

**Combinatorial Anabelian Geometry  
in the Absence of Group-theoretic Cuspidality**

Yuichiro Hoshi  
8 September, 2022  
The 1st talk

The 29th Number Theory Summer School  
“Combinatorial Anabelian Geometry”

In the present talk:

$\square \in \{\circ, \bullet, \emptyset\}$

$\Sigma_\square$ : a nonempty set of prime numbers

$\mathcal{G}_\square$ : a semi-graph of anabelioids of pro- $\Sigma$  PSC-type

$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\square \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\square$ : a pro- $\Sigma_\square$  universal covering

$\Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\square} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\square/\mathcal{G}_\square)$

$I_\square$ : a profinite group

$\rho_\square: I_\square \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}_\square) (\subseteq \text{Out}(\Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\square}))$ : a continuous homomorphism

$\Pi_{\rho_\square} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\square} \rtimes_{\rho_\square}^{\text{out}} I_\square$ , which thus fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\square} & \longrightarrow & \Pi_{\rho_\square} & \longrightarrow & I_\square \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_\square \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Inn}(\Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\square}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(\Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\square}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(\Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\square}) \longrightarrow 1
 \end{array}$$

$\alpha: \Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\circ} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\bullet}$ : a continuous isomorphism which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 I_\circ & \xrightarrow{\rho_\circ} & \text{Out}(\Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\circ}) \\
 \exists \downarrow \wr & & \wr \downarrow \text{conjugation by } \alpha \\
 I_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\rho_\bullet} & \text{Out}(\Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\bullet})
 \end{array}$$

Theorem in [CbGC] — cf. [CbGC], Corollary 2.7, (iii)

Suppose:

- both  $\rho_\circ$  and  $\rho_\bullet$  are of IPSC-type
- the continuous isomorphism  $\alpha$  is group-theoretically cuspidal

$\Rightarrow$  the continuous isomorphism  $\alpha$  is graphic

Theorem in [NodNon] — cf. [NodNon], Theorem A

Suppose:

- both  $\rho_\circ$  and  $\rho_\bullet$  are of NN-type
- the continuous isomorphism  $\alpha$  is group-theoretically cuspidal
- either  $\mathcal{G}_\circ$  or  $\mathcal{G}_\bullet$  has a cuspidal

$\Rightarrow$  the continuous isomorphism  $\alpha$  is graphic

Theorem (IPSC) — cf. [CbTpII], Theorem 1.9, (ii)

Suppose:

- both  $\rho_\circ$  and  $\rho_\bullet$  are of NN-type
- either  $\rho_\circ$  or  $\rho_\bullet$  is of IPSC-type

$\Rightarrow$  the continuous isomorphism  $\alpha$  is group-theoretically vertical

Theorem (NN) — cf. [CbTpII], Theorem 1.9, (i)

Suppose:

- both  $\rho_\circ$  and  $\rho_\bullet$  are of NN-type
- $\exists \gamma \in \Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\circ} \setminus \{1\}$  s.t.  $\gamma, \alpha(\gamma)$  are contained in some vertical subgroups of  $\Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\circ}, \Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\bullet}$ , respectively

$\Rightarrow$  the continuous isomorphism  $\alpha$  is group-theoretically vertical

Expected Goal (not proved...)

Suppose: both  $\rho_\circ$  and  $\rho_\bullet$  are of NN-type

$\Rightarrow$  the continuous isomorphism  $\alpha$  is group-theoretically vertical

Note:

$\exists$  some applications of this “Expected Goal”  
(cf. the next talk)

## Proof of Theorem (NN)

Theorem (NN)

Suppose:

- both  $\rho_\circ$  and  $\rho_\bullet$  are of NN-type
- $\exists \gamma \in \Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\circ} \setminus \{1\}$  s.t.  $\gamma, \alpha(\gamma)$  are contained in some vertical subgroups of  $\Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\circ}, \Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\bullet}$ , respectively

$\Rightarrow$  the continuous isomorphism  $\alpha$  is group-theoretically vertical

Lemma (NN) — cf. [CbTpII], Lemma 1.8

Suppose:

- the homomorphism  $\rho$  is of SNN-type
- the underlying semi-graph of  $\mathcal{G}$  is untangled

$\Rightarrow$  A given closed subgroup  $H \subseteq \Pi_{\mathcal{G}}$  is vertical if and only if  $H$  satisfies the following four conditions:

- (1) the composite  $Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H) \hookrightarrow \Pi_\rho \rightarrow I$  is an isomorphism
- (2) the equality  $H = Z_{\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}}(Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H))$  holds, where  $Z_{\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}}(-) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z_{\Pi_\rho}(-) \cap \Pi_{\mathcal{G}}$
- (3) for each  $\gamma \in \Pi_{\mathcal{G}}$ ,  $\gamma \in H \Leftrightarrow H \cap H^\gamma \neq \{1\}$
- (4)  $\exists \tilde{v} \in \text{Vert}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$  s.t.  $H \cap \Pi_{\tilde{v}} \neq \{1\}$

Proof of: Lemma (NN)  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem (NN)

By replacing  $I_\square$  by a suitable open subgroup,

we may assume: the continuous homomorphism  $\rho_\square$  is of SNN-type

$\stackrel{\text{Lemma (NN)}}{\Rightarrow} \exists \tilde{v}_\circ \in \text{Vert}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\circ)$  s.t.  $\alpha(\Pi_{\tilde{v}_\circ}) \subseteq \Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\bullet}$  is vertical

Thus, by the “sandwich-argument” applied

in the proof of Theorem in [NodNon] (cf. the talk by Minamide),

we conclude that  $\alpha$  is group-theoretically vertical, as desired

Proof of Lemma (NN) 1/2

- (1) the composite  $Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H) \hookrightarrow \Pi_\rho \rightarrow I$  is an isomorphism
- (2) the equality  $H = Z_{\Pi_G}(Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H))$  holds, where  $Z_{\Pi_G}(-) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z_{\Pi_\rho}(-) \cap \Pi_G$
- (3) for each  $\gamma \in \Pi_G$ ,  $\gamma \in H \Leftrightarrow H \cap H^\gamma \neq \{1\}$
- (4)  $\exists \tilde{v} \in \text{Vert}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$  s.t.  $H \cap \Pi_{\tilde{v}} \neq \{1\}$

$$I_\square \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z_{\Pi_\rho}(\Pi_\square) \subseteq D_\square \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N_{\Pi_\rho}(\Pi_\square)$$

$$J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H \cap \Pi_{\tilde{v}} \stackrel{(4)}{\neq} \{1\}$$

Suppose:  $J \in \{H, \Pi_{\tilde{v}}\}$ , i.e.,  $H \subseteq \Pi_{\tilde{v}}$  or  $\Pi_{\tilde{v}} \subseteq H$

$$\Rightarrow I_{\tilde{v}} \subseteq Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H) \text{ or } Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H) \subseteq I_{\tilde{v}}$$

$$\stackrel{(1)}{\Rightarrow} Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H) = I_{\tilde{v}}$$

$$\Rightarrow H \stackrel{(2)}{=} Z_{\Pi_G}(Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H)) = Z_{\Pi_G}(I_{\tilde{v}}) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \Pi_{\tilde{v}}$$

Proof of Lemma (NN) 2/2

- (1) the composite  $Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H) \hookrightarrow \Pi_\rho \twoheadrightarrow I$  is an isomorphism
- (2) the equality  $H = Z_{\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}}(Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H))$  holds, where  $Z_{\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}}(-) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z_{\Pi_\rho}(-) \cap \Pi_{\mathcal{G}}$
- (3) for each  $\gamma \in \Pi_{\mathcal{G}}$ ,  $\gamma \in H \Leftrightarrow H \cap H^\gamma \neq \{1\}$
- (4)  $\exists \tilde{v} \in \text{Vert}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$  s.t.  $H \cap \Pi_{\tilde{v}} \neq \{1\}$

Thus, we may assume:  $J \notin \{H, \Pi_{\tilde{v}}\}$   
 $\gamma \in H \setminus J$   
 $\Rightarrow \Pi_{\tilde{v}} \supseteq J \subseteq H \supseteq J^\gamma \supseteq \Pi_{\tilde{v}\gamma}$

Claim (NN)

the closed subgroup  $J$ , hence also  $J^\gamma$ , is normally terminal in  $\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}$

by (3)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 J & \hookrightarrow & N_{\Pi_\rho}(J) & \longleftarrow & I_{\tilde{v}} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Pi_{\mathcal{G}} & \longrightarrow & \Pi_\rho & \longrightarrow & I \longrightarrow 1
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1), \text{Claim (NN)} & \\
 \Rightarrow & N_{\Pi_\rho}(J) = J \cdot I_{\tilde{v}} \\
 & N_{\Pi_\rho}(J^\gamma) = J^\gamma \cdot I_{\tilde{v}\gamma}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H) &\subseteq N_{\Pi_\rho}(J) = J \cdot I_{\tilde{v}} \subseteq \Pi_{\tilde{v}} \cdot D_{\tilde{v}} \subseteq D_{\tilde{v}} \\
 Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H) &\subseteq N_{\Pi_\rho}(J^\gamma) = J^\gamma \cdot I_{\tilde{v}\gamma} \subseteq \Pi_{\tilde{v}\gamma} \cdot D_{\tilde{v}\gamma} \subseteq D_{\tilde{v}\gamma} \\
 Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H) &\subseteq D_{\tilde{v}} \cap D_{\tilde{v}\gamma}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 H \ni \gamma \notin J &= H \cap \Pi_{\tilde{v}} \Rightarrow \gamma \notin \Pi_{\tilde{v}} \\
 D_{\tilde{v}} \cap D_{\tilde{v}\gamma} \cap \Pi_{\mathcal{G}} &\stackrel{\text{norm. trm.}}{=} \Pi_{\tilde{v}} \cap \Pi_{\tilde{v}\gamma} \stackrel{(3)}{=} \{1\} \\
 \stackrel{(1)}{\Rightarrow} Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H) &= D_{\tilde{v}} \cap D_{\tilde{v}\gamma} \\
 (1), [\text{NodNon}] &\Rightarrow \exists \tilde{w} \in \text{Vert}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) \text{ s.t. } Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H) = I_{\tilde{w}} \\
 \Rightarrow H &\stackrel{(2)}{=} Z_{\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}}(Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H)) = Z_{\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}}(I_{\tilde{w}}) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \Pi_{\tilde{w}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem (IPSC)

Theorem (IPSC)

Suppose:

- both  $\rho_\circ$  and  $\rho_\bullet$  are of NN-type
- either  $\rho_\circ$  or  $\rho_\bullet$  is of IPSC-type

$\Rightarrow$  the continuous isomorphism  $\alpha$  is group-theoretically vertical

Lemma (IPSC) — cf. [CbTpII], Corollary 1.7, (ii)

(a) Suppose: the homomorphism  $\rho$  is of SNN-type

Then a given closed subgroup  $H \subseteq \Pi_{\mathcal{G}}$  is vertical  $\Rightarrow$

(1) the equality  $Z_{\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}}(H) = \{1\}$  holds

(2)  $\exists s: I \hookrightarrow \Pi_\rho$ : a splitting of  $\Pi_\rho \twoheadrightarrow I$  s.t.  $H = Z_{\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}}(s(I))$

(b) Suppose: the homomorphism  $\rho$  is of IPSC-type

Then “ $\Rightarrow$ ” of (a) may be replaced by “ $\Leftrightarrow$ ”

Proof of: Lemma (IPSC)  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem (IPSC)

Suppose: the continuous homomorphism  $\rho_\bullet$  is of IPSC-type

By replacing  $I_\square$  by a suitable open subgroup,

we may assume: the continuous homomorphism  $\rho_\circ$  is of SNN-type

Lemma<sup>(IPSC)</sup>  $\Rightarrow$  for each  $\tilde{v}_\circ \in \text{Vert}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\circ)$ ,  $\alpha(\Pi_{\tilde{v}_\circ}) \subseteq \Pi_{\mathcal{G}_\bullet}$  is vertical

Thus, by Theorem (NN),

we conclude that  $\alpha$  is group-theoretically vertical, as desired



Proof of Lemma (IPSC)

- the homomorphism  $\rho$  is of IPSC-type
- (1) the equality  $Z_{\Pi_G}(H) = \{1\}$  holds
- (2)  $\exists s: I \hookrightarrow \Pi_\rho$ : a splitting of  $\Pi_\rho \twoheadrightarrow I$  s.t.  $H = Z_{\Pi_G}(s(I))$

Claim (IPSC) — cf. [NodNon], Lemma 1.6; [CbTpII], Proposition 1.5

$\diamond \in \{\text{verticial, cuspidal, nodal, edge-like}\}$

Then a given closed subgroup  $H \subseteq \Pi_G$  is contained in some  $\diamond$  subgroup  $\Leftrightarrow$   
for each connected finite characteristic subcovering  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ ,  
the image of  $H \cap \Pi_{\mathcal{H}} \hookrightarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{H}} \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{ab}}$  is contained in the closed submodule  
generated by the images of the  $\diamond$  subgroups of  $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}$

proof, omit

(cf. the discussion of “graphically filtration-preserving  $\Rightarrow$  graphic”  
in the talk by Yamashita)

$\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ : a connected finite characteristic subcovering of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$

$\Rightarrow$  the sequence  $I \xrightarrow{s} \Pi_\rho \supseteq \Pi_G \supseteq \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}$  determines an action  $I \curvearrowright \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{ab}}$

$\text{Im}\left(H \cap \Pi_{\mathcal{H}} \hookrightarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{H}} \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{ab}}\right) \stackrel{(2)}{\subseteq} (\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{ab}})^I \subseteq \text{“wght.mndrm.conj.”}$

the closed submodule top. gen'd by the images of the verticial subgroups of  $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}$

Claim  $\stackrel{(\text{IPSC})}{\Rightarrow} \exists \tilde{v} \in \text{Vert}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$  s.t.  $H \subseteq \Pi_{\tilde{v}}$

$\Rightarrow I_{\tilde{v}} \subseteq Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
s(I) & \hookrightarrow & Z_{\Pi_\rho}(H) & \longleftarrow & I_{\tilde{v}} & & \\
& & \downarrow & \searrow^{(1)} & & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \Pi_G & \longrightarrow & \Pi_\rho & \longrightarrow & I \longrightarrow 1
\end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow s(I) = I_{\tilde{v}}$

$\Rightarrow H \stackrel{(2)}{=} Z_{\Pi_G}(s(I)) = Z_{\Pi_G}(I_{\tilde{v}}) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \Pi_{\tilde{v}}$