1

Difference Analogue of Volterra's Equation

Ryogo HIROTA and Masaaki ITO

Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering Hiroshima University, Hiroshima

In the classic works of Volterra and Lotka the following coupled nonlinear differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (\alpha - y)x,$$
{
$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -(\beta - x)y,$$
(1)

is presented to discribe the growth of populations of two species, prey x and predator y^1 , where α and β are positive parameters.

The differential mapping (1) is known to exhibit the following invariant curve

$$x + y - \beta \log x - \alpha \log y = const.$$

We look for a difference analogue of eq.(1) which exhibits an invariant curve. For this purpose we transform eq.(1) into the bilinear form and construct a difference analogue of the bilinear form using the dependent variable transformation. (2),3)

Let x(t) = g(t)/f(t) and y(t) = h(t)/f(t), then eq.(1) is transformed into the following bilinear form

$$D_{t}g(t) \cdot f(t) = \alpha g(t)f(t) - g(t)h(t)$$

$$D_{t}h(t) \cdot f(t) = -\beta h(t)f(t) + g(t)h(t),$$
(2)

where the bilinear operator $D_t^{\ n}$ operating on a.b is defined, for an integer n, by

$$D_t^n a(t) \cdot b(t) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t'}\right)^n a(t)b(t')\Big|_{t=t'}$$

A difference analogue of eq.(2) is obtained by replacing the bilinear operators in eq.(2) by the difference analogues of them, namely D_t by δ^{-1} [exp $(\delta D_t/2)$ - exp $(-\delta D_t/2)$] and 1 (unit operator) by $(1 - \epsilon_i)$ exp $(\delta D_t/2)$ + ϵ_i exp $(-\delta D_t/2)$, where δ is the time difference and ϵ_i is a parameter, and the difference operator exp $(\delta D_t/2)$ operating on a(t), b(t) is defined by

$$\exp (\delta D_{t}/2)a(t) \cdot b(t) = a(t + \delta/2)b(t - \delta/2) .$$

By these replacements, eq.(2) becomes

$$\begin{split} \delta^{-1} & \left[g(t + \delta/2) f(t - \delta/2) - g(t - \delta/2) f(t + \delta/2) \right] \\ &= \alpha [(1 - \varepsilon_1) g(t + \delta/2) f(t - \delta/2) + \varepsilon_1 g(t - \delta/2) f(t + \delta/2)] \\ &- \left[(1 - \varepsilon_2) g(t + \delta/2) h(t - \delta/2) + \varepsilon_2 g(t - \delta/2) h(t + \delta/2) \right] , \\ \delta^{-1} & \left[h(t + \delta/2) f(t - \delta/2) - h(t - \delta/2) f(t + \delta/2) \right] \\ &= -\beta [(1 - \varepsilon_3) h(t + \delta/2) f(t - \delta/2) + \varepsilon_3 h(t - \delta/2) f(t + \delta/2) \right] \\ &+ \left[(1 - \varepsilon_2) g(t + \delta/2) h(t - \delta/2) + \varepsilon_2 g(t - \delta/2) h(t + \delta/2) \right] . \end{split}$$

Dividing the above equations by $f(t+\delta/2)f(t-\delta/2)$, we obtain

$$\delta^{-1} \left[\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t} + \delta/2) - \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t} - \delta/2) \right] = \alpha \left[(1 - \varepsilon_1) \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t} + \delta/2) + \varepsilon_1 \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t} - \delta/2) \right]$$

$$- \left[(1 - \varepsilon_2) \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t} + \delta/2) \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t} - \delta/2) + \varepsilon_2 \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t} - \delta/2) \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t} + \delta/2) \right],$$

$$\left\{ \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t} + \delta/2) \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t} - \delta/2) \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t} + \delta/2) \right\},$$

$$\left\{ \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t} + \delta/2) \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t} + \delta/2) \right\},$$

$$\delta^{-1} [y(t+\delta/2) - y(t-\delta/2)] = -\beta[(1-\epsilon_3)y(t+\delta/2) + \epsilon_3y(t-\delta/2)] + [(1-\epsilon_2)x(t+\delta/2)y(t-\delta/2) + \epsilon_2x(t-\delta/2)y(t+\delta/2)],$$

Equation (3) is a candidate of difference analogue of Volterra's equation. Now we impose the physical condition on eq.(3) that for arbitrary value of positive δ , the populations x(t) and y(t) should be non-negative for all time if they were positive at a time. We shall select parameters ϵ_1 , ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 to satisfy the condition. For small values of x and y, eq.(3) is approximated by the linear equations,

$$x(t + \delta/2) = \frac{1 + \delta\alpha\epsilon_1}{1 - \delta\alpha(1-\epsilon_1)} x(t - \delta/2),$$

$$y(t + \delta/2) = \frac{1 - \delta\beta\epsilon_3}{1 + \delta\beta(1-\epsilon_3)} y(t - \delta/2) ,$$

which show that $x(t+\delta/2)$ and $y(t+\delta/2)$ become negative for large values of δ unless ϵ_1 = 1 and ϵ_3 = 0.

Hereafter we put $x(t + \delta/2) = x_{t+1}$, $x(t - \delta/2) = x_t$, $y(t + \delta/2) = y_{t+1}$, $y(t - \delta/2) = y_t$, $\varepsilon_1 = 1$, $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_3 = 0$, and rewrite eq.(3) as

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_{t} = \delta[\alpha \mathbf{x}_{t} - (1-\epsilon)\mathbf{x}_{t+1}\mathbf{y}_{t} - \epsilon \mathbf{x}_{t}\mathbf{y}_{t+1}]$$

$$y_{t+1} - y_t = \delta[-\beta y_{t+1} + (1-\epsilon)x_{t+1}y_t + \epsilon x_t y_{t+1}]$$
 (4)

Equation (4) can be transformed into an explicit scheme for \mathbf{x}_{t+1} and \mathbf{y}_{t+1}

$$\mathbf{x}_{\text{t+l}} = \frac{\left[1 - \delta \varepsilon (1 + \delta \beta)^{-1} \ \mathbf{x}_{\text{t}}\right] (1 + \delta \alpha) - \delta \varepsilon (1 + \delta \beta)^{-1} \ \mathbf{y}_{\text{t}}}{1 - \delta \varepsilon (1 + \delta \beta)^{-1} \ \mathbf{x}_{\text{t}} + \delta (1 - \varepsilon) \mathbf{y}_{\text{t}}} \ \mathbf{x}_{\text{t}} , \quad (5)$$

$$y_{t+1} = \frac{1 + \delta(1-\epsilon)x_{t+1}}{1 + \delta\beta - \delta\epsilon x_{t}} y_{t}.$$
 (6)

Equation (5) shows that \mathbf{x}_{t+1} becomes negative when \mathbf{x}_t and \mathbf{y}_t satisfy the following conditions

$$\begin{cases} 1 - \delta \varepsilon (1 + \delta \beta)^{-1} & x_{t} < 0, \\ 1 - \delta \varepsilon (1 + \delta \beta)^{-1} & x_{t} + \delta (1 - \varepsilon) y_{t} > 0, \end{cases}$$
 (7)

or

$$1 - \delta \varepsilon (1 + \delta \beta)^{-1} x_{t} > 0 ,$$

$$\{ [1 - \delta \varepsilon (1 + \delta \beta)^{-1} x_{t}] (1 + \delta \alpha) - \delta \varepsilon (1 + \delta \beta)^{-1} y_{t} < 0 ,$$
(8)

for positive values of \mathbf{x}_t and \mathbf{y}_t . Hence ϵ must be zero. Accordingly we have a difference analogue of Volterra's equation

$$x_{t+1} - x_{t} = \delta(\alpha x_{t} - x_{t+1} y_{t})$$

$$\{ y_{t+1} - y_{t} = \delta(-\beta y_{t+1} + x_{t+1} y_{t}) ,$$

$$(9)$$

which reduces to eq.(1) in the small limit of δ .

Several numerical experiments carried on eq.(9) show, within experimental errors, ($\sim 10^{-8}$), that there exisit invariant curves of the mapping eq.(9). We plot a typical example of them in Fig. 1.

References

- 1) U. D'Ancona: "The Struggle for Existence" Leiden; E.J. Bill. 1954.
- 2) Ryogo HIROTA: Lecture Notes in Mathematics; 515 ed. by R.M. Miura, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- 3) Ryogo Hirota: J. Phys. Soc. Japan, No.1, 46 (1979).