On the number of moduli
of certain algebraic surfaces
of general type

By Eiji Horikawa
University of Tokyo

0. Introduction. Let P^3 denote the projective 3-space defined over the field of complex numbers, S an irreducible hypersurface of degree n=2r in P^3 , defined by the equation

(1)
$$g^2 + Agh + Bh^2 = 0$$

where g, h, A, and B are homogeneous polynomials of degree r, s, r-s, and 2(r-s), respectively, with two positive integers r>s. Clearly, the curve Δ , defined by g=h=0, is contained in the singular locus of S.

We assume that S is generic in the following sense:

- 1) S has only ordinary singularities (see [4]) and is non-singular outside of Δ .
 - 2) Δ is non-singular.
- 3) The normalization X of S (which is non-singular by 1)) is a surface of general type.
 - In [4], Kodaira studied families of surfaces with

ordinary singularities in P^3 . In particular, he proved that above S belongs to an effectively parametrized family \mathfrak{F} of surfaces S_t , $t\in M_1$, with ordinary singularities in P^3 whose characteristic system on each S_t is complete (see [4], Theorem 8 and §5.4). In our case, the number $\mu(S)$ of effective parameters of the family \mathfrak{F} is given by

$$\mu(s) = C(r) + C(s) + C(2r-2s) - C(r-2s) - 2$$

where

$$C(m) = \begin{cases} (m+3)(m+2)(m+1)/6 & \text{for } m \ge 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } m < 0 \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, Kodaira-Spencer introduced the concept of the number of moduli m(X) of a compact complex manifold X (see [5], Definition 11.1).

Main Theorem. Let S be a generic hypersurface in P3

defined by the equation (1), X the normalization of S.

Then, the number of moduli m(X) is defined, and we have

$$m(X) = \dim H^{1}(X, \Phi_{X}) = \mu(S) - 15 - \mu \delta_{r, s+1}$$

where \mathscr{D}_X denotes the sheaf of germs of holomorphic vector fields on X, and $\mathcal{S}_{r,s+1}$ is Kronecker's delta.

Let $f:X \to P^3$ denote the composition of normalization and the embedding. Then the difference of $\mu(S)$ and

m(X) is the contribution of the number of parameters on which the holomorphic map f depends.

For (r, s) = (3,1) or (4,3), S is one of the examples of M. Noether [6].

For (r, s) = (3,1), X is a minimal algebraic surface with $p_g = 4$, q = 0, and $c_1^2 = 6$, where p_g , q, and c_1^2 denote, respectively, the geometric genus, the irregularity, and the Chern number. We have

$$m(X) = 10(p_g - q + 1) - 2c_1^2 = 38,$$

$$H^2(X, \mathfrak{S}_X) = 0$$

(cf. Kodaira [8]).

For (r, s) = (4,3), X is a complete intersection of two hypersurfaces of degree 2 and 4 in P^{14} . We have also $H^{2}(X, \mathfrak{D}_{X}) = 0$.

1. Preliminaries. Let E be a hyperplane section of S, $\widetilde{E} = f^*E$, $\widetilde{\Delta} = f^{-1}(\Delta)$. From the equation (1), we infer

Lemma 1. $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is linearly equivalent to $s\widetilde{E}$ on X.

We note that $(n-\mu)\widetilde{E}-\widetilde{\Delta}$ is a canonical divisor on X, and that

 $H^{\vee}(X, \mathcal{O}(m\widetilde{E} - \widetilde{\Delta})) \simeq H^{\vee}(S, \mathcal{O}(mE - \Delta))$ for $\nu = 0, 1, 2$

(see [4]). By a standard computation (cf. [7]), we get

Lemma 2. dim $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{E})) = \mu + \delta_{r,s+1}$, $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{E})) = 0$.

Lemma 3. 1) The canonical bundle K of X is ample.

In particular, X is minimal.

2)
$$p_{g} = {2r-1 \choose 3} - (1/2)rs(3r - s - 4),$$

$$q = 0,$$

$$c_{1}^{2} = 2r(2r - s - 4)^{2}.$$

Remark. If r=s+1, then the <u>complete</u> linear system $|\widetilde{E}|$ is very ample, and X is a complete intersection of two hypersurfaces of degree 2 and r in $P^{\downarrow\downarrow}$.

2. Relation between deformations of S and X. Let $\{S_t\}_{t\in M}$ be a family of surfaces of degree n in P^3 with ordinary singularities, $S=S_0$, 06M. Letting $T_0(M)$ denote the tangent space of M at 0, we have the characteristic map

$$\sigma: T_0(M) \longrightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{N}_S^0)$$

where \mathcal{N}_{S}^{0} denotes the sheaf $\phi(nE - \Delta - \Sigma \tau_{i}^{!})$ in the notation of [4]. We note that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Theta_{S} \longrightarrow \Theta_{P^{3}|S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{S}^{0} \longrightarrow 0$$
.

On the other hand, the normalization X_t of S_t form a family $\mathcal{X} = {}^{\downarrow}_{l} X_t {}^{\downarrow}_{t \in M}$ of deformations of $X = X_0$ and the holomorphic map $f: X \longrightarrow P^3$ extends to a holomorphic map $\Phi: \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow P^3 \times M$ over M. Let \mathfrak{W}_X and \mathfrak{S}_{P^3} denote the sheaves of germs of holomorphic vector fields on X and P^3 respectively, and let \mathcal{F}_{X/P^3} denote the cokernel

of the canonical homomorphism $F: \oplus_X \longrightarrow f^* \oplus_{p\beta}$. Then we have the characteristic map

$$\tau:\mathbb{T}_0(M) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_{X/P^3})$$

(see [3], §1).

Lemma 4. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\psi: \mathcal{N}_{S}^{0} \longrightarrow f_{*}\mathcal{T}_{X/P^{3}}$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$f: H^0(S, \mathcal{N}_S^0) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_{X/P^3})$$

such that $-\tau = 4.0$.

We have two exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{S}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{P}^3|\mathbf{S}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{S}}^0 \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

$$0 \to f_* \oplus_{X} \to f_* f^* \oplus_{P3} \to f_* \mathcal{T}_{X/P3} \to 0.$$

Moreover, there exists a canonical homomorphism

$$f^*: \mathscr{Q}_{P^3}|_S \longrightarrow f_*f^* \mathscr{Q}_{P^3}$$
.

One can easily see that f* induces a disired isomorphism.

3. Vanishing of obstructions.

Lemma 5. The coboundary map

$$\mathcal{J}: H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_{X/P^3}) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{D}_X)$$

is surjective.

Proof. Let $\beta \in H^1(X, \bigoplus_X)$. Then β corresponds to a deformation X_{β} of X over $I = \operatorname{Spec} \mathfrak{C}[t]/(t^2)$.

By Lemma 1, we have $K = (n-s-4)[\widetilde{E}]$. It follows that the line bundle $[\widetilde{E}]$ extends to a line bundle on X_{β} . Then, by Lemma 2, the holomorphic map f extends to a holomorphic map $X_{\beta} \to P^3 \times I$ over I. This means that δ is surjective.

By the result of Kodaira cited in Introduction, and by Lemma 4, we obtain a family $\mathfrak{F}_1=\{X_t\}_{t\in M_1}$ of deformations of $X=X_0$ such that

$$\tau: T_0(M_1) \longrightarrow H^0(X, S_{X/P^3})$$

is surjective. By Lemma 5 and [3], Proposition 1.4, the infinitesimal deformation map

$$p: \mathbb{T}_0(M_1) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathfrak{S}_X)$$

is surjective.

This implies the existence of an effectively parametrized complete family of deformations of X and the equality $m(X) = \dim H^1(X, \Phi_X)$.

On the other hand, we have

dim
$$H^0(X, f^*_{P3}) = 15 + 45$$

by Lemmas 2 and 3. Finally it follows that

dim $H^1(X, \Theta_X) = M(S) - 15 - 4 \delta_{r, s+1}$

by Lemma 5.

References

- Akizuki, Y. and Nakano, S., Note on Kodaira-Spencer's Proof of Lefschetz theorems, Proc. Japan Acad., 30, 266-272 (1954).
- 2. Grothendieck, A., Techniques de construction en géométrie analytique, Sém. Cartan, 13 (1960/61).
- 3. Horikawa, E., On deformations of holomorphic maps I,

 J. Math. Soc. Japan, 25, (1973).
- 4. Kodaira, K., On characteristic system of families of surfaces with ordinary singularities in a projective space, Amer. J. Math., 87, 227-255 (1965).
- 5. Kodaira, K. and Spencer, D. C., On deformations of complex analytic structures I, II, Ann. of Math., 67, 328-466 (1958).
- 6. Noether, M., Anzahl der Moduln einer Classe algebraischer Flächen, Sitz. Königlich Preuss. Akad. der Wiss. zu Berlin, erster Halbband, 123-127 (1888).
- 7. 小子邦秀, 代数曲面論, 東大セミナケー ノート 20.
- 8. 小平邦移 , 稿素曲面についてのいくつかの未解決の問題 , 数理研講究録 ____