# Cells in quantum affine algebras

Hiraku Nakajima

**Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University** 

joint work with Jonathan Beck

Duke Math. Jour. 123 (2004), 335–402.

Kyoto University 21 COE

**RIMS International Project Research** 

Method of Algebraic Analysis in Integrable System Representation Theory and Geometry Aug. 13, 2004, Kyoto Univ. •  $\mathfrak{g}$  : affine Lie algebra

(e.g., untwisted  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes \mathbb{Q}[z, z^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{Q}c \oplus \mathbb{Q}d$ )

- $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Q}h_i \oplus \mathbb{Q}d$ : Cartan subalgebra
- $P^* \subset \mathfrak{h}$ : dual weight lattice
- $P = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P^*, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ : weight lattice
- ( , ) : nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  s.t.  $(\delta, \lambda) = \langle c, \lambda \rangle$
- cl:  $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta$
- $P_{\rm cl} = {\rm cl}(P), P^0 = \{\lambda \mid \langle c, \lambda \rangle = 0\}, P^0_{\rm cl} = {\rm cl}(P^0)$

**NB**.  $\mathfrak{g}$  untwisted affine Lie algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ 

 $\implies P_{\rm cl}^0$ : weight lattice of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ 

- $q_s = q^{\min_i(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2}$
- $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ : quantum enveloping algebra (QEA) with P as the weight lattice (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(q_s)$ )
- $\mathbf{U}'$ : QEA with  $P_{cl}$  as the weight lattice, i.e.,  $\mathbf{U}' \subset \mathbf{U}$  (without  $q^d$ )
- $\mathbf{U}'_0$ : QEA with  $P^0_{cl}$  as the weight lattice, i.e.,  $\mathbf{U}' \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{U}'_0$  ( $q^c = 1$ , i.e., level 0)

- $q_s = q^{\min_i(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2}$
- $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ : quantum enveloping algebra (QEA) with P as the weight lattice (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(q_s)$ )
- $\mathbf{U}'$ : QEA with  $P_{cl}$  as the weight lattice, i.e.,  $\mathbf{U}' \subset \mathbf{U}$  (without  $q^d$ )
- $\mathbf{U}'_0$ : QEA with  $P^0_{cl}$  as the weight lattice, i.e.,  $\mathbf{U}' \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{U}'_0$   $(q^c = 1, \text{ i.e., level } 0)$

### Modified version of $U'_0$

- $\tilde{\mathbf{U}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_{cl}^0} \mathbf{U}'_0 a_{\lambda}$ : modified enveloping algebra (of level 0)
- $a_{\lambda}$ : projection to the weight space with weight  $\lambda \in P_{cl}^0$
- $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_{cl}^0} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{U}_0' a_\lambda)$  : its global crystal basis

Kashiwara extremal weight modules

**Definition 1.** Let V be an integrable U-module. A vector  $v \in V$  with weight  $\lambda$  is called **extremal** if

$$\begin{cases} E_i T_w v = 0 & \text{if } \langle h_i, w\lambda \rangle \ge 0, \\ F_i T_w v = 0 & \text{if } \langle h_i, w\lambda \rangle \le 0. \end{cases}$$

for all  $w \in \hat{W}$  (affine Weyl group).

 $\exists$  similar definition for regular crystals.

**Theorem (Kashiwara 1994).** (1)  $\exists$  universal extremal weight module  $V(\lambda)$  having an extremal weight vector  $v_{\lambda} \in$  $V(\lambda)$  of weight  $\lambda$ . (2) For  $w \in \hat{W}$ ,  $\exists$  a **U**-module isomorphism  $V(\lambda) \cong V(w\lambda)$ , respecting the global crystal bases. (The inverse image of  $v_{w\lambda}$ is  $q_s^* T_w v_{\lambda}$ .) (3)  $V(\lambda)$  has a global crystal basis  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ , s.t.  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  is mapped to  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda) \sqcup \{0\}$ .

In fact, Kashiwara gives a combinatorial description of elements of  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  mapped to 0.

#### Quiver varieties

Assume  $\mathfrak{g}$  is symmetric and  $\lambda$  is (level 0) dominant.

Consider quiver varieties:

- $\mathfrak{M}(\lambda) = \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \lambda} \mathfrak{M}(\mu, \lambda) \supset \mathfrak{L}(\lambda) = \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \lambda} \mathfrak{L}(\mu, \lambda)$
- $\mathfrak{M}_0(\infty,\lambda) = \bigcup_{\mu \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}^{0,+}, \ \mu \leq \lambda} \mathfrak{M}_0(\mu,\lambda)$
- $\pi: \mathfrak{M}(\lambda) \to \mathfrak{M}_0(\infty, \lambda)$
- $G_{\lambda} = \prod_{i} \operatorname{GL}(\lambda_{i}) \ (\lambda = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \varpi_{i})$
- $K^{G_{\lambda} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}(\mathfrak{L}(\lambda))$  : equivariant K-homology
- it is a module over  $R(G_{\lambda} \times \mathbb{C}^*) = R(G_{\lambda})[q, q^{-1}]$

**Theorem (N).** One can construct a  $\mathbf{U}'_0$ -module structure on  $K^{G_\lambda \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathfrak{L}(\lambda))$  via the convolution product.

In particular, it commutes with the  $R(G_{\lambda})[q, q^{-1}]$ -structure.

# **Theorem (N).** $K^{G_{\lambda} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathfrak{L}(\lambda)) \cong V(\lambda).$

### **Theorem (N).** $K^{G_{\lambda} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathfrak{L}(\lambda)) \cong V(\lambda).$

- $\mathbf{U}_0^{\prime}$ -str. on LHS is given in Drinfeld realization, while that on RHS is given in Chevalley generators.
- The existence of  $R(G_{\lambda})$ -module structure on  $V(\lambda)$  is non-trivial.
- ±{global basis} has a characterization as bar-invariant + almost orthogonal. The bar involution and (, ) can be defined via geometry. (Lusztig's conjectural signed basis for equivariant K-theory)

But the existence is not proved via geometry so far.

The bar involution is defined via Grothendieck-Serre duality + an 'opposition'  $^{\vee} : \mathfrak{M}(\lambda) \to \mathfrak{M}(\lambda).$ 

#### Remarks.

(1) An irr. f.d. representation of (U'<sub>0</sub>)<sub>q<sub>s</sub>=ε</sub> : unique simple quotient of V(λ) ⊗<sub>χ</sub> C where χ: R(G<sub>λ</sub> × C\*) → C with χ(q<sub>s</sub>) = ε.
After the specialization q<sub>s</sub> = ε:
{iso. classes of irr. rep.'s} ↔ {χ: R(G<sub>λ</sub>) → C}/conjugate
↔ {s ∈ G<sub>λ</sub> | semisimple} ↔ {Drinfeld poly.'s}

(2) If 
$$\mu \in W\lambda$$
,  $\mathfrak{M}(\mu, \lambda) = \mathfrak{L}(\mu, \lambda) = \text{point.}$   
Hence  $K^{G_{\lambda} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}(\mathfrak{L}(\mu, \lambda)) \cong R(G_{\lambda})[q, q^{-1}].$ 

The global basis elements in this weight space are

{irr. rep's of  $G_{\lambda}$ } ×  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{point}}$ .

 $(\mathcal{O}_{\text{point}} \in K^{G_{\lambda} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathfrak{L}(\lambda, \lambda)) \text{ is the vector } v_{\lambda}.)$ 

These are the 'extremal' vectors in  $V(\lambda)$ . In particular, other elements in the crystal basis are connected to one of those by Kashiwara operators  $\tilde{e}_i$ ,  $\tilde{f}_i$ .

#### Several points of the proof

- Show the assertion for λ = ∞<sub>i</sub> (level 0 fundamental representation) : easy, thanks to irreducibility
  NB. V(∞<sub>i</sub>) has a R(C\*) = Z[z<sup>±</sup>]-module structure. (Kashiwara)
- $K^{G_{\lambda} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}(\mathfrak{L}(\lambda)) \subset K^{T_{\lambda} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}(\mathfrak{L}(\lambda)) \approx \bigotimes_{i} K^{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}(\mathfrak{L}(\varpi_{i}))^{\otimes \lambda_{i}}.$ '\approx' becomes '\approx' if
  - tensor the quotient field of  $R(T_{\lambda})$ , or
  - replace  $\mathfrak{L}(\lambda)$  by a 'tensor product variety'  $\mathfrak{Z}(\lambda)$

• Prove similar statements for  $V(\lambda)$ :

 $- V(\lambda) \subset \breve{V}(\lambda) \subset \widetilde{V}(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \bigotimes_{i \neq 0} V(\varpi_i)^{\otimes \lambda_i}$  $- \text{ where } \breve{V}(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathbf{U}[z_{i,\nu}^{\pm}] \widetilde{v}_{\lambda} \subset \widetilde{V}(\lambda) \text{ with } \widetilde{v}_{\lambda} = \bigotimes v_{\varpi_i}^{\otimes \lambda_i}$  $- V(\lambda) \cong \mathbf{U} \widetilde{v}_{\lambda}$ 

#### level 0 fundamental representations

Choose  $0 \in I$  with  $a_0 = 1$ . For  $i \neq 0$ , let

$$\varpi_{i} = \begin{cases} \Lambda_{i} - a_{i}^{\vee} \Lambda_{0} & \text{if } (\mathfrak{g}, i) \neq (A_{2n}^{(2)}, n) \\ 2\Lambda_{n} - \Lambda_{0} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(level 0 fundamental weight)

Theorem (Kashiwara). (1)  $\exists z_i \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{U}'}(V(\varpi_i))$  of weight  $d_i \delta \ (d_i = \max(1, (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2))$  s.t.  $z_i v_{\varpi_i} = v_{\varpi_i + d_i \delta}$ (2)  $V(\varpi_i) \cong \mathbb{Q}(q_s)[z_i, z_i^{-1}] \otimes W(\varpi_i)$ , where  $W(\varpi_i) = V(\varpi_i)/(z_i - 1)V(\varpi_i)$  (finite dimensional **U**'-module).

### Two-sided cells

- A : associative algebra over a commutative ring R
- $\mathcal{B}$ : a basis of A as an R-module
- $\mathcal{F} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{ K \subset \mathcal{B} \mid \text{Span}(K) \text{ is a two-sided ideal} \}$
- $b' \leq b \ (b, b' \in \mathcal{B}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{\iff} \forall K \in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } b \in K \Rightarrow b' \in K.$
- $b \sim b' \stackrel{\text{def.}}{\iff} b \preceq b' \text{ and } b' \preceq b.$
- $\bullet$  two-sided cells in  $\mathcal B$  : equivalence classes for  $\sim$
- left (right) cells : two-sided ideal  $\rightarrow$  right (left) ideal

### Two-sided cells

- A : associative algebra over a commutative ring R
- $\mathcal{B}$ : a basis of A as an R-module
- $\mathcal{F} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{ K \subset \mathcal{B} \mid \text{Span}(K) \text{ is a two-sided ideal} \}$
- $b' \leq b \ (b, b' \in \mathcal{B}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{\iff} \forall K \in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } b \in K \Rightarrow b' \in K.$
- $b \sim b' \stackrel{\text{def.}}{\iff} b \preceq b' \text{ and } b' \preceq b.$
- two-sided cells in  $\mathcal B$  : equivalence classes for  $\sim$
- left (right) cells : two-sided ideal  $\rightarrow$  right (left) ideal

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is generic, then  $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{B}\}$  and  $\exists$  only one cell. We should choose a *good* basis, e.g., Kazhdan-Lusztig basis for the Iwahori-Hecke algebra. Recall that the modified enveloping algebra  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$  has a global basis (Lusztig).

- $P_{\rm cl}^{0,+}$ : (level 0) dominant weights,  $\leq$ : dominance order
- $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\geq \lambda] \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{x \in \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \mid x \text{ acts by 0 on } V(\mu) \text{ with } \mu \not\geq \lambda\}$  (two-sided ideal)
- example :  $a_{\lambda} \in \tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\geq \lambda]$ , as all weights of  $V(\mu)$  are  $\leq \mu$ .
- $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda] \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\geq \lambda] / \tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\geq \lambda]$
- $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda] \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{ b \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \mid b \in \tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\geq \lambda], b|_{V(\lambda)} \neq 0 \}$
- **NB**. Similar definitions for  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$  of finite type were given by Lusztig. ('Based modules')

**Theorem (Beck+N.).** (1)  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]$  descends to a global crystal basis of  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]$  under  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\geq\lambda] \to \tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]$ . (2)  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]$  is a two-sided cell of  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ , and all two-sided cells are of this form.

By definition,  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda] \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}(V(\lambda))$ . It is natural to expect that  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]$  is similar to the matrix algebra. It is true for finite types (Lusztig). For affine types, is 'almost' true...

 $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$  at q = 0 (different from Kashiwara's q = 0)

- Let  $\mathfrak{L}(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda])$  be  $\mathbb{Z}[q_s]$ -submodule of  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]$  generated by  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]$
- Define  $a(b) \in \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{\infty\}$  as  $\min\{n \mid q_s^n b \mathfrak{L}(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]) \subset \mathfrak{L}(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda])\}.$

 $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$  at q = 0 (different from Kashiwara's q = 0)

- Let  $\mathfrak{L}(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda])$  be  $\mathbb{Z}[q_s]$ -submodule of  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]$  generated by  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]$
- Define  $a(b) \in \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{\infty\}$  as  $\min\{n \mid q_s^n b \mathfrak{L}(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]) \subset \mathfrak{L}(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda])\}.$

The **property P1** holds:

(1)  $a(b) < \infty$ 

(2)  $\forall \mu$ , the restriction  $a|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda] \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{U}a_{\mu})}$  is constant.

**NB**.  $a|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda] \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{U}a_{\mu})} = \dim \mathfrak{L}(\mu, \lambda).$ 

- Let  $c_{bb'}^{b''}(q)$ : structure constant of  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]$  w.r.t. the basis  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]$
- $\hat{b} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} q_s^{a(b)} b$  : normalized basis element

We have

$$\widetilde{b}\widetilde{b'} = \sum_{b'' \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]} q_s^{a(b)} c_{bb'}^{b''} \widehat{b''}$$

(We used that we may assume a(b') = a(b'').)

- By the definition of a, we have  $q_s^{a(b)}c_{bb'}^{b''} \in \mathbb{Z}[q_s]$ .
- Therefore,  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]^{-} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}[q_s]\hat{b}$  is closed under the multiplication
- Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]_0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]^- / q_s \tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]^-$  (asymptotic algebra)

- $t_b$ : image of  $\hat{b}$ , a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]_0$
- structure constant = constant part of  $q_s^{a(b)} c_{bb'}^{b''}$

- $t_b$ : image of  $\hat{b}$ , a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]_0$
- structure constant = constant part of  $q_s^{a(b)} c_{bb'}^{b''}$

We have the **property** P2 (existence of the generalized unit):

$$\exists \text{ a finite set } \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda] \text{ s.t. } t_d t_{d'} = \delta_{dd'} t_d \text{ for } d, d' \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]} \\ \text{ and } \forall b \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda] \exists^1 d, d' \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]} \text{ s.t. } t_b = t_d t_b t_{d'}$$

**NB**.  $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]$  can be naturally identified with the global basis of a finite dimensional module  $\bigotimes_i W(\varpi_i)^{\otimes \lambda_i}$ .

- $t_b$ : image of  $\hat{b}$ , a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]_0$
- structure constant = constant part of  $q_s^{a(b)} c_{bb'}^{b''}$

We have the **property** P2 (existence of the generalized unit):

$$\exists \text{ a finite set } \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda] \text{ s.t. } t_d t_{d'} = \delta_{dd'} t_d \text{ for } d, d' \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]} \\ \text{ and } \forall b \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda] \exists^1 d, d' \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]} \text{ s.t. } t_b = t_d t_b t_{d'}$$

**NB**.  $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]$  can be naturally identified with the global basis of a finite dimensional module  $\bigotimes_i W(\varpi_i)^{\otimes \lambda_i}$ .

We also have the **property P3**:

$$\Phi \colon \tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda] \to \tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]_0 \otimes \mathbb{Q}(q_s) \text{ defined by} \Phi(b) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]}, b' \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]} c_{bd}^{b'} t_{b'} \text{ is an algebra homomorphism.}$$

• 
$$G_{\lambda} = \prod_{i \in I_0} GL(\lambda_i) \ (\lambda = \sum \lambda_i \varpi_i)$$

- Irr  $G_{\lambda}$ : the set of (iso. classes of) irreducible rep's.  $c_{ss'}^{s''} = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(s'', s \otimes s')$
- $T_{\lambda} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{ (d_1, s, d_2) \mid d_i \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]}, s \in \operatorname{Irr} G_{\lambda} \}$
- $J_{\lambda}$ : a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with basis  $T_{\lambda}$  with the multiplication :  $(d_1, s, d_2)(d'_1, s', d'_2) = \sum_{s''} c_{ss'}^{s''} \delta_{d_2, d'_1}(d_1, s'', d'_2)$

 $J_{\lambda}$  is a matrix algebra over the representation ring of  $G_{\lambda}$  (size  $= \# \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda]}$ ), and  $T_{\lambda}$  is its basis.

**Theorem (Beck+N.).**  $(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}[\lambda]_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}[\lambda])$  is isomorphic to  $(J_{\lambda}, T_{\lambda})$  as a based ring.

**NB**. A similar result was conjectured for cells of an affine Hecke algebra by Lusztig. A big progress was made by Bezrukavnikov+Ostrik.

# Problems

- Prove the existence of the crystal basis in a geometric way. (cf. Bezrukavnikov's talk.)
- Define Kashiwara operators  $\tilde{e}_i$ ,  $\tilde{f}_i$  in a geometry way.
- Try to extend these to quantum toroidal algebras. (K-theory for quiver varieties of affine types.)