On the representation of numbers

as the sum of a prime and a k-th power.

by Koichi KAWADA (University of Tsukuba).

川田 浩一 (筑波大学大学院)

1. Introduction.

Let $k \ge 2$ be a fixed integer, and, for a natural number k, let $r_k(k)$ be the number of representations of k as the sum of a prime and a k-th power.

In the case k=2 , Hardy and Littlewood [3] conjectured that, unless n is a square,

(1)
$$r_2(n) \sim \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log n} \frac{\pi}{p > 2} \left(1 - \frac{\binom{n}{p}}{p-1}\right)$$
,

where p denotes prime numbers, and $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol. In 1968, Miech [5] showed that the above asymptotic formula (1) is valid for almost all n. More precisely, he proved that

$$F_{2}(n) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log n} \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)}{p-1}\right) \cdot \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{\log\log n}{\log n}\right)\right\},\,$$

for all but $O(N(\log N)^{\Lambda})$ natural numbers $n \le N$ with any $\Lambda > 0$. It seems impossible, for the present, to improve Miech's result because of the possible existence of the Siegel zeros.

On the other hand, to show that n is representable as the sum of a prime and a square, we need only a positive lower bound for $Y_2(n)$, and which was obtained with less exceptional n's. A.I.Vinogradov [8] and Brünner, Perelli and Pintz [1]

proved that there exist a positive constant δ such that $r_2(n)>0$ for $n\leq N$ with at most $O(N^{1-\delta})$ exceptions.

Proofs of these result for the case k=2 are based on the circle method, and most part of the proofs in [5] and [1] still work for the case k>2. Essential difference between the cases k=2 and k>2 occurs in the treatment of the sum called "singular series". So we investigate the "singular series" for the general case $k\geq 2$.

We denote by $\S_n(\mbox{\bf d})$ the number of solutions of the congruence

$$x^k - n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$$
.

Then the singular series for our problem is the sum of the form;

 $\mathfrak{S}(n,M) = \sum_{m \leq M} \frac{\mu(m)}{\varphi(m)} \, \mathfrak{T}\left(\, \mathcal{P}_n(\mathfrak{p}) - 1 \, \right) \, ,$

where μ and φ denote the Möbius function and Euler's totient function, respectively. It is proved that, for almost all κ , the sum $\mathfrak{S}(n,M)$ is approximated by the finite product of the form $\mathfrak{T}(1-\frac{2n(p)-1}{p-1})$, and then a good positive lower bound for $\mathfrak{S}(n,M)$ is obtained. This is essentially due to Plaksin[6]. This work with the argument in [1] yields the corresponding result for $k \ge 3$ of [1] and [8], namely, there exist a positive constant δ depending only on k such that $r_k(n) > 0$ for all $n \le N$ with at most $\mathfrak{O}(N^{1-\delta})$ exceptions.

Next, we consider the corresponding result for $\frac{1}{2}$ of Miech's result [5]. We define the set

 $E_k = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{the polynomial } x^k - n \text{ is irredusible in } \mathbb{Q}[x] \}$. Then, instead of (1), we can expect that, for $n \in E_k$,

$$f_{k}(n) \sim \frac{n^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\log n} \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{P_{n}(p) - 1}{p - 1}\right)$$
.

And our result is

THEOREM. For $k \ge 3$ and for any A > 0, we have

$$F_{k}(n) = \frac{n^{k}}{\log n} \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{P_{n}(p) - 1}{p - 1}\right) \cdot \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)\right\},$$

for all $h \le N$ with at most $O(N(\log N)^{-1})$ exceptions.

In order to prove this, we need more precise treatment for the singular series $\mathfrak{S}(n,M)$ than Plaksin's way [6]. The rest of this article outlines the main features of our proof of the result. As for the details, refer to [4].

2. Treatment of the singular series.

Let $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N}}$ be a sufficiently large real number. By a standard application of the circle method, it follows that

(2)
$$\Gamma_{R}(n) = \mathfrak{S}(n, (\log N)^{B}) \cdot \left\{ \frac{n^{1/R}}{\log n} + O\left(\frac{n^{1/R} \log \log n}{(\log N)^{2}}\right) \right\} + O\left(N^{1/R} (\log N)^{A}\right),$$

for all but $O(N(k_BN)^A)$ natural numbers $n \le N$, where A and A' are arbitrary positive constants, and B is a positive constant depending on A, A' and k. On the proof of this fact, there is no essential difference between the cases k = 2 and k > 2.

Making use of the inequality (8) below, we see easily that $(3) \quad \mathfrak{S}(n,(\lg_N)^B) = \mathfrak{S}(n,\sqrt{N}) + O((\lg_N)^{-A'}),$ for all $n \leq N$ with at most $O(N(\lg_N)^{-A})$ exceptions. And we proceed to investigate $\mathfrak{S}(n,\sqrt{N})$. We start with applying Perron's

formula. As usual, let $S = \sigma + it$ be a complex variable. We introduce the function

$$Z_n(s) = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{P_n(p)-1}{p^{s-1}(p-1)}\right),$$

for $\sigma > 1$. And we put $b = \frac{1}{\log N}$ and $T = \exp(\sqrt{\log N})$. Then we have routinely

$$(5) \mathfrak{S}(n, \sqrt{N}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} Z_n(s) \frac{\sqrt{N}}{s-1} ds + (Admissible remainder),$$

for $n \le N$. So we need some information about $\mathbb{Z}_n(s)$ near the line s=1.

On the other hand, let $\zeta(s)$ and $\zeta_n(s)$ be the Riemann zeta function and the Dedekind zeta function of the field $\mathbb{Q}(n^{V_k})$, respectively. The Euler product for $\zeta_n(s)$ is written as

$$\zeta_{n}(s) = \prod_{\substack{p \mid 1 \leq f \leq k}} \left(1 - p^{-fs}\right)^{-a_{n}(f,p)},$$

where $Q_n(f,p)$ is the number of prime ideals p in $Q(n^{/k})$ such that the norm of p is p^f . By a known fact about $Q_n(f,p)$'s, we see

$$a_n(1,p) = P_n(p)$$
,

providing $n \in E_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $p \nmid kn$. Then the Euler product for $\zeta(s)/\zeta_n(s)$ becomes

$$\frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta_{n}(s)} = \prod_{p} (1-p^{-s})^{-1+P_{n}(p)} \cdot \prod_{p} \prod_{2 \le f \le k} (1-p^{-fs})^{a_{n}(f,p)} \cdot \prod_{p \in S} (1-p^{-s})^{a_{n}(I,p)-P_{n}(p)} \cdot \prod_{p \in S}$$

(6)
$$= \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{P_{n}(p) - 1}{p^{s}}\right) \cdot \prod_{p} \left\{ \left(1 - p^{-s}\right)^{-1 + P_{n}(p)} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{P_{n}(p) - 1}{p^{s}}\right)^{-1} \right\} \times \prod_{p} \prod_{2 \le f \le g} \left(1 - p^{-f s}\right)^{a_{n}(f, p)} \cdot \prod_{p \mid g \mid n} \left(1 - p^{-s}\right)^{a_{n}(f, p)} \cdot \prod_{p \mid g \mid n} \left(1 - p^{-s}\right)^{a_{n}(f, p)} \cdot \prod_{p \mid g \mid n} \left(1 - p^{-s}\right)^{a_{n}(f, p)}$$

=
$$Z_n(s) \xi_n(s) \Xi_n(s)$$
,

where
$$\xi_{n}(s) = \prod_{p} \left\{ (1-p^{-s})^{-1+\beta_{n}(p)} \left(1-\frac{\beta_{n}(p)-1}{p^{s-1}(p-1)}\right)^{-1} \right\} \cdot \prod_{p} \prod_{2 \leq f \leq k} (1-p^{-fs})^{a_{n}(f,p)}$$

and
$$\Xi_{n}(s) = \prod_{\substack{p \mid kn}} (1-p^{-s})^{\alpha_{n}(1,p)-\beta_{n}(p)}$$

We note here that $\Xi_n(s)$ is written as the finite product, and that $\zeta_n(s)$ is treated easily near the line $\sigma=1$. Hence, in view of (6), we regard, essentially, $Z_n(s)$ as $\zeta(s)/\zeta_n(s)$.

In our case, $\zeta_n(s)/\zeta(s)$ is an entire function, which was due to Uchida [7] and van der Waall [9] (independetly). Therefore, if $\zeta_n(s)/\zeta(s)$ has no zero near the line $\sigma=1$, then $\zeta(s)/\zeta_n(s)$ is analytic near $\sigma=1$, and so is $Z_n(s)$. Then, using Hadamard's three circle theorem, we have a good estimate for $Z_n(s)$ near $\sigma=1$, and, by (5), we get, with a suitable constant $\gamma>0$,

$$\mathfrak{S}(n,\sqrt{N}) = \mathbb{Z}_n(1) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{b-iT}^{1-\eta-iT} \int_{1-\eta-iT}^{1-\eta+iT} \int_{1-\eta+iT}^{b+iT} \right) \mathbb{Z}_n(s) \frac{\sqrt{N}}{s-1} ds +$$

+ (Admissible remainder)

= $Z_n(1) + (Admissible remainder).$

In fact, we obtain the following Lemma 1.

LEMMA 1. Let $\mathcal{N}(n;\alpha,T)$ be the number of zeros of $\zeta_n(s)/\zeta(s)$ in the region $\sigma \geq \alpha$ and $|t| \leq T$. Assume that $n \leq N$, $n \in E_R$ and $\mathcal{N}(n; 1-\delta, \exp(\sqrt{\log N})) = 0$ with some positive constant δ . Then we have

$$\mathfrak{S}(n,\sqrt{N}) = \mathfrak{T}\left(1 - \frac{P_n(p)-1}{p-1}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\log N}\right)\right).$$

3. Zero density estimate.

We see plainly that the number of n's such that $n \le N$ and $n \in E_k$ is $O(\sqrt{N})$. So, in view of (2), (3) and Lemma 1, in order to prove our theorem, it suffices to show that there are positive constants δ and δ' such that

(7)
$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n \in E_{k}}} \mathcal{N}(n; 1-\delta, \exp(\sqrt{\log N})) \ll N^{1-\delta'}$$

In other words, we need a zero density estimate for $\zeta_n(s)/\zeta(s)$'s. We note that, for the case k=2, the function $\zeta_n(s)/\zeta(s)$ is the Dirichlet L-function for a certain real primitive character, if n is not a square. And, in [5], Miech used Bombieri's zero density theorem for L-functions proved in 1965. We see here the most important difference between k=2 and k>2.

The inequality (7) follows at once from the following Lemma 2. Therefore, our proof of the theorem is completed by justifying Lemma 2.

LEMMA 2. For a natural number r, we put $\sigma_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{r(r-1)}$. We suppose $\sigma_i \ge \frac{\log(k-1)}{\log(k+1)}$, $T \ge 1$ and $(NT)^{(r+1)(k-1)(3-2\sigma_i)} \le N^{r(r-1)}$

Then we have, for $\frac{1}{2} \le 0 < 1$,

e, for
$$\frac{1}{2} \le \sigma < 1$$
,
$$\sum_{\substack{n \le N \\ n \in E_R}} \mathcal{N}(n; \sigma, T) \ll (NT)^{1 - \frac{\sigma - \sigma_i}{3 - \sigma - \sigma_i}} + \epsilon$$

with any £>0.

Remark. In application of Lemma 2 to show (7), we take T= exp(JbyN) and t=k+1.

It is well known that zero density estimates for Dirichlet

L-functions is obtained from the large sieve inequality, namely, M_0+M

$$\sum_{\substack{g \leq Q \ \chi(\text{mud},g)}} \left| \sum_{\substack{m=M_0+1}}^{M_0+M} a_m \chi(m) \right|^2 \ll \left(Q^2 + M\right) \sum_{\substack{m=M_0+1}}^{M_0+M} |a_m|^2,$$

where $\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{M}}^{*}$ indicates the summation over all primitive characters (mod \mathcal{E}).

We should prepare the inequalities which work in our zero density estimate instead of the large sieve inequality. Now we put $\beta_n(m) = \mu(m)^2 \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\ p \mid m}} \left(S_n(p) - I \right) \quad \text{As we see in the preceding section,}$ we can regard, essentially, $\zeta_n(s)/\zeta(s)$ as

$$\prod_{p} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_{n}(p) - 1}{ps} \right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n}(m) m^{-s},$$

for 0 > 1, because of (6). So we consider how to estimate the sum of the form;

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \left| \sum_{m \leq M} a_m \beta_n(m) \right|^2$$

For a square-free natural number m , we define the sets C_m and $C_m^{\!\!\!*}$ of Dirichlet characters (mod m) as follows;

$$C_m = \{ \chi \pmod{m}; \chi^k = \chi_{0,m} \text{ and } \chi \neq \chi_{0,m} \},$$

$$C_m^* = \{ \chi \in C_m ; \chi \text{ is primitive.} \},$$

where $\chi_{0,m}$ denotes the principal character (mod m). As is mentioned in [6], we find easily the relation

$$\beta_n(m) = \sum_{\chi \in C_m} \chi(n)$$

for any square-free m. Making use of this fact, we get

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \left| \sum_{m \leq M} a_m \beta_n(m) \right|^2 = \sum_{m_1 \leq M} \sum_{m_2 \leq M} a_{m_1} \overline{a_{m_2}} \sum_{\chi_1 \in C_{m_1}^*} \sum_{\chi_2 \in C_{m_2}^*} \sum_{n \leq N} \chi_1 \overline{\chi_2}(n) ,$$

and, by the Pólya-Vinogradov inequality, we have

(8)
$$\sum_{n \leq N} \left| \sum_{m \leq M} a_m \beta_n(m) \right|^2 \ll \left(N + M^2 \log M \right) \sum_{m \leq M} \mu(m)^2 C_k(m)^2 \left| a_m \right|^2$$
,

where $\mathcal{T}_{k}(m)$ is the number of the factorizations of m into k positive numbers.

We see that the inequality (8) gives only a trivial bound when M>N. In this case, we need, instead of the Pólya-Vinogradov inequality, a non-trivial bound for the sum

$$\sum_{m \leq M} \sum_{\chi \in C_m} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} \chi(n) \right|.$$

We estimate this sum by the method indicated in [2], and obtain that the quantity of the sum is

where ${\bf r}$ is a natural number satisfying ${\bf M}^{k+1} \leq {\bf N}^{{\bf r}({\bf r}-1)}$. Applying this estimate, we have

this estimate, we have
$$\frac{(9) \sum_{n \leq N} \sum_{m \leq M} a_m \beta_n(m)^2}{\sum_{n \leq N} \sum_{m \leq M} t_R(m) |a_m|^2 + N \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma + 1} + \epsilon}{\max_{m \leq M} (M_1 \max_{m \leq 2M_1} |a_m|^2)}$$

where r is a natural number satisfying $M^{2(r+1)} \leq N^{r(r-1)}$

Then our Lemma 2 is derived by the standard method in the study of zero density for L-functions, using the inequalities (8) and (9) instead of the large sieve inequality.

References

[1] Brünner, R., Perelli, A. and Pintz, J.; The exceptional set for the sum of a prime and a square., Acta Math. Hungar. 53 (1989), 347-365.

- [2] Elliott, P.D.T.A.; The distribution of primitive roots., Canad. J. Math. 21(2) (1969), 822-841.
- [3] Hardy,G.H. and Littlewood,J.E.; Some problem of 'partitio numerorum' (III)., Acta Math. 44 (1923), 1-70.
- [4] Kawada, K.; On the sum of a prime and a k-th power., preprint.
- [5] Miech,R.J.; On the equation $n=p+x^2$., Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (1968), 494-512.
- [6] Plaksin, V.A.; On a question of Hua Loo-Keng. (Russian),
 Mat. Zametki 47(3) (1990), 78-90. Engl. transl.: Math. Notes
 47(3/4) (1990), 278-286.
- [7] Uchida, K.; On Artin L-functions., Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 27 (1975), 75-81.
- [8] Vinogradov, A.I.; On a binary additive problem of Hardy-Littlewood. (Russian), Acta Arith. XLVI (1985), 33-56.
- [9] van der Waall, R.W.; On a conjecture of Dedekind on zeta functions., Indag. Math. 37 (1975), 83-86.

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba-city, Ibaraki Pref., 305 Japan.
(筑波大学大学院博士課程数学研究科数学専攻)