1

Isomorphisms of the Fourier Algebras in Crossed Products

by

## Yoshikazu Katayama

Department of Mathematical Science, Faculty of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka, Japan

Abstract.

Let  $(\mathcal{O}C, G, \alpha)$ ,  $(\mathcal{B}, H, \beta)$  be W\*-systems,  $F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{O}C)$  and  $F_{\beta}(H; \mathcal{B}C)$ , their Fourier algebras defined in [2]. The main result is that  $F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{O}C)$  and  $F_{\beta}(H; \mathcal{B}C)$  are isometrically isomorphic as Banach algebras if and only if either G and H are topologically isomorphic (denoted by I) as groups and  $\mathcal{O}C$  and  $\mathcal{B}C$  are isomorphic (denoted by  $\theta$ ) such that  $\beta_{I(g)} \circ \theta = \theta \circ \alpha_g$  for all  $g \in G$ , or G and H are topologically anti-isomorphic and  $\mathcal{O}C$  and  $\mathcal{O}C$  are anti-isomorphic such that  $\beta_{I(g)} \circ \theta = \theta \circ \alpha_g$  for all  $\beta_C \subset G$ .

## 1. Introduction.

For locally compact abelian groups G, H, Pontryagin's duality theorem mentions that  $L^1(G)$  and  $L^1(H)$  are isometrically isomorphic if and only if G and H are topologically isomorphic as groups. T. Kawada [4] and J.G. Wendel [11] proved the above statement for arbitary locally compact groups.

G is a locally compact abelian group, then  $L^1(G)$  is isometrically isomorphic to Fourier algebra A(G) in [7]. Therefore A(G) and A(H) are isometrically isomorphic as Banach algebras if and only if G and H are topologically isomorphic as abelian groups.

P. Eymard [1], on the other hand, defined the Fourier algebra A(G) of a locally compact group G and showed that A(G) is isometrically isomorphic to the predual  $m(G)_*$  of the von Neumann algebra m(G) generated by the left regular representation of G.

So that, M.E.Walter [10] showed that A(G) and A(H) are isometrically isomorphic as Banach algebras if and only if G and H are topologically isomorphic as groups for arbitary locally compact groups.

Recently for W\*-system ( $\mathcal{H}$ , G,  $\alpha$ ), the Fourier space  $F_{\alpha}(G;\mathcal{H}_{*})$   $\subset$   $C_{0}(G:\mathcal{H}_{*})$  was defined in [8] H. Takai such that  $F_{\alpha}(G;\mathcal{H}_{*})$  is isometrically isomorphic to the predual of the crossed product  $G \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}$  as Banach spaces.

M. Fugita [2] quite recently defined the Banach algebra structure in Fourier space  $F_{\alpha}(G;\mathcal{H}_{*})$  and all characters  $\widehat{F_{\alpha}(G;\mathcal{H}_{*})}$  is topologically isomorphic to G as groups and defined and investigated the support of the operators in G  $\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}$ .

In this paper we generalize a Walter's result for W\*-system ( $\mathcal{O}$ , G,  $\alpha$ ) and show that the Banach algebra structure in  $F_{\alpha}(G;\mathcal{O}_{*})$  is essential in a sence.

The author would like to express his thanks to Professor O. Takenouchi

for making chances of his study at U.C.L.A., Dr. M. Fugita for many fruitful discussions, and Professor M. Takesaki for a lot of suggestions and constant encouragement at U.C.L.A..

## 2. Notations and Preliminaries.

Let  $\mathcal M$  be a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal K$  and G be a locally compact group. The triple  $(\mathcal M,G,\alpha)$  is said a  $W^*$ -system if the mapping  $\alpha$  of G into the group  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal M)$  of all automorphisms of  $\mathcal M$  is a homomorphism and the function  $g\mapsto \omega \circ \alpha_g(x)$  is continuous on G for all  $x\in \mathcal M$  and  $\omega\in \mathcal M_*$  ( $\mathcal M_*$  is the predual of  $\mathcal M$ ).

 $G \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{H} \text{ is the von Neumann algebra generated by the family of the}$  operators  $\{\pi_{\alpha}(x),\,\lambda_{G}(g)\ ;\ x\in\mathcal{H}\, ,\ g\in G\}$  ;

$$(\pi_{\alpha}(x)\xi)(h) = \alpha_{h}^{-1}(x)\xi(h)$$

$$(\lambda_{g}(g)\xi)(h) = \xi(g^{-1}h)$$

for  $\xi \in L^2(G; \mathcal{K})$ .

Each element  $\omega$  of the predual  $(G \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{T})_*$  of  $G \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{T}$  may be regarded as an element  $u_\omega$  of  $C^b(G, \mathcal{H}_*)$ ;

$$u_{\omega}[g](x) = \langle \pi_{\alpha}(x)\lambda(g), \omega \rangle$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $g \in G$  where  $C^b(G; \mathcal{O}_{\!\!\! m{x}})$  is the space of all bounded continuous functions. And the new norm  $|| \ ||$  on  $F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{O}_{\!\!\! m{x}})$  is defined;

$$||u_{\omega}|| = ||\omega||$$

such that  $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq \|u\|$  for all  $u \in F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{M}_{*})$  where  $F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{M}_{*}) = \{u_{\omega} ; \omega \in (G \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{H})_{*}\} \subset C^{b}(G; \mathcal{H}_{*})$ .

We define the product on  $\mathbf{F}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{G};\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}})$  by ;

$$(u * v)[g](x) = u(g)(x)v(g)(1)$$

for all u, v  $\in$   $F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_{*})$ , x  $\in \mathcal{H}$ , g  $\in$  G. Then  $F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_{*})$  became a Banach

algebra ([2] Theorem 3.5). So that we can define the product with an operator T in G  $\otimes_{\alpha}\mathcal{H}$  and an element u in  $F_{\alpha}(G;\mathcal{H}_{*})$ ;

for all  $v \in F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_{*})$  ((3.7), (3.9) in [2]).

Let T be an operator in  $G \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{H}$ . Then the support  $\operatorname{supp}(T)$  of T is the set of all  $g \in G$  satisfying the condition that  $\chi(g)$  belongs to the  $\sigma$ -weak closure of  $\operatorname{TF}_{\sigma}(G;\mathcal{H}_{*})$  [See [2] Proposition 4.1].

Theorem. Let  $(\mathcal{O}, G, \alpha)$ ,  $(\mathcal{B}, H, \beta)$  be  $W^*$ -systems and  $F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{K}_{*})$ ,  $F_{\beta}(H; \mathcal{B}_{*})$  their Fourier algebras. Let  $\phi$  be an isometric isomorphism of  $F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{N}_{*})$  onto  $F_{\beta}(H; \mathcal{B}_{*})$  as Banach algebras.

Then we get five elements  $(k, p, q, I, \theta)$  with the following properties;

- (1) k is an element of G such that  $\lambda_G(k) = {}^t\phi(\lambda_H(e))$ , where  ${}^t\phi$  is the transposed map of  $\phi$ , e is the identity of H,
- (2) I is either an isomorphism or anti-isomorphism of H onto G as locally compact groups,
  - (3) p(resp. q) is a projection of  $3_{H} \cap \mathcal{H}^{G}$  (resp.  $3_{B} \cap \mathcal{B}^{H}$ ),
  - (4)  $\theta$  is a isometric linear map of  $\mathcal B$  onto  $\mathcal H$  such that,  $\theta$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal B_q$  onto  $\mathcal H_p$ ,
    - $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is an anti-isomorphism of  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{1-q}$  onto  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{1-p}$  ,
- $(5) \ \phi(u)[h](y) = (_k u)[I(h)](\theta(y) \not p) + (_k u)[I(h)](\alpha_{I(h)}(\theta(y))(1-\not p))$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{x}})$ , where  $(_k u)[g](y) = u[kg](\alpha_k(y))$ ,
- $(6) \ \theta[\beta_k(y)] = [\alpha_{I(h)} \cdot \theta(y)] p + [\alpha_{I(h)}^{-1} \cdot \theta(y)] (1-p)$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $h \in H$ .

Corollary. Let  $(\mathcal{H}, G, \alpha)$ ,  $(\mathcal{B}, H, \beta)$  be  $W^*$ -systems, the two actions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are ergodic on their centers (ie.  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} \cap \mathcal{H}^G = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}} \cap \mathcal{B}^H = \mathcal{C}$ ). The following statements are equivalent;

- (i)  $F_{\alpha}(G;\mathcal{H}_{m{*}}) \ \underline{\circ} \ F_{m{\beta}}(H;\boldsymbol{\beta}_{m{*}})$  in the sense of Banach algebras,
- (ii) there exist either an isomorphism I of H onto G, an isomorphism  $\theta$  of  $\mathcal B$  onto  $\mathcal K$  such that  $\theta \circ \beta_h = \alpha_{I(h)} \circ \theta$  for all  $h \in H$ , or an anti-isomorphism I of H onto G, an anti-isomorphism  $\theta$  of  $\mathcal B$  onto  $\mathcal H$  such that  $\theta \circ \beta_h = \alpha_{I(h)} \circ \theta$  for all  $h \in H$ .

$$t_{\phi} = t_{\phi}(\lambda_{H}(e))(\gamma_{I} + \gamma_{A})$$

where  $\gamma_{\rm I}$  is an isomorphism of  $({\rm H}\otimes_{\beta}\mathcal{B})_{\rm Z}$ , onto  $({\rm G}\otimes_{\alpha}\mathcal{H})_{\rm Z}$ ,  $\gamma_{\Lambda}$  is an anti-isomorphism of  $({\rm H}\otimes_{\beta}\mathcal{B})_{(1-{\rm Z}^{\rm I})}$  onto  $({\rm G}\otimes_{\alpha}\mathcal{H})_{(1-{\rm Z})}$ , z(resp. z') is a central projection of  ${\rm G}\otimes_{\alpha}\mathcal{H}$  (resp.  ${\rm H}\otimes_{\beta}\mathcal{B}$ ).

For all u, v  $\in \mathbb{F}_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_{*})$ , h  $\in H$ , we obtain ;

$$< {}^{t}\phi(\lambda_{H}(h)), u * v > = < \lambda_{H}(h), \phi(u * v) >$$

$$= < \lambda_{H}(h), \phi(u) * \phi(v) >$$

$$= < \lambda_{H}(h) \otimes \lambda_{H}(h), \phi(u) \otimes \phi(v) >$$

$$= < {}^{t}\phi(\lambda_{H}(h)), u > < {}^{t}\phi(\lambda_{H}(h)), v > .$$

Therefore  ${}^t\phi(\lambda_H(h))$  is a character of  $F_\alpha(G;\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{\ast}})$  for all  $h\in H$ , which implies  ${}^t\phi(\lambda_H(H))=\lambda_G(G)$  since the character space  $F_\alpha(G;\;\boldsymbol{\ast})$  is isomorphic to G ([2] theorem 3.14).

We denote  $t_{\phi(\lambda_{||}(e))}$  by  $\lambda_{||}(k)$ .

By the quite same arguement in [10] Theorem 2 we get that

$$\gamma = t_{\phi}(\lambda_{H}(e))^{-1} t_{\phi} = \gamma_{T} + \gamma_{A}$$

is C\*-isomorphism in Kadison's sense [3] and  $\gamma(\lambda_H(h_1)\lambda_H(h_2))$  is either  $\gamma(\lambda_H(h_1))$   $\gamma(\lambda_H(h_2))$  or  $\gamma(\lambda_H(h_2))$   $\gamma(\lambda_H(h_1))$ , moreover we put  $\gamma(\lambda_H(h)) = \lambda_G(I(h))$ , so that I is either an isomorphism or an anti-isomorphism of H onto G as locally compact groups.

The transposed map  $\psi$  of  $\gamma$  is also an isometric isomorphism of  $F_{\alpha}(G;\pmb{\mathcal{H}}_{\pmb{\ast}}) \text{ onto } F_{\beta}(H;\pmb{\mathcal{B}}_{\pmb{\ast}}).$  Then we get ;

$$<\gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y)), u * v > = <\pi_{\beta}(y), \psi(u * v) >$$
 
$$= <\pi_{\beta}(y), \psi(u) * \psi(v) >$$
 
$$= <\pi_{\beta}(y) \otimes 1, \psi(u) \otimes \psi(v) >$$
 
$$= <\gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y)) \otimes 1, u \otimes v >$$

for all  $y \in B$  ,  $u, v \in F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_{*})$ .

By [5] proposition 2.3, we obtain  $\gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y))$  is an element of  $\pi_{\alpha}(\partial \mathcal{L})$ , so that we can define a isometric surjective linear map  $\theta$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  onto  $\partial \mathcal{L}$  by  $\theta = \pi_{\alpha}^{-1} \circ \gamma \circ \pi_{\beta}$ .

Since  $\gamma$  is a Jordon isomorphism,

$$\gamma(T)\gamma(z') + \gamma(z')\gamma(T) = \gamma([T, z']) = 2\gamma(Tz')$$

for all T  $\in$  H  $\otimes_{\beta}$ B, therefore we get  $\gamma(T z') = \gamma(T)z$ .

Hence 
$$\gamma(\pi_{\beta}(x y))z = \gamma(\pi_{\beta}(x)) \gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y))z$$

for all x,y  $\in \mathcal{B}$ .

Since z is a central projection of G  $\otimes$   $\mathcal{M}$ , z is also an projection

of  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$ ', so that  $\gamma(\pi_{\beta}(x \ y))p = \gamma(\pi_{\beta}(x))\gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y))p$  for all  $x,y \in \mathcal{B}$  where p is the central support of z in the von Neumann algebra  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$ '.

We denote by q the central support of z' in the von Neumann algebra  $\pi_{\beta}(\mathcal{B})$ ', then  $\gamma(q)z=\gamma(q\ z')=\gamma(z')=z$ , implies that  $\gamma(q)p=p$ , similarly we also obtain  $\gamma^{-1}(p)q=q$  so that  $\gamma(q)=\gamma(\gamma^{-1}(p)q)=\gamma(\gamma^{-1}(p))$   $\gamma(q)p=p$   $\gamma(q)p=p$ .

Hence  $\theta$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{B}_q$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_p$ , moreover by the quite same argument,  $\theta$  is an anti-isomorphism of  $\mathcal{B}_{1-q}$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_{1-p}$ .

Since  $\pi_{\alpha}(\partial \zeta)' = \lambda_{G}(g) \pi_{\alpha}(\partial \zeta)' \lambda_{G}(g)''$ ,  $\lambda(g)z\lambda(g)'' = z$  for all  $g \in G$ , we can prove easily that p is a G-invariant projection of  $\partial \zeta$ , similarly q is a H-invariant projection of  $\partial \zeta$ .

Now we have already proved  $(1) \sim (4)$  and the statements (5) and (6) still remain.

For all  $y \in B$ ,  $h \in H$ , we get

$$\{\pi_{\alpha} \cdot \theta(\beta_{h}(y))\}z = \gamma(\lambda_{H}(h)\pi_{\beta}(y)\lambda_{H}(h)^{*}z')$$

$$= \lambda_{G}(I(h))\pi_{\alpha}\theta(y)\lambda_{G}(I(h)^{-1})z$$

$$= \pi_{\alpha} \quad \alpha_{T(h)} \quad \theta(y)z.$$

Hence we get  $\theta \circ \beta_h = \alpha_{I(h)} \circ \theta$  on  $\Theta_q$ , and similarly  $\theta \circ \beta_h = \alpha_{I(h)} - 1 \circ \theta \text{ on } \Theta_{1-q} \text{ for all } h \in H.$ 

Therefore we get ;

$$\theta \circ \beta_h(y) = \alpha_{I(h)} \circ \theta(y)p + \alpha_{I(h)} - 1 \circ \theta(y)(1-p)$$

for all  $y \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ .

To prove the statement (5), we shall show first;

supp 
$$\gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y)\lambda_{H}(h)) = \{I(h)\}$$
.

For  $u \in F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_{*})$ , since  $(\gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y) \lambda_{H}(h)))u = \gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y) \lambda_{H}(h)\psi(u))$  and  $\psi$  is surjective, we get ;

$$\begin{split} & \left[ \gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y) \ \lambda_{H}(h)) \ F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_{*}) \right]^{\sigma-W} \\ & = \gamma[\pi_{\beta}(y) \ \lambda_{H}(h) \ F_{\beta}(H; \mathcal{B}_{*}) \right]^{\sigma-W} \ , \end{split}$$

therefore  $[\pi_{\beta}(y) \lambda_{H}(h) F_{\beta}(H; \mathcal{B}_{*})] \overset{\sigma-W}{\frown} \lambda_{H}(H) = \mathcal{L} \lambda_{H}(h)$  because of supp  $\pi_{\beta}(y)\lambda_{H}(h) = \{h\}$ , so that we obtain ;

$$[\gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y) \ \lambda_{H}(h))F_{\alpha}(G;\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}_{*}})] \overset{\neg \sigma - W}{\frown} \ \lambda_{G}(G) = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}} \ \lambda_{G}(I(h)) \ ,$$

that is supp  $\gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y) \mid \lambda_{H}(h)) = \{I(h)\}$  .

By [2] Theorem 4.4 or [6] Proposition 6.1, there exists an element x of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y) \lambda_{H}(h)) = \pi_{\alpha}(x) \lambda_{G}(I(h))$ .

On the other hand, 
$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\alpha}(x) \ \lambda_{G}(I(h))z \\ &= \gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y) \ \lambda_{H}(h))z \\ &= \gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y)) \ \gamma(\lambda_{H}(h))z \\ &= \pi_{\alpha}(\theta(y)) \ \lambda_{G}(I(h))z \end{aligned}$$

therefore, we get x p =  $\theta(y)$ p, similarly we obtain  $x(1-p) = \alpha_{I(h)} \bullet \theta(y)(1-p)$ , hence x =  $\theta(y)$ p +  $\alpha_{I(h)} \bullet \theta(y)(1-p)$ ,

$$\gamma(\pi_{\hat{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathbf{y}) \mid \lambda_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{h})) = \pi_{\alpha}(\theta(\mathbf{y})\mathbf{p}) \quad \lambda_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{h})) + \pi_{\alpha}(\alpha_{\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{h})} \bullet \theta(\mathbf{y})(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{p})) \lambda_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{h})) .$$

By the definition of Fourier algebras, the above equation and  $\phi(u) = \psi(u)$  for all  $u \in F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_{x})$ , we can get the statement (5) easily.

[Proof of Cor.] Suppose  $\phi$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $F_{\alpha}(G;\mathcal{H}_{*})$  onto  $F_{\beta}(H;\mathcal{B}_{*})$  and we use the same notations in the proof of the Theorem. The projection p in the Theorem must be zero or 1 by the conditions in the corollary, therefore  $\theta$  must be either an isomorphism or an anti-isomorphism of  $\mathcal{B}$  onto  $\mathcal{H}$ .

When G is a locally compact abelian group, (which implies that H is also a locally compact abelian group), I can be regarded as both an isomorphism and an anti-isomorphism as we like, therefore the Theorem says that  $\theta$  is either an isomorphism of  $m{\beta}$  onto  $m{\mathcal{H}}$  such that I is an isomorphism of h onto G and  $\alpha_{I(h)} \circ \theta = \theta \circ \beta_h$  for all h  $\in H$ , or an antiisomorphism of  ${\cal B}$  onto  ${\cal H}$  such that I is anti-isomorphic and  $\alpha_{T(h)} \bullet \theta$  =  $\theta \bullet \beta_h$  for all h  $\in \text{H.}$  Hence we may assume that G is non-abelian. When I is an anti-isomorphism of H onto G, the projection(1-z) appearing in the proof of the Theorem must be non-zero. For, if the projection z is an identity operator in G  $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{H}$  then  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism of H  $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{B}$ onto  $G \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{H}$ , so that the argument in the construction of the antiisomorphism I tell us that I is isomorphic [See [10] Theorem 2]. Then I is both anti-isomorphic and isomorphic, which implies that G is an abelian group, which is a contradition. Then we have gotten the projection (1-z) is non-zero. Instead of considering the central support p of z in the proof of the Theorem, we may take the central support of (1-z) in the von Neumann algebra  $\pi_{\alpha}(\partial Q')$ , hence  $\theta$  must be anti-isomorphic such that  $\alpha_{T(n^{-1})}$  •  $\theta = \theta \cdot \beta_h$  for all  $h \in H$ . If I is an isomorphism of H onto G, we similarly get the conclusion that  $\theta$  is isomorphic such that  $\alpha_{T(h)} \circ \theta = \theta \circ \beta_h$ for all h  $\in$  H.

Conversely, suppose I is an isomorphism of H onto G such that  $\theta \cdot \beta_h = \alpha_{T(h)} \cdot \beta_h$  for all  $h \in H$ . [9] proposition 3.4 says that there exists

an isomorphism  $\gamma$  of  $H \otimes_{\beta} \beta$  onto  $G \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y)) = \pi_{\alpha}(\theta(y))$  for all  $y \in \beta$ ,  $\gamma(\lambda_{H}(h)) = \lambda_{G}(I(h))$  for all  $h \in H$ .

Then the transposed map  $\phi$  of  $\gamma$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $F_{\alpha}(G;\pmb{\mathcal{H}}_{\pmb{\ast}}) \text{ onto } F_{\beta}(H;\pmb{\mathcal{B}}_{\pmb{\ast}}).$ 

Suppose I is an anti-isomorphism of H onto G such that  $\theta \circ \beta_h = \alpha_{I(h^{-1})} \circ \theta_{I(h^{-1})}$  for all  $h \in H$ . By considering the opposite von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}^0$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , the isomorphism J of H onto G by  $J(h) = I(h^{-1})$  for all  $h \in H$ , similarly above, there exists an isomorphism  $\gamma$  of  $H \otimes_{\beta} \mathcal{B}$  onto  $G \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{M}^0$  such that  $\gamma(\pi_{\beta}(y)) = \pi_{\alpha}(\theta(y))$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\gamma(\lambda_H(h)) = \lambda_G(J h)$  for all  $h \in H$ . On the other hand  $G \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{M}^0$  is isomorphic to  $G \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{M}$  as Banach spaces, therefore there exists an isometric linear map  $\gamma$  of  $H \otimes_{\beta} \mathcal{B}$  onto  $G \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{M}$  with the above properties. Then it is quite clear that the transposed map  $\phi$  of  $\gamma$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $F (G; \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{x}})$  onto  $F_{\mathbf{x}}(H; \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{x}})$ .

Remark 1. This theorem is a kind of the generalization of [10] Theorem 2.

Remark 2. Let  $(\mathcal{H}, G, \alpha)$ ,  $(\mathcal{H}, G, \beta)$  be W\*-systems. Then the algebraic tensor product  $A(G) \odot \mathcal{H}_*$  with the Fourier algebra A(G) of G and the predual  $\mathcal{H}_*$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  is naturally imbeded in both the Fourier algebras  $F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_*)$   $F_{\beta}(G; \mathcal{H}_*)$ , moreover  $A(G) \odot \mathcal{H}_*$  is dense in these, therefore if the identity map i of  $A(G) \odot \mathcal{H}_* \subset F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_*)$  onto  $A(G) \odot \mathcal{H}_* \subset F_{\beta}(G; \mathcal{H}_*)$  can be extended isometrically from  $F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_*)$  onto  $F_{\beta}(G; \mathcal{H}_*)$ , the two actions  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are quite same in a sence. The algebric structure of  $F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_*)$  determines the group structure of  $F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_*)$  and the norm in  $F_{\alpha}(G; \mathcal{H}_*) \subset C_0(G; \mathcal{H}_*)$  which is quite different from the sup-norm in  $C^b(G; \mathcal{H}_*)$  determines the action G of G.

## References

- [1] P. Eymard, L'algebre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact, Bull. Soc. Math. France 92 (1964), 181-236.
- [2] M. Fugita, Banach algebra structure in Fourier spaces and generalization of harmonic analysis on locally compact groups, (preprint).
- [3] R.V. Kadison, Isometries of operator algebras. Ann. of Math. 54 (1951), 325-338.
- [4] Y. Kawada, On the group ring of a topological group, Math.

  Japonicae 1 (1948), 1-5.
- [5] M.B. Landstad, Duality theory for covariant systems, (preprint).
- [6] Y. Nakagami, Dual action of a von Neumann algebra and Takesaki's duality for a locally compact group, preprint University of Kyushu 1975.
- [7] W. Rudin "Fourier analysis on groups" Interscience, New York
- [8] H. Takai, On a Fourier expansion in continuous crossed product:
  Publ. R.I.M.S. Kyoto Univ., 11 (1976), 849-880.
- [9] M. Takesaki, Duality for crossed products and the structure of vor Neumann algebras of type III, Acta. Math., 131 (1973) 249-310.
- [10] M.E. Walter, W\*-algebras and non-abelian harmonic analysis, J. Functional Analysis 11 (1972), 17-38.
- [11] J.G. Wendel, Left centralizers and isomorphisms of group algebras Pacific J. Math. 2 (1952), 251-261.