18

HIGHLY NONCONTINUABLE FUNCTIONS ON POLYNOMIALLY CONVEX SETS

bу

JOZEF SICIAK (Jagellonian University, CRACOW)

Globevnik and Stout [2] proved the following theorem: If D is a bounded strictly convex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with a \mathscr{C}^{2} boundary then there exists a holomorphic function f in the Nevanlinna class on D with the following "high noncontinuation property":

For every complex line L the plane domain L \mathbf{n} D is the natural domain of existence of f|L \mathbf{n} D.

This theorem gives an affirmative answer to a question asked by N.Sibony. Globevnik and Stout [2] and W.Rudin [5] asked if it is possible to construct such a noncontinuable function with continuous or smooth boundary values. Their technique could not yield such functions. In this paper we answer their question in the affi-mative by the method of the extremal function $\Phi_{\rm K}$ ([6], [7]).

Let us recall that for every compact subset K of \textbf{C}^{N} the extremal function ${\displaystyle \oint_{\textbf{K}}}$ is defined by the formula

(1)
$$\Phi_{K}(x) := \sup_{n > 1} (\sup\{|P(x)|; P \in T_{n}, \|P\|_{K} \le 1\})^{1/n}, x \in \mathbb{C}^{N},$$
 where $F_{n} = F_{n}(\mathbb{C}^{N}, \mathbb{C})$ is the set of all complex-valued polynomials of N complex variables of degree at most n, and

polynomials of N complex variables of degree at most n, and

$$\|P\|_{K} := \sup \{|P(x)|; x \in K\}$$

is the supremum norm of P on K.

We shall need the following properties of $\Phi_{\!K}$ ([6],[7]):

1.1.
$$|P(x)| \leq \|P\|_K \Phi_K^n(x)$$
, $x \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C})$.

1.2. $\Phi_{\rm K}=\Phi_{\widehat{\rm K}}$, where $\widehat{\rm K}$ is the polynomially convex hull of K.

1.3.
$$\Phi_{K}(x) = 1$$
 on \hat{K} , $\Phi_{K}(x) > 1$ on $C^{N} - \hat{K}$.

1.4.
$$\Phi_{A}(x) \leq \Phi_{B}(x)$$
 in C^{N} , if BCA.

1.5 $\ensuremath{\widehat{\Phi}_K}$ is locally bounded in $\ensuremath{\text{C}^N}$ if and only if K is not pluripolar.

Let us recall that a subset E of C^N is called <u>pluripolar</u> if there exists a plurisubharmonic function W in C^N such that W = $-\infty$ on E.

1.6. If K_j is a compact subset of $C^N j$ (j = 1, 2) then $\Phi_{K_1 \times K_2}(x,y) = \max \{ \Phi_{K_1}(x), \Phi_{K_2}(y) \}, (x,y) \in C^{N_1} \times C^{N_2} .$

- 1.7. If N =1 and KCC¹ = C is a compact set with positive logarithmic capacity then $\log \overline{\Phi}_K$ is the Green function of C \widehat{K} with pole at infinity.
- 1.8. If $\|\cdot\|$ is any norm in C^N and $B(a,r) = \{x \in C^N ; ||x-a|| \le r\}$ is a closed ball then

$$\Phi_{B(a,r)}(x) = \max \{ 1, ||x-a||/r \}, x \in \mathbb{C}^{N}.$$

The crucial role in our considerations is played by the following Lemmas.

Lemma 1. If K is a compact subset of \textbf{C}^N then there exist an increasing sequence of positive integers $(\textbf{n}_j)_{j\geqslant 1}$ and a sequence of polynomials $(\textbf{P}_j)_{j\geqslant 1}$ of N complex variables such that

(i)
$$\lim (\sqrt{n_{j+1}}/n_j) = +\infty;$$

(ii)
$$deg P_{j} \leq n_{j}$$
;

(iii)
$$\Phi_{K}(x) = \sup_{j \to \infty} |P_{j}(x)|^{1/n} = \lim_{j \to \infty} \sup_{j \to \infty} |P_{j}(x)|^{1/n} , x \in \mathbb{C}^{N}.$$

Lemma 2. (An extension of the Ostrowski theorem on lacunary power series (see [1]) to the case of series of polynomials of N complex variables with lacunary sequence of degrees, see [3], [4], [7]). Let f be a holomorphic function in a domain DCC and let E be a nonpluripolar compact subset of D. Assume that $(n_j)_{j\geqslant 1}$ is a sequence of positive integers and let $(P_j)_{j\geqslant 1}$ be a sequence of polynomials of N variables such that

(a)
$$\deg P_{j} \leqslant n_{j}$$
,

(b)
$$\lim_{\Delta \to \infty} \left\| f - \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} P_j \right\|_{E}^{\gamma n_3} = 0.$$

Then for every compact subset F of D

$$\lim_{A\to\infty} \left\| f - \sum_{j=1}^{3} P_{j} \right\|_{F}^{1/n_{3}} = 0;$$

in particular

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_j(x)$$
 for all x in D.

Moreover, if G denotes the maximal open subset of \mathbb{C}^N in which the series $\sum P_j$ is locally uniformly convergent, then the maximal Riemann domain of existence S of f is identical with the connected component of G conataining D. In particular S is univalent.

Now, if K is a polynomially convex compact subset of C^N , the required function $f:K\longrightarrow C$ with a strong noncontinuation property may be defined by the formula

(8)
$$f(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Q^{\sqrt{n_j}} P_j(x), \quad x \in K,$$

where θ is a fixed real number with $0 < \theta < 1$, and $(n_j)_{j \ge 1}$ (P_j)_{j ≥ 1} are sequences satisfying the statements (i), (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 1.

It is clear that the series (&) is uniformly convergent on K, because $\|P_j\|_K \le 1$ and the number series $\sum_{j=1}^\infty e^{\sqrt{n}j}$ is convergent; the function f is continuous on K and holomorphic in the interior of K. The series (&) is divergent at each point x of \mathbb{C}^N - K, because

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\sup_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{D}^{\sqrt{n_{j}}}\left|P_{j}(x)\right|\right)^{1/n}j=\overline{\Phi}_{K}(x)>1.$$

Observe that

$$\|\mathbf{f} - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{N} \mathbf{n}_{j}} \mathbf{P}_{j}\|_{K} \leqslant \sum_{j=s+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{N} \mathbf{n}_{j}} \leqslant \mathbf{M} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{N} \mathbf{n}_{s+1}}, \mathbf{M} = \text{const.}$$

Therefore

(+)
$$\lim_{\Delta \to \infty} \|\mathbf{f} - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \theta^{\sqrt{n_i}} P_j\|_{K}^{1/n_{\Delta}} = 0.$$

Hence as a direct consequence of Lemmas 1 and 2 we get the following main result of this paper

THEOREM 1. If K is a polynomially convex compact subset of ${\tt C}^{N}$ then the function f defined by (&) has the following strong noncontinuation property:

(SNCP) If $f: \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is any polynomial mapping of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ into $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $\deg f \geqslant 1$, $\mathbb{M} \geqslant 1$, and if h is a holomorphic function on a ball $\mathbb{B}(a,r) \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $h = f \circ f$ on a nonpluripolar compact subset E of the set $F:=f'(\mathbb{K})$, then $\mathbb{B}(a,r) \subset F$. In particular $f \circ f$ has no analytic continuation from the interior of F.

Under some additional assumptions on K we shall study differentiability properties of the function f. First we get

THEOREM 2. If K is a polynomially convex compact subset of \textbf{C}^{N} such that

(*)
$$\Phi_K(x) \leqslant 1 + \Re \delta^{\mu}$$
, $x \in \mathbb{C}^N$, dist $(x,K) \leqslant \delta$, $0 < \delta \leqslant 1$, where \Re , μ are positive constants, then for every multiin-

dex $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\mathbb{N}}$ the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Theta^{\sqrt{n_{j}}} \mathbb{D}^{N} P_{j}$ is uniformly convergent on K; here $\mathbb{D}^{\infty} = (2/3x_{A})^{\frac{N}{2}} \cdots (2/3x_{A})^{\frac{N}{2}}$.

This theorem is a direct consequence of the following Lemma 3 and of the fact that the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} n_{j}^{k} e^{\sqrt{n_{j}}}$ is convergent for every positive number k.

Lemma 3. (Markov's inequality). If K is a compact subset of C^N such that the extremal function Φ_K satisfies the continuity condition (*) then for every polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(C^N,C)$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$

$$\|\mathbf{p}^{\alpha}\mathbf{p}\|_{K} \leqslant e^{|\alpha|} (\Re n)^{|\alpha|/\mu} \|\mathbf{p}\|_{K}$$
 with $|\alpha| := \alpha_{1} + \dots + \alpha_{N}$.

The inequality (*) is true with μ = 1/2 for all K satisfying the following

Geometrical Condition. There exists r>0 such that for every point be K one can find a point as K such that the convex hull of the set $\{b\} VB(a,r)$ is contained in K.

If K is a subset of R^N (identified with the subset R^N + i0 of C^N) then it is sufficient if the Geometrical Condition is satisfied with balls B(a,r) in R^N .

Lemma 3, Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and the Whitney's extension theorem imply the following

THEOREM 3. If D is a bounded convex domain, or if D is a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary such that $K:=\overline{D}$ is polynomially convex, then the function f defined by (&) has the following properties

- (i) f is \mathcal{C}^{∞} on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$,
- (ii) f is holomorphic in D,
- (iii) f has the SNCP.

EXAMPLE. Let D = B(0,1) be the unit Euclidean ball in c^N and let (a_j) be a sequence of unit vectors dense in $\mathfrak{F}D$. Let (n_j) be an increasing sequence of positive integers with $\lim_{j\to\infty} n_j/\sqrt{n_{j+1}} = 0$ (e.g. $n_j = 2^{j!}$). Finally let 0 be a fixed real number with 0 < 0 < 1. Put

(#)
$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \theta^{\sqrt{n_j}} \langle x, a_j \rangle^{n_j}, x \in \overline{D},$$

where $\langle .,. \rangle$ denotes the inner product in C^{N} .

We claim that f is \mathcal{C}^{∞} on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, holomorphic in \mathbb{D} and has SNCP. Indeed, if $P_j(x) := \theta^{\sqrt{n_j}} \langle x, a_j \rangle^{n_j}$, then for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ $\left| D^{\alpha} P_j(x) \right| \leqslant n_j^{|\alpha|} \theta^{\sqrt{n_j}} \qquad , j \geqslant 1, \quad x \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$

Hence f is \mathcal{C}^{∞} on \overline{D} , as the series $\sum n_{j}^{|\alpha|} \theta^{\sqrt{n}_{j}}$ is convergent for all α . It is obvious that f is holomorphic in D. Now, if $\chi: \mathbb{C}^{M} \to \mathbb{C}^{N}$ is any polynomial mapping with deg $\chi = :d \gg 1$, then

then $\|f\circ y' - P_j y\|_E \leq \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} \|P_j\|_D\right) \leq \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} \|\nabla n_j\|_{\infty}^{\infty}\right) \to \mathcal{O}_j \text{ as } s \to \infty,$ where $E := y^{-1}(\overline{D})$. If $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}^M - E$ then the series $\sum P_j(y(\lambda_0))$ diverges, because $\lim_{j \to \infty} \sup_{j \to \infty} |P_j(y(\lambda_0))|^{1/n} = \|y(\lambda_0)\| > 1$. Hence by Lemma 2 each connected component S of the interior of E is the maximal domain of existence of the holomorphic function $f\circ y|_S$, i.e. f has the SNCP. In particular, if $y(\lambda) = x + \lambda a$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, where x and x are fixed points of x with $\|x\| < 1$ and $\|x\| = 1$, respectively, then $x := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; x + \lambda a \in \mathbb{D}\}$ is the natural doain of existence of $x \to f(x + \lambda a)$. This example shows that Lemma 2 implies a positive solution

This example shows that Lemma 2 implies a positive solution of the Problem 19.3.5 in W.Rudin's book [5].

REFERENCES

- [1] L.Bieberbach, Analytische Fortsetzung, Springer Verlag 1955
- [2] J.Globevnik and E.L.Stout, Highly noncontinuable functions on convex domains, Preprint 1980.
- [3] A.A.Gončar, A local condition of single-valuedness of analytic functions, Mat.Sb. 89(1) (1972), 148-164.
- [4] -----, A local condition of single-valuedness of analytic functions of several variables, Mat.Sb. 93(2) (135)(1974), 296-313.
- [5] W. Rudin, Function theory in the unit ball of Cⁿ, Springer Verlag 1980.
- [6] J. Siciak, Some extremal functions and their applications in the theory of analytic functions of several complex variables, Trans. Amer.Math.Soc. 105(2)(1962), 322-357.
- [7] -----, Extremal plurisubharmonic functions in Cⁿ,
 Ann.Pol.Math. 39(1981), 175-211 (see also Proceed. of
 the First Finis-Polish Summer School in Complex Analysis
 at Podlesice, Lodz 1977, 115-152, Preliminary Lecture Notes).