# Dynamical Systems on Statistical Models

Akio Fujiwara (藤原 彰夫 )

Department of Mathematical Engineering and Information Physics University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113, Japan

#### Abstract

Dualistic properties of a gradient flow on a manifold M associated with a dualistic structure  $(g, \nabla, \nabla^*)$  is studied from an information geometrical viewpoint. Statistical significance of the gradient flow is also investigated.

### 1 Introduction

Motivated mainly by classical mechanics, completely integrable dynamical systems have been investigated by many researchers. Furthermore, some authors have sought contacts with other fields such as linear programming [4] and eigenvalue problems of matrices [5], see also [6] and the references cited therein.

On the other hand, some physicists have studied nonequilibrium or dissipative processes from a geometrical viewpoint [3]. Obata et al. also examined some nonequilibrium processes by using information geometry [9]. They showed the Uhlembeck-Ornstein process is a geodesic motion with respect to the exponential connection on a Gaussian model.

Quite recently, Nakamura pointed out that certain gradient flows on Gaussian and multinomial distributions can be characterized as completely integrable Hamiltonian systems [8]. This is the first suggestion of the connection between two seemingly unrelated fields, i.e., information geometry and completely integrable dynamical systems.

In this paper, general dualistic properties of a gradient flow on a manifold M associated with a dualistic structure  $(g, \nabla, \nabla^*)$  is studied from an information geometrical point of view. Statistical significance of the gradient flow is also investigated.

### 2 Dualistic geometry

We first give a brief summary of dualistic geometry. For details, consult [2]. Let M be a Riemannian manifold with metric g. Two affine connections  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^*$  on M are said to be *dual* with respect to g if for any vector field A, B, and C on M,

$$Ag(B|C) = g(\nabla_A B|C) + g(B|\nabla_A^*C),$$

where g(B|C) denotes the inner product of B and C with respect to the metric g. If the torsions and the Riemannian curvatures of M with respect to the connections  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^*$  vanish, M is said to be *flat*, and a pair of divergences on M are defined in the following way. We first construct mutually dual affine coordinates on M, i.e.,  $\nabla$ -affine coordinate  $\theta = [\theta^i]$  and  $\nabla^*$ -affine coordinate  $\eta = [\eta_i]$  which satisfy

$$g(\partial_i \mid \partial^j) = \delta_i^j, \tag{1}$$

where  $\partial_i = \partial/\partial \theta^i$  and  $\partial^j = \partial/\partial \eta_j$ . Then there exist such potential functions  $\psi(\theta)$ ,  $\phi(\eta)$  on M satisfying

$$heta^i=\partial_i\phi(\eta),\qquad \eta_i=\partial^i\psi(\eta),\qquad \psi( heta)+\phi(\eta)- heta\cdot\eta=0,$$

where  $\theta \cdot \eta = \theta^i \eta_i$ . By using these potentials, we define the  $\nabla$ -divergence D as

$$D(p_1 \parallel p_2) = \psi(\theta_2) + \phi(\eta_1) - \theta_2 \cdot \eta_1,$$

where  $\eta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are the  $\eta$  and  $\theta$  coordinates of points  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  respectively. According to the duality, the  $\nabla^*$ -divergence  $D^*$  is given as

$$D^*(p_1 || p_2) = D(p_2 || p_1).$$

For instance, let M be a set of positive probability distributions on a set  $\mathcal{X}$ , g the Fisher metric,  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^*$  the exponential and mixture connections, respectively. Then the exponential divergence D is given by

$$D(p_1 || p_2) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_1(x) \log \frac{p_1(x)}{p_2(x)} dx,$$

which is identical to the Kullback-Leibler divergence  $K(p_1, p_2)$ . Note that our manner of naming of divergences is different from Amari's one.

Next, we tackle the converse problem, i.e., let us construct a natural dualistic structure for an arbitrary manifold M on which a potential  $U(\theta)$  is given, where  $\theta = [\theta^i]$  is a local coordinate system of M. In the following, we restrict ourselves to a domain  $\Theta$  in which the potential  $U(\theta)$  is a convex function with respect to  $\theta$ . We first define another coordinate system  $\eta = [\eta_i]$  and the corresponding potential  $V(\eta)$  by a Legendre transformation as

$$\eta_j = \partial_j U(\theta), \qquad V(\eta) = \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \{ \theta^i \eta_i - U(\theta) \}.$$

Then  $\theta^j = \partial^j V(\eta)$  holds, and the pair  $(\theta, \eta)$  satisfy the identity

$$U(\theta) + V(\eta) - \theta \cdot \eta = 0.$$

The metric  $\hat{g}$  on M is defined by

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j U(\theta).$$

This definition can be rewritten as

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = \frac{\partial \eta_j}{\partial \theta^i},$$

which readily leads to the relation

$$\hat{g}^{ij} = rac{\partial heta^j}{\partial \eta_i} = \partial^i \partial^j V(\eta).$$

This indicates that the coordinate systems  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  are mutually dual with respect to  $\hat{g}$  in the sense of Eq. (1). Further let us set

$$T_{ijk} = \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k U(\theta),$$

and define the  $\alpha$ -connection by

$$\Gamma_{ijk}^{(\alpha)} = [ij;k] - \frac{\alpha}{2}T_{ijk},$$

with [ij;k] the Levi-Civita connection, then  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  become  $\alpha = +1$  and -1 affine coordinates respectively, which can be affirmed by a straightforward computation. In this way, a dualistic structure  $(\hat{g}, \nabla^{(+1)}, \nabla^{(-1)})$  on M is derived in a natural manner from the potential  $U(\theta)$ . The (+1)-divergence is defined as follows:

$$D^{(+1)}(p_1, p_2) = U(\theta_2) + V(\eta_1) - \theta_2 \cdot \eta_1$$
$$= U(\theta_2) - U(\theta_1) - (\theta_2 - \theta_1) \cdot \partial_{\theta} U(\theta_1),$$

where  $(\theta_1, \eta_1)$  and  $(\theta_2, \eta_2)$  are the dual affine coordinates of points  $p_1, p_2 \in M$ , respectively. Note that the point whose  $\eta$  coordinates vanish corresponds to the minimum of the potential  $U(\theta)$ .

### **3** Dualistic Dynamical Systems

In this section, we examine dualistic structures of a gradient system on a flat manifold.

**Theorem 3.1** Let M be a flat manifold with respect to the dualistic structure  $(g, \nabla, \nabla^*)$ , U(p) a potential function on M with respect to an arbitrarily prefixed point  $q \in M$  defined by

$$U(p) = D(q \parallel p),$$

where  $D(q \parallel p)$  is the  $\nabla$ -divergence. Then the gradient flow [7, p. 205]

$$\dot{\theta}^i = -g^{ij}\partial_i U(\theta) \tag{2}$$

converges to the point q along the  $\nabla^*$ -geodesic, where  $\theta$  is the  $\nabla$ -affine coordinates of point p, and  $U(\theta) = U(p(\theta))$ .

**Proof** Since  $\nabla$ -divergence  $D(q \parallel p)$  is rewritten as

$$D(q \parallel p) = \psi(\theta(p)) + \phi(\eta(q)) - \theta(p) \cdot \eta(q)$$
  
=  $\psi(\theta(p)) + \{-\psi(\theta(q)) + \theta(q) \cdot \eta(q)\} - \theta(p) \cdot \eta(q)$   
=  $\psi(\theta(p)) - \psi(\theta(q)) + \{\theta(q) - \theta(p)\} \cdot \eta(q),$ 

the gradient flow can be expressed in the form

$$\dot{\theta}^i(p) = -g^{ij} \{ \partial_j \psi(\theta(p)) - \eta_j(q) \}.$$

By multiplying  $g_{ji}$  to both sides and using the identity

$$g_{ji}\dot{\theta}^i = rac{\partial\eta_j}{\partial\theta^i}rac{d\theta^i}{dt} = rac{d\eta_j}{dt},$$

we have

$$\dot{\eta}_j(p) = -\{\eta_j(p) - \eta_j(q)\},\$$

which can readily be integrated to obtain

$$\eta_j(p(t)) = \eta_j(q) + \{\eta_j(p(0)) - \eta_j(q)\}e^{-t}.$$

This proves the proposition.

**Example 3.1** Here we give two examples of Theorem 3.1. Let us consider a Gaussian family with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ :

$$p_{ heta}(x) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left[-rac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}
ight].$$

This is a typical example of exponential family since it can be represented in the form

$$\log p_{\theta}(x) = \theta^1 f_1(x) + \theta^2 f_2(x) - \psi(\theta)$$

where

$$\theta^1 = \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, \qquad \theta^2 = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}$$

are e-affine parameters and

$$f_1(x) = x,$$
  $f_2(x) = -x^2,$   $\psi(\theta) = \frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} + \log \sqrt{2\pi}\sigma.$ 

Throughout this example, g is the Fisher metric.

We first let  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^*$  be exponential and mixture connections, respectively. Further let us set q as a  $\delta$ -distribution concentrated on the origin. Then the potential becomes

$$U(\theta) = D^{(e)}(q \parallel p_{\theta}) = K(q, p_{\theta}) = \psi(\theta),$$

and the corresponding gradient flow coincides with Nakamura's dynamics [8], which converges to the  $\delta$ -distribution q along an m-geodesic.

Ŵ

Conversely, let  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^*$  be mixture and exponential connections, respectively. Further let us set q as a uniform distribution on  $\mathcal{X}$ , then  $\theta^2(q)$  vanishes and  $\theta^1(q)$  remains indefinite. In this case,  $\nabla$ -affine parameters are the expectation parameters  $\eta_i = E_{\theta}[f_i(x)]$  where  $E_{\theta}[\cdot]$ denotes expectation at  $p_{\theta}$ , and the dynamics takes the form

$$\dot{\eta}_i = -g_{ij}\partial^j U(\eta). \tag{3}$$

Since the potential becomes

$$U(\eta) = D^{(m)}(q \parallel p_{\theta}) = K(p_{\theta}, q) = -[$$
 entropy of  $p_{\theta} ] + const.,$ 

the dynamics is a steepest ascent flow of entropy, which converges to the uniform distribution q along an e-geodesic. Moreover, if we rescale the time logarithmically such as

$$t\,\dot{\eta}_i = -g_{ij}\partial^j U(\eta),\tag{4}$$

then the dynamics can be integrated easily and expressed in the e-affine parameters as

$$\theta^{j}(t) = \theta^{j}(q) + \frac{\theta^{j}(0) - \theta^{j}(q)}{t},$$

where  $\theta^{j}(0)$  is the e-affine coordinates of the initial point. This solution can be expressed also in the  $(\mu, \sigma)$  space as

$$\begin{split} \mu(t) &= \frac{\theta^{1}(t)}{2\theta^{2}(t)} = \frac{\theta^{1}(0) - \theta^{1}(q)}{2\theta^{2}(0)} + \frac{\theta^{1}(q)}{2\theta^{2}(0)} t, \\ \sigma^{2}(t) &= \frac{1}{2\theta^{2}(t)} = \frac{1}{2\theta^{2}(0)} t. \end{split}$$

Here we used the relation  $\theta^2(q) = 0$ . If we set

$$\mu_0 = rac{ heta^1(0) - heta^1(q)}{2 heta^2(0)}, \qquad v = rac{ heta^1(q)}{2 heta^2(0)}, \qquad D = rac{1}{4 heta^2(0)},$$

then we have

$$\mu(t) = \mu_0 + vt, \qquad \sigma^2(t) = 2Dt,$$

which shows that the dynamics (4) is nothing but a Uhlembeck-Ornstein process [9].

Next, we consider another situation. Given a manifold M and a locally convex potential  $U(\theta)$ , then we can induce a natural dualistic structure  $(\hat{g}, \nabla^{(+1)}, \nabla^{(-1)})$  on M by the

procedure mentioned in the previous section. Let us examine a gradient flow on M of the form

$$\dot{\theta}^i = -\hat{g}^{ij}\partial_j U(\theta), \tag{5}$$

which can be reexpressed in the dual affine coordinates as

$$\dot{\eta}_i = -\eta_i. \tag{6}$$

In case dimM is even, this dynamical system can be characterized as a completely integrable Hamiltonian system [1, p. 392], which is a generalization of Nakamura's results [8], as follows.

**Theorem 3.2** If dim M is even, say 2m, then the dynamical system (6) is a completely integrable Hamiltonian system with position  $Q_k = \eta_{2k}$ , momentum  $P^k = -1/\eta_{2k-1}$ , and Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} = -Q_k P^k$ ,  $(k = 1, \dots, m)$ . The m quantities  $\mathcal{H}_k = \eta_{2k}/\eta_{2k-1}$  are mutually independent constants of motion.

**Proof** By using (6), we have

$$\dot{\mathcal{H}}_{k} = \frac{1}{\eta_{2k-1}^{2}} (\dot{\eta}_{2k} \eta_{2k-1} - \eta_{2k} \dot{\eta}_{2k-1}) = 0.$$

Independency and involutiveness of  $\{\mathcal{H}_k\}_{k=1}^m$  are trivial. By straightforward computation, Hamilton's equations

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial P^k}, \qquad \frac{dP}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial Q_k}$$

are reduced to

$$\dot{\eta}_{2k} = -\eta_{2k}, \qquad \dot{\eta}_{2k-1} = -\eta_{2k-1},$$

which reproduce the original gradient flow (6).

Note that if  $\dim M$  is odd, then the dynamical system (6) can be regarded as a subdynamics of a higher dimensional completely integrable Hamiltonian system by combining it with an independent odd dimensional gradient system.

### 4 Constrained Dynamics on a Parametric Model

In this section, we examine a dynamical system which is induced on a parametric statistical model. Let  $M = \{p_{\theta}\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  be a parametric model embedded in the set of probability distributions  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Theorem 3.1 indicates that the gradient flow in  $\mathcal{P}$  with respect to the potential  $U(p) = K(q_n, p)$  with  $q_n$  the empirical distribution is a dynamical system whose gradient vector is m-tangent vector from the point p toward the empirical distribution  $q_n$  which in general falls out of the model M. Therefore we can construct a constrained dynamics on the model M by projecting the gradient m-tangent vector onto the tangent space  $T_p(M)$  of the model with respect to the Fisher metric.

**Theorem 4.1** Such an induced dynamical system is also a gradient flow on M of the form

$$\dot{\theta}^i = -g^{ij}\partial_j K(q_n, p_\theta),\tag{7}$$

where g is the Fisher metric on M. This flow converges to a locally maximum likelihood estimate.

**Proof** Let us define a bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $T_p(M)$  by

$$\langle f(x),g(x)\rangle = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x)g(x)dx,$$

where f(x) and g(x) are an m-tangent vector and an e-tangent vector, respectively. Note that this value is identical to the conventional Fisher inner product in information geometry. Then the projection of the m-tangent vector from the point p toward the empirical distribution  $q_n$  onto the tangent space  $T_p(M)$ , expressed as  $a^i \partial_i p_{\theta}(x)$ , satisfies

$$\langle q_n(x) - p_{\theta}(x), \ \partial_j \log p_{\theta}(x) \rangle = \langle a^i \partial_i p_{\theta}(x), \ \partial_j \log p_{\theta}(x) \rangle.$$

This leads to

$$\begin{array}{lll} a^{i} & = & g^{ij} \langle q_{n}(x) - p_{\theta}(x), \ \partial_{j} \log p_{\theta}(x) \rangle \\ \\ & = & g^{ij} \langle q_{n}(x), \ \partial_{j} \log p_{\theta}(x) \rangle \\ \\ & = & -g^{ij} \partial_{j} K(q_{n}, p_{\theta}). \end{array}$$

Hence the induced dynamical system becomes

$$\dot{p}_{\theta}(x) = \dot{\theta}^i \partial_i p_{\theta}(x) = a^i \partial_i p_{\theta}(x),$$

or

$$\dot{\theta}^i = a^i = -g^{ij}\partial_j K(q_n, p_\theta).$$

Every equilibrium point of this flow satisfies  $a^i = 0$  for all i, which is nothing but foots of the m-geodesic perpendiculars from  $q_n$  onto the model M.

**Lemma 4.1** Suppose the potential  $U(\theta)$  on M is given by the Kullback-Leibler divergence, i.e.,  $U(\theta) = K(q_n, p_{\theta})$ . The induced metric  $\hat{g}_{ij}(\theta)$  is identical to the Fisher metric  $g_{ij}(\theta)$ for every  $q_n \in \mathcal{P}$  iff the model M is an exponential family.

**Proof** If  $\hat{g}_{ij}(\theta)$  is identical to  $g_{ij}(\theta)$  for every  $q_n \in \mathcal{P}$ , then

$$g_{ij}(\theta) - \hat{g}_{ij}(\theta) = -\int_{\mathcal{X}} \{p_{\theta}(x) - q_n(x)\} \partial_i \partial_j \log p_{\theta}(x) dx = 0$$

This shows that  $\partial_i \partial_j \log p_{\theta}(x)$  does not depend on x, i.e., there exists a function  $\psi(\theta)$  such that

$$\partial_i \partial_j \log p_{\theta}(x) = -\partial_i \partial_j \psi(\theta)$$

holds. This equation can readily be integrated to yield

$$\log p_{\theta}(x) = c(x) + \theta^{i} f_{i}(x) - \psi(\theta),$$

which shows that the model  $\{p_{\theta}(x)\}\$  is an exponential family. The converse statement is evident from the calculations above.

**Theorem 4.2** If model M is an exponential family, then the induced gradient flow (7) converges to the unique maximum likelihood estimate with respect to the empirical distribution  $q_n$  along m-geodesic. Moreover, if dimM is even, say 2m, then the flow is a completely integrable Hamiltonian system with position  $Q_k = \partial_{2k} K(q_n, p_{\theta})$ , momentum  $P^k = -1/\partial_{2k-1} K(q_n, p_{\theta})$ , and Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} = -Q_k P^k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .

**Proof** Straightforward from Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, and Lemma 4.1.

# 5 Concluding Remarks

We have constructed a gradient flows on a flat manifold M with respect to a dualistic structure  $(g, \nabla, \nabla^*)$  which converges to an arbitrarily prefixed point along  $\nabla$ -geodesic. If dimM is even, this flow can be also characterized as a completely integrable Hamiltonian flow.

We have also derived a constrained dynamics on a submanifold M embedded in a statistical manifold  $\mathcal{P}$ , which converges to the locally maximum likelihood estimate. If M is an exponential family, then the flow evolves along m-geodesics. In case dimM is even, the flow can also be considered as a completely integrable Hamiltonian system. However, statistical meaning of such characterization as a Hamiltonian system is not clear.

In a basic sense, a 2n dimensional Hamiltonian system is equivalent to a n dimensional Lagrangean system. From this analogy, we can imagine a 2nd order dynamics of the form

$$\ddot{\theta}^k + \left\{ {k \atop ij} \right\} \dot{\theta}^i \dot{\theta}^j = -g^{kj} \partial_j U(\theta),$$

which is the equation of motion of a particle constrained on a manifold M associated with a potential  $U(\theta)$ . It is well known that this dynamics can be derived by the variational principle with Lagrangean

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \dot{\theta}^i \dot{\theta}^j - U(\theta).$$

In the same way, if we consider a dynamical system of the form

$$\ddot{\theta}^k + \Gamma_{ij}^{(-1)k} \dot{\theta}^i \dot{\theta}^j = -\hat{g}^{kj} \partial_j U(\theta),$$

then we have

$$\ddot{\eta}_i = -\eta_i$$

in the dual affine coordinates, which indicates that the system is composed of n independent harmonic oscillators and can be regarded as a completely integrable Hamiltonian system. In this case, however, it is not clear whether the system can be derived by a certain variational principle.

# Acknowledgments

Gratitude is expressed to Prof. S. Amari for his critical reading of the manuscript and valuable comments. Thanks are due to Prof. Y. Nakamura for fruitful discussions.

# References

- R. Abraham and J. E. Marsden, Foundations of Mechanics, 2nd ed. (Benjamin, New York, 1985).
- [2] S. Amari, Differential-Geometrical Methods in Statistics, Lec. Notes in Statist., Vol. 28 (Springer, Berlin, 1985).
- [3] R. Balian, Y. Alhassid, and H. Reinhardt, "Dissipation in Many-Body Systems : A Geometric Approach Based on Information Theory," Physics Reports, 131, pp. 1-146 (1986).
- [4] D. A. Bayer and J. C. Lagarias, "The Nonlinear Geometry of Linear Programming, I and II," Trans. American Math. Soc., 314, pp. 499-526, pp. 527-581 (1989).
- R. W. Brockett, "Dynamical Systems That Sort Lists, Diagonalize Matrices and Solve Linear Problems," Proc. 27th IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, IEEE, pp. 799-803 (1988).
- [6] R. W. Brockett, "Differential Geometry and the Design of Gradient Algorithms," preprint.
- [7] M. H. Hirsch and S. Smale, Differential Equations, Dynamical Systems, and Linear Algebra, Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 5 (Academic, New York, 1974).
- [8] Y. Nakamura, "Completely Integrable Gradient Systems on the Manifolds of Gaussian and Multinomial Distributions," Japan J. Industrial and Applied Math. (to appear).
- T. Obata, H. Hara, and K. Endo "Differential Geometry of Nonequilibrium Processes," Phys. Rev. A 45, pp. 6997-7001 (1992).