# A Simple Near Optimal Parallel Algorithm for Recognizing Outerplanar Graphs

Shin-ichi Nakayama (中山 慎一) Shigeru Masuyama (増山 繁)

Department of Knowledge-Based Information Engineering, Toyohashi University of Technology Toyohashi-shi, Aichi 441, Japan

E-mail: shin@toki.tutkie.tut.ac.jp, masuyama@tutkie.tut.ac.jp

Abstract. An outerplanar graph is a graph which can be embedded in the plane so that all vertices lie on the boundary of the exterior face. In this paper, we propose a simple near optimal parallel algorithm for recognizing whether a given graph G is outerplanar in  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n\alpha(l,n)/\log n)$  processors on an arbitrary-CRCW PRAM where n is the number of vertices in G,  $\alpha(l,n)$  is the inverse Ackermann function, which grows extremely slowly with respect to l and n[9] and l = O(n). Although a near optimal parallel algorithm for general graphs can also be obtained by combining the algorithm in [3] with the algorithm for finding biconnected components[4][9], our algorithm uses methods completely different from the algorithm in [3]'s and is much simpler than [3]'s.

## **1** Introduction

An outerplanar graph is an undirected graph which can be embedded in the plane in such a way that all vertices lie on the exterior face(, see Fig. 1). A graph always denotes an undirected graph throughout this paper, except when it is specified to be directed. For outerplanar graphs, several efficient algorithms for solving important problems e.g., vertex-coloring, edgecoloring, longest path, are known [9][5]. Furthermore, it is well-known that a given graph is outerplanar if and only if a given graph has page number one, where graph G has page number one if there exists a linear arrangement of vertices so that no pair of edges is crossing when they are drawn on the same side of the linear arrangement of the vertices [13][11]. The

problem of deciding whether a given graph has page number one is the special case of the book embedding, whose application to fault-tolerant VLSI design is described e.g., in the introduction of [13]. Thus, it is useful to develop efficient algorithms for recognizing whether a given graph is outerplanar or not.

Mitchell [10] proposed an O(n) sequential algorithm for recognizing outerplanar graphs where n is the number of vertices in G. The sequential algorithm removes a vertex v satisfying some properties from a given graph Gstep by step, and cannot straightforwardly be applied to develop an efficient parallel algorithm. Diks, Hagerup and Rytter [3] developed a parallel algorithm for recognizing outerplanar graphs. When an input graph is biconnected, the algorithm [3] runs in  $O(\log n)$ 

time using  $O(n/\log n)$  processors on a CRCW PRAM (, see e.g., [8]), where n is the number of vertices in G. However, when an input graph is a general graph, we need to find biconnected components before applying the algorithm [3] to each biconnected component. The best known parallel algorithm for finding biconnected components runs in  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O((n+m)\alpha(m,n)/\log n)$  processors on the arbitrary-CRCW PRAM [4] [9] where m is the number of edges and  $\alpha(m, n)$  is the inverse Ackermann function, which grows extremely slowly with respect to m and n [9]. <sup>†</sup> The arbitrary-CRCW PRAM is defined by the property that when several processors try to write to the same memory cell in the same step, then exactly one of them succeeds [8]. As outerplanar graphs have at most 2n - 3 edges [10], by checking this fact first, we can find biconnected components in  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n\alpha(l, n)/\log n)$  processors on the arbitrary-CRCW PRAM where l =O(n). Thus, the algorithm [3] combined with the algorithm for finding biconnected components [4] [9] takes, in total,  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n\alpha(l,n)/\log n)$  processors on the arbitrary-CRCW PRAM, when applied to general graphs. Similarly, on a CREW PRAM(, see e.g., [8]), the complexity of parallel algorithm [3] is dominated by finding biconnected components, when applied to general graphs.

In this paper, we present a simple near optimal parallel algorithm for recognizing outerplanar graphs in  $O(\log n)$  time using

 $O(n\alpha(l, n)/\log n)$  processors on the arbitrary-CRCW PRAM, in the sense that  $O(\log n) \times O(n\alpha(l, n)/\log n) = O(n\alpha(l, n))$  is almost linear with respect to n. Although a near optimal parallel algorithm for general graphs can also be obtained by combining the algorithm in [3] with the algorithm in [4] [9], our algorithm uses methods completely different from the algorithm in [3]'s, e.g., the well known *st*-numbering, and is much simpler than [3]'s.

## 2 Definitions

Given an undirected connected graph G = (V, E) having no multiple edges. A path P from  $v_0$  to  $v_k$  in G is a finite non-null sequence  $v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, v_2, \cdots, e_k, v_k, v_i \in V, i = 0, 1, \cdots, k, e_j \in E, j = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ , such that, for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , the end vertices of  $e_i$  are  $v_{i-1}$  and  $v_i$ , respectively. If  $v_0 = v_k$ , then path P is a circuit.

A biconnected graph G is a connected graph which has no vertex v such that G-v (the graph obtained by removing v from G) has at least two connected components. A biconnected outerplanar graph has a planar embedding consisting of a circuit bounding the exterior face, where (possibly) a number of non-crossing edges are embedded within the interior region of this circuit [5]. Edges on the boundary of the exterior face are called *sides*, while the other edges are called *diagonals* [5].

Next, we describe the *st-numbering* used in our parallel algorithm.

**Definition 1** [12] An *st*-numbering is a oneto-one function f from V to  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  satisfying the following two conditions :

(i) f(s) = 1 and f(t) = n,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>If the class of input graphs is *linearly contractible* graph class [7] such as the class of planar graphs, an optimal parallel algorithm for finding biconnected components that runs in  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n/\log n)$  processors on the arbitrary-CRCW PRAM exists [7]. However, this algorithm does not work for general graphs.

(ii) for each  $v \in V - \{s, t\}$ , there exist adjacent vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  such that  $f(v_1) < f(v) < f(v_2)$ .

Fig. 2 illustrates st-numbering. The st-numbering is used as an indispensable component in several algorithms [12]. We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** [12] A graph G is biconnected if and only if it has an st-numbering by letting s = u and t = v for each edge (u, v).

(Note 2.1) If graph G is biconnected, its stnumbering can be obtained in  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O((n + m)\alpha(m, n)/\log n)$  processors [4] where n (resp., m) is the number of vertices (resp., edges) in G and  $\alpha(m, n)$  is the inverse Ackermann function.

### 3 The Parallel Algorithm

We first assume that the given graph G is biconnected. We shall describe how to treat general graphs at the end of this section. The following theorems characterize outerplanar graphs.

**Theorem 2** [6] Given graph G = (V, E), G is outerplanar if and only if G has no subgraph homeomorphic to either  $K_4$  or  $K_{2,3}$ , where  $K_4$ is the complete graph on four vertices and  $K_{2,3}$ is the graph illustrated in Fig. 3.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3** [10] An outerplanar graph G with  $n(\geq 3)$  vertices has

- (i) at most 2n 3 edges,
- (ii) at least two vertices of degree 2.  $\Box$

Our parallel algorithm first checks, based on Theorem 3, if G has at most 2n - 3 edges and

at least two vertices of degree 2. Then, this algorithm chooses a vertex v of degree 2 and a vertex v' incident to v; regards v (resp., v') as s (resp., t) and finds st-numbering of G. Note that, by Note 2.1 just after Theorem 1, we can find st-numbering of G because G is assumed to be biconnected. When G is outerplanar, exactly one Hamiltonian circuit always exists in G, and the edges constructing the Hamiltonian circuit can be regarded as sides of the outerplanar graph [2][5]. Consequently, the above process finds the sides by the following lemma. In the following, suppose that the vertices in G are numbered from 1 to n by st-numbering where sis a vertex of degree 2 and t is a vertex incident to s and each vertex in G is identified with its vertex number.

**Lemma 1** If G is outerplanar, then all edges  $(i, i + 1), i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , are in G.

(proof) We shall show that, if G does not have some edge among (i, i + 1),  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , then G is not outerplanar. Assume that vertex i is not incident to vertex i+1. By the definition of st-numbering, each vertex x, x = $2, \dots, n-1$ , must be incident to a vertex whose number is less than x and to a vertex whose number is more than x, respectively. By this fact and the connectivity of G, G has simple path  $P_{i,s} = i, j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_l, s, \ (l \ge 1)$  where  $i > j_1 > j_2 > \cdots > j_l > 1 (= s)$ . Vertex 1 (=s) is adjacent to exactly two vertices n (= t)and 2 by definition, so  $j_l$  of  $P_{i,s}$  must be 2(, see Fig. 4). Similarly, for i+1, simple path  $P_{i+1,s} = i+1, j'_1, j'_2, \cdots, j'_{l'}, s, \ (l' \ge 1)$  where  $i+1 > j'_1 > j'_2 > \cdots > 2(=j'_{l'}) > 1(=s)$  exists. Moreover, by the fact that each vertex x, x =

 $2, \dots, n-1$ , must be incident to the vertex

whose number is more than x, G has simple paths  $P_{i,t} = i, k_1, k_2, \dots, t$ , where  $i < k_1 < k_2 < \dots < t(= n)$ , and  $P_{i+1,t} = i+1, k'_1, k'_2, \dots, t$ , where  $i+1 < k'_1 < k'_2 < \dots < t(= n)$ .

Since  $t > \cdots > k_2 > k_1 > i > j_1 > j_2 > \cdots > j_l > 1(=s)$ ,  $P_{i,t}$  and  $P_{i,s}$  share no vertex except *i*. Similarly,  $P_{i,t}$  and  $P_{i+1,s}$ ,  $P_{i+1,t}$  and  $P_{i,s}$ ,  $P_{i+1,t}$  and  $P_{i+1,s}$  share no vertex except *i*, i + 1.  $G^*$ , constructed by  $P_{i,s}$ ,  $P_{i+1,s}$ ,  $P_{i,t}$  and  $P_{i+1,t}$ , has a subgraph homeomorphic to  $K_{2,3}$ (, see Fig 4). Hence, *G* is not outerplanar by Theorem 2, which however contradicts the assumption that *G* is outerplanar. Thus we have shown that if *G* is outerplanar, then *G* has all edges (i, i + 1),  $i = 1, \cdots, n - 1$ .  $\Box$ 

By Lemma 1, if at least one edge among (i, i + 1),  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , does not exist in G, then the algorithm stops since G is not outerplanar, otherwise the edges (i, i + 1),  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , and (n, 1) construct a Hamiltonian circuit C. We regard the edges constructing C as sides of the outerplanar graph. (Note that if G is outerplanar, Hamiltonian circuit C is unique [5]. )

We assume that C is embedded in the plane so that each edge of C bound the exterior face and the edges of G - C (G - C denotes the graph obtained by removing edges of C from G) are embedded within the interior region of C. The edges of G - C are called *diagonals* of G. If the diagonals do not intersect each other on such embedded edges, then G is outerplanar, otherwise G is not outerplanar.

To see this, we execute the following process. Hereafter, we identify each vertex with its vertex number assigned by *st*-numbering. Let M(i),  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be an array such that M(i) contains vertex  $j_0$  where  $j_0 \equiv \min\{ j \mid j \}$  is the endpoint of diagonals adjacent to  $i \}$ . If there is no diagonal incident to i, M(i) has a value  $+\infty$  where  $+\infty$  is a sufficiently large number satisfying  $+\infty > n$ . For each diagonal (x, y) such that x < y, we execute  $val(x, y) \leftarrow \min\{ M(i) \mid x \leq i \leq y \}$  and regard val(x, y) as the value of diagonal (x, y), we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 2** Assume that Hamiltonian circuit C is embedded in the plane so that each edge of C bounds the exterior face and diagonals are embedded within the interior region of C.

The diagonals intersect each other if and only if there is a diagonal (x, y), where x < y, such that the value val(x, y) is less than vertex number x.

(proof) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that there is a pair of diagonals which intersect each other. Let (x, y), (x', y'), where x < y, x' < y' and x' < x, be a pair of intersecting diagonals. As these two diagonals intersect each other, vertex y' satisfies x < y' < y and is adjacent to diagonal (x', y') where x' < x (See Fig. 6(a)). Hence,  $val(x, y) = \min\{ M(i) \mid x \le i \le y \} < x$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that no diagonals intersect each other. Since no diagonals intersect each other, each vertex j adjacent to vertex i, where  $x \leq i \leq y$ , satisfies  $x \leq j \leq y$  for each diagonal (x, y) where x < y (See Fig. 6(b)). Hence,  $val(x, y) = \min\{ M(i) \mid x \leq i \leq y \} \geq x$ .  $\Box$ 

In the following, we introduce **Procedure Recognition** for recognizing whether a given graph is outerplanar.

#### Procedure Recognition

#### begin

- (Step 1) if m > 2n 3, then print "G is not outerplanar" and stop.
- (Step 2) if G does not have at least two vertices of degree 2, then print "G is not outerplanar" and

stop.

- (Step 3) Choose a vertex v of degree 2 and a vertex v' incident to v; regard v and v' as s and t, respectively, and find an st-numbering of G [12][4].
- (Step 4) if G does not have at least one edge among (i, i + 1) for all  $i, 1 \le i \le$ n - 1, where i, i + 1 are the vertex numbers assigned by Step 3, then print "G is not outerplanar" and stop.
- (Step 5) For each vertex  $i, i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $M(i) \leftarrow \min\{ j \mid j \text{ is the endpoint of}$ diagonals adjacent to  $i \}$ .
- (Step 6) For each diagonal  $e_j = (x, y)$  where x < y,
- $val(x, y) \leftarrow \min\{ M(i) \mid x \le i \le y \}$ (Step 7) if there is a diagonal (x, y), where x < y, such that val(x, y) < x, then print "G is not outerplanar", else print "G is outerplanar".

end.  $\Box$ 

The correctness of Procedure Recognition is obvious by Theorem 3 and Lemmas 1 and 2. We then analyze the computation time and the number of processors required.

The complexity analysis is done under the assumption that each vertex of the input graph Ghas a pointer to its predefined adjacency list, that is, for each vertex  $v \in V$ , the vertices adjacent to vertex v are given in a liked list, say,  $L[v] = \langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_d \rangle$ , in some order, where d is the degree of v (Fig. 5(a)). Recall that the arbitrary-CRCW PRAM is used as a parallel computation model in this paper. The list ranking algorithm [8] can handle steps 1, 2 in  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n/\log n)$  processors.

Note that m = O(n) in the following analysis, as steps 3-7 are executed only when  $m \le 2n-3$ by step 1.

The parallel algorithm for finding st-numbering runs in  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O((n+m)\alpha(m,n)/\log n)$ processors [4] where n (resp., m) is the number of vertices (resp., edges) in input graphs and  $\alpha(m,n)$  is the inverse Ackermann function. Thus, in step 3, finding st-numbering of G requires  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n\alpha(l,n)/\log n)$ processors where l = O(n).

After finding the st-numbering, each of the initial vertex numbers in the adjacency lists L[i]'s is replaced by its number assigned by the st-numbering. For this process, we first transform the adjacency lists L[i]'s into a linked list L' as follows. Let a vertex  $u_d^i$  be the last element in the adjacency list L[i] of vertex *i* and a vertex  $u_1^{i+1}$  the first element in L[i+1]. Each vertex  $u_d^i$  has a pointer to  $u_1^{i+1}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , (See Fig. 5(b)). We then convert the linked list L' into an array A by applying the list ranking algorithm [8] which runs in  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n/\log n)$  processors. And we replace each of the initial vertex numbers by its number assigned by st-numbering using a standard technique used to implement Brent's scheduling principle[5][8] as follows. Partition elements of A into equal-sized blocks  $E_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots,$  $|A|/\log n$ , where each size is  $O(\log n)$ . Treat each block  $E_i$  separately, and sequentially replace each of the initial vertex numbers belonging to block  $E_i$  by its number assigned by stnumbering. This process runs in  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n/\log n)$  processors.

Step 4 runs in  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n/\log n)$ processors by applying Brent's scheduling principle[5][8] stated in step 3.

Let A[k, k'],  $1 \le k < k' \le |A| (= O(n))$  be an interval between k and k' in A. Note that the elements in A are numbers assigned by stnumbering. As the degree of each vertex is found in step 2, we can recognize the vertices adjacent to vertex v as the element in interval A[k,k'] where  $1 \le k < k' \le |A|$ . For example, assume that  $d_i$  is the degree of vertex i, the vertices adjacent to vertex 1 are the elements in  $A[1, d_1]$ , the vertices adjacent to vertex 2 are the elements in  $A[d_1+1, d_1+d_2]$ , and so on. (Note: Given the degree of each vertex, the intervals in A corresponding to vertex *i* for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are found in  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n/\log n)$  processors by applying prefixsums algorithm [8]. ) Hence, in step 5, finding each minimum vertex number adjacent to vertex i for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , can be done by computing the minimum of interval in A corresponding to vertex i. As described in [8](pp. 131-136), after executing a preprocessing algorithm (AL-GORITHM 3.8 in [8]) which runs in  $O(\log n)$ time using  $O(n/\log n)$  processors, we can compute the minimum  $A_{min}[k_i, k'_i]$  of  $A[k_i, k'_i]$ , that is,  $\min\{A(k_i), A(k_i + 1), \dots, A(k'_i)\}$ , where  $1 \leq k_i < k'_i \leq |A|$ , in O(1) time using O(1) processors. We need to compute the minimum  $A_{min}[k_i, k'_i]$ 's corresponding to vertex  $i, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence, by Brent's scheduling principle[5][8], we can compute the minimum  $A_{min}[k_i, k'_i]$ 's for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , in  $O(\log n)$ time using  $O(n/\log n)$  processors. The total complexity in step 5 is  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n/\log n)$  processors.

In step 6, we compute min{  $M(i) | x \leq i \leq y$  }, where x < y, for each diagonal  $e_j = (x, y), j = 1, \dots, k (= O(n))$ . Since this process is equivalent to the process described in step 5, this can be done in  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n/\log n)$  processors.

Step 7 takes  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n/\log n)$  processors.

Having assumed that the input graph G is a biconnected graph so far, we shall describe, before closing this section, how to decide whether G is outerplanar when G is a general graph. We first check if G has at most 2n - 3 edges. We next find biconnected components, that is, blocks  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$  of G by applying the algorithm of finding biconnected components in [4] [9], which runs in  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n\alpha(l,n)/\log n)$  processors. If G is outerplanar, then each of blocks  $B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_k$  is also outerplanar [2]. Thus, we independently execute Procedure Recognition for each of these blocks  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$ . If a block  $B_i$  is an edge, then Procedure Recognition tells that  $B_i$  is outerplanar. When each block  $B_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , is outerplanar, we print "G is outerplanar" and stop. By the above-mentioned statements, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4** Given a graph G with n vertices and m edges, whether G is outerplanar or not can be decided in  $O(\log n)$  time using  $O(n\alpha(l, n)/\log n)$  processors on the arbitrary-CRCW PRAM where  $\alpha(l, n)$  is the inverse Ackermann function, which grows extremely slowly with respect to l and n [9] and l = O(n).  $\Box$ 

# References

- R. Cole, U. Vishkin: "Approximate parallel scheduling, II: Applications to optimal parallel graph algorithms in logarithmic time", *Inform. Comput.*, **91**, pp.1-47, 1991.
- K. Diks: "A fast parallel algorithm for six-coloring of planar graphs", LNCS 233, Springer Verlag, pp.273-282, 1985.
- [3] K. Diks, T. Hagerup, and W. Rytter: "Optimal parallel algorithms for the recognition and coloring outerplanar graphs", *LNCS 379*, Springer Verlag, pp.207-217, 1989.
- [4] D. Fussell, V. Ramachandran and R. Thurimalla: "Finding triconnected components by local replacement", SIAM J. Comput., 22, 3, pp.587-616, 1993.
- [5] A. Gibbons and W. Rytter: Efficient Parallel Algorithms, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [6] F. Harary: Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- T. Hagerup: "Optimal parallel algorithms of planar graphs", *Inform. Comput.*, 84, pp.71-96, 1990.
- [8] Joseph JáJá: An Introduction to parallel algorithms, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1992.
- [9] J. van Leeuwen: Graph Algorithms, in: J. van Leeuwen, eds. Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., 1990.

- [10] S. L. Mitchell: "Linear algorithms to recognize outerplanar and maximal outerplanar graphs", *Information Processing Letters*, 9, pp.229-232, 1979.
- [11] S. Masuyama, S. Naito: "Deciding whether graph G has page number one is in NC", Information Processing Letters, 32, pp.199-204, 1992.
- [12] Y. Maon, B. Schieber and U. Vishkin: "Parallel ear decomposition search (EDS) and st-numbering in graphs", *Theoretical Computer Science*, 47, pp.277-298, 1986.
- [13] M. Yannakakis: "Embedding planar graphs in four pages", J. Comput. System Sci., 38, pp.36-67, 1989.



Figure 1: An example of an outerplanar graph.



Figure 2: An example of st-numbering.







 $\boxtimes$  5: Adjacency lists L(i),  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and linked list L'.



🗵 6: Illustration of the proof of Lemma 2.