46

On Quasi-Hadamard Product of Certain p-Valent Functions with Negative Coefficients

日本大学薬学部 関根忠行 (Tadayuki Sekine)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], Kumar showed some results for the quasi-Hadamard product of certain univalent functions with negative coefficients.

In the present note, we show that Kumar's results [1] are generalized to the case of certain p-valent functions with negative coefficients.

Let  $\mathbf{A}(p)$  be the class of analytic and p-valent function  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z})$  of the form

$$f(z) = z^{p} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}$$
  $(a_{p+n} \ge 0, p \in N)$ 

in the unit disk  $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ .

Let  $T^*(p,\alpha)$  and  $C(p,\alpha)$  denote the subclasses of A(p) which satisfy  $\text{Re}\left(\frac{zf^{'}(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \alpha$  and  $\text{Re}\left(1 + \frac{zf^{''}(z)}{f^{'}(z)}\right) > \alpha$ , for  $0 \le \alpha < p$ , respectively.

Clearly the function in  $T^*(p,\alpha)$  and  $C(p,\alpha)$  are p-valent starlike function and p-valent convex function of order  $\alpha$ , respectively.

For these classes, Owa has obtained the following results in [2].

<u>LEMMA 1.</u> A function f(z) is in the class  $T^*(p,\alpha)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (p + n - \alpha) \leq p - \alpha.$$

The result is sharp.

 $\underline{\text{LEMMA 2.}}$  A function f(z) is in the class  $C(p,\alpha)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (p + n)(p + n - \alpha)a_{p+n} \leq p(p - \alpha).$$

The result is sharp.

Let  $\mathbf{A}_0(\mathbf{p})$  denote the class of analytic and p-valent function  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z})$  of the form

$$f(z) = a_p z^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}$$
  $(a_p > 0, a_{p+n} \ge 0, p \in \mathbb{N})$ 

in the unit disk U.

Furthermore, let  $T_0^*(p,\alpha)$  and  $C_0(p,\alpha)$  be the subclasses of  $A_0(p)$  as follows:

$$T_0^*(p,\alpha) = \left( f(z) \in A_0(p) : Re \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \quad (0 \le \alpha < p) \right)$$

and

$$C_{O}(p,\alpha) = \left( f(z) \in A_{O}(p) : Re \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha \quad (0 \le \alpha < p) \right).$$

For these classes, by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we easily obtain the following theorems, respectively.

THEOREM 1. A function f(z) in the class  $T_0^{\ \ \, *}(p,\alpha)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (p + n - \alpha) a_{p+n} \leq (p - \alpha) a_{p}.$$

THEOREM 2. A function f(z) is in the class  $C_0(p,\alpha)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (p + n)(p + n - \alpha)a_{p+n} \leq p(p - \alpha)a_{p}.$$

We now introduce the subclass  $S_{\hat{Q}}(k,p,\alpha)$  of the class  $A_{\hat{Q}}(p)$  as follows.

A function f(z) belongs to the class  $S_0(k,p,\alpha)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{p+n}{p} \right)^{k} (p+n-\alpha) a_{p+n} \leq (p-\alpha) a_{p},$$

where k is any real number.

Evidently,  $S_0(0,p,\alpha) \equiv T_0^*(p,\alpha)$  and  $S_0(1,p,\alpha) \equiv C_0(p,\alpha)$ .

From now on, let the functions of the class  $\mathbf{A}_0(\mathbf{p})$  be the following forms:

$$f_{i}(z) = a_{p,i}z^{p} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n,i}z^{p+n} \quad (a_{p,i} > 0, a_{p+n,i} \ge 0)$$

and

$$g_{j}(z) = b_{p,j}z^{p} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{p+n,j}z^{p+n} \quad (b_{p,j} > 0, b_{p+n,j} \ge 0),$$

respectively.

Let us define the quasi-Hadamard product  $f_i*g_j(z)$  of the functions  $f_i(z)$  and  $g_j(z)$  by

$$f_{i}(z)*g_{j}(z) = a_{p,i}b_{p,j}z^{p} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n,i}b_{p+n,j}z^{p+n}$$

## 2. RESULTS

Consequently, we have the following theorems. we can prove these theorems by using the same way as Kumar [1].

THEOREM 3. Let the functions  $f_i(z)$  belong to the classes  $T_0^*(p,\alpha_i)$  for each  $i=1,2,3,\cdots,m$ , respectively. Then the quasi-Hadamard product  $f_1^*f_2^*f_3^*\cdots^*f_m(z)$  belongs to the class  $S_0(m-1,p,\beta)$ , where  $\beta=\max\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\cdots,\alpha_m\}$ .

THEOREM 4. Let the functions  $f_i(z)$  belong to the classes  $C_0(p,\alpha_i)$  for each  $i=1,2,3,\cdots,m$ , respectively. Then the quasi-Hadamard product  $f_1*f_2*f_3*\cdots*f_m(z)$  belongs to the class  $S_0(2m-1,p,\beta)$ , where  $\beta=\max\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\cdots,\alpha_m\}$ .

THEOREM 5. Let the functions  $f_i(z)$  belong to the classes  $T_0^*(p,\alpha_i)$  for each  $i=1,2,3,\cdots,m$  and for each  $j=1,2,\cdots,q$ , let the functions  $g_j(z)$  belong to the classes  $C_0(p,\beta_j)$ , respectively. Then the quasi-Hadamard product  $f_1^*f_2^*f_3^*\cdots^*f_m^*g_1^*g_2^*g_3^*\cdots^*g_q(z)$  belongs to the class  $S_0(m+2q-1,p,\gamma)$ , where  $\gamma=\max\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\cdots,\alpha_m,\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3,\cdots,\beta_q\}$ .

THEOREM 6. Let the functions  $f_i(z)$  belong to the class  $C_0(p,\alpha)$  for each  $i=1,2,3,\cdots,m$  and let  $0 \le \alpha \le r_0$ , where  $r_0$  is a root of the equation  $(p+1)^m(p-mr)-p(p-r)^m=0$  in the interval  $(0,\frac{p}{m})$ . Then the quasi-Hadamard product  $f_1*f_2*f_3*\cdots*f_m(z)$  belongs to the class  $S_0(m-1,p,m\alpha)$ .

<u>REMARK.</u> If we put p = 1 in these theorems, we have the Kumar's results [1].

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Kumar, Quasi-Hadamard product of certain univalent functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 126, 70-77(1987).
- [2] S. Owa, On certain classes of p-valent functions with negative coefficients, SIMON STEVIN, A Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 59, No4 385-402(1985).