On Essential Selfadjointness of Dirac Operators

## Masaharu Arai

Fac. of Economics, Ritsmeikan Univ.

\$1. Introduction. The Hamiltonians in quantum mechanics are postulated to be selfadjoint operators. On the other hand they are given mostly as formal differential expressions. So it occurs the question whether these expressions determine selfadjoint operators uniquely or not in a suitable Hilbert space \$\mathcal{H}\$. For example, the Hamiltonians in relativistic quantum mechanics are given by the Dirac operators:

(1.1) 
$$T = -i \sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha_j \frac{3}{3} x_j + V, \quad i = \sqrt{-1},$$

where V=V(x) is a 4x4 symmetric matrix and  $\alpha_j$  are 4x4 constant symmetric matrices satisfying the anti-commutation relations

(1.2) 
$$\alpha_{j} \alpha_{k} + \alpha_{k} \alpha_{j} = 2 \delta_{jk} I$$
 (j,k = 1,2,3).

Now, let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = [L^2(R^3)]^4$  and  $\mathcal{S}_o$  be the linear subset  $\mathcal{S}_o = [C_o(R^3 \setminus \{o\})]^4$ . Let  $T_o$  be the restriction of T on  $\mathcal{S}_o$ . Then, under each assumption mentioned latter, the range of  $T_o$  is included in  $\mathcal{H}$ , and the operator  $T_o$  is symmetric in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus our problem becomes: Is the operator  $T_o$  essentially selfadjoint?

§2. results. Many arthers have obtained the affermative results on this problem under some assumptions on the potential V. Their assumptions will be, I think, classified into two groups:

- (I) (Coulomb type)  $|V| \le k/|x| \;,$  where |V| denotes the norm of symmetric matrix V. under this assumption, it holds the inequality
- (2.1)  $\|Vu\| \le a \|S_0u\| + b \|u\|$ ,  $V_u \in \mathcal{S}_o$  with a = 2k and b = 0, where  $S_o$  is the operator  $T_o$  with V = 0. Thus  $T_o$  is essentially selfadjoint if  $k \le \frac{1}{2}$ . This is a result of Kato [7]; see also  $[8; \text{chap.V}, \S5.4]$ .
  - (II) (singularity more gentle than the Coulomb type )

(II.1) 
$$|v| \in L^3_{\underline{loc}}$$
 ... (Gross[3]).

(II.2) (Stummel type ) The function of x  $\int_{|x-y| \le 1} |v(x)|^2 |x-y|^{-1-\epsilon} dy$ 

is <u>locally</u> bounded for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . . . . (Evans [2]).

Now, we remark that the inequality (2.1) holds with arbitrary small a under the assumption (II.1) or (II.2) without the underlined parts; see also Jörgens [5]. This is also true under the next assumption without the underlined parts; see Schechter [11; p.138]:

(II.3) The function of 
$$x$$

$$\int_{|x-y| \le \delta} |v(x)|^2 |x-y|^{-1} dy$$

is <u>locally</u> bounded and tends to zero as  $\delta \downarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact set.

On the other hand, it holds that

Theorem 1. Let  $V^R$  be the potential defined by  $V^R(x) = V(x)$  for  $|x| \le R$  and  $V^R(x) = 0$  for |x| > R and  $T^R_0$  be  $T_0$  with V replaced by  $V^R$ . Assume that  $T^R_0$  (FR>0) is essentially selfadjoint and the domain of its unique selfadjoint

extension coincides with the domain of  $S_0^*$ , which is the Sobolev space  $[H^1]^4$ . Then  $T_0$  is also essentially selfadjoint.

Combining with these results we have

Thereom 2. Let  $V = V_1 + V_2 + V_3$ , where  $V_1$  satisfies the assumption (I) with  $k < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $V_2$  and  $V_3$  satisfy (II.1) and (II.3) ( with the underlined parts ), respectively. Then, the operator  $T_0$  is essentially selfadjoint.

This is essentially a result of Jörgens [5].

Now, let us return to the assumption of type (I). We restrict our attention to the potential V to be a scalar q(x) times the  $4\chi4$  unit matrix I;

$$(I.1) V(x) = q(x) I,$$

or to be more restriced one:

$$(I.2) q(x) = k/|x|.$$

Then, Rellich [10] and Weidmann [14] show that under the assumption (I.2)  $T_0$  is essentially selfadjoint if and only if  $|\mathbf{k}| < \sqrt{3}/2$ . The "if part" is extended by Schminke [12], and Gustufson and Rejto [4] under the assumption (I.1), and by Kalf [6] under the assumption [I].

Comparing with these results and Theorem 2, it occures the

question whether one can replace the number  $\frac{1}{2}$  in Theorem 2 by more grater one or not. I claim that this is negative:

Theorem 3. For any  $k > \frac{1}{2}$  there exists a matrix V(x) such that it satisfies (I.1) and the Dirac operator  $T_0$  with this potential V is not essentially selfadjoint.

Although Theorem 1 is similar to a special case (Remark 5.5) of Theorem 5.6 of Jörgens [5], we shall give another proof of it in §3. Our method is based on an idea of Chernoff [1]. In §4 we construct a potential V which has the properties stated in Theorem 3.

§3. Proof of Thoerm 1. Let us consider a solution of the equation

(3.1) 
$$du/dt = i Tu, \quad u(0) = u_0 \in [H^1]^4.$$

Standard arguments show that

Lemma 1. Let u be a solution of the equation (3.1) in  $\left[H^1([-t_o,t_o]XR^3)]^4$  and put  $D_t=\left\{x\in R^3;|x-x_o|\leq d-|t|\right\}$  for  $|t|\leq t_o< d$ . Then, we have,  $\int_{D_{\pm t_o}}|u|^2~dx~\leq \int_{D_o}|u|^2~dx.$ 

In particular, if  $u_0 = 0$  in  $D_0$ , then u(t) = 0 in  $D_t$  and if  $\mathrm{supp}\ u_0 \subset \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3; \ |x| < \mathbb{R}\right\}$ , then  $\mathrm{supp}\ u(t) \subset \left\{x; \ |x| < \mathbb{R} + |t|\right\}$  for  $|t| \leq t_0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{Z}_1$  be the set of  $c^4$  valued functions which are in  $(\mathbf{H}^1)^4$  and have compact supports.

Lemma 2. Let  $u_0 \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ . Then the equation (3.1) has the unique solution  $u(t) \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ , which satisfies the equality (3.3)  $\|u(t)\| = \|u(0)\|$ .

Proof. Let  $u_0 \in \mathcal{Q}_1$  and  $\sup u_0 \subset \{x: |x| < R/2\}$ . Then the equation  $du/dt = i T^R u$ ,  $u(0) = u_0$  has the unique solution  $u(t) \in [H^1]^4$  satisfying (3.3) since  $T^R[H^1]^4$  is selfadjoint by the assumption of Theorem 1. The derivative du/dt is strong sence so that  $u \in [H^1([-t_0,t_0]XR^3)]^4$  and  $\sup u(t) \subset \{x; |x| < R/2 + |t|\}$  by virtue of Lemma 1. Thus u(t) is a solution of (3.1) for  $t \leq R/2$ , which proves the present Lemma since R can be chosen arbitrary large and the uniqueness follows from (3.2).

Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $T_1 = T \mid \mathcal{S}_1$ . Then, it is

easy to see that the closure of  $T_0$  = the closure of  $T_1$  so that  $T_1^* = T_0^*$ .

Let  $\psi_{\pm}$  be solutions of the equations  $T_0^*\psi_{\pm} = T_1^*\psi_{\pm} = \pm i\psi_{\pm}$  and u(t) be as above.

Put  $f_{\pm}(t) = (u(t), \psi_{\pm})$ . Then, we have  $(d/dt)f_{\pm}(t) = ((d/dt)u(t), \psi_{\pm}) = (iT_1^*u(t), \psi_{\pm}) = (iu(t), \pm i\psi_{\pm}) = \pm f(t)$  so that  $f_{\pm}(t) = f_{\pm}(0) = \pm t$ . On the other hand the equality (3.3) implies that  $f_{\pm}$  are bounded. Thus we have  $f_{\pm}(0) = (u_0, \psi_{\pm}) = 0$ , which implies  $\psi_{\pm} = 0$  since  $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}_1$  is arbitrary. Thus we complete the proof.

§4. Proof of Theorem 3. We define, as is done in standard textbooks on quantum mechanics, the constant symmetrix  $2\chi^2$  matrices  $\sigma_j(j=1,2,3)$  by

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

They satisfy the relations

 $\sigma_{\rm j}\sigma_{\rm k}={\rm i}\,\sigma_{\rm l},$  (j,k,l) = (1,2,3) in the cyclic order and the anti-commutation relations

$$\sigma_j \sigma_k + \sigma_k \sigma_j = 2 \delta_{jk} I$$
.

( Here and in the sequel, we sometimes denote by I the  $2\times2$  unit matrix and sometimes the  $4\times4$  unit matrix. But no confusion

will occur.) Define  $\alpha_j$  by  $\alpha_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_j \\ \sigma_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then, the equality  $\alpha_j = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_j & \sigma_k \\ 0 & \sigma_j & \sigma_k \end{pmatrix}$  holds so that  $\alpha_j = \lambda_j =$ 

Define  $\sigma_j'$  by  $\sigma_j' = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_j & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_j \end{pmatrix}$  and the differential operators  $m_j$  by  $m_j = x_k / 2x_k - x_1 / 2x_k$ , where (j,k,1) = (1,2,3) in the cyclic order. Then, we have

$$(4.2) \qquad \sum_{j} \alpha_{j} \partial_{\sigma} x_{j} = \alpha_{r}^{2} (\sum_{j} \alpha_{j} \partial_{\sigma} x_{j}) =$$

$$= \alpha_{r} (\sum_{j} \alpha_{j}^{2} r^{-1} x_{j} \partial_{\sigma} x_{j} + \sum_{j \neq k} \alpha_{j} \alpha_{k} r^{-1} x_{j} \partial_{\sigma} x_{j})$$

$$= \alpha_{r} (\partial_{\sigma} r + i r^{-1} \alpha_{r} \sum_{j} \sigma_{j} M_{j}).$$

Now, let u be a solution of the equation

and assume that w = Uu depends only upon r. Multiplication from the left by U yields

(4.4) 
$$JU(\partial/\partial r)U^{-1}w + ir^{-1}J\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2iI \end{pmatrix}w + UVU^{-1}w = \lambda w,$$

using (4.1),(4.2) and the identity  $U(\sum_{j} \sigma_{j}'M_{j})u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2iI \end{pmatrix} w$ .

Let the potential V be

(4.5) 
$$V(x) = r^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} aI & ib \, \sigma_r \\ -ib \, \sigma_r & aI \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,  $UVU^{-1} = r^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} aI & bI \\ bI & aI \end{pmatrix}$ , so that the eigenvalues of V

are (a+b)/r. Assume moreovere that

$$w = r^{-1}$$
  $t(f(r), f(r), g(r), g(r)).$ 

Then, the equality (4.4) reduces to

(4.6) 
$$\begin{cases} f' - r^{-1}f + r^{-1}(bf + ag) = \lambda g \\ -g' - r^{-1}g + r^{-1}(af+bg) = \lambda f. \end{cases}$$

As to this system of differential equations, as is pointed out by Weidmann [14], analogie to the Weyl's alternative theorem on Strum-Liouville equations holds;

Lemma 3. (i) If every pair.  $\{f,g\}$  of solutions of (4.6) satisfy

for some  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ , then every pair of solution of (4.6) also have the property (4.7) for arbitrary  $\lambda \in C$ .

(ii) For every non-real  $\lambda$  , the system (4.6) has at least one non-trivial solution which has the property (4.7).

The above assertions (i) and (ii) are also valid when the inequality (4.7) is replaced by

(4.7)' 
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} |f|^{2} + |g|^{2} dr < +\infty.$$

Let  $|b-1| \neq |a|$ . Then, the system (4.6) with  $\lambda = 0$  has a fundamental system  $\{f_{+},g_{+}\} = r^{p_{+}}\{1,(1-b_{+}^{p_{+}})/a\}$  of solutions, where  $P_{+} = \sqrt[+]{(b-1)^{2}-a^{2}}$ . The both pairs  $\{f_{+},g_{+}\}$  satisfy (4.7) if and only if  $(b-1)^{2}-a^{2}\langle 1,$  and then both pairs have not the property (4.7). Thus Lemma 3 shows that if

then the system (4.6) with non-real  $\lambda$  has non-trivial pair  $\{f,g\}$  of solution satisfying  $\int_0^\infty |f|^2 + |g|^2 \, dr < +\infty$ . Then, u is a non-trivial solution of (4.3) belonging to  $\mathcal{H}$  since  $\|u\|^2 = \|w\|^2 = 8 \int_0^\infty |f|^2 + |g|^2 \, dr < +\infty$ . The definition of the adjoint operators and integration by parts show that  $u \in \mathcal{O}(T_0^*)$  and  $T_0^*u = \lambda u$ . Thus  $T_0$  is not essentially selfadjoint since  $\lambda$  is non-real. Let, for example,  $b=\frac{1}{2}$  and a>0. Then,  $|V(x)| = (\frac{1}{2}+a)/r$  and the condition (4.8) is satisfied for  $a \neq \frac{1}{2}$ .

Last, we remark that the operator  $T_0$  with V defined by (4.5) has a selfadjoint extension. Indeed, let  $\widetilde{J}$  be the anti-

linear operator defined by  $\widetilde{J}u = O_2^{r}$ ,  $\overline{u}$ , then  $T_0$  commutes with  $\widetilde{J}$  so that  $T_0$  is  $\widetilde{J}$ -real.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P.R.Chernoff: Essental selfadjoitness of powers of generators of hyperbolic equations. J. Functional Analysis, <u>12</u>,401-414(1973).
- [2] W.D.Evans: On the unique selfadjoint extension of the Dirac operator and the existence of the Green matrix. Proc. London Math. Soc. 20, 537-557(1970).
- [3] L.Gross: The Cauchy problem for the coupled Maxwell and Dirac equations. C.P.A.M. 19, 1-15(1966).
- [4] K.E.Gustafson and P.A.Rejto: Some essentially selfadjoint

  Dirac operators with spherically symmetric potentials. Israel J.

  Math. 14, 63-75(1973).
- [5] K.Jörgens: Perturbation of Dirac operators. Conference on the theory of ordinary and partial differential equations, Springer Lecture note #280, 87-102(1972).
- [6] H.Kalf: A limit-point criterion for separated Dirac operators and a little known result on Riccati's equation. Math.Z. 129, 75-

82 (1972).

- [7] T.Kato: Fundamental properties of Hamiltonian operators of Schrödinger type. Trans. A.M.S. 70,195-211(1951).
- [8] : Perturbation theory for linear operators, Springer, Berlin.Heidelberg.New York.(1966).
- (9) R.T.Prosser: Relativistic potential scattering. J.Math.Phy. 4, 1048-1054(1963).
- [10] F.Rellich: Eigenwerttheorie partieller Differentialgleichungen II. Lecture notes, Göttingen (1954).
- [11] M.Schechter: Spectra of partial differential operators.
  North-Holland, Amsterdam.London (1971).
- [12] U.W.Schmincke: Essential selfadjointness of Dirac operators with a strong singular potential. Math.Z. 126,71-81(1972).
- [13] F. Stummel: Singuläre elliptische Differentialoperatoren in Hilbertschen Räumen. Math. Ann. 132,150-176(1956).
- [14] J.Weidmann: Oszillationsmethoden für Systeme gewöhnlicher Differentialgleichungen. Math.Z. 119,349-373(1971).