Equivalent measures on product spaces

By Toshihiro Hamachi

Let $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, P_n)$ be a probability measure space for each $n \ge 1$ and let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, P_n)$ be the product probability measure space. Assume that for each $n \ge 1$ there exists a δ -finite measure \mathcal{H}_n defined on the δ -algebra \mathcal{F}_n .

A $\mathbb G$ -finite measure $\mathcal H$ defined on the $\mathbb G$ -algebra $\mathbb H$ is said to be a $\mathbb G$ -finite quasi-product measure of $\{\mathcal H_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ if for each $n\geq 1$

$$\mu = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \times \mu_{n+1}^*$$

where μ_{n+1}^* is a δ -finite measure defined on the product space $\prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} (\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i)$.

Now the problem is that; Under what conditions on $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ and $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ does there exist a \mathcal{S} -finite, quasi-product measure \mathcal{M} equivalent with a product probability measure P? (The equivalence of measures means that P(A) = 0 iff $\mathcal{M}(A) = 0$). This problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 iff $\mathcal{M}(A) = 0$. This problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 iff $\mathcal{M}(A) = 0$. This problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 in P(A) = 0. The problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 in P(A) = 0. The problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 in P(A) = 0. The problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 in P(A) = 0. The problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 in P(A) = 0. The problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 in P(A) = 0. The problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 in P(A) = 0. The problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 in P(A) = 0. The problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 in P(A) = 0. The problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 in P(A) = 0. The problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 in P(A) = 0. The problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 in P(A) = 0. The problem was first discussed by P(A) = 0 in P(A)

measures under adding machine transformations acting on infinite product space and in the classification of infinite tensor product factors.

Our purpose is to systematically study the existence problem and to obtain a more simple and efficient condition for the existence of finite, quasi-product measures.

(1) There exists a \mathfrak{T} -finite, quasi-product measure \mathcal{H} of $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ equivalent with a product probability measure P if and only if there are positive constants b_1, b_2, \ldots such that $\mathfrak{T} \mathcal{X}_{-}(\omega)$

such that $\int_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_n(\omega)}{b_n}$ converges with probability 1, where $\chi_n(\omega) = \frac{d\mu_n}{dP_n}(\omega_n)$.

Using (1) and the Kolmogorov's three series theorem, we have

- (2) Necessary sufficient condition of the existence of δ -finite quasi-product measure μ of $\{\mu_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ equivalent with P is that there exists a \mathcal{F}_n -measurable set A_n for each $n\geq 1$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 \frac{E(\sqrt{\chi_n}, A_n)^2}{E(\chi_n, A_n)}$ converges. This is the D. Hill's condition.
 - equivalent with P if and only if there are positive constants b_1, b_2, \ldots such that $\frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{X_n(\omega)}{b_n}}}$ converges in the $\frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{E(\sqrt{X_n})^2}{b_n}}}$ converges. The last condition is the Kakutani's condition, where $\mu_n(\Omega_n) = 1$.