

[IUTch-III-IV] from the Point of View of Mono-anabelian Transport IV

— Main Theorem and Application —

Yuichiro Hoshi

RIMS, Kyoto University

July 22, 2016

4.1 Kummer-compatible Multiradial Representations

4.2 Log-Volume Estimates

4.3 Diophantine Inequalities

§4.1 Kummer-compatible Multiradial Representations

In summary, the following hold:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\log} {}_{-1}\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} {}_0\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} {}_1\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \dots$$

${}^\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$: the ass'd $\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}$ -Hodge theater [up to isom.]

$$\text{Recall: } {}^\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Prc}({}^\circ\mathcal{D}_T)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\{ {}^\circ\mathcal{D}_0 \} \hookrightarrow \{ {}^\circ\mathcal{D}_0, {}^\circ\mathcal{D}_1 \} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \{ {}^\circ\mathcal{D}_0, \dots, {}^\circ\mathcal{D}_{l^*} \})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Prc}({}^\circ\mathcal{D}_T^\dagger)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\{ {}^\circ\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \} \hookrightarrow \{ {}^\circ\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger, {}^\circ\mathcal{D}_1^\dagger \} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \{ {}^\circ\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger, \dots, {}^\circ\mathcal{D}_{l^*}^\dagger \})$$

$\mathfrak{R}^{\text{ét}}$: the collection of data consisting of:

$$(a^{\text{ét}}) \quad \underline{V} \ni \underline{v} | v_{\mathbb{Q}} \in \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq l^*$$

$$\text{Prc}(\circ\mathcal{D}_T^{\dagger}) \Rightarrow$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}; \circ\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\dagger}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}; \circ\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\dagger}), \quad \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}; j; \circ\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\dagger}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}; j; \circ\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\dagger})$$

[with the procession-normalized mono-analytic log-volumes]

$$(b^{\text{ét}}) \quad \underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{bad}}$$

$$\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \Rightarrow \Psi_{\text{LGP}}^{\perp}(\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\underline{v}} \text{ in } \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}; j; \circ\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\dagger})$$

$$(c^{\text{ét}}) \quad 1 \leq j \leq l^*$$

$$\circ\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \Rightarrow (\circ\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{MOD}}^{\circledast\mathcal{D}})_j = (\circ\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{mod}}^{\circledast\mathcal{D}})_j \text{ in } \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}; \circ\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\dagger})$$

$$\Rightarrow (\circ\mathcal{F}_{\text{MOD}}^{\circledast\mathcal{D}})_j \xrightarrow{\sim} (\circ\mathcal{F}_{\text{mod}}^{\circledast\mathcal{D}})_j$$

[whose ass. “degree” may be com’d by means of the log-vol. of $(a^{\text{ét}})$]

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\log} \overline{-1}\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \overline{0}\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \overline{1}\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \dots$$

$$\downarrow \Theta_{\text{LGP}}^{\times\mu}$$

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\log} \underline{-1}\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \underline{0}\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \underline{1}\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Prc}(\overline{\circ}\mathcal{D}_T^{\dagger}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Prc}(\circ\mathcal{D}_T^{\dagger})$$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{\circ}(a^{\text{ét}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \circ(a^{\text{ét}})$$

Moreover:

$\overline{\circ}\mathcal{R}^{\text{ét}}$ up to (Ind1) $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ $\circ\mathcal{R}^{\text{ét}}$ up to (Ind1), where

“up to (Ind1)” $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$ up to indeterminacies induced by “ $\text{Aut}(\text{Prc}(\mathcal{D}_T^{\dagger}))$ ”

étale-like holomorphic

theta values ($b^{\text{ét}}$)



étale-like holomorphic

number fields ($c^{\text{ét}}$)



étale-like mono-analytic

tensor packet

log-shells ($a^{\text{ét}}$)



(Ind1)

$m \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^{\text{Frob}}$: the collection of data consisting of:

$$(a^{\text{Frob}}) \quad \underline{V} \ni \underline{v} | v_{\mathbb{Q}} \in \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq l^*$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}; m \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}}^{+ \times \mu}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}; j; m \mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}^{+ \times \mu}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}$$

[with the procession-normalized mono-analytic log-volumes]

$$(b^{\text{Frob}}) \quad \underline{v} \in \underline{V}^{\text{bad}}$$

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{LGP}}}^{\perp} ({}^m \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm \text{ellNF}}})_{\underline{v}} \text{ in } \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}; j; m \mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}^{+ \times \mu})$$

$$(c^{\text{Frob}}) \quad 1 \leq j \leq l^*$$

$$({}^m \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{MOD}}^{\otimes})_j = ({}^m \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{mod}}^{\otimes})_j \text{ in } \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}; m \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}}^{+ \times \mu})$$

$$\Rightarrow ({}^m \mathcal{F}_{\text{MOD}}^{\otimes})_j \xrightarrow{\sim} ({}^m \mathcal{F}_{\text{mod}}^{\otimes})_j$$

[whose ass. “degree” may be com. by means of the log-vol. of (a^{Frob})]

Then:

$$(a^{\text{Kmm}}) \quad (a^{\text{Frob}}) \text{ up to (Ind2)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (a^{\text{ét}}) \text{ up to (Ind2)},$$

compatible w/ the respective log-volumes, where “up to (Ind2)”

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$ up to indeterminacies induced by “ $\text{Is}m_{\underline{v}}, \{\pm 1\}$ ” [cf. §1.3]

$$(b^{\text{Kmm}}) \quad (b^{\text{Frob}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (b^{\text{ét}})$$

$$(c^{\text{Kmm}}) \quad (c^{\text{Frob}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (c^{\text{ét}})$$

$$\Rightarrow {}^m\mathcal{C}_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{ll-}} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{ll-D}}, \quad {}^m\mathcal{C}_{\text{lgp}}^{\text{ll-}} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}_{\text{lgp}}^{\text{ll-D}}$$

Moreover:

- $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{LGP}}^{\perp}({}^m\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{LGP}^{\perp}({}^{\circ}\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}-\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})$ of (b^{Kmm})
- $({}^m\overline{\mathbb{M}}_{\text{MOD}}^{\otimes})_j \xrightarrow{\sim} ({}^{\circ}\overline{\mathbb{M}}_{\text{MOD}}^{\otimes\mathcal{D}})_j$ of (c^{Kmm})

are **mutually compatible** with one another [w.r.t. m]

[cf. the “non-interference property” discussed in §2.3 and §3.3].

\Rightarrow

$${}^m\mathcal{C}_{LGP}^{\perp\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}_{LGP}^{\perp\mathcal{D}} \text{ of } (c^{\text{Kmm}})$$

is **mutually compatible** with one another [w.r.t. m]

Recall: The upper semi-compatibility discussed in §1.5:

$$\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{non}} \Rightarrow$$

$$\left((\Psi_{\text{cns}}({}^m \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma})|_{|j|})^{\times}_{\underline{v}} \right)^{\text{Gal}}$$

\curvearrowright

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}; {}^m \mathcal{F}_{v_{\mathbb{Q}}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{log}} & \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}; {}^{m+1} \mathcal{F}_{v_{\mathbb{Q}}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{log}} & \dots \\ \text{Kmm} \downarrow \wr & & \text{Kmm} \downarrow \wr & & \\ \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}; {}^{\circ} \mathcal{D}_{v_{\mathbb{Q}}}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_{j+1}^{\pm}; {}^{\circ} \mathcal{D}_{v_{\mathbb{Q}}}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \dots \end{array}$$

$$\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{arc}} \Rightarrow \exists \text{ a similar diagram}$$

One may introduce the following “indeterminacy” (Ind3) to (a^{Kmm}) :

(Ind3): As one varies m , (a^{Kmm}) are [**not precisely compatible but**] **upper semi-compatible**.

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\log} \bar{1} \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \bar{0} \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \bar{1} \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \dots$$

$$\downarrow \Theta_{\text{LGP}}^{\times\mu}$$

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\log} \underline{-1} \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \underline{0} \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \underline{1} \mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\log} \dots$$

$\Rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{F}}_{\Delta}^{+\times\mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathfrak{F}}_{\Delta}^{+\times\mu}$ is compatible, under (Ind1, 2, 3), w/:

- $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_{\Delta}^{+\times\mu}(\bar{\circ}\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{+}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathfrak{F}}_{\Delta}^{+\times\mu}(\circ\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{+})$
- $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_{\text{env}}^{+\times\mu}(\bar{\circ}\mathcal{D}_{>}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathfrak{F}}_{\text{env}}^{+\times\mu}(\circ\mathcal{D}_{>})$
- $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}_{\text{tht}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \circ\mathfrak{R}_{\text{tht}}$, as well as the Gal. eval. “ $\mathfrak{R}_{\text{tht}} \rightsquigarrow (\text{b}^{\text{ét}})$ ” [cf. §3.1]
- $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}_{\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \circ\mathfrak{R}_{\text{NF}}$, as well as the Gal. eval. “ $\mathfrak{R}_{\text{NF}} \rightsquigarrow (\text{c}^{\text{ét}})$ ” [cf. §2.1]

relative to the Kmm poly-isom. and poly-isom. of mono-theta env.

Fr.-like holomorphic
theta values (b^{Frob})

$\wr \downarrow (b^{\text{Kmm}})$

étale-like holomorphic
theta values ($b^{\text{ét}}$)



mono-analytic
tensor packet
log-shells ($a^{\text{ét}}$)



(Ind1, 2, 3)

Fr.-like holomorphic
number fields (c^{Frob})

$\wr \downarrow (c^{\text{Kmm}})$

étale-like holomorphic
number fields ($c^{\text{ét}}$)



§4.2 Log-Volume Estimates

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \xrightarrow{\log} & \overline{-1}\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} & \xrightarrow{\log} & \overline{0}\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} & \xrightarrow{\log} & \overline{1}\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} & \xrightarrow{\log} & \dots \\
 & & & & \downarrow \Theta_{\text{LGP}}^{\times\mu} & & & & \\
 \dots & \xrightarrow{\log} & \underline{-1}\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} & \xrightarrow{\log} & \underline{0}\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} & \xrightarrow{\log} & \underline{1}\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} & \xrightarrow{\log} & \dots
 \end{array}$$

- Let us start with a q -plt obj. ${}^0q \in {}^0\mathcal{C}_{\Delta}^{\text{ll-}}$ at “ $\underline{0}$ ” and compute $\deg({}^0q)$.
- By definition, $\overline{0}\mathcal{C}_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{ll-}} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^0\mathcal{C}_{\Delta}^{\text{ll-}}$ of $\Theta_{\text{LGP}}^{\times\mu}$ maps the isom. class $[\overline{0}\Theta]$ of a Θ -pilot object $\overline{0}\Theta \in \overline{0}\mathcal{C}_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{ll-}}$ at “ $\overline{0}$ ” to the isom. class $[{}^0q]$ of 0q .
- Let us observe that $\deg({}^0q)$ (resp. $\deg(\overline{0}\Theta)$) can be computed by means of the log-volume of 0q (resp. $\overline{0}\Theta$).

- Let us apply the multiradial representation of “ $\mathfrak{R}^{\text{Frob}}$ ” [cf. §4.1].

$$\Rightarrow \quad {}^0\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\text{lf} \blacktriangleright \times \mu} \xleftarrow{\Theta_{\text{LGP}}^{\times \mu}} \bar{0}\mathfrak{F}_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{lf} \blacktriangleright \times \mu} \xrightarrow[\text{(Ind1, 2, 3)} \curvearrowright]{\text{multiradiality}} {}^0\mathfrak{F}_{\text{LGP}}^{\text{lf} \blacktriangleright \times \mu}; \quad [{}^0q] \mapsto [\bar{0}\Theta] \mapsto [{}^0\Theta]$$

- In other words, roughly speaking, the multiradial representation asserts that “[0q]” can be interpreted as “[${}^0\Theta$] up to (Ind1, 2, 3)”.
- The log-volume on the log-shells is invariant with respect to the indeterminacies (Ind1) and (Ind2). On the other hand, by the upper semi-compatibility indeterminacy (Ind3), i.e., “commutativity” at the level of inclusions of regions in log-shells, we must consider the log-volume of “an object up to (Ind3)” as an upper bound.

- In order to utilize the above interpretation

[i.e., not $\underline{0}q = \frown_{(\text{Ind}1, 2, 3)} \underline{0}\Theta$ but $\underline{[0]q} = \frown_{(\text{Ind}1, 2, 3)} \underline{[0]\Theta}$]

or, more precisely, to compute an upper bound of the log-volume of [not $\underline{0}\Theta$ but] $\bar{0}\Theta$ relative to the pt of view of [not “ $\bar{0}$ ” but] “ $\underline{0}$ ”, it is nece’y to work w/ the “coll’n of modules”, i.e., holomorphic hull.

In summary, we conclude:

$\text{deg}(q)$ (= the log-volume of the q -pilot object)

\leq the log-volume of the holomorphic hull of $\bigcup_{(\text{Ind}1, 2, 3)} \Theta$ -pilot object

§4.3 Diophantine Inequalities

log-vol. of q -pilot \leq log-vol. of holo. hull of $\bigcup_{(l \text{nd} 1, 2, 3)} \Theta$ -pilot

Rough Estimate at $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}^{\text{bad}}$:

$$\mu^{\log}(\underline{\underline{q}}_{\underline{v}} \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_{K_{\underline{v}}}) \text{ " } \leq \text{ " } \frac{1}{l^*} \cdot \mu^{\log} \left(\begin{array}{c} \underline{\underline{q}}_{\underline{v}} \curvearrowright (\mathcal{I}_{\underline{v}})_0 \otimes (\mathcal{I}_{\underline{v}})_1 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\underline{q}}_{\underline{v}}^{j^2} \curvearrowright (\mathcal{I}_{\underline{v}})_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes (\mathcal{I}_{\underline{v}})_j \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\underline{q}}_{\underline{v}}^{(l^*)^2} \curvearrowright (\mathcal{I}_{\underline{v}})_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes (\mathcal{I}_{\underline{v}})_{l^*} \end{array} \right)$$

Recall: $\text{ord}(\underline{\underline{q}}_{\underline{v}}^{j^2}) = \frac{j^2}{2l} \cdot \text{ord}(q_{\underline{v}})$, $0 < \text{ord}(q_{\underline{v}})$

- l^* “=” $l/2$ • $\sum_{j=1}^{l^*} j + 1$ “=” $(l/2)^2/2$ • $\sum_{j=1}^{l^*} j^2$ “=” $(l/2)^3/3$
- $\mu^{\log}((\mathcal{I}_{\underline{v}})_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes (\mathcal{I}_{\underline{v}})_j)$ “ \leq ” $(j + 1) \cdot \text{ord}(\mathfrak{d}_{K_{\underline{v}}/\mathbb{Q}_p})$

\Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\text{ord}(\underline{q_{\underline{v}}}) \quad & \text{“} \leq \text{”} \quad \frac{1}{l^*} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{l^*} ((j + 1) \cdot \text{ord}(\mathfrak{d}_{K_{\underline{v}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}) - \frac{j^2}{2l} \cdot \text{ord}(q_{\underline{v}})) \\
 & \text{“} = \text{”} \quad \frac{2}{l} \cdot \left(\frac{(l/2)^2}{2} \cdot \text{ord}(\mathfrak{d}_{K_{\underline{v}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}) - \frac{(l/2)^3}{2l \cdot 3} \cdot \text{ord}(q_{\underline{v}}) \right) \\
 & = \quad \frac{l}{4} \cdot \left(\text{ord}(\mathfrak{d}_{K_{\underline{v}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}) - \frac{1}{6} \cdot \text{ord}(q_{\underline{v}}) \right) \\
 & = \quad \frac{l}{4} \cdot \left(\text{ord}(\mathfrak{d}_{K_{\underline{v}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}) - \frac{1}{6} \left(1 - \frac{12}{l^2} \right) \cdot \text{ord}(q_{\underline{v}}) \right) - \text{ord}(\underline{q_{\underline{v}}}) \\
 \Rightarrow -1 \quad & \text{“} \leq \text{”} \quad \frac{l}{4 \cdot \text{ord}(\underline{q_{\underline{v}}})} \cdot \left(\text{ord}(\mathfrak{d}_{K_{\underline{v}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}) - \frac{1}{6} \left(1 - \frac{12}{l^2} \right) \cdot \text{ord}(q_{\underline{v}}) \right) - 1 \\
 & \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{6} \cdot \text{ord}(q_{\underline{v}}) \quad \text{“} \leq \text{”} \quad \left(1 - \frac{12}{l^2} \right)^{-1} \cdot \text{ord}(\mathfrak{d}_{K_{\underline{v}}/\mathbb{Q}_p})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 \supseteq D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{0, 1, \infty\}_{\text{red}}, U_P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P \setminus D$$

For $\lambda \in U_P(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$:

- A_λ : the elliptic curve/ $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ def'd by “ $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$ ”

- $F_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{Q}(\lambda, \sqrt{-1}, A_\lambda[3 \cdot 5])(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$

$\Rightarrow E_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_\lambda \times_{\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)} F_\lambda$ has at most split multipl. red. at $\forall \in \mathbb{V}(F_\lambda)$

- $\mathfrak{q}_\lambda \in \text{ADiv}(F_\lambda)$: the eff. arith. div. det'd by the q -param'r of E_λ/F_λ

- $\mathfrak{f}_\lambda \in \text{ADiv}(F_\lambda)$: the eff. “reduced” arithmetic divisor det'd by \mathfrak{q}_λ

- $\mathfrak{d}_\lambda \in \text{ADiv}(F_\lambda)$: the eff. arith. div. det'd by the different of F_λ/\mathbb{Q}

- $d_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\mathbb{Q}(\lambda) : \mathbb{Q}]$ • $d_\lambda^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2^{12} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot d_\lambda$

For a prime number $l \geq 5$:

(λ, l) : admissible $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \exists$ an initial Θ -data $(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F_\lambda, E_\lambda \setminus \{o\}, l, \dots)$

s.t. E_λ has good reduction at $\forall \underline{v} \in \mathbb{V}(F_\lambda)^{\text{good}}$ of residue char. $\neq 2l$

Then “log-vol. of q -pil. \leq log-vol. of holo. hull of $\bigcup_{(l \text{nd } 1, 2, 3)} \Theta$ -pil.”

\Rightarrow

(λ, l) : admissible \Rightarrow

$$\frac{1}{6} \cdot \deg(\mathfrak{q}_\lambda^{\text{bad}}) \leq \left(1 + \frac{80d_\lambda}{l}\right) \cdot (\deg(\mathfrak{d}_\lambda) + \deg(\mathfrak{f}_\lambda)) + 20 \cdot (d_\lambda^* \cdot l + \eta_{\text{prm}}),$$

where $\eta_{\text{prm}} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ s.t. $\#\{\text{prime numbers} \leq \eta\} \leq \frac{4 \cdot \eta}{3 \cdot \log(\eta)}$ ($\forall \eta > \eta_{\text{prm}}$)

[cf. the above “Rough Estimate”]

By means of this, let us prove the following (*):

(*): • $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ • $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ • $\mathcal{K}_\infty \subsetneq P(\mathbb{C})$: an ι -stable cpt domain

• S : a finite set of prime numbers s.t. $2 \in S$

• $\mathcal{K}_p \subsetneq P(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$: a certain “compact” domain [$p \in S$]

• $\mathcal{K} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \mathcal{K}_\infty \cap \bigcap_{p \in S} \mathcal{K}_p$, i.e., a compactly bounded subset

\Rightarrow The function on $\mathcal{K}^{\leq d} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\lambda \in \mathcal{K} \mid d_\lambda \leq d\}$ given by

$$\lambda \mapsto \frac{1}{6} \cdot \deg(\mathfrak{q}_\lambda) - (1 + \epsilon) \cdot (\deg(\mathfrak{d}_\lambda) + \deg(\mathfrak{f}_\lambda))$$

is bounded above.

Proof of (*): By applying

- the prime number theorem,
- the theory of arithmeticity of elliptic curves,
- the finiteness of $\{ \lambda \in U_P(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid d_\lambda \leq d, \deg(\mathfrak{q}_\lambda) \leq C \}$ for $C \in \mathbb{R}$,
- the theory of Galois actions on torsion points of elliptic curve,

one may obtain the foll'g: For all but finitely many $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}^{\leq d}$, $\exists l_\lambda$ s.t.

- (a) (λ, l_λ) : admissible (In particular:
- (b) $\frac{1}{6} \cdot \deg(\mathfrak{q}_\lambda^{\text{bad}}) \leq (1 + \frac{80d_\lambda}{l_\lambda}) \cdot (\deg(\mathfrak{d}_\lambda) + \deg(\mathfrak{f}_\lambda)) + 20 \cdot (d_\lambda^* \cdot l_\lambda + \eta_{\text{prfm}})$)
- (c) $\text{ord}_{l_\lambda}(\mathfrak{q}_l) < \deg(\mathfrak{q}_\lambda)^{1/2}$, where $\mathbb{V}(F_\lambda) \ni \mathfrak{l} \mid l_\lambda$
- (d) $\deg(\mathfrak{q}_\lambda)^{1/2} \leq l_\lambda \leq 10 \cdot d^* \cdot \deg(\mathfrak{q}_\lambda)^{1/2} \cdot \log(2 \cdot d^* \cdot \deg(\mathfrak{q}_\lambda))$,
- where $d^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2^{12} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot d$

- (a), (c) $\Rightarrow \exists$ an upper bound of the function

$$\lambda \mapsto \frac{1}{6} \deg(\mathbf{q}_\lambda) - \frac{1}{6} \deg(\mathbf{q}_\lambda^{\text{bad}}) - \deg(\mathbf{q}_\lambda)^{1/2} \log(2d^* \deg(\mathbf{q}_\lambda))$$

- (b), (d) $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{6} \deg(\mathbf{q}_\lambda^{\text{bad}}) \leq (1 + \frac{d^*}{\deg(\mathbf{q}_\lambda)^{1/2}})(\deg(\mathfrak{d}_\lambda) + \deg(\mathbf{f}_\lambda))$
 $+ 200(d^*)^2 \deg(\mathbf{q}_\lambda)^{1/2} \log(2d^* \deg(\mathbf{q}_\lambda)) + 20\eta_{\text{prm}}$

$\Rightarrow \exists$ an upper bound of the function $\lambda \mapsto$

$$(1 - \frac{2}{5} \frac{(60d^*)^2 \log(2d^* \deg(\mathbf{q}_\lambda))}{\deg(\mathbf{q}_\lambda)^{1/2}}) \frac{1}{6} \deg(\mathbf{q}_\lambda) - (1 + \frac{d^*}{\deg(\mathbf{q}_\lambda)^{1/2}})(\deg(\mathfrak{d}_\lambda) + \deg(\mathbf{f}_\lambda))$$

Thus, \exists an upper bound of the function

$$\lambda \mapsto \frac{1}{6} \deg(\mathbf{q}_\lambda) - (1 + \epsilon)(\deg(\mathfrak{d}_\lambda) + \deg(\mathbf{f}_\lambda))$$

By the above (*), together with the theory of noncritical Belyi maps, we obtain the following theorem:

- $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$
- $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$
- L : a number field
- V : a projective smooth curve $/L$
- $D \subseteq V$: a [possibly empty] reduced divisor s.t. $\Omega_{V/L}^1(D)$: ample

\Rightarrow

The function on $(V \setminus D)^{\leq d} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in V \setminus D \mid [\kappa(x) : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d\}$ given by

$$\lambda \mapsto \text{ht}_{\Omega_{V/L}^1(D)} - (1 + \epsilon) \cdot (\log\text{-diff}_V + \log\text{-cond}_D)$$

is bounded above.