A Construction of Approximately Finite-Dimensional non-ITPFI Factors

E. J. Woods (Queen's Univ. & RIMS, Kyoto Univ.)

The following is a report on some joint work with A. Connes which was carried out in Paris in January, 1976.

A von Neumann algebra is said to be approximately finitedimensional if it is of the form

$$M = \{ M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots \subset M_n \subset \dots \}$$
"

where each  ${\tt M}_n$  is a finite-dimensional matrix algebra. A factor is said to be ITPFI if it is of the form

$$M = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} (M_n, \omega_n)$$

where each  $M_n$  is a type I factor (and  $\omega_n$  is a state of  $M_n$ ). The existence of factors which are approximately finite-dimensional but not ITPFI is an interesting problem. The first construction of such factors was given by Krieger [8]. However in [8] it is only proved that the factors are not "weakly equivalent" to any ITPFI factor. The first proof that these factors are not ITPFI was given by Connes [3]. Alternatively one could now use Krieger's theorem [9] that unitary equivalence implies "weak equivalence" to complete the argument. However Krieger's construction is rather involved, and the arguments of both Krieger [9] and Connes [3] were quite delicate. We give here a new construction for which, in the context of the flow of weights, the argument is rather elementary.

Sec. 1 reviews the relevant aspects of the flow of weights [4], and gives some terminology. Sec. 2 contains the technical lemmas. In Sec. 3 we discuss the examples.

## 1. Preliminary Material

Let M be a factor, Aut M the group of all automorphisms of M with the topology of pointwise convergence in the predual, and Int M the subgroup of inner automorphisms of M. The flow of weights of M is an ergodic action of  $R_+^*$  on some measure space  $(X_M, \mu_M)$ . The construction of [4] gives not the measure space, but the measure algebra whose elements are unitary equivalence classes [ $\phi$ ] of integrable weights  $\phi$  of infinite type. The flow is then defined by  $F_M(\lambda)$  [ $\phi$ ] = [ $\lambda \phi$ ]. Let  $\alpha$  & Aut M. The equation Mod  $\alpha$  [ $\phi$ ] = [ $\phi \circ \alpha$ ] defines a Borel (and hence continuous) homomorphism from the polish group Aut M into the polish group of automorphisms of the measure space  $(X_M, \mu_M)$ . Clearly  $\alpha$  & Int M implies that Mod  $\alpha$  = 1. If M is a factor of type III<sub>0</sub> then the flow of weights  $F_{M \oplus M}(\lambda)$  for M M is given by the action of  $F_M(\lambda)$   $\Theta$  1 on the measure algebra of the  $F_M(\lambda)$   $\Theta$   $F_M(\lambda^{-1})$  invariant sets on  $X_M \times X_M$ .

All Borel spaces considered in this paper are standard (i.e. Borel isomorphic to a Borel subset of the unit interval). A transformation S on a measure space  $(X,\mu)$  is called non-singular if it is invertible and both S and S<sup>-1</sup> are  $\mu$ -measurable. Given a non-singular S, the orbit of x under S is the set

$$O_S(x) = \{S^j x: j \in Z\}.$$

The full group of S is the set [S] of all non-singular transformations T such that for a.e. x,  $Tx \in O_S(x)$ . A set  $W \subset X$  such that  $\mu(S^j W \cap S^k W) = 0$  for all  $j \neq k$  is called a wandering set for S. S is said to be dissipative if there is a wandering set W such that  $X = \bigcup_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} S^j W$ .

## 2. The Technical Lemmas

Let M be a von Neumann algebra, x,y M. The automorphism  $\sigma$  of M M M defined by the equation  $\sigma(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$  is called the Sakai flip.

Lemma 2.1: Let M be an ITPFI factor,  $\sigma$  the Sakai flip on M  $\otimes$  M. Then  $\sigma \in \overline{\operatorname{Int}}(M \otimes M)$ .

 $\begin{array}{lll} \underline{\text{Proof:}} & \text{Let } \mathbb{M} = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{M}_n, \omega_n) & \text{be given on } \bigotimes (\mathbb{H}_n, \Omega_n) & \text{where} \\ \\ \omega_n(x) = (x\Omega_n, \Omega_n). & \text{Then } \mathbb{M} \otimes \mathbb{M} = \bigotimes_n (\mathbb{M}_n \bigotimes \mathbb{M}_n, \omega_n \bigotimes \omega_n) & \text{acts on} \\ \\ \mathbb{K} = \bigotimes_n (\mathbb{H}_n \bigotimes \mathbb{H}_n, \Omega_n \bigotimes \Omega_n). & \text{Let } \psi \in (\mathbb{M} \bigotimes \mathbb{M})_*, & \epsilon > 0. \end{array}$ 

We can assume that  $(\Omega_n \Omega_n)$  is a separating vector for M M (see lemma 3.15 of [2]). Hence there is a vector Y E K such that

 $\Psi(x) = (x\Psi, \Psi)$ . By lemma 3.1 of [1] there exists  $m < \infty$  and  $\Psi(m) \in \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} (H_n \otimes H_n)$ ,  $\|\Psi(m)\| = 1$ , such that  $\|\Psi - \Psi_{\varepsilon}\| < \varepsilon$ 

wnere

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon} = \Psi(m) \otimes (\bigotimes_{n=m+1}^{\infty} (\Omega_{n} \otimes \Omega_{n})).$$

Let  $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  be the state defined by  $\Psi_{\varepsilon}$ , and let  $\sigma_{m}$  be the Sakai flip on  $\bigotimes_{n=1}^{m} (M_{n} \bigotimes_{n} M_{n})$ . Then  $\sigma \psi_{\varepsilon} = (\sigma_{m} \bigotimes_{n=1}^{m} 1) \psi_{\varepsilon}$ . Hence  $\|(\sigma - \sigma_{m} \bigotimes_{n=1}^{m} 1) \psi\| < 2\varepsilon$ .

Since  $\sigma_m$  is inner, it follows that  $\sigma \in \overline{\text{Int}}(M \otimes M)$ . QED.

Lemma 2.2: Let R,S be non-singular transformations on the standard measure space  $(X,\mu)$ . If S is dissipative and R leaves invariant (modulo  $\mu$ ) all S-invariant measurable sets, then R  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  [S].

<u>Proof:</u> We first note that if (E,v) is a countably separated measure space and f:E E satisfies f(B) = (B) (modulo v) for all measurable B E, then f(x) = x (a.e. v). Namely let  $(B_n)_{n=N}$  separate points in E. Then

$$\{x: f(x) \neq x\} \subset \bigcup_{n} \{B_n \setminus f(B_n)\}$$

which is a set of measure zero.

Now let W be a wandering set for S such that  $X = \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} S^k W$ . Let  $P_k$  be the projection of X onto  $S^k W$  defined by  $P_k X = y$  if  $X = S^j Y$  for some j such that  $y \in S^k W$ . Let A be any measurable subset of  $S^k W$ . Then  $\bigcup_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} S^p A$  is S-invariant and it follows that  $P_k RP_k A = A$  (modulo  $\mu$ ). Now clearly  $P_k RP_k A = A$  (modulo  $\mu$ ). Now clearly  $P_k RP_k A = A$  (modulo  $\mu$ ). Now clearly  $P_k RP_k A = A$  (modulo  $\mu$ ). QFD.

The following theorem uses the base and ceiling function construction of a flow. For this purpose it is more convenient to have the flow as an action of R rather than  $R_+^*$ . Hence we shall use  $\P_M(\lambda) = F_M(e^{\lambda})$ .

Theorem 2.3: Let M be a factor of type  $\mathrm{III}_0$  whose flow of weights can be built under a constant ceiling function with a base transformation T such that  $\mathrm{T} \times \mathrm{T}^{-1}$  is dissipative. Then the Sakai flip  $\sigma \notin \overline{\mathrm{Int}}(\mathrm{M} \otimes \mathrm{M})$  and hence M is not ITPFI.

<u>Proof</u>: Clearly Mod  $\sigma$  acts on  $X_M \times X_M = (B \times I \times (B \times I))$  by  $\sigma(x,s,y,t) = (y,t,x,s)$ . Let E be any  $T \times T^{-1}$  invariant set in B×B,  $\sigma_B$  the flip on B×B. Then  $E \times I \times I$  is an  $\P_M(\lambda) \otimes \P_M(-\lambda)$  invariant set in  $X_M \times X_M$ . Now assume Mod  $\sigma = 1$ . Then  $\sigma_B$  must preserve E, hence  $\sigma_B \in [T \times T^{-1}]$  by the preceding lemma. But this implies that for a.e.  $(x,y) \in B \times B$  there exists an integer n(x,y) such that

 $\sigma_B(x,y) = (y,x) = (T^{n(x,y)}x, T^{-n(x,y)}y),$  i.e.  $y \in O_T(x)$ . But  $O_T(x)$  is countable. QED.

## 3. The Examples

It remains to demonstrate the existence of approximately finite-dimensional factors of type  $III_0$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.3. For this we first need the existence of ergodic transformations T such that  $T \times T^{-1}$  is dissipative. It is a classical result in ergodic theory that such transformations exist [6]. As a specific example, one can use the Markov shift obtained from a two-dimensional random walk. (These transformations preserve an infinite measure.) The existence now follows from the fact that any flow arises as the flow of weights of some approximately finite-dimensional factor [4,9]. (The proof of this in the general case is not so easy. However for measure preserving flows the argument is not difficult (see for example [7]).)

We remark that  $\sigma \in \overline{\operatorname{Int}}(M \otimes M)$  is not a sufficient condition for M to be ITPFI. Namely let M be an approximately finite-dimensional factor whose flow can be built under a constant ceiling function

with a base transformation T which preserves a finite measure. If T is a Bernoulli shift then M is not ITPFI [5]. But then T  $T^{-1}$  is ergodic, and it follows easily that Mod  $\sigma=1$ . Hence  $\sigma \in \overline{\operatorname{Int}}$  (M M) [4]. In fact if T is any ergodic transformation preserving a finite measure, it follows from the proof of part (2) of lemma 1 of [7] that Mod  $\sigma=1$  (see also [10]).

- [1] H. Araki and E. J. Woods, Complete Boolean Algebras of Type I Factors, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Ser. A 2 (1966), 157-242.
- [2] H. Araki and E. J. Woods, A classification of factors, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Ser A 4 (1968), 51-130.
- [3] A. Connes, Une classification des facteurs de type III, Ann. Sci. E.N.S. 4eme Serie 6 (1973), 133-251
- [4] A. Connes and M. Takesaki, Flow of weights on type III factors (to appear)
- [5] A. Connes and E. J. Woods, to appear
- [6] T. E. Harris and H. Robbins, Ergodic theory of Markov chains admitting an infinite invariant measure, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 39 (1953), 860-864.
- [7] T. Hamachi, Y. Oka and M. Osikawa, Flows Associated with Ergodic Non-Singular Transformation Groups, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. <u>11</u> (1975), 31-50
- [8] W. Krieger, On the infinite product construction of nonsingular transformations of a measure space, Invent. Math. 15 (1972), 144-163.
- [9] W. Krieger, On ergodic flows and the isomorphism of factors, Math. Ann. 223 (1976), 19-70.
- [10] T. Hamachi and M. Osikawa, Fundamental Homomorphism of Normalizer Group of Ergodic Transformation, preprint.