# On theories having a small Galois group

筑波大学 数学系 池田宏一郎 (Ikeda Koichiro)\*

#### Abstract

Let L be a first order language. Let D be an infinite L-structure and F a definably closed subset of D. Then Th(D, F) is called small if it has a model  $(D_1, F_1)$  such that  $\text{Aut}(\text{acl}(F_1)/F_1)$  is small. In this note, we prove the following:

Theorem: Suppose that  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$  is a small theory with the definable irreducibility property. Then  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F)/F) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F_1)/F_1)$  for every model  $(D_1, F_1)$  of  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$ .

As a corollary we show the following:

Corollary: Let F be a perfect field. Then the absolute Galois group of F is small if and only if the absolute Galois group of  $F_1$  is isomorphic to that of F for any  $F_1$  elementarily equivalent to F.

## 0. Introduction

Let L be a first order language. Let D be an infinite L-structure and F a definably closed subset of D. By  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F)/F)$  we mean a set of permutations of  $\operatorname{acl}(F)$  induced by elementary maps which fix F pointwise.

Let us observe the case where F is a pseudo-finite field (see, e.g., [1]) and D is an algebraically closed extension of F. It is seen that F is a perfect field, and so it is definably closed. Then  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F)/F)$  coincides with the absolute Galois group of F. It is also known that the absolute Galois group is isomorphic to the profinite completion of the group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In this case,  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$  satisfies the following condition:

\*Supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists

(\*)  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F)/F) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F_1)/F_1)$  for every model  $(D_1, F_1)$  of  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$ .

In this note we want to give a criterion for Th(D, F) to satisfy (\*). To state our results, we need some preparations.

In case D is an algebraically closed field we can consider  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F)/F)$ as a profinite group. In a general context we can do as well: Let A be a definably closed subset of D such that  $F \subset A \subset \operatorname{acl}(F)$ . Then we say that A is normal over F if it is invariant under  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F)/F)$ . And we say that A is finitely generated over F if  $A = \operatorname{dcl}(\bar{a}F)$  for some  $\bar{a} \in \operatorname{acl}(F)$ . Let Abe a family of the subsets of  $\operatorname{acl}(F)$  which are finitely generated and normal over F. In the obvious way,  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F)/F)$  can be identified with a profinite group:

$$\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F)/F) \cong \operatorname{projlim}_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \operatorname{Aut}(A/F)$$

Through this isomorphism, the Krull topology is induced on  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F)/F)$ . A profinite group G is said to be *small* if for any finite groups H there are only finitely many continuous homomorphisms of G into H (see [1, p.185]). In particular the profinite completion of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is small.

Here we define  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$  to be *small* if it has a model  $(D_1, F_1)$  such that  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F_1)/F_1)$  is small. (Our definition is related to that of Hrushovski. See Remark 2.5). Does  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$  satisfy the condition (\*) if it is small? The answer is No. In general  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$  does not necessarily satisfy (\*), even if it has a model  $(D_1, F_1)$  such that  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F_1)/F_1)$  is finite. We introduce some property on  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$ , the definable irreducibility property, and prove that a small theory with the definable irreducibility property satisfies the condition (\*) (Theorem 3.1). As a corollary we give a characterization of a perfect field with a small absolute Galois group (Corollary 3.3).

**Notation**. We only assume basic knowledge of model theory. For the rest of the paper we fix an infinite L-structure D and a definably closed subset F of D. The type of a over A is denoted by tp(a/A). We say that p is an algebraic type if it has a finite number of realizations. An element a is algebraic over A if the type tp(a/A) is an algebraic type. The set of all algebraic elements over A is denoted by acl(A). If a is the unique realization of tp(a/A) then we say that a is definable over A. The set of all definable elements over A is denoted by dcl(A). For any  $A \subset B$ , Aut(B/A) means the set of permutations of B induced by elementary maps which fix A pointwise.

Let  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$  be a theory in a language  $L \cup \{P\}$ , where P is a new unary predicate whose interpretation in (D, F) is F.  $L \cup \{P\}$  is denoted by  $L^*$  and  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$  by  $T^*$ .

# 1. The Definable Irreducibility Property

**1.1. Definition** . (i) Let A be a set. Then we say that  $\phi(\bar{x}\bar{a}) \in L(A)$  is A-irreducible if it is algebraic and isolated over A.

(ii) Let  $(D_1, F_1)$  be a model of  $T^*$  and  $\phi(\bar{x}\bar{a})$  an  $F_1$ -irreducible formula with exactly *n* realizations. Then we say that  $\phi(\bar{x}\bar{a})$  has the definable irreducibility property (DIP) if there is an  $L^*$ -formula  $\theta(\bar{y})$  such that for any model  $(D_2, F_2)$ of  $T^*$  and any realization  $\bar{b}$  of  $\theta$  in  $F_2$ ,  $\phi(\bar{x}\bar{b})$  is an  $F_2$ -irreducible formula with *n* realizations. We denote such a  $\theta(\bar{y})$  by  $\theta_{\phi}^n(\bar{y})$ .

(iii) We say that  $T^*$  has the DIP if any  $F_1$ -irreducible formula has the DIP for any model  $(D_1, F_1)$  of  $T^*$ .

**1.2 Example**. Let A be a countable set and E an equivalence relation on A with infinitely many four element classes. Let  $\{A_n : n < \omega\}$  be an enumeration of the classes of E. For each  $n < \omega$ , let  $U_n$  and  $V_n$  be subsets of A such that  $A_n = U_n \cup V_n$  and  $|U_n| = |V_n| = 2$ . Let  $\pi : A \to A/E$  be a projection and  $F = \{\pi(a) : a \in A\}$ . Let  $D = (A \cup F, E, \pi, \{U_n\}_{n < \omega}, \{V_n\}_{n < \omega})$ . Clearly dcl(F) = F. Then  $T^* = \text{Th}(D, F)$  does not have the DIP: Let  $\phi(xy) = ``\pi(x) = y$ ''. For every  $b \in F$ ,  $\phi(xb)$  is not F-irreducible. On the other hand, for a saturated model  $(D_1, F_1)$  of  $T^*$ , we can take an element  $c \in F_1$  such that  $\phi(xc)$  is  $F_1$ -irreducible. Then  $\phi(xc)$  does not have the DIP.

## **1.3. Lemma** . If D is an algebraically closed field, then $T^*$ has the DIP.

**Proof**. Take any model  $(D_1, F_1)$  of  $T^*$ . Note that  $F_1$  is a perfect field since it is definably closed. And take an  $F_1$ -irreducible formula  $\phi(\bar{x}\bar{a})$ . Let  $\phi(\bar{x}\bar{a})$  have *n* realizations. Pick a realization  $\bar{e}$  of  $\phi(\bar{x}\bar{a})$ . By the primitive element theorem we can get an element *d* such that  $dcl(dF_1) = dcl(\bar{e}F_1)$ . Take an  $F_1$ -irreducible formula  $\psi(x\bar{b}) \in tp(d/F_1)$ . Let  $\psi(x\bar{b})$  have exactly *m* realizations.

First we show that  $\psi(xb)$  has the DIP. By elimination of quantifiers,  $\psi(x\bar{b})$  may be identified with a polynomial equation " $p(x, \bar{b}) = 0$ " of degree m. Let

X be the set of the general polynomials of degree < m. Then we can define  $\theta_{\psi}^{m}(\bar{z})$  by

$$igwedge_{1,p_2\in X}
eg \exists ar{z}_1,ar{z}_2\in Porall x[p(x,ar{z})=p_1(x,ar{z}_1)p_2(x,ar{z}_2)]$$

Hence  $\psi(x\bar{b})$  has the DIP.

We must show that  $\phi(x\bar{a})$  has the DIP. Now  $\bar{e}$  and d are inter-definable over  $F_1$ , and so we can take an  $L^*$ -formula  $\alpha(\bar{x}x)$  which satisfies

1.  $(D_1, F_1) \models \alpha(\bar{e}d);$ 

p

2. For any model  $(D_2, F_2)$  of  $T^*$ ,  $(D_2, F_2) \models \alpha(\overline{e'd'})$  implies  $dcl(\overline{e'F_2}) = dcl(d'F_2)$ .

Then set  $\theta(\bar{y})$  by

$$\exists^{=n} \bar{x} \phi(\bar{x}\bar{y}) \land \exists \bar{z} \in P \forall \bar{x} [\phi(\bar{x}\bar{y}) \land \theta^m_{\psi}(\bar{z}) \to \exists x(\psi(x\bar{z}) \land \alpha(\bar{x}x))].$$

The formula  $\theta(\bar{y})$  is consistent since it is realized by  $\bar{a}$ . It is easily seen that  $\theta(\bar{y}) = \theta_{\phi}^{n}(\bar{y})$ . Hence  $\phi(x\bar{a})$  has the DIP. This completes the proof of the lemma.

## 2. Theories with a Small Galois Group

**2.1. Definition**. We say that  $T^*$  has a *small Galois group* (or for short,  $T^*$  is small) if it has a model  $(D_1, F_1)$  such that  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F_1)/F_1)$  is small.

**2.2. Example** . Let A be an infinite set and E an equivalence relation on A with infinitely many two element classes. Let  $\pi : A \to A/E$  be a projection and  $F = \{\pi(a) : a \in A\}$ . Let  $D = (A \cup F, E, \pi)$ . It is clear that dcl(F) = F. Take any model  $(D_1, F_1)$  of  $T^*$  and let  $\kappa = |D_1|$ . Then it can be seen that  $Aut(acl(F_1)/F_1)$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\kappa}$ . Hence Th(D, F) is not small.

**2.3. Lemma** . Let  $T^*$  be a theory with the DIP. Let  $(D_1, F_1)$  and  $(D_2, F_2)$  be models of  $T^*$ . Suppose that  $A \subset \operatorname{acl}(F_1)$  is finitely generated and normal over  $F_1$ . Then there is a  $B \subset \operatorname{acl}(F_2)$  which is finitely generated and normal over  $F_2$  such that  $\operatorname{Aut}(A/F_1) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(B/F_2)$ .

**Proof**. Since A is finitely generated over  $F_1$ , there is a tuple  $\bar{a}$  with  $A = \operatorname{dcl}(\bar{a}F_1)$ . Let  $\{\bar{a}_1, ..., \bar{a}_n\}$  be a set of all conjugates of  $\bar{a}$  over  $F_1$ . Note that  $\bar{a}_i$ 's are inter-definable over  $F_1$ , since A is normal over  $F_1$ . Take an irreducible formula  $\phi(\bar{x}_1...\bar{x}_n\bar{c}) \in \operatorname{tp}(\bar{a}_1...\bar{a}_n/F_1)$ . Let  $\phi(\bar{x}_1...\bar{x}_n\bar{c})$  have m realizations. By the DIP we can get an  $L^*$ -formula  $\theta_{\phi}^m(\bar{y})$ . For each  $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F_1)/F_1)$  define  $\sigma_g \in S_n$  by

$$\sigma_g(i) = j \Leftrightarrow g(\bar{a}_i) = \bar{a}_j ({}^{\forall}i, j \leq n)$$

Let  $X = \{\sigma_g \in S_n : g \in Aut(A/F_1)\}$ . Clearly  $X \cong Aut(A/F_1)$ . Set a formula  $\Sigma(\bar{y})$  by

- 1.  $\theta^m_{\phi}(\bar{y}) \wedge P(\bar{y})$  and
- 2.  $\bigwedge_{\sigma \in X} \forall \bar{x}_1 \dots \forall \bar{x}_n [\phi(\bar{x}_1 \dots \bar{x}_n \bar{y}) \to \phi(\bar{x}_{\sigma(1)} \dots \bar{x}_{\sigma(n)} \bar{y})].$

Then  $\Sigma(\bar{y})$  is consistent since it is realized by  $\bar{c}$ . So we can pick a realization  $\bar{d}$  of  $\Sigma(\bar{y})$  in  $(D_2, F_2)$ . By 1,  $\phi(\bar{x}_1...\bar{x}_n\bar{d})$  is an  $F_2$ -irreducible formula with m realizations. Take a realization  $\bar{b}_1...\bar{b}_n$  of  $\phi(\bar{x}_1...\bar{x}_n\bar{d})$ . Let  $B = \operatorname{dcl}(\bar{b}_1F_2)$ . Clearly B is finitely generated over  $F_2$ . Since  $\phi(\bar{x}_1...\bar{x}_n\bar{d})$  is  $F_2$ -irreducible,  $\bar{b}_i$ 's are inter-definable over  $F_2$ , and so B is normal over  $F_2$ . By 2 we have  $X \cong \operatorname{Aut}(B/F_2)$ . Hence  $\operatorname{Aut}(A/F_1) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(B/F_2)$ .

**2.4. Lemma** . If  $T^*$  is a small theory with the DIP, then  $Aut(acl(F_1)/F_1)$  is small for every model  $(D_1, F_1)$  of  $T^*$ .

**Proof**. Assume otherwise. Then there is a model  $(D_1, F_1)$  of  $T^*$  such that  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F_1)/F_1)$  is not small. So, for some  $n < \omega$  there are infinitely many  $A_i$ 's such that  $|\operatorname{Aut}(A_i/F_1)| \leq n$ . On the other hand, by our assumption, we can take a model  $(D_2, F_2)$  of  $T^*$  such that  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F_2)/F_2)$  is small. However, using 2.3 we get infinitely many  $B_i$ 's such that  $|\operatorname{Aut}(B_i/F_2)| \leq n$ . This contradicts the smallness of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F_2)/F_2)$ .

**2.5.** Remark . In [2] and [3], Hrushovski has defined  $T^*$  to be *bounded* if Aut(acl( $F_1$ )/ $F_1$ ) is small for every model ( $D_1, F_1$ ) of  $T^*$  (under the stronger assumption than ours). So 2.4 states that every small theory with the DIP is bounded. On the other hand there is a small, unbounded theory (and therefore it does not have the DIP): Let A be a countable set and E an equivalence relation on A with infinitely many two element classes. Let

 $\{U_i\}_{i<\omega}$  be an enumeration of all classes of E. For each  $i < j < \omega$ , let  $f_{ij} : U_i \to U_j$  be a bijection. Let  $\pi : A \to A/E$  be a projection and  $F = \{\pi(a) : a \in A\}$ . Set  $D = (A \cup F, E, \pi, \{U_i\}_{i<\omega}, \{f_{ij}\}_{i<j<\omega})$ . Then, for each model  $(D_1, F_1)$  of Th(D, F) we have

$$\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F_1)/F_1) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\kappa+1},$$

where  $\kappa = |F_1 - F|$ . So Aut $(\operatorname{acl}(F_1)/F_1)$  is small if  $\kappa$  is finite. Otherwise it is not small.

## 3. Theorem and Corollary

In this section, we prove that a small theory with the DIP satisfies the condition (\*) in the introduction. As a corollary we give a characterization of a perfect field with a small absolute Galois group.

**3.1. Theorem** . Let D be an infinite structure and F a definably closed subset of D. Suppose that  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$  is a small theory with the definable irreducibility property. Then  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F_1)/F_1) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F)/F)$  for every model  $(D_1, F_1)$  of  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$ .

**Proof**. Take any models  $(D_1, F_1)$  and  $(D_2, F_2)$  of Th(D, F). Let  $G = \text{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F_1)/F_1)$  and  $H = \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F_2)/F_2)$ . We will show that  $G \cong H$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be families of all subsets which are finitely generated and normal over  $F_1, F_2$  respectively. For each  $n < \omega$  let

$$A_{n} = \operatorname{dcl}(\bigcup \{A \in \mathcal{A} : |\operatorname{Aut}(A/F_{1})| \leq n\});$$
  

$$B_{n} = \operatorname{dcl}(\bigcup \{B \in \mathcal{B} : |\operatorname{Aut}(B/F_{2})| \leq n\});$$
  

$$G_{n} = \operatorname{Aut}(A_{n}/F_{1}); H_{n} = \operatorname{Aut}(B_{n}/F_{2}).$$

By 2.4, G and H are small. So, for each  $n < \omega$ ,  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are finitely generated and hence  $G_n$  and  $H_n$  are finite groups.

First we see that  $G_n \cong H_n$  for each  $n < \omega$ . Fix any  $n < \omega$ . By 2.3 we can take an element  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\operatorname{Aut}(B/F_2) \cong G_n$ . Then we have  $B \subset B_n$ . Hence there is a homomorphism of  $G_n$  onto  $H_n$ . By the similar argument, we obtain a homomorphism of  $H_n$  onto  $G_n$ . Hence  $G_n$  and  $H_n$  are isomorphic.

Next find isomorphisms  $\Phi_n : G_n \to H_n$   $(n < \omega)$  satisfying

$$n < m < \omega \Rightarrow \pi_{mn}^H \circ \Phi_m = \Phi_n \circ \pi_{mn}^G,$$

where  $\pi_{mn}^G: G_m \to G_n$  and  $\pi_{mn}^H: H_m \to H_n$  are canonical projections. In fact we can get such isomorphisms, since the number of isomorphisms of  $G_n$ with  $H_n$  is at most finite. Using the sequence  $(\Phi_n)_{n < \omega}$ , we can define an isomorphism of G with H in a natural way.

**3.2. Remarks** . (i) The theory of the example in 2.5 is small, but does not satisfy the condition (\*). This shows that the DIP is necessary for the above theorem.

(ii) Let  $\{U_i\}_{i < \omega}$  be a disjoint family of two element sets, and let  $A = \bigcup_{i < \omega} U_i$ . Let F be an arbitrary set which is distinct from A. Put  $D = (A \cup F, \{U_i\}_{i < \omega})$ . Then it is clear that  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$  has the DIP. For each model  $(D_1, F_1)$  of  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$ , we have  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{acl}(F_1)/F_1) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\omega}$ . Hence  $\operatorname{Th}(D, F)$  satisfies the condition (\*), but is not small.

**3.3.** Corollary . Let F be a perfect field. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) The absolute Galois group of F is small;

(ii) If  $F_1$  is elementarily equivalent to F, then the absolute Galois group of  $F_1$  is isomorphic to that of F.

**Proof** . Let D be the algebraic closure of F. Let  $T^* = \text{Th}(D, F)$ . By 1.3  $T^*$  has the DIP. Note that if a field  $F_1$  is elementarily equivalent to F then there is a structure  $D_1$  such that  $(D_1, F_1)$  is a model of Th(D, F). So, by 3.1 we obtain the implication (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). We must show (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). Suppose that Aut(D/F) is not small. Then, for some  $n < \omega$  there is an infinite set  $\{A_i\}_{i < \kappa}$  of the finitely generated normal extensions of F such that  $|\text{Aut}(A_i/F)| = n$  for each  $i < \kappa$ . For each  $i < \kappa$  let  $d_i$  be a primitive element such that  $A_i = \text{dcl}(d_i F)$ . Let  $p(x, \bar{y})$  be a general polynomial of degree n. Then there is a set  $\{\bar{a}_i\}_{i < \kappa}$  of n-tuples from F such that  $d_i$  is a solution of  $p(x, \bar{a}_i)$  for each  $i < \kappa$ . Take any  $\lambda > \kappa$ . By compactness there are a model  $(D_1, F_1)$  of  $T^*$ , a set  $\{\bar{b}_i\}_{i < \lambda}$  of n-tuples from  $F_1$  and a set  $\{e_i\}_{i < \lambda}$  of elements of  $D_1$  with the following property:

1.  $p(x, b_i)$  is an  $F_1$ -irreducible polynomial with a solution  $e_i$ , for each  $i < \lambda$ ;

- 2. The solutions of  $p(x, \bar{b}_i)$  are inter-definable over  $F_1$ , for each  $i < \lambda$ ;
- 3.  $\operatorname{dcl}(e_iF_1) \neq \operatorname{dcl}(e_jF_1)$ , for each  $i, j < \lambda$  with  $i \neq j$ .

For each  $i < \lambda$  let  $B_i = dcl(e_iF_1)$ . By 1, 2 and 3,  $\{B_i\}_{i < \lambda}$  is the family of the distinct finitely generated normal extensions of  $F_1$  such that  $|Aut(B_i/F_1)| = n$  for each  $i < \lambda$ . Hence the absolute Galois group of  $F_1$  is not isomorphic to that of F. This completes the proof of the corollary.

#### Reference

[1] M. Fried and M. Jarden, *Field Arithmetic*, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1986.

[2] E. Hrushovski, Pseudo-finite Field and Related Structures, preprint
[3] E. Hrushovski and A. Pillay, Groups Definable in Local Fields and Pseudo-finite Fields, Israel Journal of Mathematics, vol 85 (1994), 203-262.

Institute of Mathematics University of Tsukuba Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305 JAPAN E-mail: ikeda@sakura.cc.tsukuba.ac.jp