Examples of Algebraic Surfaces with q = 0 and p  $_{g} \leq$  1 which are Locally Hypersurfaces

Mutsuo Oka

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Sciences, Tokyo Institute of Technology Oh-Okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152

### § 1. Introduction

Algebraic surfaces with q =  $p_g$  = 0 have been studied through pluri-canonical mappings in various papers ([3, 5, 10, 11, 9, 12, 1, 2]). The purpose of this note is to give examples of algebraic surfaces with q = 0 and  $p_g \le 1$  from the viewpoint of the singularity theory.

Let  $\overline{\mathtt{M}}$  be a compactification of an affine surface  $\mathtt{M}$  which is defined by

(1.1) 
$$g(\mathbf{w}) = w_1^a w_3^b + w_2^c w_3^d + w_3^e + 1 = 0$$

where a > b , c > d and

$$(1,2)$$
  $a + b \ge c + d \ge e > 0.$ 

This simple class of algebraic surfaces contains many

interesting algebraic surfaces. The the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\overline{\mathbb{M}})$  is always a finite cyclic group ([7]). In particular, the irregularity  $q(\overline{\mathbb{M}})$  is zero for such  $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ . In our previous paper [8], we have studied rational or K3-surfaces which are exceptional divisors of the resolutions of three dimensional Brieskorn singularities. In this paper we give five minimal surfaces of the above type with  $p_g \leq 1$  which are not either rational or K3-surfaces. Though most of them are known surfaces, our method gives a different approach to them.

In § 2, we study a canonical way of the compactification  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$  of  $\mathbf{M}$  through the toroidal embedding theory.

In § 3, we study three algebraic surfaces  $\overline{M}_1$ ,  $\overline{M}_2$  and  $\overline{M}_3$  with  $q=p_g=0$ .  $\overline{M}_1$  and  $\overline{M}_3$  are known as an Enriques surface and a Godeaux surface.  $\overline{M}_2$  is a minimal surface with  $\pi_1(\overline{M}_2)=\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}$ , e=12 and  $\mathbf{K}^2=0$  where  $\mathbf{K}$  is a canonical divisor and e is the Euler characteristic.

In § 4, we study two minimal surfaces  $\overline{M}_4$  and  $\overline{M}_5$  with q=0 and  $p_g=1$ .  $\overline{M}_4$  satisfies that  $K^2=2$ , q=22 and  $\pi_1(\overline{M}_4)=\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ .  $\overline{M}_5$  is a simply connected surface with  $K^2=1$  and q=23.  $\overline{M}_3$ ,  $\overline{M}_4$  and  $\overline{M}_5$  are surfaces of general type. There are systematical studies by Todorov for  $\overline{M}_4$  and  $\overline{M}_5$  ([11, 12]).

### §2. Compactification

Unless otherwise stated, we use the same notations as in [7, 8] throughout this paper. Let  $f_{\Xi}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} z_1^{a_{i1}} \cdots z_4^{a_{i4}}$ a homogeneous polynomial. We assume  $A_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{i4})$  (i = 1,..., 4) spin a three-simplex  $\Xi$ . Let  $f(z) = f_{\Xi}(z) + \sum_{i=1}^{4} z_i^N$  for a sufficiently large N and let  $V = f^{-1}(0)$ . Then V has an isolated singular point at the origin and the Newton boundary F(f) is non-degenerate. Let  $\Gamma^{*}(f)$  be the dual Newton diagram and let  $\Sigma^{*}$  be a simplicial . subdivision. Let  $\pi$  :  $\widetilde{V}$   $\rightarrow$  V be the associated resolution of For each strictly positive vertex Q of  $\Sigma^*$  with  $\dim \Delta(Q) \ge 1$ , there is a corresponding exceptional divisor E(Q) of the above resolution ([7]). Let  $P = {}^{t}(1,1,1,1)$ . Then  $\Delta(P) = E$  and E(P) is the surface in which we are interested. The birational class of E(P) does not depend on either the choice of Nor on  $\Sigma^*$  but depends only on  $f_{\pi}(z)$ . Let  $P_1, \ldots, P_4$  be the vertices of  $\Sigma^*$  which are adjacent to Pand dim  $\Delta(P_i) \ge 2$ . We assume that  $\Delta(P_i) \cap \Xi$  is the triangle with vertices  $A_j$  for  $j \neq i$ . We also assume that  $\Sigma^*$  is canonical around P on each triangle  $T(P,P_i,P_i)$  in the sense of [7]. The fundamental group  $\pi_1(E(P))$  is a finite cyclic group by Theorem (7.3) of [7].

Let M be the affine algebraic surface in  $\,c^{\,3}\,$  which is defined by

(2.1) 
$$g(w) = w_1^a w_3^b + w_2^c w_3^d + w_3^e + 1 = 0$$

where a > b and c > d and

(2.2) 
$$a + b \ge c + d \ge e > 0$$
.

As the homogeneous polynomial  $f_{\Xi}(z)$ , we take

(2.3) 
$$f_{\pi}(z) = z_1^a z_3^b + z_2^c z_3^d z_4^h + z_3^e z_4^i + z_4^{a+b}$$

where

(2.4) 
$$a + b = c + d + h = e + i$$
.

We will show the following.

Theorem (2.5). The exceptional divisor E(P) is a smooth compactification of M.

Proof. To prove the assertion, it suffices to show that there exists a three dimensional simplex  $\sigma = (P,Q_1,Q_2,Q_3)$  in  $\Sigma^*$  such that the defining equation of E(P) in  $\mathbf{C}_{\sigma}^3 = \{ \ y_{\sigma\,0} = 0 \ \} \cap \mathbf{C}_{\sigma}^4$  is equal to  $g(y_{\sigma\,1},y_{\sigma\,2},y_{\sigma\,3}) = 0$ . Let  $P_1,\ldots,P_4$  be the vertices of  $\Sigma$  which are adjacent to P and  $\dim \Delta(P_i) \geq 2$  as before. It is easy to see that  $P_1 \equiv {}^t(1,0,0,0)$  and  $P_2 \equiv {}^t(0,1,0,0)$  modulo  $\mathbf{Z}$  <P>. We assume that  $P_3 \equiv {}^t(0,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$  modulo  $\mathbf{Z}$  <P>. By the definition,  $P_3$  satisfies the following.

(2.6) 
$$b\beta = c\alpha + d\beta + h\gamma = (a + b)\gamma < e\beta + i\gamma.$$

Note that

(2.7) 
$$\det(P,P_1,P_2) = 1$$

and

(2.8) 
$$\det (P, P_1, P_2, P_3) = \beta - \gamma$$
.

Here  $\beta - \gamma$  is strictly positive by the inequality of (2.6) and (2.4). Thus we can take  $Q_1 = P_1$ ,  $Q_2 = P_2$  and

(2.9) 
$$Q_3 = (P_3 + \delta P_1 + \epsilon P_2 + \theta P) / (\beta - \gamma)$$

where  $\delta$ ,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\theta$  are integers such that  $0 \le \delta$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\theta < (\beta - \gamma)$  as in Lemma (3.8) of [7]. If we replace  $P_i$  by  $P_i$ ' =  $P_i$  +  $n_i P$  for some integer  $n_i$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  do not change but only  $\theta$  changes in (2.9). Thus the defining equation of E(Q) in  $C^3_\sigma$  does not change. See also the argument below. Thus we may assume that  $P_1$  = t(1,0,0,0) and  $P_2$  = t(0,1,0,0) and  $t(0,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ . Then the integrity of  $t(0,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$  implies that

(2.10) 
$$\delta + \theta \equiv \varepsilon + \alpha + \theta \equiv \beta + \theta \equiv 0$$
 modulo  $\beta - \gamma$ .

Let

$$h(y_{\sigma}) = y_{\sigma 1}^{a'} y_{\sigma 3}^{b'} + y_{\sigma 2}^{c'} y_{\sigma 3}^{d'} + y_{\sigma 3}^{e'} + 1 = 0$$

be the defining equation of E(P) in  $c_\sigma^3$ . Then we have

$$a' = P_{1}(A_{1}) - d(P_{1}) = a,$$

$$b' = Q_{3}(A_{1}) - d(Q_{3}) = \delta a / (\beta - \gamma),$$

$$c' = P_{2}(A_{2}) - d(P_{2}) = c,$$

$$d' = Q_{3}(A_{2}) - d(Q_{3}) = \varepsilon c / (\beta - \gamma),$$

$$e' = Q_{3}(A_{3}) - d(Q_{3}) = (P_{3}(A_{3}) - d(P_{3})) / (\beta - \gamma).$$

By (2.4) and (2.6), we have the following equalities.

$$(2.11) b(\beta-r) = ar and$$

(2.12) 
$$c(\gamma - \alpha) = d(\beta - \gamma).$$

Therefore we have

b' = 
$$\delta a$$
 /  $(\beta - \gamma)$   
 $\equiv \beta a$  /  $(\beta - \gamma)$  modulo a by (2.10)  
 $\equiv \gamma a$  /  $(\beta - \gamma)$  modulo a  
 $\equiv b$  modulo a by (2.11).

As  $0 \le b' < a$  and b < a by the definition, this implies b' = b. Similarly we have

$$d'' = \varepsilon c / (\beta - \gamma)$$

$$\equiv (\beta - \alpha) c / (\beta - \gamma) \mod 2 c \text{ by } (2.10)$$

$$\equiv (\gamma - \alpha) c / (\beta - \gamma) \mod 2 c$$

$$\equiv d \mod 2 c \text{ by } (2.12).$$

As  $0 \le d' < c$  and d < c, we have that d' = d. Finally

$$e' = (P_3(A_3) - d(P_3)) / (\beta - \gamma) = e.$$

Thus we have shown that  $h(\mathbf{w}) = g(\mathbf{w})$ , which completes the proof.

Hereafter we denote E(P) by  $\overline{M}$ . In §3 and §4, we study

algebraic surfaces  $\overline{M}$  with  $p_g \le 1$ . The details of the calculation for  $K^2$ ,  $e(\overline{M})$  and  $\pi_1(\overline{M})$ , we refer to [7] and [8].

Remark (2.13). Let E' be the simplex in  $R^3$  with vertices (a,0,b), (0,c,d), (0,0,e) and (0,0,0). Let  $\nu^1,\ldots,\nu^k$  be the other possible integral points in E'. Let

$$g_t(\mathbf{w}) = g(\mathbf{w}) + \sum_{i=1}^k t_i \mathbf{w}^{i}$$

and let  $M_t$  be defined by  $g_t(w) = 0$ . Let U be the Zariski open set which is defined by the union of  $t \in \mathbf{C}^k$  such that  $g_t(w)$  is globally non-degenerate in the sense of [6]. Then  $\{M_t\}$  (teU) can be compactified simultaneously with  $M = M_0$  and the complex manifold M which is the union U  $\overline{M}_t$  gives a  $t \in U$  k-dimensional deformation of  $\overline{M}$ . We call  $\{w^{V^i}\}$  the embedded monomials of g(w). All the numerical calculations for  $\overline{M}$  which follow in §3 and §4 remain true for  $\overline{M}_t$ .

## § 3. Surfaces with $q = p_q = 0$ .

In this section, we will study three minimal algebraic surfaces  $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_1$ ,  $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_2$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_3$  with  $q=p_g=0$ .  $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_1$  is known as an Enriques surface and  $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_3$  is a Godeaux surface.  $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_2$  is a minimal surface with  $\pi_1(\overline{\mathbb{M}}_2)\cong \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $e(\overline{\mathbb{M}}_2)=12$  and  $\mathbf{K}^2=0$ . Here  $\mathbf{K}$  is a canonical divisor and  $e(\overline{\mathbb{M}}_2)$  is the Euler characteristic.

(I) Let 
$$M_1 = \{ g_1(w) = 0 \}$$
 where

$$g_1(w) = w_1^4 w_3^3 + w_2^4 w_3^2 + w_3 + 1.$$

Then  $f_{\Delta}(z)=z_1^4z_3^3+z_2^4z_3^2z_4+z_3z_4^6+z_4^7$  is the corresponding homogeneous polynomial. We may take  $P_3={}^t(0,1,7,3)$  and  $P_4={}^t(0,-1,-6,-2)$ . As  $\det(P,P_1,P_3)=\det(P,P_2,P_4)=2$ , we need two vertices  $T_{13}=(P+P_1+P_3)/2$  on  $T(P,P_1,P_3)$  and  $T_{24}=(P_2+P_4)/2$  on  $T(P,P_2,P_4)$  respectively. Here we are only considering vertices of  $\Sigma^*$  which are adjacent to P. We denote the divisor  $E(P)\cap E(P_1)$  in E(P) by  $C(P_1)$  etc. Let  $\sigma=(P,P_1,P_2,R)$  be the fixed three-simplex of  $\Sigma^*$  where  $R=(3P_1+P_2+P_3+P)/4={}^t(1,1,2,1)$ . Let  $\omega$  be the meromorphic two form on  $\overline{M}_1=E(P)$  which is defined by

$$dy_{\sigma 1} \wedge dy_{\sigma 2} \wedge dy_{\sigma 3} / dg_1(y_{\sigma})$$

on  $\mathbf{C}_{\sigma}^3$  and K = ( $\omega$ ). By § 9 of [7], we get

(3.1) 
$$K = 2C(P_4) + C(T_{24}) - 2C(P_3) - C(T_{13}),$$

(3.2) 
$$K^2 = 0$$
,  $e(\overline{M}_1) = 12$  and  $\pi(\overline{M}_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let p:  $\widetilde{M}_1 \to \overline{M}_1$  be the universal covering and let  $\varphi_{34}$  be the rational function on  $\overline{M}_1$  which is defined by  $\pi^*(z_4\ z_3^{-1})$ . Then we have that

$$(3.4) \qquad (\varphi_{34}) = 2K$$

Thus there is a rational function  $\psi$  on  $\widetilde{M}_1$  such that  $\psi^2 = p^* \varphi_{34}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\psi^{-1}$   $p^* \omega$  is a nowhere vanishing two-form on  $\widetilde{M}_1$ . This implies that  $\widetilde{M}_1$  is a K3-surface and  $\overline{M}_1$  is called an Enriques surface. (See Griffiths

[4], P.541 for the standard way of the construction of a Enriques surface.)

 $g_1(w)$  has 6 embedded monomials  $w^{i}$  where  $\{v^i\}$  (i=1,...6) are (0,1,1), (0,2,1), (1,0,1),(1,2,2), (2,0,2) and (2,1,2).

(II) Let 
$$M_2 = \{ g_2(w) = 0 \} \subset C^3 \text{ where}$$

(3.5) 
$$g_2(\mathbf{w}) = w_1^9 w_3^6 + w_2^3 w_3^2 + w_3 + 1$$

Then  $f_{\Delta}(z) = z_1^9 z_3^6 + z_2^3 z_3^2 z_4^{10} + z_3 z_4^{14} + z_4^{15} \qquad \text{and} \qquad P_3 = {}^t(0,0,5,2) \qquad \text{and} \qquad P_4 = {}^t(0,-2,-14,-5). \qquad \text{As} \qquad \text{det } (P,P_1,P_4) = 3, \text{ we need a vertex } T_{14} = (P_4 + P_1 + 2P) / 3 \qquad \text{on} \qquad T(P,P_1,P_4). \qquad \text{Let} \qquad \sigma = (P,P_1,P_2,R) \qquad \text{where} \qquad R = (P_3 + 2P_1 + 2P_2 + P) / 3. \quad \text{Then we have}$ 

(3.6) 
$$K = 7C(P_4) + 2C(T_{14}) - 2C(P_3), K^2 = 0,$$

(3.7) 
$$e(\overline{M}_2) = 12 \text{ and } \pi_1(\overline{M}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

As  $(\varphi_{34}) = 9C(P_4) - 3C(P_3) + 3C(T_{14})$ , 3K is linearly equivalent to  $3C(P_4)$ . This easily proves that  $\overline{M}_2$  is minimal.

 $g_2(\mathbf{w})$  has 10 embedded monomials  $\mathbf{w}^i$  where  $\{v^i\}$  (  $i=1,\ldots,10$  ) are (1,0,1), (2,0,2), (3,0,2), (4,0,3), (6,0,4), (0,1,1), (2,1,2), (3,1,3), (5,1,4) and (1,2,2).

(III) Let 
$$M_3 = \{g_3(\mathbf{w}) = 0\}$$
 where

(3.8) 
$$g_3(\mathbf{w}) = w_1^5 w_3^3 + w_2^5 w_3^2 + w_3 + 1.$$

Then  $f_{\Delta}(z) = z_1^5 z_3^3 + z_2^5 z_3^2 z_4 + z_3 z_4^7 + z_4^8$  and  $P_3 = {}^{t}(0.1,8,3)$  and  $P_4 = {}^{t}(0,-1,-7,-2)$ . Let  $\sigma = (P,P_1,P_2,R)$  where  $R = (P_3 + 3P_1 + 2P_2 + 2P) / 5$ . Then we have

(3.9) 
$$K = 2C(P_4) - C(P_3), K^2 = 1,$$

(3.10) 
$$e(\overline{M}_3) = 11 \text{ and } \pi_1(\overline{M}_3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}.$$

As  $3K \sim C(P_4) + 2C(P_3)$ ,  $\overline{M}_3$  is minimal by Lemma (4.23) of [8].  $\overline{M}_3$  is a Godeaux surface. See [10, 5].  $\overline{M}_3$  is isomorphic to the surface in Example (7.12) of [7].

 $g_3(\mathbf{w})$  has 8 embedded monomials  $\mathbf{w}^{\nu}$  where  $\{\nu^i\}$  (i=1,...,8) are (1,0,1), (3,0,2), (0,1,1), (1,1,1), (2,1,2), (0,2,1), (2,2,2) and (1,3,2). As 8 is the dimension of the moduli space of the Godeaux surface ([5]), it is possible that our deformation is complete. We do not discuss this in this paper.

# §4. Surfaces with q = 0 and $p_q = 1$

In this section, we will study three minimal surfaces  $\overline{\text{M}}_4$  ,  $\overline{\text{M}}_5$  and  $\overline{\text{M}}_6$  with q = 0 and p\_q = 1.

(IV) Let 
$$M_4 = \{ g_4(w) = 0 \}$$
 where

$$(4.1) g_4(\mathbf{w}) = w_1^8 w_3^3 + w_2^4 w_3^2 + w_3 + 1.$$

Then  $f_{\Delta}(z) = z_1^8 z_3^3 + z_2^4 z_3^2 z_4^5 + z_3 z_4^{10} + z_4^{11} \qquad \text{and}$   $P_3 = {}^t(0,-1,11,3) \text{ and } P_4 = {}^t(0,0,-5,-1). \quad \text{We need three vertices} \qquad T_{13}^1, \quad T_{13}^2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad T_{13}^3 \qquad \text{on} \qquad T(P,P_1,P_3) \qquad \text{where}$   $T_{13}^1 = (P_3 + 3P_1 + P) / 4 \text{ and etc.. Let } \sigma = (P,P_1,P_2,R) \text{ where}$ 

 $R = (P_3 + 3P_1 + 4P_2 + 5P) / 8$ . Then we have

$$(4.2)$$
  $K = C(P_A), K^2 = 2,$ 

(4.3) 
$$e(\overline{M}_4) = 22$$
 and  $\pi_1(\overline{M}_4) \cong Z/2Z$ .

Thus  $p_g$  = 1 and  $\overline{M}_4$  is minimal. It is known that there is an algebraic surface S with q =  $p_g$  = 0 and  $\pi_1(S)\cong Z/4Z$  ([10]). We do not know whether our surface  $\overline{M}_4$  is the double cover of such a surface S or not.

 $g_4(\mathbf{w})$  has 11 embedded monomials  $\mathbf{w}^{\nu}$  where  $\{\nu^i\}$  (  $i=1,\ldots,11$  ) are (1,0,1), (2,0,1), (4,0,2), (5,0,2), (0,1,1), (3,1,2), (4,1,2),(0,2,1), (2,2,2) and (1,3,2).

(V) Let 
$$M_5 = \{ g_5(w) = 0 \}$$
 where

$$(4.7) g_5(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}_1^6 \mathbf{w}_3^4 + \mathbf{w}_2^3 + \mathbf{w}_3^2 + 1.$$

Then  $f_{\Delta}(z) = z_1^6 z_3^4 + z_2^3 z_4^7 + z_3^2 z_4^8 + z_4^{10}$  and  $P_3 = {}^t(0,2,5,2)$  and  $P_4 = {}^t(0,-3,-4,-1)$ . We need two vertices  $T_{13}^1$  and  $T_{13}^2$  on  $T(P,P_1,P_3)$  where  $T_{13}^1 = (P_3 + 2P_1 + P) / 3$ . We take  $\sigma = (P,P_1,P_2,T_{13}^1)$  and by an easy calculation, we have

(4.8) 
$$K = C(P_4), K^2 = 1,$$

(4.9) 
$$e(\overline{M}_5) = 23 \text{ and } \pi_1(\overline{M}_5) = \{1\}.$$

 $g_5(\mathbf{w})$  has 14 embedded monomials which correspond to (0,0,1), (1,0,1), (1,0,2), (2,0,2), (3,0,2), (3,0,3), (4,0,3), (0,1,0), (0,1,1), (1,1,1), (2,1,2), (3,1,2), (0,2,0) and (1,2,1). There are beautiful studies by Todorov for  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_4$  and

 $\overline{M}_5$  in [11, 12].

### References

- [1] R. Barlow, Some new surfaces with  $p_g = 0$ , Duke Math. J., 51 (1984), 889-903.
- [2] R. Barlow, A simply connected surface of general type with  $p_q = 0$ , Inventiones Math., 79 (1985), 293-301.
- [3] F. Bombieri, Canonical models of surfaces of general type, Publ. Math IHES, 42 (1973), 171-219.
- [4] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York-Chichester-Brisbane-Tronto, 1973.
- [5] Y. Miyaoka, Tricanonical Maps of Numerical Godeaux Surfaces, Inventiones Math., 34 (1976), 99-111.
- [6] M. Oka, On the topology of the Newton boundary II, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 32 (1980), 65-92.
- [7] M. Oka, On the Resolution of Hypersurface Singularities, to appear in Proceeding of US-Japan Singularity Seminar, 1984.

- [8] M. Oka, On the resolution of three dimensional Brieskorn singularities, to appear in Proceeding of US-Japan Singularity Seminar, 1984.
- [9] F. Oort and C. Peters, A Campedelli surface with torsion group Z/2, Nederl. Akad. Wesensche Indag. Math., 43 (1981), 399-407.