

[IUTch-III-IV] from the Point of View of Mono-anabelian Transport III

— Theta Values —

Yuichiro Hoshi

RIMS, Kyoto University

July 22, 2016

- 3.1 Kummer-compatible Multiradial Theta Functions
- 3.2 Local Logarithmic Gaussian Procession Monoids
- 3.3 \log -Kummer Correspondence III

§3.1 Kummer-compatible Multiradial Theta Functions

In order to establish a Kummer-compatible multiradial representation

[whose coric data is “ $\mathfrak{F}^{\times \mu}$ ”] of “theta values $\{\underline{q}_v^{j^2}\}_{j=1}^{l^*} \curvearrowright \mathcal{I}$ ”:

(§3.1) we establish a multiradial representation of theta functions,

(§3.2) obtain “ $\{\underline{q}_v^{j^2}\}_{j=1}^{l^*} \curvearrowright \mathcal{I}$ ” by applying the Galois evaluation operations to the multiradial theta functions, and

(§3.3) consider a \log -Kummer correspondence concerning

“ $\{\underline{q}_v^{j^2}\}_{j=1}^{l^*} \curvearrowright \mathcal{I}$ ”.

$\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$: a $\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}$ -Hodge theater

By identifying $\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{\succ})_0$ with $\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{\succ})_{\langle\mathbb{F}_l^*\rangle}$

and pulling back " $\mathcal{O}^{\triangleright} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\triangleright}/\mathcal{O}^{\times}$ " via " $\mathcal{O}^{\triangleright}/\mathcal{O}^{\times} \leftarrow \text{suitable}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ",

one obtains an \mathcal{F}^+ -prime-strip $\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^+(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{\succ})$, hence also

$\mathcal{F}^{+\times-}$, $\mathcal{F}^{+\times\mu}$ -prime-strips $\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{+\times}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{\succ})$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{+\times\mu}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{\succ})$,

i.e., the étale-like holomorphic symmetrized constant portions.

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a Kummer poly-isomorphism $\dagger\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{+\times\mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{+\times\mu}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{\succ})$

By applying a mono-anabelian reconstruction algorithm to \mathcal{D}_Δ^+ , one obtains an \mathcal{F}^+ -prime-strip $\mathfrak{F}_\Delta^+(\dagger\mathcal{D}_\Delta^+)$, hence also

$\mathcal{F}^{+\times-}$, $\mathcal{F}^{+\times\mu}$ -prime-strips $\mathfrak{F}_\Delta^{+\times}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_\Delta^+)$, $\mathfrak{F}_\Delta^{+\times\mu}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_\Delta^+)$,

i.e., the étale-like mono-analytic symmetrized constant portions.

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a natural poly-isomorphism $\mathfrak{F}_\Delta^{+\times\mu}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_\Delta^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{F}_\Delta^{+\times\mu}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_\Delta^+)$

Recall: $\dagger\mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger}$: the \mathcal{F}^{\dagger} -prime-strip determined by $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{env}}}(\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta})$

[i.e., at $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{bad}}$, $\dagger\mathcal{F}_{\text{env},\underline{v}}^{\dagger}$: the Frob.-like theta monoid " $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}_{\underline{v},\Delta}}^{\times} \cdot \underline{\underline{\Theta}}_{\underline{v}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ "]

$\mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})$: the \mathcal{F}^{\dagger} -prime-strip determined by $\Psi_{\text{env}}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})$

[i.e., at $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{bad}}$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}}$:

the mono-theta env. ver. of the theta monoid " $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}_{\underline{v},\Delta}}^{\times} \cdot \underline{\underline{\Theta}}_{\underline{v}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ "]

\Rightarrow

- \exists natural poly-isom. $\dagger\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\dagger\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} \dagger\mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger\times}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\dagger\times}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\dagger}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger\times}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})$
- \exists a Kummer poly-isom. $\dagger\mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})$

Recall: $\dagger\mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\text{ll-}} = (\dagger\mathcal{C}_{\text{env}}^{\text{ll-}}, \text{Prime}(\dagger\mathcal{C}_{\text{env}}^{\text{ll-}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathbb{V}}, \dagger\mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\text{ll-}}, \{\dagger\rho_{\text{env},\underline{v}}\}_{\underline{v}\in\underline{\mathbb{V}}})$:
the $\mathcal{F}^{\text{ll-}}$ -prime-strip determined by the Frob.-like theta monoids

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\text{ll-}}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}^{\text{ll-}}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>}^{\text{ll-}}), \text{Prime}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}^{\text{ll-}}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>}^{\text{ll-}})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathbb{V}}, \mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\text{ll-}}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>}), \{\dagger\rho_{\text{env},\underline{v}}^{\mathcal{D}}\}_{\underline{v}\in\underline{\mathbb{V}}})$$

the $\mathcal{F}^{\text{ll-}}$ -pr.st. det'd by the mono-theta env. ver. of the theta monoids

\Rightarrow

$$\exists \text{a Kummer poly-isomorphism } \dagger\mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\text{ll-}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\text{ll-}}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})$$

Recall: For $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{bad}}$:

- the Frobenius-like splitting theta monoid

$$\infty \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{env}}}^{\perp}(\dagger \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta})_{\underline{v}} \subseteq \infty \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{env}}}(\dagger \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta})_{\underline{v}}$$

- the étale-like splitting theta monoid

$$\infty \Psi_{\text{env}}^{\perp}(\dagger \mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}} \subseteq \infty \Psi_{\text{env}}(\dagger \mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}}$$

[by the constant multiple rigidity]

[i.e., “ $\mu(\overline{K}_{\underline{v}})_{\Delta} \cdot \underline{\Theta}_{\underline{v}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}_{\underline{v}}, \Delta}^{\times} \cdot \underline{\Theta}_{\underline{v}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}}$ ”]

\Rightarrow

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \infty \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{env}}}^{\perp} (\dagger \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}^{\ominus})_{\underline{v}} & \xleftarrow{\leftarrow} & \infty \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{env}}}^{\perp} (\dagger \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}^{\ominus})_{\underline{v}}^{\mu} & \equiv & \infty \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{env}}} (\dagger \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}^{\ominus})_{\underline{v}}^{\mu} \\
 \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\
 \infty \Psi_{\text{env}}^{\perp} (\dagger \mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}} & \xleftarrow{\leftarrow} & \infty \Psi_{\text{env}}^{\perp} (\dagger \mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}}^{\mu} & \equiv & \infty \Psi_{\text{env}} (\dagger \mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}}^{\mu}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow} \infty \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{env}}} (\dagger \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}^{\ominus})_{\underline{v}}^{\times} & \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} & \infty \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{env}}} (\dagger \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}^{\ominus})_{\underline{v}}^{\times \mu} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{F}_{\Delta, \underline{v}}^{+ \times \mu} \\
 \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\
 \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow} \infty \Psi_{\text{env}} (\dagger \mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}}^{\times} & \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} & \infty \Psi_{\text{env}} (\dagger \mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}}^{\times \mu} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{+ \times \mu} (\dagger \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\dagger})_{\underline{v}}
 \end{array}$$

\Rightarrow The composite from “ $(-)^{\mu}$ ” to $\mathcal{F}_{\Delta, \underline{v}}^{+ \times \mu}$ is zero.

⇒ The id on

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\mu}(\mathbb{M}_{*}^{\Theta}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>,\underline{v}})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \infty\Psi_{\text{env}}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}}^{\mu} \\ &\hookrightarrow \infty\Psi_{\text{env}}^{\perp}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}} \xrightarrow{\text{zero eval.}} \infty\Psi_{\text{env}}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}}^{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

— i.e.,

- the splitting theta monoid,
 - the cyclotomes related to theta functions, and
 - the spl'g $\infty\Psi_{\text{env}}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}}/\mu \xrightarrow{\sim} (\infty\Psi_{\text{env}}^{\perp}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}}/\mu) \times \infty\Psi_{\text{env}}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}}^{\times\mu}$,
- is compatible, relative to the above diagram, w/ $\forall \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_{\Delta,\underline{v}}^{\perp\times\mu})$.

In particular:

$\dagger\mathcal{R}_{\text{tht}}$: the collection of data consisting of:

$$(a) \quad \dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \quad (b) \quad \mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\dagger\times\mu}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\dagger}) \quad (c) \quad \mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})$$

$$(d) \quad \text{the full poly-isomorphism } \mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger\times\mu}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>}) \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} \mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\dagger\times\mu}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\dagger})$$

for $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{V}^{\text{bad}}$:

$$(e) \quad \text{the proj. sys. of mono-theta env. } \mathbb{M}_{*}^{\Theta}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>,\underline{v}}) \text{ cons'd from } \dagger\mathcal{D}_{>,\underline{v}}$$

$$(f) \quad \begin{aligned} \Pi_{\mu}(\mathbb{M}_{*}^{\Theta}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>,\underline{v}})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \infty\Psi_{\text{env}}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}}^{\mu} \\ &\hookrightarrow \infty\Psi_{\text{env}}^{\perp}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}} \xrightarrow{\text{zero eval.}} \infty\Psi_{\text{env}}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}}^{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

A morphism between “ \mathcal{R}_{tht} ” $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$

an isom. of (a) $[\Rightarrow$ isom. of (c), (e), (f)] and an isom. of (b)

\Rightarrow “ $\dagger\mathcal{R}_{\text{tht}} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\dagger \times \mu}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\dagger})$ ” is multiradial.

In particular, $\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\text{gau}}^{\times\mu}} \ddagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \Rightarrow \dagger\mathcal{R}_{\text{tht}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \ddagger\mathcal{R}_{\text{tht}}$

Moreover, in the resulting isomorphism $\dagger\mathcal{R}_{\text{tht}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \ddagger\mathcal{R}_{\text{tht}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger \times \mu}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{>}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger \times \mu}(\ddagger\mathcal{D}_{>}) & & \dagger\mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger \times \mu} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \ddagger\mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger \times \mu} \\
 \wr \downarrow \text{full} & & \wr \downarrow \text{full} & \text{is comp. w/} & \wr \downarrow \text{full} & & \wr \downarrow \text{full} \\
 \mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\dagger \times \mu}(\dagger\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\dagger}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\dagger \times \mu}(\ddagger\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\dagger}) & & \dagger\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\dagger \times \mu} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \ddagger\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\dagger \times \mu}
 \end{array}$$

$(\dagger f) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\ddagger f)$ is comp. w/ an isom. of the corresp'g Frob.-like objects

[relative to the Kmm poly-isom. and poly-isom. of mono-theta env.]

§3.2 Local Logarithmic Gaussian Procession Monoids

$\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$: a log-link

$\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{non}} \quad \Rightarrow$

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{gau}}}(\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta})_{\underline{v}} \hookrightarrow \infty \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{gau}}}(\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta})_{\underline{v}} \hookrightarrow \prod_{j \in J} (\Psi_{\dagger\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}})_j$$

$$\xleftarrow{\log} \prod_{j \in J} \Psi_{\log(\dagger\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}})_j} \hookrightarrow \prod_{j \in J} \underline{\log}(\dagger\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}})_j \xleftarrow{\log} \prod_{j \in J} (\Psi_{\dagger\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}})_j^{\times}$$

[For $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{bad}}$:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\underline{K}_{\underline{v}, \Delta}}^{\times} \cdot (\underline{q}_{\underline{v}}^{1^2}, \dots, \underline{q}_{\underline{v}}^{(l^*)^2})^{\mathbb{N}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\underline{K}_{\underline{v}, \Delta}}^{\times} \cdot (\underline{q}_{\underline{v}}^{1^2}, \dots, \underline{q}_{\underline{v}}^{(l^*)^2})^{\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}} \hookrightarrow \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{O}_{\underline{K}_{\underline{v}, j}}^{\triangleright}$$

$$\xleftarrow{\log} \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{O}_{\log(\mathcal{O}_{\underline{K}_{\underline{v}, j}}^{\times})}^{\triangleright} \hookrightarrow \prod_{j \in J} \log(\mathcal{O}_{\underline{K}_{\underline{v}, j}}^{\times}) \xleftarrow{\log} \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{O}_{\underline{K}_{\underline{v}, j}}^{\times}]$$

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}}(\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\underline{v}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Im}(\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{gau}}}(\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta})_{\underline{v}} \hookrightarrow \prod_{j \in J} \underline{\log}(\dagger\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}})_j)$$

$$\infty\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}}(\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\underline{v}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Im}(\infty\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{gau}}}(\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta})_{\underline{v}} \hookrightarrow \prod_{j \in J} \underline{\log}(\dagger\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}})_j)$$

One may construct similar objects for $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{arc}}$.

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}}(\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}}(\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\underline{v}}\}_{\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}},$$

$$\infty\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}}(\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\infty\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}}(\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\underline{v}}\}_{\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}}:$$

local logarithmic Gaussian procession monoids

$\ddagger\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}^+$: the \mathcal{F}^+ -prime-strip determined by $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}}(\ddagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})$

[i.e., at $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{bad}}$, $\ddagger\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP},\underline{v}}^+$:

the Frobenius-like Gaussian monoid “ $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}_{\underline{v},\Delta}}^\times \cdot (\underline{q}_{\underline{v}}^{1^2}, \dots, \underline{q}_{\underline{v}}^{(l^*)^2})^{\mathbb{N}}$ ”
 in “ $\prod_{j \in J} \log(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}_{\underline{v},j}}^\times)$ ”]

$$\ddagger\mathcal{F}_{\text{lgp}}^+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ddagger\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}^+$$

\Rightarrow One obtains $\mathcal{F}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright \times \mu}$ -prime-strips

$$\ddagger\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright \times \mu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\ddagger\mathcal{C}_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright}, \text{Prime}(\ddagger\mathcal{C}_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathbb{V}}, \ddagger\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright \times \mu}, \{\ddagger\rho_{\text{LGP},\underline{v}}\}_{\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}})$$

$$\ddagger\mathcal{F}_{\text{lgp}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright \times \mu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\ddagger\mathcal{C}_{\text{lgp}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright}, \text{Prime}(\ddagger\mathcal{C}_{\text{lgp}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathbb{V}}, \ddagger\mathcal{F}_{\text{lgp}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright \times \mu}, \{\ddagger\rho_{\text{lgp},\underline{v}}\}_{\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}})$$

$$\Rightarrow \ddagger\mathcal{F}_{\text{gau}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright \times \mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \ddagger\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright \times \mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \ddagger\mathcal{F}_{\text{lgp}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright \times \mu}$$

$*\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$: a $\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}$ -Hodge theater

$\ddagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\text{log}} \ddagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$: a log-link

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright\times\mu}$ -prime-strips $*\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright\times\mu}$, $\ddagger\mathfrak{F}_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright\times\mu}$, and $\ddagger\mathfrak{F}_{\text{lgp}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright\times\mu}$

the $\Theta_{\text{LGP}}^{\times\mu}$ -link $\ddagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\text{LGP}}^{\times\mu}} *\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$ the full poly-isomorphism $\ddagger\mathfrak{F}_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright\times\mu} \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} *\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright\times\mu}$

the $\Theta_{\text{lgp}}^{\times\mu}$ -link $\ddagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\text{lgp}}^{\times\mu}} *\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$ the full poly-isomorphism $\ddagger\mathfrak{F}_{\text{lgp}}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright\times\mu} \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} *\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\text{ll}\blacktriangleright\times\mu}$

a q -pilot object [of ${}^*C_{\Delta}^{\text{ll-}}$]

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$ an obj. of ${}^*C_{\Delta}^{\text{ll-}}$ det'd by generators of the spl. of ${}^*\mathcal{F}_{\Delta,v}^{\text{ll-}}$ [$\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{bad}}$]

[i.e., an object of ${}^*C_{\Delta}^{\text{ll-}}$ determined by $(\underline{q}_{\underline{v}})_{\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{bad}}}$]

a Θ -pilot object [of $\ddagger C_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{ll-}}$ or $\ddagger C_{\text{lgp}}^{\text{ll-}}$]

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$ obj. of $\ddagger C_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{ll-}}$ or $\ddagger C_{\text{lgp}}^{\text{ll-}}$ det. by gen. of the spl. of $\ddagger \mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP},\underline{v}}^{\text{ll-}}$ [$\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{bad}}$]

[i.e., an object of $\ddagger C_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{ll-}}$ or $\ddagger C_{\text{lgp}}^{\text{ll-}}$ determined by $(\underline{q}_{\underline{v}}^{1^2}, \dots, \underline{q}_{\underline{v}}^{(l^*)^2})_{\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{bad}}}$]

\Rightarrow For $\square \in \{\text{LGP}, \text{lgp}\}$, the poly-isom. $\ddagger C_{\square}^{\text{ll-}} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^*C_{\Delta}^{\text{ll-}}$ induced by

$\ddagger \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm \text{ell}} \text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\square}^{\times \mu}} {}^* \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm \text{ell}} \text{NF}}$ maps a Θ -pilot object to a q -pilot object.

For $\square \in \{\text{LGP}, \text{lgp}\}$:

a \square -Gaussian log-theta-lattice $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\
 & & \uparrow \text{log} & & \uparrow \text{log} & & \\
 \dots & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\square}^{\times \mu}} & n, m+1 \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm \text{ell}} \text{NF}} & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\square}^{\times \mu}} & n+1, m+1 \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm \text{ell}} \text{NF}} & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\square}^{\times \mu}} & \dots \\
 & & \uparrow \text{log} & & \uparrow \text{log} & & \\
 \dots & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\square}^{\times \mu}} & n, m \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm \text{ell}} \text{NF}} & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\square}^{\times \mu}} & n+1, m \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm \text{ell}} \text{NF}} & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\square}^{\times \mu}} & \dots \\
 & & \uparrow \text{log} & & \uparrow \text{log} & & \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & &
 \end{array}$$

\Rightarrow

$$\dots \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} n,m \mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} n,m+1 \mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} \dots \quad [\text{vertical}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} n,m \mathcal{D}_{\succ} \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} n,m+1 \mathcal{D}_{\succ} \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} \dots \quad [\text{vertical}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} n,m \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\dagger} \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} n,m+1 \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\dagger} \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} \dots \quad [\text{vertical}]$$

$$\dots \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} n,m \mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\dagger \times \mu} \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} n+1,m \mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\dagger \times \mu} \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} \dots \quad [\text{horizontal}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} n,m \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\dagger} \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} n+1,m \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\dagger} \xrightarrow[\text{full}]{\sim} \dots \quad [\text{horizontal}]$$

- étale-like structure [i.e., “ $\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$ ”]: vertically coric
- Frobenius-like mono-an. structure [i.e., “ $\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\dagger \times \mu}$ ”]: horizontally coric
- étale-like mono-analytic structure [i.e., “ $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\dagger}$ ”]: bi-coric

§3.3 log-Kummer Correspondence III

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\log} -1 \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} 0 \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} 1 \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \dots$$

$\circ \mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$: the ass'd $\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}$ -Hodge theater [up to isom.]

$$\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{non}} \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\text{gau}}(\circ \mathcal{D}_{\succ})_{\underline{v}} &\hookrightarrow \infty \Psi_{\text{gau}}(\circ \mathcal{D}_{\succ})_{\underline{v}} \hookrightarrow \prod_{j \in J} (\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\circ \mathcal{D}_{\succ})_j)_{\underline{v}} \\ &\hookrightarrow \prod_{j \in J} (\underline{\log}(\mathfrak{F}(\circ \mathcal{D})))_j)_{\underline{v}} \leftarrow \prod_{j \in J} (\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\circ \mathcal{D}_{\succ})_j)_{\underline{v}} \end{aligned}$$

[For $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{bad}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\underline{K}_{\underline{v}, \Delta}}^{\times} \cdot (\underline{q}_{\underline{v}}^{1^2}, \dots, \underline{q}_{\underline{v}}^{(l^*)^2})^{\mathbb{N}} &\hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\underline{K}_{\underline{v}, \Delta}}^{\times} \cdot (\underline{q}_{\underline{v}}^{1^2}, \dots, \underline{q}_{\underline{v}}^{(l^*)^2})^{\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}} \hookrightarrow \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{O}_{\underline{K}_{\underline{v}, j}}^{\triangleright} \\ &= \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{O}_{\log(\mathcal{O}_{\underline{K}_{\underline{v}, j}}^{\times})}^{\triangleright} \hookrightarrow \prod_{j \in J} \log(\mathcal{O}_{\underline{K}_{\underline{v}, j}}^{\times}) \xleftarrow{\log} \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{O}_{\underline{K}_{\underline{v}, j}}^{\times} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi_{\text{LGP}}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\underline{v}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Im}(\Psi_{\text{gau}}(\circ\mathcal{D}_{\succ})_{\underline{v}}) \hookrightarrow \prod_{j \in J} (\underline{\log}(\mathfrak{F}(\circ\mathcal{D}_{\succ}))_j)_{\underline{v}}$$

$$\infty\Psi_{\text{LGP}}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\underline{v}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Im}(\infty\Psi_{\text{gau}}(\circ\mathcal{D}_{\succ})_{\underline{v}}) \hookrightarrow \prod_j (\underline{\log}(\mathfrak{F}(\circ\mathcal{D}_{\succ}))_j)_{\underline{v}}$$

One may construct similar objects for $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{arc}}$.

$$\Psi_{\text{LGP}}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\Psi_{\text{LGP}}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\underline{v}}\}_{\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}},$$

$$\infty\Psi_{\text{LGP}}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\infty\Psi_{\text{LGP}}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\underline{v}}\}_{\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}}:$$

$\ddagger\mathfrak{F}^{\dagger}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\text{LGP}}$: the \mathcal{F}^{\dagger} -pr.-st. det'd by $\Psi_{\text{LGP}}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})$
 [i.e., at $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{bad}}$, $\ddagger\mathfrak{F}^{\dagger}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\text{LGP}, \underline{v}}$:

the étale-like Gaussian monoid “ $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}_{\underline{v}, \Delta}}^{\times} \cdot (\underline{q}_{\underline{v}}^{1^2}, \dots, \underline{q}_{\underline{v}}^{(l^*)^2})^{\mathbb{N}}$ ”

in “ $\prod_{j \in J} \log(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}_{\underline{v}, j}}^{\times})$ ”]

$$\ddagger\mathfrak{F}^{\dagger}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\text{lgp}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ddagger\mathfrak{F}^{\dagger}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\text{LGP}}$$

\Rightarrow One obtains \mathcal{F}^{fl} -prime-strips

$$\mathfrak{F}^{\text{fl}}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\text{LGP}}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\circ\mathcal{C}_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{fl}-\mathcal{D}}, \text{Prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathbb{V}}, \mathfrak{F}^+(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\text{LGP}}, \{\circ\rho_{\text{LGP},\underline{v}}^{\mathcal{D}}\}_{\underline{v}\in\underline{\mathbb{V}}})$$

$$\mathfrak{F}^{\text{fl}}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\text{lgp}}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\circ\mathcal{C}_{\text{lgp}}^{\text{fl}-\mathcal{D}}, \text{Prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathbb{V}}, \mathfrak{F}^+(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\text{lgp}}, \{\circ\rho_{\text{lgp},\underline{v}}^{\mathcal{D}}\}_{\underline{v}\in\underline{\mathbb{V}}})$$

\Rightarrow

$$\mathfrak{F}^{\text{fl}}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\text{gau}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{F}^{\text{fl}}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\text{LGP}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{F}^{\text{fl}}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\text{lgp}}$$

$$\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{bad}}$$

$$m \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq j \leq l^* \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{LGP}}}^\perp ({}^m \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm \text{ell}} \text{NF}})_{\underline{v}, j} \curvearrowright \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^\pm; j; m \underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{v}})$$

$$1 \leq j \leq l^* \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Psi_{\text{LGP}}^\perp ({}^\circ \mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm \text{ell}} \text{NF}})_{\underline{v}, j} \curvearrowright \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^\pm; j; {}^\circ \underline{\mathcal{D}}_{\underline{v}})$$

$\Rightarrow \exists$ Kummer [poly-]isomorphisms

- $\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{LGP}}}^\perp ({}^m \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm \text{ell}} \text{NF}})_{\underline{v}, j} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\text{LGP}}^\perp ({}^\circ \mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm \text{ell}} \text{NF}})_{\underline{v}, j}$
- $\mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^\pm; j; m \underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{v}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^\pm; j; {}^\circ \underline{\mathcal{D}}_{\underline{v}})$

These $[m \in \mathbb{Z}]$ satisfy the following “**non-interference property**”:

- Let us consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}}^{\perp}({}^m\mathcal{HT})_{\underline{v},j} & & & & & & \\
 \downarrow \curvearrowright & & & & & & \\
 \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}, j; {}^m\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}) & \xrightarrow{\log} & \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}, j; {}^{m+1}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}) & \xrightarrow{\log} & \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}, j; {}^{m+2}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}) & \xrightarrow{\log} & \dots \\
 \text{Kmm} \downarrow \wr & & \text{Kmm} \downarrow \wr & & \text{Kmm} \downarrow \wr & & \\
 \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}, j; {}^{\circ}\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}, j; {}^{\circ}\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}, j; {}^{\circ}\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \dots
 \end{array}$$

- The \log [at m] is defined on $\mathcal{O}_{\log(j; {}^m\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}})}^{\times}$.
- $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}}^{\perp}({}^m\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\underline{v},j} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\log(j; {}^m\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}})}^{\times} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mu} \subseteq \text{Ker}(\log)$.

Let us think that $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}}^{\perp} ({}^m \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm \text{ell}} \text{NF}})_{\underline{v}, j}$ acts on $\mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}, j; \circ \mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}})$

[not via a single Kummer isomorphism — which fails to be compatible with the sequence of log-links — but rather] via the totality of “ $\text{Kmm} \circ \text{log}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ ”.

Note: These are **mutually compatible** up to id at an adjacent “ m ”.

\Rightarrow One obtains a sort of “**log-Kummer correspondence**” between

- the totality of $\{\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}}^{\perp} ({}^m \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm \text{ell}} \text{NF}})_{\underline{v}, j}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and
- their actions on $\mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}, j; \circ \mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}})$.

$\Rightarrow ({}^m \mathcal{C}_{\text{LGP}}^{\perp}, \{{}^m \rho_{\text{LGP}, \underline{v}}\}_{\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} ({}^{\circ} \mathcal{C}_{\text{LGP}}^{\perp \mathcal{D}}, \{{}^{\circ} \rho_{\text{LGP}, \underline{v}}^{\mathcal{D}}\}_{\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}}) [m \in \mathbb{Z}]$

are **mutually compatible**.