# RWA-振動子に対する森のランジュバン方程式

## 北大·理 広川 真男 ( Masao Hirokawa )

### §1. INTRODUCTION.

We are concerned with Mori's Langevin equation for a model of a quantum harmonic oscillator coupled to infinitely many scalar bosons whose Hamiltonian H is given formally by

$$(1.1) \begin{cases} H = H_0 + H_I \\ H_0 = H_S + H_B \\ H_S = \hbar \omega_0 a^{\dagger} a \\ H_B = \Sigma_{k=1}^{\infty} \hbar \omega_k b_k^{\dagger} b_k \\ H_I = \Sigma_{k=1}^{\infty} (\Gamma_k a^{\dagger} b_k + \overline{\Gamma}_k b_k^{\dagger} a) \\ (\Gamma_k \in \mathbb{C}, k \in \mathbb{N}) \end{cases}$$

Here a and  $a^+$  (resp.  $b_k$  and  $b_k$ ) denote annihilation and creation operators of a quantum harmonic oscillator (resp. boson), respectively, which act in the symmetric Boson Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_S(\mathbb{C}\oplus p^2(\mathbb{N}))$  over  $\mathbb{C}\oplus p^2(\mathbb{N})$ . Operators  $H_S$  and  $H_B$  denote a Hamiltonian of a quantum harmonic oscillator and the one of infinitely many scalar bosons, respectively. The operator

 ${
m H_I}$  which represents the interaction between the quantum harmonic oscillator and infinitely many scalar bosons is said to be the coupling Hamiltonian for the rotating wave approximation (abbr.RWA)-oscillator. We shall simply call the operator H the Hamiltonian for the RWA-oscillator.

The behavior of the Heisenberg picture  $e^{itH/\hbar}ae^{-itH/\hbar}=a(t)$  has been studied in H.Haken([9]),K.Lindenberg and B.J. West([11]), and E.Braun([4]). They considered their own equations of Langevin type for a(t) whose form are dependent on their consideration. In particular, K.Lindenberg and B.J.West rewrite the total Hamiltonian H given by (1.1) into

(1.2) 
$$\begin{cases} H = H_{S}^{(m)} + H_{B} + H_{I}^{(m)} \\ H_{S}^{(m)} = \hbar(\omega_{0} - \Delta)\alpha^{+}\alpha \\ H_{B} + H_{I}^{(m)} = \Sigma_{k=1}^{\infty} \hbar \omega_{k} B_{k}^{+} B_{k} \\ B_{k} = b_{k} + \frac{\overline{\Gamma}_{k}}{\hbar \omega_{k}} \alpha \end{cases}$$

where 
$$\Delta = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Gamma_k|^2}{\hbar^2 \omega_k}$$
.

By solving a simultaneous system of differential equations for a(t) and  $B_k(t)$ :

$$(1.3) \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}a(t) = \frac{i}{\hbar}[H, a(t)] \\ \frac{d}{dt}B_k(t) = \frac{i}{\hbar}[H, B_k(t)] \end{cases}$$

they derived an equation of Langevin type for q(t) However, the physical meaning of the quantities  $\omega_0^-\Delta$  and  $\Delta$  are not clear in [11]. While in his theory of generalized Brownian motion in statistical physics, H. Mori derived the so-called Mori's Langevin equation which consists of the Mori's frequency, Mori's memory function and Mori noise ([12],[13]). Mathematically, Mori's Langevin equation can be derived if a Mori-Okabe (abbr. an MO)-structure is given. Here an MO-structure consists of the triplet of a Hilbert space X, a self-adjoint operator L on X and a non-zero  $\Delta_0$  in the domain of L ([15],[16],[17]).

The purpose is to show that the Hamiltonian H in (1.1) has an MO-structure and investigate Mori's Langevin equation for the Heisenberg picture a(t) in detail . In order to carry out it , we shall construct a Hilbert space  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{C}}(H)$  of unbounded operators on  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbb{C}\oplus \mathbf{A}^2(\mathbb{N}))$  containing the annihilation and creation operators, where the inner product of  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{C}}(H)$  is introduced from Bogoliubov scalar product (Kubo-

Mori scalar product, or the canonical correlation) (see [5, p96],[13]). Furtheremore, we shall construct a self-adjoint (Liouville operator) on  $X_{C}(H)$  in such a way operator L that the Heisenberg picture of a by the operator H coincides with the time evolution of a by the operator L . Since the triplet  $(X_C(H),L,a)$  satisfies an MO-structure, we can develop Mori's theory of generalized Brownian motion  $X_{C}(H)$ . The main point is to express the Mori's frequency , the complex mobility of the Mori's memory function and the canonical correlation function of a(t) in terms of the parameters in the Hamiltonian H in (1.1), by obtaining the Bogoliubov transformation of H . Furthermore, we shall give a physical meaning of two constants  $\omega_0^-\Delta$  and  $\Delta$  in (1.2) , and show that the canonical correlation function is almost periodic, and does not converges as the time tends to infinity.

## §2. MO-STRUCTURES.

By an MO-structure,we mean a triplet(X,L,A $_0$ ) such that X is a Hilbert space with an inner product ( , ) $_X$ , L a self-adjoint operator on X with domain D(L), and A $_0$  a non-zero element in D(L) , where the inner product ( , ) $_X$  is linear

in the right vector . For any MO-structure , we consider a stationary curve  $A=\{A(t):t\in\mathbb{R}\}$  defined by

(2.1) 
$$A(t) := e^{itL}A_0$$
 ( $t \in \mathbb{R}$ )

and the correlation function  $R_{\mathbf{A}}$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  is given by

$$(2.2)$$
  $R_{\mathbf{A}}(t) := (A(0), A(t))_{\mathbf{X}}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{X}_0$  be the closed subspace generated by  $\mathbf{A}_0$ , and  $\mathbf{P}_0$  and  $\mathbf{X}_1$  the orthogonal projection operator on  $\mathbf{X}_0$  and the complementary subspace of  $\mathbf{X}_0$  in X, respectively. Then we define a linear operator  $\mathbf{L}_1$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathbf{X}_1$  by

(2.3) 
$$\begin{cases} D(L_1) := X_1 \cap D(L) \\ L_1x := (1 - P_0)Lx \quad (x \in D(L_1)) \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.1. ([12],[15],[16])  $L_1$  is self-adjoint on the Hilbert space  $X_1$ 

We define a real number  $\omega=\omega(A_0)$  , a stationary curve  $I_M=\{I_M(t):t\in\mathbb{R}\}$  in  $X_1$  and a non-negative definite function  $\phi$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  by

(2.4) 
$$\omega = \omega(A_0) := (A(0), LA(0))_X \cdot (A(0), A(0))_X^{-1}$$
,

(2.5) 
$$I_{M}(t) := i \cdot e^{itL} 1 \cdot (1 - P_{0}) LA_{0}$$
,

(2.6) 
$$\phi(t) := (I_{\underline{M}}(0), I_{\underline{M}}(t))_{\underline{X}} \cdot (A(0), A(0))_{\underline{X}}^{-1}$$

Concerning the correlation function  $R_{\boldsymbol{A}}$  , we have

Theorem 2.2.([7,§6.2],[12],[15],[16]) (a) For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}R_{\mathbf{A}}(t) = i\omega \cdot R_{\mathbf{A}}(t) - \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}s \, \phi(t - s)R_{\mathbf{A}}(s) .$$

(b) For all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$  :=  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}: Imz > 0\}$ ,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dt e^{itz} R_{A}(t) = R_{A}(0) \cdot \frac{1}{i\omega - iz + \int_{0}^{\infty} dt e^{itz} \phi(t)}$$

Furtheremore, the equation of motion describing the time evolution of stationary curve  $A=\{A(t);t\in\mathbb{R}\}$  is given in the following

**Theorem 2.3.**([7, $\S$ 6.2],[12],[15],[16]) For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

(2.7) 
$$\frac{d}{dt}A(t) = i\omega \cdot A(t) - \int_{0}^{t} ds \, \phi(t - s)A(s) + I_{M}(t)$$

The quantities  $\omega=\omega(A_0)$ ,  $\phi$  and  $I_M$  are called the Mori's frequency, Mori's memory function and Mori noise , respectively .

The equation (2.7) is said to be the Mori's Langevin equation associated with the MO-structure  $(X,L,A_0)$ .

§3.CONSTRUCTION OF A HILBERT SPACE ASSOCIATED WITH A CLASS OF CLOSABLE OPERATORS.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a separable Hilbert space with an inner product ( , ), which is linear in the right vector. Let H be a non-

negative self-adjoint operator acting in  $\mathcal{F}$  with the following properties (H.1) and (H.2):

- (H.1) There exists a complete orthonormal basis  $\{\varphi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}^* = \{0\}^{\vee} \mathbb{N}\}$  in D(H) such that  $\mathbb{H}\varphi_n = \lambda_n \varphi_n$  with  $0 \le \lambda_n \le \lambda_{n+1}$   $(n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ .
- (H.2)  $e^{-\tau H} \in \mathcal{J}_1$  for all  $\tau \in (0,\beta]$ , where  $\beta > 0$  is the inverse temperature and  $\mathcal{J}_1$  denotes the family of all trace class operators on  $\mathcal{J}$ .

Let

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{D} := \{ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k}; \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, \alpha_{k} \in \mathbb{C}, k=0,1,\dots,n \} .$$

Obviously D is dense in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{L}(D,\mathfrak{F})$  the space of bounded linear operators from D into  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Every element A in  $\mathfrak{L}(D,\mathfrak{F})$  has a unique extension to an element in  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{F})$ , the space of bounded linear operators on  $\mathfrak{F}$ . We denote the extension of A by  $\overline{A}$  or  $A^-$ .

Let  $\mathcal{J}(D,H)$  be the set of linear operators A acting in  $\mathcal{J}$  with the following properties  $(\mathcal{J},1)$  -  $(\mathcal{J},3)$ :

- $(\mathcal{J}.1) \quad D \subset D(A) \cap D(A^*) .$
- (4.2) For all  $x \in D$ , Ax and Ax are in D.
- $(\mathcal{J}.3)$  For all  $\tau \in (0,\beta]$ ,  $e^{-\tau H}A$  (resp.  $Ae^{-\tau H}$ ) is in  $\chi(D,\mathcal{J})$  and  $(e^{-\tau H}A)^-$  (resp.  $(Ae^{-\tau H})^-$ ) is in  $\mathcal{J}_2$ , where  $\mathcal{J}_2$  denotes the family of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators

with the Hilbert-Schmidt norm  $\| \|_2$ .

In this section, we shall construct a Hilbert space  $X_C(H)$  and a Liouville operator L associated with the Hamiltonian H and show that the triplet  $(X_C(H), L, A_0)$  satisfies an MO-structure for any non-zero  $A_0 \in D(L)$ .

If two operators A and B in  $\mathcal{J}(D,H)$  satisfy Ax=Bx for all x $\in$ D, then we write as A $\sim$ B, which gives an equivalence relation in  $\mathcal{J}(D,H)$ . We denote by [A] the equivalence class of A $\in$  $\mathcal{J}(D,H)$  and by  $\mathcal{J}(D,H)/\sim$  the set of all the equivalence classes.

We can introduce in  $\mathcal{J}(D,H)/\sim$  the operation of addition , scalar multiplication and involution \* as follows :

$$(3.2)$$
  $[A]+[B] := [A+B]$ .

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha[A] := [\alpha A] \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{C}) .$$

$$(3.4)$$
  $[A]^* := [A^*]$ .

We can define a correlation ; Bogoliubov scalar product  $\mbox{(Kubo-Mori scalar product , or the canonical correlation)} < \mbox{; } >_{\mbox{H}} \mbox{on } \mathcal{G}(\mbox{D},\mbox{H})/\sim \mbox{ as follows: For any [A],[B] } \in \mathcal{G}(\mbox{D},\mbox{H})/\sim \mbox{,}$ 

$$\int_{0}^{\beta} d\lambda \sum_{n} (Ae^{-(\beta-\lambda)H} \varphi_{n} \cdot e^{-\lambda H} B\varphi_{n})$$
(3.5)  $\langle [A]; [B] \rangle_{H} := \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(e^{-\beta H})}$ 

It can be easily seen to prove that <;  $>_{H}$  is inner products on  $\mathcal{J}(D,H)/\sim$ . We denote the norm of [A] by

(3.6) 
$$\|[A]\|_{H} := \langle [A]; [A] \rangle_{H}^{1/2}$$

We can define an element A(t) in  $\mathcal{J}(D,H)$  and its equivalence class [A](t) by

(3.7) 
$$A(t) := e^{itH/\hbar} A e^{-itH/\hbar}$$
 (A  $\in \mathcal{J}(D,H)$  ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

(3.8) 
$$[A](t) := [A(t)]$$
  $(A \in \mathcal{J}(D,H), t \in \mathbb{R})$ ,

Lemma 3.1. For all 
$$A \in \mathcal{J}(D,H)$$
 ,  $\|[A]\|_{H} = \|[A^*]\|_{H}$ .

We obtain a Hilbert space  $X_C(H)$  as the completion of  $\mathcal{J}(D,H)/\sim$  by the norm  $\|\ \|_H$  .

*Remark 3.1.* We can define an involution  $[A] \to [A]^+$  on  $X_{\mathbb{C}}(H)$  such that  $[A]^+=[A]^*$  for all  $[A]\in\mathcal{J}(D,H)/\sim$ .

Let

(3.9) 
$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{S}} := \{ A \in \mathcal{J}(D,H) ; AH , HA \in \mathcal{J}(D,H) \}$$

(3.10) 
$$D(\delta) := \{[A] \in X_{C}(H) ; A \in \mathcal{J}_{\delta}\}$$

 $D(\delta)$  is a dense subspace in  $X_{C}(H)$  .

We define a linear operator  $\delta:D(\delta)\to X_C(H)$  by

(3.11) 
$$\delta[A] := \left[ \frac{1}{\hbar} [H, A] \right]$$
 ([A]  $\in D(\delta)$ ),

where [H,A] := HA - AH . Then ,  $\delta$  is a symmetric operator . We define for each teR the operator U(t) :  $\mathcal{G}(D,H)/\sim \to X_{C}(H)$  by

(3.12) U(t)[A] := [A](t)  $(A \in \mathcal{J}(D,H))$ .

Proposition 3.2. For any [A]  $\in \mathcal{J}(D,H)/\sim$ , and t,s  $\in \mathbb{R}$ ,

(a) U(t) is unitary on  $\mathcal{J}(D,H)/\sim$ ,

(b) U(0)[A] = [A],

- (c) U(t + s)[A] = U(t)U(s)[A],
- (d)  $s-\lim_{t\to 0} U(t)[A] = [A]$ .

Since it follows from Proposition 3.2 that  $\{U(t); t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is uniquely extended to a strongly continuous unitary group on  $X_{\mathbb{C}}(H)$ , we denote its extension by the same symbol . By Stone's theorem , there exists a unique self-adjoint operator L on  $X_{\mathbb{C}}(H)$  such that

(3.13) 
$$U(t) = e^{itL}$$
.

Proposition 3.3. L  $\supset \delta$ 

Remark 3.2. Proposition 3.3 means that the time evolution by Liouville operator L coincides with the Heisenberg picture on  $D(\delta)$ , i.e.,

(3.14) 
$$e^{itL}[A] = [e^{itH/\hbar} A e^{-itH/\hbar}]$$
 ([A]  $\in D(\delta)$ ).

**Definition 3.4.** We say that  $A \in \mathcal{J}(D,H)$  is in M(D,H) if

it satisfies the following condition (C.1):

(C.1) For all  $\tau,\tau'$  with  $0<\tau'<\tau<\beta$ , there exist non-negative functions  $f_A(\tau,\tau'), g_A(\tau,\tau'), f_A^*(\tau,\tau')$  and  $g_A^*(\tau,\tau')>0$  such that , for all  $x\in D$  ,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \| e^{-\tau H} A x \| \leq f_A(\tau,\tau') \| e^{-(\tau-\tau')H} A e^{-\tau' H} x \| + g_A(\tau,\tau') \| e^{-\tau' H} x \| \\ \| e^{-\tau H} A^* x \| \leq f_A^* (\tau,\tau') \| e^{-(\tau-\tau')H} A^* e^{-\tau' H} x \| \\ + g_A^* (\tau,\tau') \| e^{-\tau' H} x \| \end{array} \right. .$$

If A and B  $\in$   $\mathcal{J}(D,H)$  are in M(D,H), we have AB  $\in$   $\mathcal{J}(D,H)$ . Then , for any A,B  $\in$  M(D,H) , we define the product of [A] and [B]  $\in$   $\mathcal{J}(D,H)/\sim$  by

$$(3.15)$$
 [A][B] := [AB]

This definition is independent of the choice of the representatives of [A] and [B].

*Proposition 3.5.* Suppose that  $\{B_0,B_1,\cdots,B_N\}\subset\mathcal{J}(D,H)$  (N  $\in$  N\*) satisfy the following conditions :

*Definition 3.6.* We say that A  $\in$   $\mathcal{G}(D,H)$  is H-diagonal if there exists  $\sigma_A \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

(3.16) 
$$[H,A]x = -\hbar \sigma_A Ax , x \in D$$
.

Remark 3.3. If A is H-diagonal with [A]  $\neq$  0 ,then  $\sigma_A$  is

uniquely determined .

Lemma 3.7. For any H-diagonal  $A \in \mathcal{J}(D,H)$ ,  $x \in D, \tau > 0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

- (a)  $Ae^{-\tau H}x = \exp[-\tau \hbar \sigma_A]e^{-\tau H} Ax$
- (b)  $Ae^{itH/\hbar}x = \exp[it\sigma_A]e^{itH/\hbar} Ax$ .

Proposition 3.8. If A,Be $\mathcal{J}(D,H)$  are H-diagonal and  $\sigma_A^{\neq\sigma_B}$ , then  $\langle [A]; [B] \rangle_H^{=0}$ .

Lemma 3.9. If  $A \in \mathcal{G}(D,H)$  is H-diagonal, then A is in M(D,H). Proposition 3.10. (a) If  $A \in \mathcal{G}(D,H)$  is H-diagonal, then  $A^*$   $\in \mathcal{G}(D,H)$  is also H-diagonal. Moreover, if  $[A] \neq 0$ , then  $\sigma_A^{*=-\sigma_A}$ .

(b) If  $A, B \in \mathcal{J}(D, H)$  are H-diagonal , then  $AB \in \mathcal{J}(D, H)$  is also H-diagonal . Moreover, if  $[AB] \neq 0$ , then  $\sigma_{AB} = \sigma_A + \sigma_B$ . Lemma 3.11. If  $A \in \mathcal{J}(D, H)$  is H-diagonal , then  $A \in \mathcal{J}_{\delta}$  and so  $[A] \in D(\delta)$ .

We define a subset  $\mathfrak{A}_{f}(H)$  of  $X_{C}(H)$  as follows :

(3.17)  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{f}}(H) := \{ \mathbf{u}_0[A_0] + \mathbf{u}_1[A_1] + \dots + \mathbf{u}_N[A_N] \in X_{\mathbf{C}}(H) : N \in \mathbb{N}^*, \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_N \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ and } A_0, A_1, \dots, A_N \in \mathcal{J}(D, H) \text{ are } H\text{-diagonal} \}.$ 

 $\textit{Proposition 3.12.} \ \ \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathtt{H}) \ \ \text{is a *-algebra and} \ \ \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathtt{H}) \ \subset \ \mathtt{D}(\delta) \, .$ 

Remark 3.4.  $X_C(H)$  is a partial \*-algebra with a unity (see ,[1,Definition 2.1. and Definition 2.2.])

Lemma 3.13. For all [A] , [B]  $\in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathsf{H})$  and  $\mathsf{t} \in \mathbb{R}$  .

- (a) L[A] and  $e^{itL}[A] \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}(H)$ ,
- (b) L([A][B]) = (L[A])[B] + [A](L[B]),
- (c)  $L[A]^* = -(L[A])^*$ .

Lemma 3.14. Suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{J}(D,H)$  is H-diagonal with  $\sigma_A>0$  and  $[A,A^*]x=x$  for all  $x\in D$ . Then ,

(a) 
$$\frac{\operatorname{tr}((e^{-\beta H} A^* A)^{-})}{\operatorname{tr}(e^{-\beta H})} = \frac{1}{\exp[\beta \hbar \sigma_A] - 1}$$

(b) 
$$\| [A] \|_{H}^{2} = \| [A]^{*} \|_{H}^{2} = \frac{1}{\beta \hbar \sigma_{A}}$$

Deffinition 3.15. We say that the linear operator A is in  $\mathfrak{C}_{\eta}(\mathbb{H})$   $(\eta \in (0,\beta))$  if A satisfies the following conditions  $(\mathfrak{C}.1)$  and  $(\mathfrak{C}.2)_{\eta}$ :

$$(\mathfrak{G}.1)$$
  $(=(\mathfrak{f}.1))$   $D(A)$ ,  $D(A^*) \supset D$ .

$$(\emptyset.2)_{\eta} (e^{-\eta H/2} A)^{-}, (Ae^{-(\beta - \eta)H/2})^{-} \in \mathcal{I}_{2}$$
.

Lemma 3.16. For all  $\eta \in (0,\beta)$ ,

- (a) If A is in  $\mathfrak{C}_{\eta}(H)$  , then  $A^{\star}$  is in  $\mathfrak{C}_{(\beta-\eta)}(H)$  ,
- (b)  $\mathbb{Q}_{n}(\mathbb{H})$  is a complex vector space ,
- (c)  $\mathcal{J}(D,H) \in \mathfrak{C}_{\eta}(H)$ .

Lemma 3.17. For each  $\eta \in (0,\beta)$  and  $A \in \mathfrak{C}_{\eta}(H)$ , there exists a Cauchy sequence  $\{[A_N]; N \in \mathbb{N}^*\} \subset \mathfrak{F}(D,H)/\sim$  such that

- (a)  $A_N \in \mathcal{J}_S$ ,
- (b)  $\lim_{N\to\infty} A_N x = Ax$  in  $\mathcal{J}$  for all  $x \in D$ ,

(c) As a function of  $\lambda \in [0, \beta]$ ,  $\Sigma_n((A-A_N)e^{-(\beta-\lambda)H}\varphi_n, e^{-\lambda H}(A-A_N)\varphi_n) \quad \text{converges uniformly}$ 

to 0 as  $N \longrightarrow \infty$  .

For every  $A \in \mathfrak{T}_{\eta}(H)$   $(\eta \in (0,\beta))$ , we can define an element of  $X_{C}(H)$  [A] by

(3.18) [A] := 
$$\lim_{N\to\infty} [A_N]$$
.

Remark 3.5. If we can take another convergent sequence  $\{[B_N]: N \in \mathbb{N}^*\} \subset \mathcal{J}(D,H)/\sim$  to the operator A in the sense of (b) and (c) of Lemma 3.17, we can show that  $\lim_{N \to \infty} [B_N] = [A] \in X_{\mathbb{C}}(H)$ . Furthermore, we can show that there exists an injective mapping  $\iota: \mathfrak{C}_{\eta}(H) \cap D \to X_{\mathbb{C}}(H)$  defined by

$$L(A \cap D) := [A]$$
.

where  $\mathfrak{C}_{n}(H) \upharpoonright D := \{A \upharpoonright D : A \in \mathfrak{C}_{n}(H)\}$ .

**Definition 3.18.** For each  $\eta \in (0,\beta)$ ,

$$\mathbb{Q}_{\eta}(\mathsf{H})/\sim := \iota(\mathbb{Q}_{\eta}(\mathsf{H}) \cap \mathbb{D}) .$$

Lemma 3.19. For each  $A \in \mathfrak{C}_{\eta}(H)$  ,  $\eta \in (0,\beta)$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  ,

- (a)  $e^{itH/\hbar}$  A  $e^{-itH/\hbar}$  is in  $\xi_n(H)$  ,
- (b)  $[e^{itH/\hbar} A e^{-itH/\hbar}] = e^{itL} [A]$
- (c)  $[A]^+ = [A^*]$ , and  $[A]^+ \in \mathfrak{C}_{(\beta \eta)}(H)$

*Remark 3.6.* For  $[A] \in \mathfrak{C}_{\eta}(H)/\sim (\eta \neq \beta/2)$ , it does not always hold that  $[A]^+$  is in  $\mathfrak{C}_{\eta}(H)/\sim$ .

# §4. MORI'S LANGEVIN EQUATION FOR THE RWA-OSCILLATOR

Let a complex Hilbert space  $g^2(N)$  be given by

$$(4.1) \qquad {\textstyle \cancel{\ell}}^2(\mathbb{N}) := \{ (\mathbf{c}_k; \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \; ; \; \Sigma_{k=1}^{\infty} \, |\, \mathbf{c}_k \, |^2 < \infty \} \ .$$

For each  $f \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , we denote f by

$$(4.2)$$
 f =  $(f_0, f_1, f_2, ...)$ ,

where  $f_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $(f_1, f_2, \dots) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

An inner product  $< | > \text{ of } \mathbb{C} \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  is given by

$$(4.3) \qquad \langle f | g \rangle := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \overline{f}_k g_k \qquad (f, g \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N})) .$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_S(\mathbb{C}\oplus p^2(\mathbb{N}))$  be the symmetric Boson Fock space over  $\mathbb{C}\oplus p^2(\mathbb{N})$  ,i.e.,

$$(4.4) \qquad \mathcal{F}_{S}(\mathbb{C}\oplus \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n}(\mathbb{C}\oplus \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}))^{n} .$$

where , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  ,  $S_n(\mathbb{C} \oplus \cancel{l}^2(\mathbb{N}))^n$  is the n-fold symmetric tensor product of  $\mathbb{C} \oplus \cancel{l}^2(\mathbb{N})$  ,  $S_0(\mathbb{C} \oplus \cancel{l}^2(\mathbb{N}))^0 := \mathbb{C}$  (see,e.g., [20,p.53]) .

For any  $f \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , we define  $B^+(f) : S_n(\mathbb{C} \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}))^n \to S_{n+1}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}))^{n+1}$  by

$$(4.5) \quad \text{B}^+(\mathbf{f}) \Psi := \sqrt{n+1} \, S_{n+1}(\mathbf{f} \otimes \Psi) \qquad (\Psi \in S_n(\mathbb{C} \oplus \cancel{\ell}^2(\mathbb{N}))^n) \ .$$
 Let

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{F}_F(\mathbb{C}\oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N})) := \left\{ \Psi = \left\{ \Psi^{(n)} \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{F}_S(\mathbb{C} \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N})) : \text{ there} \\ \text{exists } n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ such that, for all } n \geqslant n_0, \\ \Psi^{(n)} = 0 \right\} .$$

The subspace  $\mathcal{F}_F(\mathbb{C}\oplus p^2(\mathbb{N}))$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}_S(\mathbb{C}\oplus p^2(\mathbb{N}))$  (see,e.g., [6,p.68]) . We denote the Fock vacuum  $\Omega_0$  by

$$(4.7) \qquad \Omega_0 := \{1,0,0,...\}$$

For each  $f\in \mathbb{C}\oplus l^2(\mathbb{N})$  , we define a linear operator  $A^+(f)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_F(\mathbb{C}\oplus l^2(\mathbb{N}))$  by

(4.8) 
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (A^{+}(f)\psi)^{(n)} := B^{+}(f)\psi^{(n-1)} & (n \in \mathbb{N}) \\ (A^{+}(f)\psi)^{(0)} := 0 & . \end{array} \right.$$

Then ,  $A^{+}(f)$  is densely defined , and we put

(4.9) 
$$A(f) := \overline{A^{+}(f)^{*} \cap \mathcal{F}_{F}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}))}$$

A(f) and  $A^+(f)$  are called the annihilation and creation operator, respectively (see, e.g.,[6,§.3.1]). Operators  $A^+(f)$  and A(f) are closable and the closure of them are be denoted by the same notation.

Let  $N=d\Gamma(I)$  be the second quantization of the identity I (the number operator). It is well-known that

(4.10) 
$$D(A^{+}(f)), D(A(f)) \supset D(N^{1/2})$$

with estimates

Futhermore ,  $\text{A}^+(f)$  and A(f) leave  $\mathcal{F}_F(\mathbb{C}\oplus \not\!\! L^2(\mathbb{N}))$  invariant which satisfy the canonical commutation relations

$$\{ (4.12) \begin{cases} [A(f),A(g)]=0,[A^{+}(f),A^{+}(g)]=0 \\ [A(f),A^{+}(g)]=\langle f|g \rangle, \end{cases} (f,g \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}))$$

on  $\mathcal{F}_F(\mathbb{C}\oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$  , where [A,B] := AB - BA .

Let  $\{e_k^*; k \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$  be a complete orthonormal system of  $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{Q}^2(\mathbb{N})$  given by

$$(4.13) e_k := (0,0,...,0,1,0,0,...) .$$

We put

$$\begin{cases} a := A (e_0), \\ a^+ := A^+(e_0), \\ b_k := A (e_k), \\ b_k^+ := A^+(e_k). \end{cases} (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

Let  $\mathbb{D}^{(0)}$  denote the algebraic span of a complete orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{F}_{S}(\mathbb{C}\oplus \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}))$  (see [3]),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0! \, n_1! \cdots n_M!}} - (\alpha^+)^n 0 (b_1^+)^n 1 \cdots (b_M^+)^n M \Omega_0 , M \in \mathbb{N}^*, n_0, n_1, \cdots, n_M \in \mathbb{N}^* .$$

Operators  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^+$  physically denote the annihilation and creation operators of a quantum harmonic oscillator , respectively . On the other hand, the operators  $b_k$  and  $b_k^+$  denote the annihilation and creation operators of a heat bath , respectively .

Let  $\{\omega_k^*; k \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$  and  $\{\Gamma_k^*; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be sequences satisfying the following conditions (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3):

(A.1) 
$$\begin{cases} 0 < \omega_0 ; & 0 < \omega_1 < \omega_2 < \cdots , \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\omega_k^2} < \infty . \end{cases}$$

(A.2) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_k^2 |\Gamma_k|^2 < \infty .$$

(A.3) 
$$\hbar\omega_0 > \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Gamma_k|^2}{\hbar\omega_k}.$$

Example: We have two examples , as the frequency  $\{\omega_k^{}; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  satisfying (A.1) ;

$$\omega_{\bf k}^{=}({\bf k}^2+{\bf m}^2)^{1/2}$$
 , m>0 (the relativistic case) , 
$$\omega_{\bf k}^{=}({\bf k}^2+{\bf m}^2)^{1/2}$$
 , M>0 (the non-relativistic case) .

We can define a positive self-adjoint operator  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{C}\oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  by

Then we get the free Hamiltonian  $\mathbf{H}_0$  associated with  $\omega$  defined by

(4.16) 
$$H_0 := d\Gamma(\omega)$$
,

where  $d\Gamma(\omega)$  is the second quantization of  $\omega$  .

Let

$$(4.17) \quad \gamma := (0, \overline{\Gamma_1}, \overline{\Gamma_2}, \cdots) \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) .$$

and let

(4.18) 
$$H_{I} := A^{+}(\gamma)A(e_{0}) + A^{+}(e_{0})A(\gamma)$$
.

The operator  $\mathbf{H}_{I}$  describes the Hamiltonian of the oscillator interacting with infinitely many scalar bosons . We note that

$$(4.19) H_{I} = \Sigma_{k=1}^{\infty} (\overline{\Gamma}_{k} b_{k}^{\dagger} a + \Gamma_{k} a^{\dagger} b_{k}) on D^{(0)}$$

Since the operator  $H_I$  is well-defined on  $\mathcal{F}_F(\mathbb{C}\oplus \cancel{\ell}^2(\mathbb{N}))$  and symmetric , we denote the closure of  $H_I \cap \mathcal{F}_F(\mathbb{C}\oplus \cancel{\ell}^2(\mathbb{N}))$  by the same symbol . Then , the total Hamiltonian H is given by  $(4.20) \quad H := H_0 + H_I \ .$ 

We have  $D(H_0)\subset D(H)$ , and the closure of  $H\lceil D(H_0)$  is essentially self-adjoint on any core for  $H_0$ . We shall denote the closure of  $H\lceil D(H_0)$  by the same symbol H.

We define a linear operator  $\mathbb{L}$  on  $\mathbb{C}\oplus \mathcal{Q}^2(\mathbb{N})$  as follows :

(4.21) 
$$D(\mathbb{L}) := E=L.h.[\{e_k; k \in \mathbb{N}^*\}]$$

$$(4.22) \quad \mathbb{L} e_{k} := \begin{cases} \omega_{0} e_{0} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_{\ell}}{\hbar} e_{\ell} & (k=0) \\ \frac{\Gamma_{k}}{\hbar} e_{0} + \omega_{k} e_{k} & (k=1,2,\dots) \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that the operator  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{L}}$  can be extended to

a closed symmetric operator on  $\mathbb{C}\oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  , and E is a core for its extension .

Lemma 4.1. (a)  $\mathbb{L}$  is self-adjoint, and there exists a unitary operator  $\mathbb{U}$  on  $\mathbb{C}\oplus p^2(\mathbb{N})$  such that  $\mathbb{U}^*\mathbb{L}\mathbb{U}\mathrm{e}_p = \varepsilon_p\mathrm{e}_p$ , where  $\varepsilon_p>0$  for any  $\mathrm{p}\in\mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\{\varepsilon_p:\mathrm{p}\in\mathbb{N}^*\}$  is the all zeros

of 
$$D(z) := z - \omega_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Gamma_k|^2}{\hbar^2(\omega_k^{-2})}$$

(b) Put  $\langle e_k | \mathbb{U}e_p \rangle = : u_{kp} (k, p \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ , then

$$u_{kp}^{=-} \frac{\overline{\Gamma_k}}{\hbar(\omega_k^{-\epsilon_p})} u_{0p} \qquad (k \in \mathbb{N}; p \in \mathbb{N}^*) ,$$

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - \omega_{0} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Gamma_{k}|^{2}}{\hbar^{2}(\omega_{k} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}})} = 0 \qquad (\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}).$$

$$|u_{0p}|^2 = \{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Gamma_k|^2}{\hbar^2 (\omega_k - \epsilon_p)^2}\}^{-1} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}^*)$$

Let  $\Gamma(\mathbb{U})$  be a unitary operator on  $\mathcal{F}_{S}(\mathbb{C}\oplus \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}))$  defined by

$$(4.23) \qquad \Gamma(\mathbb{U}) \ \lceil \ S_n(\mathbb{C} \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}))^n = \otimes_{k=1}^n \mathbb{U} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \ .$$

(4.24)  $\Gamma(\mathbb{U}) \upharpoonright S_0(\mathbb{C} \oplus p^2(\mathbb{N}))^0 = I$  (the identity), and define for each  $f \in \mathbb{C} \oplus p^2(\mathbb{N})$ 

(4.25) 
$$\begin{cases} \beta^{+}(\mathbf{f}) := \Gamma(\mathbb{U})A^{+}(\mathbf{f})\Gamma(\mathbb{U})^{-1}, \\ \beta(\mathbf{f}) := \Gamma(\mathbb{U})A(\mathbf{f})\Gamma(\mathbb{U})^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

We put

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{cases} \beta_k^+ := \beta^+(e_k), \\ \beta_k^- := \beta(e_k). \end{cases} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^*)$$

Since  $\mathbb{U}$  is unitary on  $\mathbb{C}\oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , the following commutation relations hold on  $\mathcal{F}_F(\mathbb{C}\oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ , for all  $f,g\in\mathbb{C}\oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

$$(4.27)$$
  $[\beta(f), \beta^{+}(g)] = \langle f | g \rangle$ ,

(4.28) 
$$[\beta(f), \beta(g)] = 0$$
,  $[\beta^{+}(f), \beta^{+}(g)] = 0$ .

Lemma 4.2. 
$$\sigma(H) = \{ \hbar \epsilon_0 n_0 + \dots + \hbar \epsilon_N n_N ; N \in \mathbb{N}^*, n_0, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{N}^* \}$$
.

Corollary: H satisfies conditions (H.1) and (H.2) .

Lemma 4.3. (a)  $\beta_p$ ,  $\beta_p^+ \in \mathcal{J}(D,H)$  are H-diagonal.

(b) 
$$\sigma_{\beta_p} = -\epsilon_p = -\sigma_{\beta_p}^+ \qquad (p \in \mathbb{N}^*)$$
.

Lemma 4.4.  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{+} \in \mathfrak{T}_{n}(\mathbb{H})$   $(\eta \in (0, \beta))$ .

Lemma 4.5. (a) 
$$\omega(a) = -(\mathbb{L}^{-1})_{00}^{-1}$$

where  $\omega(a)$  denotes the Mori's frequency of a.

(b) For 
$$z \in \mathbb{C}^+$$
, 
$$\int_0^\infty dt \ \phi(t) e^{itZ} = i\omega(\alpha) \cdot \frac{F(z)}{1+F(z)}.$$

where  $\phi$  is the Mori's memory function of a .

$$F(z) := \sum_{\substack{p=0 \\ p \neq k}}^{\infty} \frac{(\overline{\mathbb{L}^{-1}})_{kp}((z-\mathbb{L})^{-1})_{kp}}{(\overline{\mathbb{L}^{-1}})_{kk}((z-\mathbb{L})^{-1})_{kk}}.$$

and , for any operator T on  $\mathbb{C}\oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  ,  $T_{kp}^{}=<e_k^{}|Te_p^{}>$  .

Theorem 4.6. (a) Mori's Langevin equation for [a](t) is

(4.29) 
$$\frac{d}{dt}[a](t)=iD(0)[a](t)-\int_{0}^{t}ds \ \phi(t-s)[a](s)+[I_{M}](t) .$$

Here the Mori's memory function  $\phi$  is characterized by

(4.30) 
$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \ e^{itZ} \phi(t) = \frac{iD(0)F(z)}{2\pi(1 + F(z))} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^{+}) .$$

and  $\phi(0) = -D(0)\Delta = -\omega(\alpha)\Delta$ , where

(4.31) 
$$F(z) := \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Gamma_p|^2}{\hbar^2 \omega_p(\omega_p - z)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Gamma_k|^2}{\hbar^2 \omega_k}.$$

and Mori noise  $[I_M](t)$  satisfies

(4.32) 
$$\langle [I_{M}](0); [I_{M}](0) \rangle_{H} = -\frac{\phi(0)}{\beta \hbar \omega(a)} = \frac{1}{\beta \hbar} \Delta$$
.

(b) 
$$R_{\alpha}(t) = \langle [\alpha]; [\alpha](t) \rangle_{H}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta \hbar} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|D'(\epsilon_{p})|} \cdot \frac{e^{-it\epsilon_{p}}}{\epsilon_{p}}$$

is an almost periodic function .

Remark 4.1. The equality  $\omega(a)=D(0)$  physically means that Mori's frequency is equal to the difference between the frequency  $\omega_0$  of a quantum harmonic oscillator and the initial value of the canonical correlation function of Mori noise multiplied by  $\beta\hbar$ . In [11], K.Lindenberg and B.J.West

gave attention to the quantity  $\Delta$ . (4.32) gives such a physical meaning that  $\Delta$  is the initial value of the canonical correlation function of Mori noise multiplied by  $\beta \hbar$ .

We note that  $R_a(t)$  does not converge as  $t \to \infty$ .

#### References

- [1] J.-P.Antoine and W.Karwowski, Partial \*-algebras of closed linear operators in Hilbert space, Publ.RIMS, 21, (1985) 205-236.
- [2] A.Arai, Spectral analysis of a quantum harmonic oscillator coupled to infinitely many scalar bosons, to be published in J.Math.Anal.Appl..
- [3] F.A.Berezin , *The method of second quantization* ,
  Academic Press , New York , (1966)
- [4] E.Braun, Irreversible behavior of a quantum a quantum harmonic oscillator coupled to a heat bath, Physica 129A, (1985) 262-301.
- [5] O.Bratteli and D.W.Robinson , Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics II , Springer-Verlag , New York Inc ., (1981)
- [6] H.Ezawa and A.Arai, *Quantum Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics*, Nihon Hyoron Shya, (1988) (in Japanese)

- [7] D.Forster , Hydrodynamic fluctuations , broken symmety , and correlation functions , Benjamin , (1975)
- [8] J.Glimm and A.Jaffe , *Quantum physics*, Springer-Verlag, (1981)
- [9] H.Haken , Laser Theory , in Vol.XXV/2C of Encyclopedia of Physics , Springer , Berlin , (1970)
- [10] R.Kubo, Statistical-mechanical theory of irreversible processes .I : General theory and simple applications to magnetic and conduction problems , J.Phys.Soc.Japan, 12 .6 ,(1957) , 570-586 .
- [11] K.Lindenberg and J.West , Statistical properties of
   quantum systems : The linear oscillator , Phys.Rev., 30,
   1 ,(1984), 568-582 .
- [12] H.Mori , Transport, collective motion , and Brownian motion, Prog. Theo. Phys. , Vol. 33 , No. 3 , (1965), 423-455 .
- [13] , A continued fraction representation of the time-correlation functions ,Prog.Theo.Phys. ,34 , 3 , (1965), 399-416 .
- [14] H.Nakazawa, in proceedings of the third university of California conference on statistical mechanics, (1988), to appear in Nucl. Phys. B supplement.

- [15] Y.Okabe, On a Langevin equation,

  Sugaku, 33, (1981), 306-324 (in Japanese).
- [16] \_\_\_\_\_\_, KMO Langevin equation and fluctuation-dissipation theorem (I), Hokkaido Mathematical Journal, 15 ,2 ,(1986), 163-216.
- [17] \_\_\_\_\_\_, KMO Langevin equation and fluctuation-dissipation theorem (II), Hokkaido Mathematical Journal, 15,3,(1986), 317-355.
- [18] E. Prugocecki , *Quantum Mechanics in Hilbert Space* , (second edition) , Acadmic Press , New York , (1981)
- [19] and A.Tip, Semi-groups of rank-preserving transformers on minimal norm ideals in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U})$ , Comp.Math. 30 ,(1975), 113-136 .
- [20] M.Reed and B.Simon , Methods of Modern Mathematical

  Phisics Vol.I : Acadmic Press, New York , (1972)
- [21] \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ , Methods of Modern Mathematical

  Phisics Vol.II : Acadmic Press , New York , (1975)
- [22] \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ , Methods of Modern Mathematical Phisics Vol.III: Acadmic Press , New York , (1978)

and the state of t