moduli", Oxford Univ. Press, 1975, pp.323-348.

§2 Kulikov, V.: Degenerations of K3 surfaces and Enrique's surfaces, Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR 41(1977), 1008-1042.

Friedman, R.: A new proof of global Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces, Ann. Math. 120(1984), 237-269.

Mukai, S.: On the moduli space of K3 surfaces (in Japanese), Proc. Algebraic Geometry mini-symposium, U. Tokyo, 1989, pp. 94-124.

§3 Kondo, S.: Algebraic K3 surfaces with finite automorphism groups, Nagoya Math. J. 116(1989), 1-15.

Scattone, F.: On the compactification of moduli spaces of K3 surfaces, Memoirs AMS 70(1987), #374.

Alexeev, V., Engel, P and A. Thompson: Stable pair compactification of moduli of K3 surfaces of degree 2, arXiv1903.09742.

§4 Kollar, J., Laza, R., Sacca, G. and C. Voisin: Remarks on degenerations of hyper-Kähler manifolds, Ann. Inst. Fourier 68 (2018), 2837-2882. R