LOCAL SOLVABILITY OF SECOND ORDER FUCHSIAN TYPE EQUATIONS (Collaboration with C.PARENTI)

上智大 理工 田原秀敏

(Hidetoshi TAHARA)

§1. DISCUSSION IN A EXAMPLE.

Let $(t,x) \in R_t \times R_x^n$ and let us consider

$$P = t\partial_t^2 - \Delta_x + a(t,x)\partial_t + \Sigma_{i=1}^n b_i(t,x)\partial_i + c(t,x) \qquad (1.1)$$

near the origin, where Δ_x is the Laplacian, $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$ and $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$ ($1 \le i \le n$). Note that P is hyperbolic on $\{t>0\}$ and elliptic on $\{t<0\}$, that is, P is so-called a mixed type operator.

To illustrate our argument, let us treat here the above operator P and show the local solvability of $Pu=f$ in hyperfunctions & distributions.

1-1. Solvability in hyperfunctions. Let P be the operator in (1.1) and assume that $a(t,x)$, $b_i(t,x)$ and $c(t,x)$ are analytic functions near the origin. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the stalk of sheaf of hyperfunctions at the origin. Then, we have:

Theorem 1. If $1-a(0,x) \notin \{1,2,...\}$, the map $P : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is surjective.

Sketch of proof. To prove this, it is sufficient to show the following:

(i) P : $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is surjective,

(ii) P : $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A}$ is surjective.

(i) is clear from the Cauchy-Kowalewski type theorem for Fuchsian type equations. (ii) follows from the following two facts:

(ii-1) when $p(\pm)=(0,0;\tau=\pm 1,\xi=0)$, P : $\mathcal{C}_{p(\pm)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{p(\pm)}$ is surjective,

(ii-2) when $q=(0,0;\tau,\xi\neq 0)$, P : $\mathcal{C}_q \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_q$ is bijective,

where $\mathcal{C}_p$ denotes the stalk of sheaf of microfunctions at p.

<div align="right">Q.E.D.</div>

1-2. Solvability in distributions. Let P be the operator in (1.1) and assume that $a(t,x)$, $b_i(t,x)$ and $c(t,x)$ are C^{∞} functions near the origin. Let $\mathcal{D}'$ be the stalk of sheaf of distributions at the origin. Then, we have:

Theorem 2. If $1-a(0,x)\notin Z$, the map P : $\mathcal{D}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ is surjective.

Sketch of proof. Put

$$\mathcal{D}'|_{t>0} = \{ u|_{t>0} ; u\in\mathcal{D}' \},$$
$$\mathcal{D}'|_{t<0} = \{ u|_{t<0} ; u\in\mathcal{D}' \}.$$

Then, Theorem 2 follows from the following three facts:

(i) P : $\mathcal{D}'|_{t>0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'|_{t>0}$ is surjective,

(ii) P : $\mathcal{D}'|_{t<0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'|_{t<0}$ is surjective,

(iii) for any $\varphi(x)\in\mathcal{D}'$, there exists a unique solution $u(t,x)\in C^{\infty}([0,T],\mathcal{D}')$ such that

$$Pu=0 \quad \text{on } t>0, \quad u|_{t=0}=\varphi(x).$$

In fact, we can get Theorem 2 as follows.   Let $f \in \mathcal{D}'$.   Then, by (i) and (ii) we can choose $v \in \mathcal{D}'$ such that $Pv=f+\delta(t)\otimes\psi(x)$. By (iii) we solve

$$\begin{cases} Pw=0 & \text{on } t>0, \\ w|_{t=0}=(a(0,x)-1)^{-1}\psi(x). \end{cases}$$

Then, we have $P(Y(t)w)=\delta(t)\otimes\psi(x)$.   Thus, by putting $u=v-Y(t)w$ we get a solution $u \in \mathcal{D}'$ of $Pu=f$.   Q.E.D.

Remark.   By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can easily get that $P : \mathcal{D}'/C^{\infty} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'/C^{\infty}$ is surjective. But, unfortunately, we do not know whether $P : C^{\infty} \longrightarrow C^{\infty}$ is surjective or not.   This is the reason why we proved Theorem 2 in a different way from the proof of Theorem 1.

§2. FURTHER RESULTS.

Let us treat here somewhat more general Fuchsian type operators.   Let P_1, P_2 and P_3 be of the form

$$P_1 = t\partial_t^2 - t^k A(t,x,\partial_x) + a(t,x)\partial_t$$
$$+ t^h \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(t,x)\partial_i + c(t,x),$$

$$P_2 = t^2\partial_t^2 - t^P A(t,x,\partial_x) + a(t,x)t\partial_t$$
$$+ t^q \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(t,x)\partial_i + c(t,x),$$

$$P_3 = t^2\partial_t^2 + t^P A(t,x,\partial_x) + a(t,x)t\partial_t$$
$$+ t^q \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(t,x)\partial_i + c(t,x),$$

where $\partial_t=\partial/\partial t$, $\partial_i=\partial/\partial x_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$, $k,h,p,q \in \mathbf{Z}_+ (=\{0,1,2,\ldots\})$,

$$A(t,x,\partial_x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(t,x)\partial_i\partial_j$$

is a real elliptic differential operator such that

$$A(t,x,\xi/|\xi|) > 0 \qquad \text{for } \forall(t,x), \ \forall\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n\backslash\{0\},$$

36

and $a_{ij}(t,x)$, $a(t,x)$, $b_i(t,x)$ and $c(t,x)$ are C^∞ functions near the origin. Let $\rho(x)=1-a(0,x)$ and let $\rho_1(x)$, $\rho_2(x)$ be the roots of $\rho(\rho-1)+a(0,x)\rho+c(0,x)=0$. Note that $\rho(x)$ is the non-trivial characteristic exponent of P_1 and that $\rho_1(x)$, $\rho_2(x)$ are the characteristic exponents of P_2 and P_3. Then, we have:

Theorem 3. (1) In case P_1, if $k\geq 0$, $h\geq(k-1)/2$ and $\rho(0)\notin\mathbb{Z}$ hold, the map $P_1 : \mathscr{D}' \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}'$ is surjective.

(2) In case P_2, if $p\geq 1$, $q\geq p/2$ and $\rho_1(0)$, $\rho_2(0)\notin\{-1,-2,\ldots\}$, the map $P_2 : \mathscr{D}' \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}'$ is surjective.

(3) In case P_3, if $p\geq 1$, $q\geq p/2$ and $\rho_1(0)$, $\rho_2(0)\notin\{-1,-2,\ldots\}$, the map $P_3 : \mathscr{D}' \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}'$ is surjective.

The proof of this result is quite similar to that of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

[1] A.Bove, J.E.Lewis, C.Parenti and H.Tahara : Cauchy problem for Fuchsian hyperbolic operators, II. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 34-1 (1987).

[2] C.Parenti and H.Tahara : Examples of locally solvable second order Fuchsian operators, in preparation.

要 約

本稿では、２階のフックス型線形偏微分方程式の hyper-function ($\mathscr{B}$) 或いは distribution ($\mathscr{D}'$) での 局所可解性が 論じられている。

① $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$（原点の近傍）とし、例えば

$$P = t\partial_t^2 - \Delta_x + a(t,x)\partial_t + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t,x)\partial_{x_i} + c(t,x)$$

という作用素を考えてみる。　P は $\{t>0\}$ では双曲型であり $\{t<0\}$ では楕円型となっていて、いわゆる混合型作用素と呼ばれているものになる。　次が成り立つ。

(i) P の係数が解析的とする。この時、原点の近傍で

$$1 - a(0,x) \notin \{1, 2, \cdots\} \implies P: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B} \text{ は全射。}$$

(ii) P の係数が C^∞ クラスとする。この時、原点の近傍で

$$1 - a(0,x) \notin \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots\} \implies P: \mathcal{B}' \to \mathcal{B}' \text{ は全射。}$$

② (ii) の証明は L^2-評価をベースとした議論による。同様の議論によって　次の様な作用素の $\mathcal{B}'$ での局所可解性を扱うことも出来る。

$$P_1 = t\partial_t^2 - t^k A(t, x, \partial_x)$$
$$+ a(t,x)\partial_t + t^h \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t,x)\partial_{x_i} + c(t,x),$$

$$P_2 = t^2\partial_t^2 - t^p A(t, x, \partial_x)$$
$$+ a(t,x)t\partial_t + t^q \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t,x)\partial_{x_i} + c(t,x),$$

$$P_3 = t^2\partial_t^2 + t^p A(t, x, \partial_x)$$
$$+ a(t,x)t\partial_t + t^q \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t,x)\partial_{x_i} + c(t,x).$$

但し、$A(t, x, \partial_x)$ は 2階の 実係数楕円型作用素、$k, h, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+ (= \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\})$ で　$h \geq (k-1)/2$, $p \geq 1$, $q \geq p/2$　とする。