Hyperbolicity of Localizations

阪大理 西谷 達雄 (Tatsuo Nishitani)

1.Introduction

Let P(x, D) be a differential operator of order m in an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with coordinates $x = (x_0, x') = (x_0, x_1, ..., x_n)$, hence a sum of differential polynomials $P_j(x, D)$ of order j ($j \leq m$) with symbols $P_j(x, \xi)$. In [7] Ivrii-Petkov has proved a necessary condition for the Cauchy problem to P(x, D) is correctly posed which asserts that $P_{m-j}(z)$ must vanish of order r-2j at z if $P_m(z)$ vanishes of order r at z with $z = (x, \xi) \in T^*\Omega \setminus 0$. This enables us to define the localization $P_{z_0}(z)$ at a multiple characteristic z_0 (of $P_m(z)$), which is a polynomial on $T_{z_0}(T^*\Omega)$, following Helffer [4].

In this note we show that $P_{z_0}(z)$ is hyperbolic, that is verifies Gårding's condition if the Cauchy problem to P(x, D) is correctly posed. The proof is based on the arguments of Svensson [9] and Nishitani [8].

Since $P_{z_0}(z)$ is hyperbolic, following Atiyah-Bott-Gårding [1], one can define the localizations $P_{(z_0,z_1,...,z_s)}(z)$ successively as the localization of $P_{(z_0,z_1,...,z_{s-1})}(z)$ at z_s which are hyperbolic polynomials on $T_{z_0}(T^*\Omega) \cong \cdots \cong T_{z_s}(T^*\Omega)$ (see also Hörmander [5, II]). It may occur the case that the lineality $\Lambda_{(z_0,z_1,...,z_s)}(P_m)$ of $P_{m(z_0,z_1,...,z_s)}(z)$ (see (2.8) below) is an involutive subspace with respect to the canonical symplectic structure on $T_{z_0}(T^*\Omega)$. In this case we prove that for the Cauchy problem to be correctly posed it is necessary that

$$P_{(z_0,z_1,...,z_s)}(z) = P_{m(z_0,z_1,...,z_s)}(z),$$

that is, no lower order terms of $P_{(z_0,...,z_s)}(z)$ occur. This argument was also used in Bernardi-Bove-Nishitani [2] with s=1.

2. Localization is hyperbolic

We denote by $L_{z_0}^{m,r}$ the set of pseudodifferential operators P near z_0 with symbol $P(x,\xi)$ verifying

$$P(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_{m-j}(x,\xi)$$

in every homogeneous symplectic coordinates around z_0 where $P_{m-j}(x,\xi)$ are positively homogeneous of degree m-j in ξ and vanish of order at least r-2j and $P_m(x,\xi)$ vanishes exactly to the order r at z_0 . Note that we may replace in the definition "every" by "some".

Lemma 2.1 (Helffer [4]). Let $P \in L_{z_0}^{m,r}$. Then

(2.1)
$$Q(x,\xi) = \exp\{\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{j} \partial \xi_{j}}\} P(x,\xi)$$

is invariantly defined in $L_{z_0}^{m,r}/L_{z_0}^{m,r+1}$: Let χ be a homogeneous symplectic coordinates around z_0 and let F be a Fourier integral operator associated with χ and $\hat{P} = FPF^{-1}$.

Then we have

$$\hat{Q}(\chi(x,\xi)) = Q(x,\xi)$$

in $L_{z_0}^{m,r}/L_{z_0}^{m,r+1}$ where \hat{Q} is associated with \hat{P} by (2.1).

Definition 2.1. We define the localization $P_{z_0}(x,\xi)$ of $P \in L_{z_0}^{m,r}$ at $z_0 = (x_0,\xi_0)$ as the lowest order term of the Taylor expansion of

$$\mu^{2m}Q(x_0 + \mu x, \mu^{-2}\xi_0 + \mu^{-1}\xi)$$

as $\mu \to 0$ which is invariantly defined as a polynomial on $T_{z_0}(T^*\Omega)$: If y are local coordinates around the origin and $\hat{P}(y,\eta)$ is the full symbol of P for the coordinates $(y,\eta dy)$ then we have

$$\hat{P}_{w_0}(y'(x_0), t y'(x_0)^{-1}\xi + t (y'\xi_0)'(x_0)x) = P_{z_0}(x, \xi), \ w_0 = (y(x_0), t y'(x_0)^{-1}\xi_0).$$

Writing $Q(x,\xi)$ as the sum of homogeneous parts $Q_{m-j}(x,\xi)$, it is clear that

(2.2)
$$P_{z_0}(x,\xi) = \sum_{r-2j\geq 0} Q_{m-j,z_0}(x,\xi),$$

$$Q_{m-j,z_0}(z) = P_{m-j,z_0}(z) + \sum_{i< j, |\alpha|=j-i} c_{\alpha} P_{m-i,z_0(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}(z)$$

with some constants c_{α} where $Q_{m-j,z_0}(x,\xi)$ and $P_{m-j,z_0}(x,\xi)$ are defined by

$$P_{m-j,z_0}(z) = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \mu^{-(r-2j)} P_{m-j}(z_0 + \mu z).$$

Let $P(x,D) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} P_j(x,D)$ be a differential operator of order m on Ω containing the origin where $P_j(x,D)$ is the homogeneous part of degree j with symbol $P_j(x,\xi)$. Assume that the plane $x_0 = 0$ is non characteristic and we are concerned with the Cauchy problem with respect to $x_0 = \text{const.}$. Let $z_0 \in T^*\Omega \setminus 0$ be a characteristic of P_m of order r;

$$d^{j}P_{m}(z_{0}) = 0$$
 for $j < r$, $d^{r}P_{m}(z_{0}) \neq 0$.

By the necessary condition of Ivrii-Petkov [7] stated in Introduction we conclude that $P \in L_{z_0}^{m,r}$ provided that the Cauchy problem for P is correctly posed. Then we have from Lemma 2.1 that

Proposition 2.2 (cf. Ivrii and Petkov [7]). Assume that the Cauchy problem for P(x, D) is correctly posed near the origin and let $z_0 \in T^*\Omega \setminus 0$ be a multiple characteristic of P_m . Then the localization $P_{z_0}(z)$ is an invariantly defined polynomial on $T_{z_0}(T^*\Omega)$.

Let us denote by $\tilde{P}_{z_0}(x,\xi)$ the lowest order term of the Taylor expansion of

$$\mu^{2m}P(x_0 + \mu x, \mu^{-2}\xi_0 + \mu^{-1}\xi)$$

as $\mu \to 0$. Note that $\tilde{P}_{z_0}(x,\xi)$ is not coordinates free but we have

Lemma 2.3. The following two conditions are equivalent.

- (i) $\tilde{P}_{z_0}(z)$ is hyperbolic with respect to $\theta = (0, e_0)$,
- (ii) $P_{z_0}(z)$ is hyperbolic with respect to θ .

Proof. Recall that $\tilde{P}_{z_0}(z) = \sum_{r-2j\geq 0} P_{m-j,z_0}(z)$. Since $\tilde{P}_{z_0}(z)$ is hyperbolic if and only if $P_{m-j,z_0}(z)$ are weaker than $P_{m,z_0}(z) = Q_{m,z_0}(z)$ (see Hörmander [5, II], Svensson [9]) the proof is immediate by (2.2).

Now our aim is to prove

Theorem 2.4. Assume that the Cauchy problem for P(x, D) is correctly posed near the origin and let $z_0 \in T^*\Omega \setminus 0$ be a multiple characteristic of P_m . Then the localization $P_{z_0}(z)$ is a hyperbolic polynomial with respect to $\theta = (0, e_0)$.

Let z_0 be a characteristic of order r_0 of $P_m(z)$ so that $P_{z_0}(z)$ is a polynomial of degree r_0 . We denote by $P_{(z_0,z_1)}(z)$ the localization of $P_{z_0}(z)$ at z_1 , that is the first coefficient of $\mu^{r_0}P_{z_0}(\mu^{-1}z_1+z)$ that does not vanish identically in z:

$$\mu^{r_0} P_{z_0}(\mu^{-1}z_1 + z) = \mu^{r_1} (P_{(z_0, z_1)}(z) + O(\mu)), \ \mu \to 0$$

(see Hörmander [5, II] and Atiyah-Bott-Gårding [1]). We call r_1 the order of z_1 . From Lemma 3.4.2 in Atiyah-Bott-Gårding [1] it follows that $P_{(z_0,z_1)}(z)$ is again hyperbolic with respect to θ . Furthermore z_1 is a characteristic of P_{m,z_0} of order r_1 and $P_{m(z_0,z_1)}(z)$ is the principal part of $P_{(z_0,z_1)}(z)$. On the other hand Corollary 12.4.9 in Hörmander [5, II] shows that

$$d^{\nu}Q_{m-i,z_0}(z_1) = 0, \ \nu < r_1 - 2j$$

where $d^{\nu}Q(z)$ denotes the ν -th differential of Q with respect to z. Since $Q_{m-j,z_0}(z)$ are homogeneous of degree $r_0 - 2j$ it is clear that

$$P_{(z_0,z_1)}(z) = \sum_{r_1-2j\geq 0} Q_{m-2j(z_0,z_1)}(z)$$

where

$$Q_{m-j(z_0,z_1)}(z) = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \mu^{-(r_1-2j)} Q_{m-j,z_0}(z_1 + \mu z)$$

which is homogeneous of degree $r_1 - 2j$ in z. Repeating the same arguments we get

Lemma 2.5. Let $P_{(z_0,...,z_k)}(z)$ be the localization of $P_{(z_0,...,z_{k-1})}(z)$ at z_k of which order is $r_k \ (\geq 2)$;

$$P_{(z_0,\ldots,z_k)}(z) = (P_{(z_0,\ldots,z_{k-1})})_{z_k}(z).$$

Then we have for every j with $r_k - 2j > 0$

$$d^{\nu}Q_{m-j(z_0,...,z_{k-1})}(z_k) = 0, \ \nu < r_k - 2j$$

and hence

$$Q_{m-j(z_0,\dots,z_k)}(z) = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \mu^{-(r_k-2j)} Q_{m-j(z_0,\dots,z_{k-1})}(z_k + \mu z)$$

exists. Moreover $P_{(z_0,...,z_k)}(z)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{r_k-2j\geq 0}Q_{m-j(z_0,...,z_k)}(z)$$

and hyperbolic with respect to θ .

Corollary 2.6. Let z_k be a characteristic of $P_{m(z_0,...,z_{k-1})}(z)$ of order $r_k (\geq 2)$. Then we have

(2.3)
$$d^{\nu} P_{m-j(z_0,\dots,z_{k-1})}(z_k) = 0, \quad \nu < r_k - 2j$$

and then

(2.4)
$$P_{m-j(z_0,\dots,z_k)}(z) = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \mu^{-(r_k-2j)} P_{m-j(z_0,\dots,z_{k-1})}(z_k + \mu z)$$

exists.

Proof. Assume that (2.3) and

(2.5)
$$Q_{m-j(z_0,...,z_{k-1})}(z) = P_{m-j(z_0,...,z_{k-1})}(z) + \sum_{i < j, |\alpha| = j-i} c_{\alpha} P_{m-i(z_0,...,z_{k-1})(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}(z)$$

hold with k = p where c_{α} are constants. Then it is easy to see that (2.5) with k = p + 1 holds. Thus (2.3) with k = p + 1 follows from Lemma 2.5. By induction on k we get the desired conclusion.

Here we give another formula which defines $P_{(z_0,...,z_s)}(z)$ directly. Let $0 < \mu_0 < \mu_1 < \cdots < \mu_s$ be a sequence of parameters with

(2.6)
$$\mu_j = O(\mu_{j+1}^{m+1}) \text{ as } \mu_{j+1} \to 0.$$

Then we have

(2.7)
$$(\mu_0 \cdots \mu_s)^{2m} Q(x_0 + \mu_0 x_1 + \cdots + \mu_0 \cdots \mu_{s-1} x_s + \mu_0 \cdots \mu_s x,$$

$$(\mu_0 \cdots \mu_s)^{-2} (\xi_0 + \mu_0 \xi_1 + \cdots + \mu_0 \cdots \mu_{s-1} \xi_s + \mu_0 \cdots \mu_s \xi)$$

$$= \mu_0^{r_0} \cdots \mu_s^{r_s} \left(P_{(z_0, \dots, z_s)}(z) + O(\mu_s) \right)$$

where $z_j = (x_j, \xi_j)$ and r_j is the order of z_j .

Let $\Lambda_{(z_0,...,z_s)}(P_m)$ be the lineality of $P_{m(z_0,...,z_s)}$ which is a linear subspace defined by

(2.8)
$$\{z | P_{m(z_0, \dots, z_s)}(w + tz) = P_{m(z_0, \dots, z_s)}(w), \forall t \in \mathbf{R}, \forall w \in T_{z_0}(T^*\Omega) \}$$

and let $\sigma = \sum_{j=0}^{n} d\xi_j \wedge dx_j$ be the canonical symplectic two form on $T^*\Omega$. For $S \subset T_{z_0}(T^*\Omega)$ we denote by S^{σ} the annihilator of S with respect to σ :

$$S^{\sigma} = \{ z \in T_{z_0}(T^*\Omega) | \sigma(z, w) = 0, \forall w \in S \}.$$

Theorem 2.7. Assume that the Cauchy problem for P(x,D) is correctly posed near the origin and

$$\Lambda_{(z_0,\ldots,z_s)}(P_m)^{\sigma}\subset\Lambda_{(z_0,\ldots,z_s)}(P_m).$$

Then we have

$$P_{(z_0,...,z_s)}(z) = P_{m(z_0,...,z_s)}(z),$$

that is, no lower order terms occur in $P_{(z_0,...,z_s)}(z)$.

Example 2.1. Let

$$P(x,\xi) = (\xi_0^2 - x_1^2 \xi_n^2 - \xi_1^2)(\xi_0^2 - x_1^2 \xi_n^2 - 2\xi_1^2) + p_2(\xi_0, x_1 \xi_n, \xi_1)\xi_n$$

where p_2 is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2. With $z_0 = (0, e_n)$ it is clear that

$$P_{4,z_0} = (\xi_0^2 - x_1^2 - \xi_1^2)(\xi_0^2 - x_1^2 - 2\xi_1^2), \ Q_{3,z_0} = 6ix_1\xi_1 + p_2(\xi_0, x_1, \xi_1).$$

Let z_1 be $\xi_0 = x_1 = a$, $a \in \mathbf{R}$, $\xi_1 = 0$ so that

$$P_{4(z_0,z_1)} = 4a^2(\xi_0 - x_1)^2, \ Q_{3(z_0,z_1)} = p_2(a,a,0).$$

Since $\Lambda_{(z_0,z_1)}(P_4)^{\sigma}\subset \Lambda_{(z_0,z_1)}(P_4)$ it follows from Theorem 2.7 that $p_2(a,a,0)=0$. Similarly choosing z_1 to be $\xi_0=a,\ x_1=-a,\ \xi_1=0$ we get $p_2(a,-a,0)=0$. Thus

$$p_2(\xi_0, x_1, \xi_1) = c(\xi_0^2 - x_1^2) + \xi_1 p_1(\xi_0, x_1, \xi_1)$$

where p_1 is linear. Finally one can write

$$P(x,\xi) = (\xi_0^2 - x_1^2 \xi_n^2 - \xi_1^2 + c \xi_n)(\xi_0^2 - x_1^2 \xi_n^2 - 2 \xi_1^2) + \xi_1 L(\xi_0, x_1 \xi_n, \xi_1) \xi_n$$

with a linear function L.

Example 2.2. Let

$$P(x,\xi) = (\xi_0 - x_0 \xi_n)^2 (\xi_0 + x_0 \xi_n) + \alpha (\xi_0 - x_0 \xi_n) \xi_n + \beta (\xi_0 + x_0 \xi_n) \xi_n$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. With $z_0 = (0, e_n)$ we have

$$P_{3,z_0} = (\xi_0 - x_0)^2 (\xi_0 + x_0), \ Q_{2,z_0} = \alpha(\xi_0 - x_0) + (\beta - i)(\xi_0 + x_0).$$

Taking z_1 to be $\xi_0 = 1$, $x_0 = 1$ it follows that

$$P_{3(z_0,z_1)} = 2(\xi_0 - x_0)^2, \ Q_{2(z_0,z_1)} = 2(\beta - i).$$

Since $\Lambda_{(z_0,z_1)}(P_3)^{\sigma} \subset \Lambda_{(z_0,z_1)}(P_3)$ we have $\beta=i$ by Theorem 2.7. Set

$$p_1(x,\xi) = \xi_0 - x_0 \xi_n, \ p_2(x,\xi) = (\xi_0 - x_0 \xi_n)(\xi_0 + x_0 \xi_n) + (\alpha + i)\xi_n$$

then $\beta = i$ implies that

$$P(x,D) = p_1^w(x,D)p_2^w(x,D)$$

where $p_j^w(x, D)$ are Weyl realizations of $p_j(x, \xi)$, see Hörmander [5, III].

REFERENCES

- 1. M.F.Atiyah, R.Bott, L.Gårding, Lacunas for hyperbolic differential operators with constant coefficients, I, Acta Math. 124 (1970), 109-189.
- 2. E.Bernardi, A.Bove, T.Nishitani, Well posedness of the Cauchy problem for a class of hyperbolic operators with a stratified multiple variety: necessary conditions (to appear).
- 3. H.Flaschka and G.Strang, The correctness of the Cauchy problem, Adv. in Math. 6 (1971), 347-379.
- 4. B.Helffer, Invariants associé à une classe d'opérateurs pseudodifférentiels et applications à l'hypoellipticité, Ann. Inst. Fourier 26 (1976), 55-70.
- 5. L.Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators, II, III, Springer, Berlin-Heiderberg-New York-Tokyo, 1983, 1985.
- 6. L.Hörmander, The Cauchy problem for differential equations with double characteristics, J. Analyse Math. 32 (1977), 118-196.
- 7. V.Ja.Ivrii and V.M.Petkov, Necessary conditions for the Cauchy problem for non strictly hyperbolic equations to be well posed, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 29 (1974), 3-70.
- 8. T.Nishitani, Necessary conditions for strong hyperbolicity of first order systems, J. Analyse Math. **61** (1993), 181-229.
- 9. L.Svensson, Necessary and sufficient conditions for hyperbolicity of polynomials with hyperbolic principal part, Ark. Math. 8 (1969), 145-162.