68

Constructing some spectra

We start from the following

Problem 1. Given integers n, a > 0, does there exist spectrum $E = E_p$ for each prime p and a constant c (independent of p) satisfying the followings ?

of generators of
$$\sum_{* < ap^n} \pi_*(E) \bigotimes Z_p < c$$

and # of generators of $\sum_{\substack{* < ap^n}} H_*(E) \otimes Z_p < c$.

As is easily seen the spectra $E = S^0$, $H_p = K(Z_p)$, BP do not give any answer of the above problem. However, for the case n = 1, an easy answer is given by taking E = V(1) or the following $H_p(1)$. We define

$$H_p(1) = \text{fibre of} \quad P^1 : H_p \longrightarrow \Sigma^q H_p = R_1^0.H_p$$

and BP(1) = fibre of
$$r_1 : BP \longrightarrow \Sigma^q BP = R_1^0.BP$$
,

where q = 2(p-1), R_i^j is a symbol having bidegree (-1, $2p^j(p^i-1)$), r_A is the Landweber-Novikov operation and P^A is a cohomology operation dual to that of Milnor's (having a similar action to homology to r_A). Then $\pi_*(H_p(1))$ has only two generators 1 and h = $\{R_1^0\}$ of degree 0 and q-1,and

$$H_*(BP(1); Z_p) \cong Z_p[\xi_1^p, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots] \otimes \Lambda(\psi_1^0),$$

$${\rm H}_{\star}({\rm H}_{\rm p}(1)\,;\,{\rm Z}_{\rm p})\ \ \underline{\circ}\ \ {\rm H}_{\star}({\rm BP}(1)\,;\,{\rm Z}_{\rm p})\ \ \otimes\ \ \Lambda(\tau_0,\tau_1',\tau_2',\ldots)$$

where $H_*(H_p; Z_p) = Z_p[\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots] \otimes \Lambda(\tau_0, \tau_1, \ldots)$ by Milnor, $\tau_1^! = \tau_1 - \tau_0 \xi_1$, $\psi_1^0 = [R_1^0.\xi_1^{p-1}]$ of degree pq-1 and $H_*(BP; Z_p) = Z_p[\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots]$ for the mod p reduction ξ_i of $m_i \in H_*(BP) = Z_{(p)}[m_1, m_2, \ldots]$, deg $\xi_i = \deg m_i = 2(p^i-1)$, deg $\tau_i = 2p^i-1$. The element ψ_1^0 is detected by the secondary operation ψ_1^0 associated with the relation $p^{p-1}p^1 = 0$. Taking p sufficiently large $(pq-2 \geq p^{p-1})$ approximately $p^{p-1}p^1 = 0$. Also we may regard that the spectrum $p^{p-1}p^1 = 0$ and $p^{p-1}p^1 = 0$. Also we may

Now our problem is to costruct spectra of such a sort $H_p(n)$, BP(n) for n =

2,3,... We consider

Problem 2. Given positive integer n, can we construct a chain complex $C(n) \text{ satisfying the following conditions ?} \qquad \text{For } X = H_p \text{ or BP}$ $C(n) = \Lambda(R_i^j \text{ ; } i+j \leq n) \otimes X^*(X),$

for monomials x, y in $\Lambda(R_j^i)$, $\partial(x \otimes 1) = \sum y \otimes f_{x,y}$

$$f_{x,y} \equiv \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x = zR_i^j \text{ and } y = zR_k^jR_{i-k}^{j+k} \\ P_i^j \text{ or } r_i^j & \text{if } y = xR_i^j \text{ ; } P_i^j = P^A, r_i^j = r_A \text{ for } A = p^j\Delta_i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

modulo p and higher terms in the subalgebra generated by r_i^j 's.

The chain complex is represented by a sequence

length r.

We denote by $H_p(n)$ resp. BP(n) a fibre (tower, relaization or desuspension of iterated cones) of the above sequence C(n) if it exists.

Lemma (i) Assume the existence of C(n). Then there exists BP(n) for $p \geq \frac{1}{4}(n^2+n+2) \text{ and } H_p(n) \text{ for } p \geq \frac{1}{4}(n^2+3n+4) \text{ or } p=3, \ n=2.$ They are unique if the inequalities hold.

(ii) Assume the existence of BP(n). Then there exists a spectral sequence: $E_2 = H_*(C(n); Y_*(BP)) \Longrightarrow Y_*(BP(n))$, which collapses if $p > \frac{1}{4}(n^2 + n + 2)$ and if Y = S, H, H_p , V(m) or = BP. Similar spectral sequence exists for $H_p(n)$.

In general, a fibre of C(n) exists if $[\Sigma^{k-2}X_r, X_{r+k}] = 0$ for $k \ge 3$. Then the lemma is proved by the fact $BP^*(BP) = 0$ for $* \not\equiv 0 \pmod q$ and also counting the number of Bocksteins in the monomials of $H_p(H_p) = H_*(H_p; Z_p)$ of

appropriate degrees.

Corollary. $\pi_*(H_p(n)) = H_*(C(n); Z_p)$, so the number of the generators of $\pi_*(H_p(n))$ is not greater than $2^{\binom{n+1}{2}}$.

In $H_*(BP; Z_p)$ r_i^j acts same as P_i^j Consier the subalgebra P^* of the mod p Steenrod algebra $H_p^*(H_p)$ sapnned by P^A , then the associated graded algebra $E^0(P^*)$ is the envelopping algebra over a Lie algebra mod p spanned by P_i^j with the relation $[P_k^j, P_{i-k}^{j+k}] = P_i^j$. So, modulo p and higher terms, C(n) changes to May's resolution of (non-restricted) Lie algebra $\{P_i^j\}$, and we have

 $\underline{\text{Corollary}}.$ If C(n) exists then Problem 1 is affirmative by $H_p(n)$.

Note 1. C(n) may be regarded as a sort of (unusual) resolution of $\underset{p}{\text{H}}_{p}(n)$ or BP(n).

Note 2. Let p be sufficiently large w.r.t.n. If $H_p(n)$ exists and the above associated spectral sequences collapse, then we define a spectrum VB(n) as the (p^nq-2) -skeleton of $H_p(n)$:

Similarly, for the (p^nq-2) -skeleton $B(\binom{n}{2})$ of BP(n),

$$\label{eq:hamma_def} \mathtt{H}_{\star}(\mathtt{B}((^{n}_{2}))\,;\,\, \mathtt{Z}_{p}) \ \ \ \underline{\overset{\circ}{=}} \ \ \Lambda(\psi^{\mathtt{j}}_{\mathtt{i}};\,\,\mathtt{i+j}\,\,\leq\,n)\,.$$

Note 3. If V(n) and $B(\binom{n}{2})$ exist then $V(n) \wedge B(\binom{n}{2})$ may be regarded as VB(n).

Now we can prove the following


Theorem. For $p \ge 3$, BP(2), $H_p(2)$, VB(2) and B(3) exist. For $p \ge 5$, BP(3), $H_p(3)$ and VB(3) exist.

The main part of the proof is the construction of C(2) and C(3). If C(n) is constructed for X = BP, it is also constructed for $X = H_p$ just by changing r_i^j by P_i^j . So we construct C(2) and C(3) for X = BP only.

First consider the case n = 2. Since $r_1 = r_1^0$, $r_p = r_1^1$ and $r_{0,1} = r_2^0$ enjoy the relations

$$[r_1, r_p] = r_{0,1}$$
 and $[r_1, r_{0,1}] = [r_p, r_{0,1}] = 0$,

C(2) is defined by the formulas in Problem 2 without taking modulus, that is, it is represented by the following diagram (replacing x.BP by x):



Note 4. BP(1) is mod p equivalent to


$$s^0 \ \cup_{\beta_1} \ e^{pq-1} \ \cup_{p} \ e^{pq} \ \cup_{\alpha_1} \ e^{(p+1)q} \ \cup_{e^{2pq-1}} \ \dots$$

where $P^{p}(S^{0}) = e^{pq}$ and $P^{1}(e^{pq}) = e^{(p+1)q}$ in mod p cohomology.

BP(2) is mod p equivalent to

$$s^0 \vee_{\beta_1} e^{pq-1} \vee_{\alpha_1\beta_1^{p-1}} e^{p^2q-1} \vee_{p} e^{p^2q} \vee_{e}^{(p^2+p)q-2} \vee_{e}^{(p^2+p)q-1} \dots$$
 where $p^{p^2}(s^0) = e^{p^2q}$.


Note 5. There exists a chain map $g: C(2) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{pq}C(2)$ of degree 1 such as



Moreover gg = 0. This induces maps g : BP(2) $\longrightarrow \Sigma^{pq-1}$ BP(2) and g : H $_p(2) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{pq-1}$ H $_p(2)$ such that $g_*(\psi_1^0) = 1$.

Note 6. By considering a fibre of the sequence $H_p(2) \xrightarrow{g} \Sigma^{pq-1} H_p(2)$ $\xrightarrow{g} \Sigma^{2(pq-1)} H_p(2) \xrightarrow{g} \dots$, we can obtain V(2) for p > 3, since g kills ψ_1^0 . But this breaks for p = 3 and we obtain $V(1\frac{1}{2})$ only.

Note 7. By a chain equivalence R_2^0 and $R_1^1R_1^0$ are cancelled, and we obtain an equivalent chain complex



Moreover, combining with the reduced form of g, as in Note 6, we obtain a BP-resolution of S^0 up to degree p^2q-2 which is essentially same as "BP-relation" of Thomas-Zahler used in proving the non-triviality of some γ_i .

Finally we consider the case n=3. The operations $\{r_{\bf i}^{\bf j};\ i+{\bf j}\le 3\}$ are no more closed under [,] :

$$[r_1, r_{p^2}] = r_{p^2-p}r_{0,1}, \quad [r_p, r_{p^2}] = r_{0,p} + fr_{0,1}, \quad [r_{0,1}, r_{p^2}] = r_{0,0,1},$$

$$[r_1, r_{0,p}] = r_{0,0,1}, \quad [r_p, r_{0,p}] = r_{p-1}r_{0,0,1}, \quad [r_{0,1}, r_{0,p}] = 0,$$

$$[r_{p^2}, r_{0,p}] = (r_{p^2-1} - r_{0,p-1})r_{0,0,1} \quad \text{and} \quad [r_i^j, r_{0,0,1}] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i+j \le 3,$$

where f is uiquely determined by the second equality (explicitly: f = -r p^2-1 + $\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \frac{(-1)^i}{i+1} r_{p-i-1} r_{p^2-pi-p} r_{0,i}$).

However we can construct C(3) by taking $f_{x,y} = -1$ or r_i^j for the first two cases in Problem 2 and modifying $f_{x,y}$ for the third case as follows. If gr_m^n is a term of above $[r_i^j, r_k^\ell]$ then

$$f_{x,y} = -g$$
 for $x = zR_m^n$, $y = zR_k^{\ell}R_i^j$ $(R_i^j, R_k^{\ell}, R_m^n \notin z)$.

In order to complete the definition of \qquad in C(3), we must add two more extra cases :

$$f_{x,y} = \begin{cases} -r & \text{for } x = zR_3^0R_2^0, \ y = zR_1^2R_2^1R_1^0 \ (x = 1, R_1^1) \\ -f' & \text{for } x = zR_3^0R_2^0, \ y = zR_1^2R_2^1R_1^1 \ (x = 1, R_1^0), \end{cases}$$

where f' is determined by

$$f'r_{0,1} = [r_{p-1}, r_{p^2}] - [r_p, r_{p^2-1}].$$

To check the condition = 0, we need various relations in [,]. example, between $R_3^0 R_2^0$ and $R_1^2 R_2^1 R_1^1 R_1^0$ there are 10 monomials connected by non-trivial maps. They are cancelled by [1, f] = [1, r_{p^2-1}] = 0 and [f', r_1] = $[r_{p-1}, r_{p^2-p}] + [r_p, r_{p^2-p-1}]$.

Consequently we can construct C(3), and then $H_p(3)$ and BP(3) for p>3

by applying the first lemma. For p = 3 and X = BP, C(3) is realized except the last term, then B(3) is obtained as a skeleton.

Note 8. Let B(2) be the $((p^2+p)q-2)$ -skeleton of B(3) then there are cofiberings $S^0 \longrightarrow B(1) \longrightarrow S^{pq-1}$, $B(1) \longrightarrow B(2) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{p^2q-1}B(1)$, $B(2) \longrightarrow B(3) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{(p^2+p)q-1}B(2)$ and $B(3) \equiv_p S^0 \cup_{\beta_1} e^{pq-1} \cup_{\alpha_1 \beta_1^{p-1}} e^{p^2q-1} \cup_{\beta_1} e^{(p^2+p)q-2} \bigvee_{\beta_1} e^{(p^2+p)q-1} \cup \dots$

Northwestern University
Kyoto University

References

- [1] J.F.Adams, Quillens work on formal groups and complex cobordism, Univ. of Chicago, 1970.
- [2]S.Araki, Typical formal group in complex cobordism and K-theory, Kyoto Univ, 1973.
- [3] P.S.Landweber, Cobordism operations and Hopf algebras, Trans. A.M.S. 129(1967), 94-110.
- [4] P.May, The cohomology of restricted Lie algebras and Hopf algebras, Theses, Chicago Univ., 1964.
- [5] J.Milnor, The Steenrod operations and its dual, Ann. Math.,67(1958),150-171.
- [6] P.S.Novikov, The method of algebraic topology from the viewpoint of cobordism theory, Izv. Akad. Nauk USSR 31(1967).
- [7] D.Quillen, On formal group lows of unoriented and complex cobordism theory, Bull. A.M.S. 75(1969),1293-1298.
- [8] H.Toda, On spectra relizing exterior parts of the Steenrod algebra, Topology 10(1971),53-65.